

## Assignment Part-II

### Question 1

**What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?**

Ans: The optimal parameter for Ridge regression, denoted as alpha, is determined to be 2, while for Lasso regression, the optimal value is 0.001. With these chosen alpha values, the R2 score of the model is approximately 0.83.

Upon doubling the alpha values in both Ridge and Lasso, the predictive accuracy remains consistent at around 0.82. However, there is a subtle alteration in the coefficient values. The updated model is showcased in the Jupyter notebook, highlighting the modifications in the coefficients.

### Ridge Regression

| Ridge Co-Efficient   |          | Ridge Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient |          |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Total_sqr_footage    | 0.169122 | Total_sqr_footage                | 0.149028 |
| GarageArea           | 0.101585 | GarageArea                       | 0.091803 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd         | 0.067348 | TotRmsAbvGrd                     | 0.068283 |
| OverallCond          | 0.047652 | OverallCond                      | 0.043303 |
| LotArea              | 0.043941 | LotArea                          | 0.038824 |
| CentralAir_Y         | 0.032034 | Total_porch_sf                   | 0.033870 |
| LotFrontage          | 0.031772 | CentralAir_Y                     | 0.031832 |
| Total_porch_sf       | 0.031639 | LotFrontage                      | 0.027526 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.029093 | Neighborhood_StoneBr             | 0.026581 |
| Alley_Pave           | 0.024270 | OpenPorchSF                      | 0.022713 |
| OpenPorchSF          | 0.023148 | MSSubClass_70                    | 0.022189 |
| MSSubClass_70        | 0.022995 | Alley_Pave                       | 0.021672 |
| RoofMatl_WdShngl     | 0.022586 | Neighborhood_Veenker             | 0.020098 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.022410 | BsmtQual_Ex                      | 0.019949 |
| SaleType_Con         | 0.022293 | KitchenQual_Ex                   | 0.019787 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf    | 0.021873 | HouseStyle_2.5Unf                | 0.018952 |
| PavedDrive_P         | 0.020160 | MasVnrType_Stone                 | 0.018388 |
| KitchenQual_Ex       | 0.019378 | PavedDrive_P                     | 0.017973 |
| LandContour_HLS      | 0.018595 | RoofMatl_WdShngl                 | 0.017856 |
| SaleType_Oth         | 0.018123 | PavedDrive_Y                     | 0.016840 |

# Assignment Part-II

## Lasso Regression

| Lasso Co-Efficient   |          | Lasso Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient |          |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Total_sqr_footage    | 0.202244 | Total_sqr_footage                | 0.204642 |
| GarageArea           | 0.110863 | GarageArea                       | 0.103822 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd         | 0.063161 | TotRmsAbvGrd                     | 0.064902 |
| OverallCond          | 0.046686 | OverallCond                      | 0.042168 |
| LotArea              | 0.044597 | CentralAir_Y                     | 0.033113 |
| CentralAir_Y         | 0.033294 | Total_porch_sf                   | 0.030659 |
| Total_porch_sf       | 0.028923 | LotArea                          | 0.025909 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.023370 | BsmtQual_Ex                      | 0.018128 |
| Alley_Pave           | 0.020848 | Neighborhood_StoneBr             | 0.017152 |
| OpenPorchSF          | 0.020776 | Alley_Pave                       | 0.016628 |
| MSSubClass_70        | 0.018898 | OpenPorchSF                      | 0.016490 |
| LandContour_HLS      | 0.017279 | KitchenQual_Ex                   | 0.016359 |
| KitchenQual_Ex       | 0.016795 | LandContour_HLS                  | 0.014793 |
| BsmtQual_Ex          | 0.016710 | MSSubClass_70                    | 0.014495 |
| Condition1_Norm      | 0.015551 | MasVnrType_Stone                 | 0.013292 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.014707 | Condition1_Norm                  | 0.012674 |
| MasVnrType_Stone     | 0.014389 | BsmtCond_TA                      | 0.011677 |
| PavedDrive_P         | 0.013578 | SaleCondition_Partial            | 0.011236 |
| LotFrontage          | 0.013377 | LotConfig_CulDSac                | 0.008776 |
| PavedDrive_Y         | 0.012363 | PavedDrive_Y                     | 0.008685 |

Overall since the alpha values are small, we do not see a huge change in the model after doubling the alpha.

### Question 2

**You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?**

- The optimal lambda values for Ridge and Lasso are determined as follows:
  - Ridge – 2
  - Lasso – 0.0001
- The Mean Squared Errors for Ridge and Lasso are as follows:
  - Ridge - 0.0018396090787924262
  - Lasso - 0.0018634152629407766

## Assignment Part-II

- Both models exhibit nearly identical Mean Squared Errors. Considering Lasso's capability for feature reduction, where the coefficient values of some features become zero, Lasso holds a distinct advantage over Ridge. As a result, Lasso is recommended as the preferred final model.

### Question 3

**After building the model, you realized that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?**

The five most crucial predictor variables in the existing Lasso model are:

- Total\_sqr\_footage
- GarageArea
- TotRmsAbvGrd
- OverallCond
- LotArea

After excluding these attributes from the dataset, we constructed a new Lasso model in the Jupyter notebook. The R<sup>2</sup> of the updated model, without the top 5 predictors, decreases to 0.73.

Simultaneously, the Mean Squared Error sees an increase to 0.0028575670906482538.

The top 5 features are

| Lasso Co-Efficient   |          |
|----------------------|----------|
| LotFrontage          | 0.146535 |
| Total_porch_sf       | 0.072445 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf    | 0.062900 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Fin    | 0.050487 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.042532 |

### Question 4

**How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?**

## Assignment Part-II

According to Occam's Razor, when confronted with two models demonstrating similar performance on finite training or test data, it is advisable to choose the one that makes fewer assumptions. This preference for simplicity is grounded in several key reasons:

- Simpler models tend to be more generic and widely applicable.
- They require fewer training samples for effective training, making them easier to train.
- Simpler models often exhibit greater robustness compared to complex ones.

Complex models, with low bias and high variance, can behave erratically with changes in the training dataset. On the other hand, simpler models, characterized by high bias and low variance, may make more errors in the training set but are less prone to overfitting and more adaptable to new data.

To strike a balance between simplicity and utility, regularization is employed. Regularization involves introducing a regularization term to the cost function, which penalizes the absolute values or squares of the model parameters. This process ensures that the model remains simple without becoming overly naive.

Furthermore, embracing model simplicity contributes to the Bias-Variance Trade-off:

- Complex models are highly sensitive to changes in the dataset, leading to instability.
- Simpler models, abstracting essential patterns from data, are less likely to undergo drastic changes with additions or removals of data points.

Bias quantifies the model's likely accuracy on test data, and a complex model can be accurate with sufficient training data.

However, overly naive models exhibit a high bias, as they fail to discriminate among test inputs effectively.

Variance refers to the model's susceptibility to changes in the training data. Striking a balance between bias and variance is essential for maintaining model accuracy, as illustrated in the accompanying graph, which minimizes the total error. This balance ensures that the model is both accurate and adaptable across different datasets.

## Assignment Part-II

