

**Fall 2022, MATH 3215-J, Exam 3 (30 pts)**

**Name:**

**GT ID:**

- Regular exam time is 75 min.
- Open-book/notes. Calculators are allowed. No communication in any form.
- Please clearly indicate what each formula/number is referring to. All the solutions are supposed to be short and can fit in the given space.
- Consider skipping a part if you get stuck somewhere.
- If there is a mistake in a problem statement making it unsolvable, skip it and you will be awarded full points for that problem.

1. Team A will play against other teams, once per team with independent win/loss outcome. Suppose that Team A beats each of 400 “weak” teams with probability 0.8 and beats each of 150 “strong” teams with probability 0.4. Use the CDF  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  to approximate the probabilities of the following events (no need to worry about correction for continuity or strict vs. non-strict inequalities):

(a) (2 pts) Team A beats fewer than 330 out of the 400 “weak” teams.

(b) (2 pts) Team A beats more than 370 out of the 550 teams in total.

(c) (2 pts) Team A beats more “weak” teams than “strong” teams.

(a) Let  $X$  be the number of wins against “weak” teams. Then  $X \sim \text{Bin}(400, 0.8)$ , so  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 320$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = 64$ . It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\{X < 330\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{X - 320}{8} < \frac{330 - 320}{8}\right\} \approx \Phi(5/4).$$

(b) Let  $Y$  be the number of wins against “strong” teams. Then  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(150, 0.4)$ , so  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 60$  and  $\text{Var}(Y) = 36$ . Hence,  $X + Y$  is approximately  $\mathcal{N}(380, 100)$ . It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\{X + Y > 370\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{X + Y - 380}{10} > \frac{370 - 380}{10}\right\} \approx 1 - \Phi(-1) = \Phi(1).$$

(c) We have that  $X - Y$  is approximately  $\mathcal{N}(260, 100)$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}\{X > Y\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{X - Y - 260}{10} > \frac{-260}{10}\right\} \approx \Phi(26) \approx 1.$$

2. Suppose that we are given i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from the Pareto distribution with PDF  $f(x) = \lambda \theta^\lambda x^{-(\lambda+1)}$  for  $x \geq \theta$  and  $f(x) = 0$  otherwise, where  $\lambda > 0$  is known and  $\theta$  is the parameter.

- (a) (2 pts) What is the likelihood at  $\theta$ ?  
 (b) (1 pt) What is the MLE of  $\theta$ ?

The likelihood is  $\lambda^n \theta^{n\lambda} (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{-(\lambda+1)}$  for  $\theta \leq x_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and is zero otherwise. To maximize this function over  $\theta$ , we should take  $\theta = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$ . Therefore, the MLE of  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i.$$

3. Suppose that we are given i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \theta)$  where the mean  $\mu$  is known and the variance  $\theta$  is to be estimated.

(Remark: We usually denote  $\theta$  by  $\sigma^2$ . Here we use  $\theta$  so that there is no confusion whether  $\sigma$  or  $\sigma^2$  is the parameter to be estimated.)

- (a) (1 pt) What is the joint PDF of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ?  
 (b) (1 pt) What is the log-likelihood at  $\theta$ ?  
 (c) (2 pts) What is the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of  $\theta$ ?

(a) The joint PDF of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\theta)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\theta}\right).$$

(b) The log-likelihood is

$$-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\theta} - \frac{n}{2} \log(\theta) - \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi).$$

(c) Differentiating the log-likelihood with respect to  $\theta$  and setting the result to zero, we obtain

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\theta^2} - \frac{n}{2\theta} = 0.$$

Therefore, the MLE of  $\theta$  is  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$ .

4. Suppose that we are given i.i.d.  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \theta)$  where the mean  $\mu$  is known and the variance  $\theta$  is to be estimated. Consider the estimator  $\hat{\theta} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$ .

(a) (1 pt) What is the bias of  $\hat{\theta}$ ?

(b) (1 pt) What is the distribution of  $(X_i - \mu)/\sqrt{\theta}$ ?

(c) (1 pt) What is the distribution of  $n\hat{\theta}/\theta$ ? (Hint: Write it as a sum and use part (b).)

(d) (1 pt) Using the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[Z^4] = 3$  for  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , compute  $\text{Var}(Z^2)$ .

(e) (1 pt) What is the variance of  $n\hat{\theta}/\theta$ ?

(f) (1 pt) What is the variance of  $\hat{\theta}$ ?

