

EE240B HW 1

Vighnesh Iyer

February 7, 2019

1 HW1

1. For a transistor in strong inversion, the current is dominated by drift rather than diffusion. The channel profile is nevertheless non-uniform which means there is a drift current even in strong inversion. Assuming the square law model (which ignores drift) is a good estimate of the channel charge profile, calculate the diffusion current and compare it to the drift current.
2. For your technology DK, what is the compact model used? Which version number? Does the model use binning? If so, by which parameters?

I'm using GPDK 45nm. The compact model is BSIM v4 based. Binning is done using the $l_{min}, l_{max}, w_{min}, w_{max}$ parameters present in each model. There are 30 different bins for NMOS transistors. Binning changes V_{th} -related parameters (like $v_{th0}, lv_{th0}, wv_{th0}, pv_{th0}$), mobility-related parameters (like $u0, lu0, wu0, pu0$), subthreshold-related parameters (like $v_{off}, lv_{off}, wv_{off}, pv_{off}$), and output resistance-related parameters (like $pdiblc2, lpdiblc2$).

3. Besides W and L , what are the supported instance parameters for your model? Why are detailed layout dependent parameters (such as distance to well edge) used in some models?
 - finger width (W)
 - number of fingers (W)
 - folding threshold (finger width at which to apply device folding in layout)
 - S/D metal width (width of metal used to short source and drain)
 - some other ones I don't think matter

The layout dependent parameters are auto-derived from the basic instance parameters (I guess for the PDK-reference layout of this transistor). These layout dependent parameters can be overridden as instance parameters. These detailed layout parameters can be used to estimate device parasitics at schematic-design time.

4. Set up a schematic (don't rely on the simulator to output small-signal parameters) and plot the intrinsic gain (a_{v0}) of the minimum sized transistor versus V_{gs} . Make sure you hold V_{DS} constant (use an ideal op-amp - VCVS) to setup the simulation. Plot the intrinsic gain versus I_{ds} and V^* . What is your conclusion? Do you expect a strong bias current dependence? Explain.

I'm holding V_{DS} at mid rail = $\frac{1.1}{2}$ and sweeping I_{ds} . I'm using a SVT transistor with minimum size ($L_{min} = 45n, W_{min} = 120n$). Here are the plots:

- a_{v0} has an inverse relationship to drain current I_{ds} (logarithmic) and V_{gs} (linear)

- This is expected because the r_o of the device decreases more rapidly than the g_m increases.
 - a_{v0} is mostly flat versus V^* , and this is one reason for using the V^* design methodology to choose the transistor's operating point.
5. Now re-plot the intrinsic gain a_{v0} for a few non-minimum length devices. Try $2L_{min}$, $3L_{min}$, and L_{max} . Does the gain depend on W ? Explain why you should avoid using a very small W . L_{max} is the longest channel length supported by the DK

In this process $L_{max} = 10\mu m$

- The intrinsic gain generally doesn't depend on W since r_o and g_m both scale linearly with the transistor width.
- A very small W however has a proportionally larger C_{dd} and C_{gg} than a wider transistor, which can lead to significant schematic/layout mismatches post-layout and extraction.