Question-1:

What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Answer:

The optimal value for Ridge and Lasso regression are **2** & **0.001** respectively. For these values the R2 of the model was **0.83** approx.

When we doubled the alpha value in Ridge & Lasso, the prediction accuracy remained around **0.82** but there was a small change in the coefficient values.

The new model was demonstrated in Jupyter notebook. The changes in the coefficient are listed below:

Ridge Regression Model

| | Ridge Co-Efficient |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Total_sqr_footage | 0.169122 |
| GarageArea | 0.101585 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.067348 |
| OverallCond | 0.047652 |
| LotArea | 0.043941 |
| CentralAir_Y | 0.032034 |
| LotFrontage | 0.031772 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.031639 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.029093 |
| Alley_Pave | 0.024270 |
| OpenPorchSF | 0.023148 |
| MSSubClass_70 | 0.022995 |
| RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.022586 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.022410 |
| SaleType_Con | 0.022293 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf | 0.021873 |
| PavedDrive_P | 0.020160 |
| KitchenQual_Ex | 0.019378 |
| LandContour_HLS | 0.018595 |
| SaleType_Oth | 0.018123 |
| | |

| | Ridge Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Total_sqr_footage | 0.149028 |
| GarageArea | 0.091803 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.068283 |
| OverallCond | 0.043303 |
| LotArea | 0.038824 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.033870 |
| CentralAir_Y | 0.031832 |
| LotFrontage | 0.027526 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.026581 |
| OpenPorchSF | 0.022713 |
| MSSubClass_70 | 0.022189 |
| Alley_Pave | 0.021672 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.020098 |
| BsmtQual_Ex | 0.019949 |
| KitchenQual_Ex | 0.019787 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf | 0.018952 |
| MasVnrType_Stone | 0.018388 |
| PavedDrive_P | 0.017973 |
| RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.017856 |
| PavedDrive_Y | 0.016840 |

Lasso Regression Model

| | Lasso Co-Efficient |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Total_sqr_footage | 0.202244 |
| GarageArea | 0.110863 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.063161 |
| OverallCond | 0.046686 |
| LotArea | 0.044597 |
| CentralAir_Y | 0.033294 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.028923 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.023370 |
| Alley_Pave | 0.020848 |
| OpenPorchSF | 0.020776 |
| MSSubClass_70 | 0.018898 |
| LandContour_HLS | 0.017279 |
| KitchenQual_Ex | 0.016795 |
| BsmtQual_Ex | 0.016710 |
| Condition1_Norm | 0.015551 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.014707 |
| MasVnrType_Stone | 0.014389 |
| PavedDrive_P | 0.013578 |
| LotFrontage | 0.013377 |
| PavedDrive_Y | 0.012363 |
| | 0.012363 |

| | Lasso Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Total_sqr_footage | 0.204642 |
| GarageArea | 0.103822 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.064902 |
| OverallCond | 0.042168 |
| CentralAir_Y | 0.033113 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.030659 |
| LotArea | 0.025909 |
| BsmtQual_Ex | 0.018128 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.017152 |
| Alley_Pave | 0.016628 |
| OpenPorchSF | 0.016490 |
| KitchenQual_Ex | 0.016359 |
| LandContour_HLS | 0.014793 |
| MSSubClass_70 | 0.014495 |
| MasVnrType_Stone | 0.013292 |
| Condition1_Norm | 0.012674 |
| BsmtCond_TA | 0.011677 |
| SaleCondition_Partial | 0.011236 |
| LotConfig_CulDSac | 0.008776 |
| PavedDrive_Y | 0.008685 |
| | |

Since the alpha values are small so we do not observe a huge change in the model after doubling the alpha.

Question-2:

You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

Answer:

The optimum value of lambda for Ridge regression is 2 & for Lasso regression is 0.0001.

The mean squared error for Ridge regression is **0.00183960907** & for Lasso regression is **0.00186341526** approx. So, the mean squared error for Ridge & Lasso are almost same. Hence, to decide the final model we will check the feature reduction feature & we know that Lasso helps in reducing the features as we can see the coefficient values of some of the features became 0 . Hence, we will choose Lasso as the final model.

Question-3:

After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model

excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

Answer:

The five most important predictor variables in the Lasso Model are as follows:

- Total_sqr_footage
- 2. GarageArea
- 3. TotRmsAbvGrd
- 4. OverallCond
- 5. LotArea

After removing above predictor variables, we again build a Lasso model. Now, the R2 of new model dropped to 0.73 & the mean squared error increases to 0.0028575670 approx. For more information, please refer the Jupyter notebook.

The top 5 predictors in new model are as follows:

| | Lasso Co-Efficient |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| LotFrontage | 0.146535 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.072445 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf | 0.062900 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Fin | 0.050487 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.042532 |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Question-4:

How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

Answer:

As per, Occam's Razor – given two models that show performance in the finite training or test data, we should pick the one that makes fewer on the test data due to following reasons:

- Simpler models are more usually more generic and are more widely applicable.
- Simpler models require fewer training samples for effective training than the more complex ones and hence are easier to train.
- Simpler models are more robust.
- Complex models tend to change widely with changes in the training dataset.
- Simpler models make more errors in the training set where as complex models leads to
 overfitting as they work very well on training samples & fail when apply to other test
 sample.
- Simple models have low variance, high bias whereas complex models have low bias & high variance.

Hence, to make a model robust & generalisable make it simple but not simpler which is of no use.

Regularization helps in making a model simple. It maintains a balance between a model to be simpler & not too naïve to be of no use.

For regression, regularization involves adding a regularization term to the cost that adds up the absolute values or the squares of the parameters of the model.

Also, making a model simple lead to Bias-Variance Trade-off:

- A complex model will need to change for every little change in the dataset and hence is very unstable & extremely sensitive to changes in the training data.
- A simpler model that abstracts out some pattern followed by the data points given is unlikely to change wildly even if more points are added or removed.

Bias quantifies how accurate is the model likely to be on test data. A complex model can do an accurate job prediction provided there is enough training data. Models that are too naïve i.e., which gives same answer to all test inputs and makes no discrimination whatsoever has a very large bias as it's expected error across all test inputs are very high.

Variance refers to the degree of changes in the model itself with respect to changes in the training data.

Thus, accuracy of the model can be maintained by keeping a balance between Bias & Variance as it minimizes the total error as shown in the graph below.

