

# Mapping Proofs between Weak Memory Concurrency

CS4560 - Parallel and Concurrent Programming

February, 2026

## Project description

In this project, you will prove the correctness of a mapping scheme from a programming language to an underlying architecture considering the respective consistency model [1, 2, 3, 4].<sup>1</sup>

## Background Information

### Concurrency Models

We consider release-acquire as the programming language consistency model and a simplified-Arm as the architecture model.

**Release-acquire model** In this model, the accesses are release-write ( $W_{REL}$ ), acquire-read ( $R_{ACQ}$ ), and acquire-release atomic-update ( $[R_{ACQ}]; rmw; [W_{REL}]$ ).

Definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} sw &= [W_{REL}]; rf; [R_{ACQ}] \\ hb &= (po \cup sw)^+ \end{aligned}$$

The axioms are

- $hb; (rf \cup mo \cup fr)^*$  is irreflexive.
- $(poloc \cup rf \cup mo \cup fr)$  is acyclic.
- $rmw; (fr; mo) = \emptyset$ .

**Simplified Arm model** In this model, the memory accesses are write, read, atomic-update. The model has three types of fences – (i) load fence ( $F_{RM}$ ) that orders a read with a po-successor read or write (ii) store-fence ( $F_{WW}$ ) that orders a pair of write events.

Definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} ob &\triangleq (bob \cup rfe \cup moe \cup fre)^+ \text{ where} \\ bob &\triangleq (po; [F]; po) \cup ([R]; po; [F_{RM}]; po) \cup ([W]; po; [F_{WW}]; po; [W]) \end{aligned}$$

The axioms are

- $ob$  is irreflexive.
- $(poloc \cup rf \cup mo \cup fr)$  is acyclic.
- $rmw; (fr; mo) = \emptyset$ .

*Note that we consider atomic update as a single event*

For both models, we define behavior as

$$\text{Behavior}(X) \triangleq \{(location(e), Value(e)) \mid \exists e \in X.W. [\{e\}]; X.mo = \emptyset\}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The project description is subject to small changes and updates. Please get in touch with the TA's and the teachers if you have any questions.

## Mapping Scheme

We propose a mapping scheme as follows

- $W_{REL} \rightsquigarrow F_{WW} \cdot W$
- $R_{ACQ} \rightsquigarrow R \cdot F_{RM}$

## Mapping correctness proof

Prove the following theorem:

*For each simple-Arm consistent execution, there exists a release-acquire consistent source execution such that both executions have the same behavior.*

## Projects

In this project, you will prove the theorem above in a proof assistant of your choice e.g. Coq, Agda, Lean.

## Roadmap for the project:

The project involves the following steps:

- Set up the proof assistant.
- Define the events, relations, execution, and the other required definitions.
- Define the memory models with the respective axioms.
- Define the mapping scheme and the correctness theorem.
- Prove the theorem.

## References

- [1] Mark Batty, Scott Owens, Susmit Sarkar, Peter Sewell, and Tjark Weber. Mathematizing C++ concurrency. In *POPL'11*, pages 55–66. ACM, 2011.
- [2] Anton Podkopaev, Ori Lahav, and Viktor Vafeiadis. Bridging the gap between programming languages and hardware weak memory models. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 3(POPL), 2019.
- [3] Redha Gouicem, Dennis Sprokholt, Jasper Ruehl, Rodrigo C. O. Rocha, Tom Spink, Soham Chakraborty, and Pramod Bhatotia. Risotto: A dynamic binary translator for weak memory model architectures. *ASPLOS 2023*, page 107–122, 2022.
- [4] C/C++11 mappings to processors. <https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~pes20/cpp/cpp0xmappings.html>.