

# EE219C HW3: Model Checking

Vighnesh Iyer

## 1 Interrupt Driven Program

- (a) Describe the properties in the `Sys` module in English. Note the composition of `main` and `ISR` within the module `Sys` is incorrectly done (you will need to fix it later).

- `invariant main_ISR_mutex: (M_enable != I_enable);`  
Only the main module or the ISR module can be active at a given timestep.
- `property[LTL] one_step_ISR_return: G(return_ISR ==> X(!return_ISR));`  
If `return_ISR` is true in a given timestep, it should be false in the next timestep for any trace of the system. This should ensure that the ISR module can't be advanced through in less than 1 timestep.
- `property[LTL] main_after_ISR: G((I_enable && X(M_enable)) ==> return_ISR);`  
If on a particular timestep `I_enable` is true and on the next time step `M_enable` will be true, then `return_ISR` should also be true on this timestep.
- `property[LTL] ISR_after_main: G((M_enable && X(I_enable)) ==> (assert_intr));`  
The 'dual' of the previous property: if we are in the main module execution, and we are going to move into the ISR module next, the interrupt from the environment must also have been asserted right now.

- (b) Run the file and interpret results.

The invariant passes a 20 cycle unrolling because `mode` isn't being updated, and `M_enable` and `I_enable` are mutually exclusive conditions.

The latter 2 LTL properties fail to check because `mode` is being set arbitrarily and the counter-example traces contain transitions between the main and ISR modules that don't match the havoc behavior of `mode` being arbitrarily set by the solver.

- (c) Fix to correctly compose `main` and `ISR` in `Sys`, and eliminate the above CEXs. Change `update_mode`.

```
procedure update_mode() modifies mode; {
  case
    mode == main_t: {
      if (assert_intr) {
        mode = ISR_t;
      } else {
        mode = main_t;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```

    }
  }
  mode == ISR_t: {
    if (return_ISR) {
      mode = main_t;
    } else {
      mode = ISR_t;
    }
  }
}
esac
}

```

Now all the assertions pass.

- (d) Correctly compose **Sys** and **Env** (should it be async composition with interleaving semantics?). Explain why the `consec_main_pc_values` property may fail when the composition is corrected.