(a)  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] - \theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mu)^2] - \theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta - \theta = 0$

(b)  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  (need to indicate the parameters)

(c)  $n\hat{\theta}/\theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right)^2 \sim \chi_n^2$

(d)  $\text{Var}(Z^2) = \mathbb{E}[Z^4] - (\mathbb{E}[Z^2])^2 = 3 - 1 = 2$

(e)  $\text{Var}(n\hat{\theta}/\theta) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right)^2\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}\left(\left(\frac{X_i - \mu}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right)^2\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2 = 2n$

(f)  $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = (\theta^2/n^2) \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = 2\theta^2/n$

5. Consider two independent samples of sizes  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$  respectively. Let  $S_1^2$  and  $S_2^2$  be the respective sample variances. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the  $F$ -distribution with  $n_1 - 1$  and  $n_2 - 1$  degrees of freedom. Recall that

$$R := \frac{S_1^2/\sigma_1^2}{S_2^2/\sigma_2^2} \sim \mathcal{F}.$$

Note that  $R$  takes values in  $(0, \infty)$ . Let  $G$  denote the CDF of  $\mathcal{F}$ . For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , let  $g_\alpha := G^{-1}(1 - \alpha)$  be the quantile of order  $1 - \alpha$ .

- (a) (1 pt) What is the upper bound  $c_1$  such that  $\mathbb{P}\{R < c_1\} = \alpha$ ? (Express  $c_1$  as a quantile.)
- (b) (1 pt) What is the lower bound  $c_2$  such that  $\mathbb{P}\{R > c_2\} = \alpha$ ? (Express  $c_2$  as a quantile.)
- (c) (2 pts) Derive a one-sided confidence interval that contains  $\sigma_2^2/\sigma_1^2$  with probability  $1 - \alpha$ , based on the upper bound  $c_1$ .
- (d) (1 pt) State the other one-sided confidence interval that contains  $\sigma_2^2/\sigma_1^2$  with probability  $1 - \alpha$ , based on the lower bound  $c_2$ .
- (e) (1 pt) State a two-sided confidence interval that contains  $\sigma_2^2/\sigma_1^2$  with probability  $1 - \alpha$ .

(a)  $c_1 = G^{-1}(\alpha) = g_{1-\alpha}$

(b)  $c_2 = G^{-1}(1 - \alpha) = g_\alpha$

(c) We have  $\alpha = \mathbb{P}\{R < g_{1-\alpha}\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{S_1^2/\sigma_1^2}{S_2^2/\sigma_2^2} < g_{1-\alpha}\right\} = \mathbb{P}\{\sigma_2^2/\sigma_1^2 < g_{1-\alpha}S_2^2/S_1^2\}$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{P}\{\sigma_2^2/\sigma_1^2 > g_{1-\alpha}S_2^2/S_1^2\} = 1 - \alpha$ , so the confidence interval is  $(g_{1-\alpha}S_2^2/S_1^2, \infty)$ .

(d)  $(0, g_\alpha S_2^2/S_1^2)$

(e)  $(g_{1-\alpha/2}S_2^2/S_1^2, g_{\alpha/2}S_2^2/S_1^2)$

6. In the setting of Bayesian estimation, suppose that we observe  $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 2)$  and have the prior distribution  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(3, 2)$ .
- (a) (1 pt) What is the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ ? (You can use the formulas introduced in class.)
  - (b) (2 pts) Suppose that we observe an additional  $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 2)$  independent of  $X_1$ , and use the posterior from part (a) as the new prior. What is the new posterior distribution of  $\theta$ ?
  - (c) (2 pts) Suppose that we observe independent  $X_1, X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 2)$  at the beginning, and use the original prior  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(3, 2)$ . What is the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ ? Is it the same as the posterior in part (b)?

The general formula for the posterior distribution is

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{n\sigma^2}{n\sigma^2 + \tau^2}\bar{X} + \frac{\tau^2}{n\sigma^2 + \tau^2}\mu, \frac{\sigma^2\tau^2}{n\sigma^2 + \tau^2}\right).$$

- (a) With  $n = 1$ ,  $\bar{X} = X_1$ ,  $\tau^2 = 2$ ,  $\mu = 3$ , and  $\sigma^2 = 2$ , the posterior distribution is

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{X_1 + 3}{2}, 1\right).$$

- (b) With  $n = 1$ ,  $\bar{X} = X_2$ ,  $\tau^2 = 2$ ,  $\mu = \frac{X_1 + 3}{2}$ , and  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , the new posterior is

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{X_2}{3} + \frac{X_1 + 3}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right).$$

- (c) With  $n = 2$ ,  $\bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2}$ ,  $\tau^2 = 2$ ,  $\mu = 3$ , and  $\sigma^2 = 2$ , the new posterior is

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{X_1 + X_2}{3} + 1, \frac{2}{3}\right),$$

same as that in part (b).