

Solving the weighted bipartite matching problem (with contextualizations)

Vidmantas Zemleris

October 14, 2013

Min-cost bipartite matching: given N jobs and M people and a $n \times m$ matrix of costs of performing these jobs. Each job has to be assigned to *one* of m people, while one person can perform maximum one job. Assume $m \leq n$ (or matrix can be rotated). Another interpretation can be assigning each of n keywords a tag from m tags available (and we have a likelihood matrix). This can be efficiently solved by the well-known Hungarian/Munkres¹ algorithm in $\Theta(n^2m)$.

Contextualization adds additional interdependencies between solution costs, e.g. the person A would agree to perform the job X cheaper, if person be is assigned job Y (e.g. as he wants to be nearby). In the context of keyword search: the tag_j of a keyword kw_i is more likely if it's nearby a related tag_y .

Known approaches and their combinations

Notation: n keywords; m is total number of possible tags; \tilde{m} is the average # of possible tags; k - # of top-k results to return.

Method	Advantages	Disadvantages
<i>Exhaustive search</i>	▷ easy pruning and contextualization ▷ optimal answers	slow , $O(\tilde{m}^n)$
<i>Munkres</i> [3] gives one best solution in $\Theta(n^2m)$.	▷ quite fast	▷ no contextualization ▷ only one best result
<i>Keymantic</i> [2] - Munkres modified for contextualizations, $\Theta(n^3m^2)$. recursively evaluate ² all mappings pruning on the cost. do contextualization inside Munkres	▷ quite fast ▷ some contextualization ▷ some of top-k answers	▷ approximate - not global top-k ▷ correctness unproven ▷ no guarantee of all contextualizations
<i>Murty</i> [6] + <i>Munkres</i> - top-k matchings in $\Theta(n^3m)$. to get each additional result, call Munkres to solve $n - 1$ smaller assignments of sizes $2..n - 1$. Heuristics can greatly improve expected run time[4]	▷ <i>top-k optimal</i> solutions ▷ quite fast	▷ no early pruning (partial matching may change a lot) ▷ no contextualization ³
<i>HMM</i> [1] + <i>List Viterbi</i> [7] can start with estimated HMM params: transition probs from contextualizations, output probs from cost matrix	▷ <i>top-k optimal</i> solutions ▷ contextualization of <i>limited length</i> ▷ quite fast	▷ no pruning ▷ a tag may get selected many times
<i>Murty + Dynamic Munkres on contextualized cost-matrix</i> 1) enumerate over all contextualization possibilities ⁴ 2) use Murty's to get top-k results over contextualized cost-matrix reusing older sub-solutions[5] costing only $\Theta(nm)$ per modified "line".	▷ top-k optimal results ▷ fast if # dependencies is small	▷ exponential for complex contextualizations

Table 1: comparison of different methods

¹*Munkres* splits the assignment problem into easier ones: 1) maintaining a set of constraints that restrict the currently allowed matches (edges) to be cheap enough, and 2) solving N unweighted bipartite assignments: starting with an empty matching, find an augmenting path to increase the size of matching - new edges are selected or existing deselected; if no augmenting path exist, loosen the constraints on weights.

²recursively solve multiple weighted matchings with Munkres (modifying the matrices to force or prevent specific matches)

³could do same unproven contextualization within Munkres as in Keymantic; would at least guarantee top-k with limited contextualization

⁴exploring contextualizations in depth-first order cost-matrix modifications can be reused

References

- [1] S. Bergamaschi, F. Guerra, S. Rota, and Y. Velegrakis. A hidden markov model approach to keyword-based search over relational databases. *Conceptual Modeling-ER 2011*, pages 411–420, 2011.
- [2] Sonia Bergamaschi, Elton Domnori, Francesco Guerra, Raquel Trillo Lado, and Yannis Velegrakis. Keyword search over relational databases: a metadata approach. In *Proceedings of the 2011 international conference on Management of data*, pages 565–576. ACM, 2011.
- [3] Francois Bourgeois and Jean-Claude Lassalle. An extension of the munkres algorithm for the assignment problem to rectangular matrices. *Communications of the ACM*, 14(12):802–804, 1971.
- [4] Matt L Miller, Harold S Stone, and Ingemar J Cox. Optimizing murty’s ranked assignment method. *Aerospace and Electronic Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 33(3):851–862, 1997.
- [5] G Ayorkor Mills-Tettey, Anthony Stentz, and M Bernardine Dias. The dynamic hungarian algorithm for the assignment problem with changing costs. 2007.
- [6] Katta G Murty. Letter to the editor—an algorithm for ranking all the assignments in order of increasing cost. *Operations Research*, 16(3):682–687, 1968.
- [7] N. Seshadri and C.E.W. Sundberg. List viterbi decoding algorithms with applications. *Communications, IEEE Transactions on*, 42(234):313–323, 1994.