

Assign - 4:

	Req	Analyse	Design	Code	U.T	I.T	Syst.T	field	field Tot
Req.	49								49
Analysis	34	61							95
Design	67	34	123						224
Code	13	23	77	241					354
Unit T	39	21	67	78	13				218
Integrat T	23	4	3	98	-	9			137
System T	14	8	5	29	-	-	7		63
Field	7	5	4	6	-	-	-	8	30
Total	246	156	279	452	13	9	7	8	1170

Defect removal rate for every phase.

Total SLOC = 120

$$1. \text{ Requirement} = 49/120 = 0.408$$

$$2. \text{ Analysis} = 95/120 = 0.791$$

$$3. \text{ Design} = 224/120 = 1.86$$

$$4. \text{ Coding} = 354/120 = 2.95$$

$$5. \text{ unit Testing} = 218/120 = 1.81$$

$$6. \text{ Integration Testing} = 137/120 = 1.14$$

$$7. \text{ System testing} = 63/120 = 0.525$$

$$8. \text{ field} = 30/120 = \cancel{0.0666} 0.25$$

2. Defect injection rate for every phase.

1. Requirement	= 246/120 = 2.05 / KLOC
2. analysis	= 156/120 = 1.30 / KLOC
3. Design	= 279/120 = 2.32 / KLOC
4. coding	= 452/120 = 3.76 / KLOC
5. Unit Testing	= 13/120 = 0.108 / KLOC
6. Integration Testing	= 9/120 = 0.075 / KLOC
7. System Testing	= 7/120 = 0.058 / KLOC
8. field.	= 8/120 = 0.066 / KLOC

3. Defect Escape rate for every phase.

	Defects injected	Defects removed	Defect escaped	Escape rate
1. Requirement	246	49	197	1.64
2. Analysis	156	95	246 + 156 - 49 - 95 = 258	2.15
3. Design	279	224		
			279 + 156 + 246 - 224 - 95 - 49 = 313	2.6
4. coding	452	354		
			452 + 279 + 156 + 246 - 49 - 95 - 224 - 354 = 211	3.42
5. Unit Testing	13	218		
			13 + 452 + 279 + 156 + 246 - 49 - 95 - 224 - 354 - 218 = 206	1.71
6. Integration test	9	137		
			9 + 13 + 452 + 279 + 156 + 246 - 49 - 95 - 224 - 354 - 218 - 137 = 78	0.65

7. System testing	7	63 30	22 0	0.18 0
8. field.	8	30 28	0	0

Therefore :

Defect Escape rate for every phase .

1. Requirement	1.64 / KLOC
2. Analysis	2.15 / KLOC
3. Design	2.60 / KLOC
4. Coding	3.42 / KLOC
5. Unit testing	1.71 / KLOC
6. Integration test.	0.65 / KLOC
7. System	0.18 / KLOC
8. field	0 / KLOC .

4. Overall defect removal effectiveness .

$$\text{Overall DRE of the project} = \left[1 - \frac{(\text{defects removed in field})}{\text{Total number of defects}} \times 100 \% \right]$$

$$= 1 - \frac{30}{1170} \times 100 \%$$

$$= \frac{1170 - 30}{1170} = \frac{1140}{1170} \times 100 = 97.4 \%$$

5. which phase is the most effective ?

Defect removal effectiveness: $\frac{\text{Defects removed} / \text{defects existing}}{\text{defect injected}} \times 100$

1. Requirement phase:

defects removed in current phase = 49

defect in step Entry = 0

defect injected in current phase = 246

$$= \frac{49}{246} \times 100 = 19.9\%$$

2. Analysis phase

$$= \frac{95}{\cancel{246} + 156} \times 100 = \cancel{23.6\%} = 26.9\%$$

3. Design phase

$$= \frac{224}{258 + 279} \times 100 = 41.7\%$$

4. Coding phase

$$= \frac{354}{313 + 452} = 46.2\%$$

5. Testing phase:

$$(i) \text{ unit testing} = \frac{218}{218 + 937 + 163 + 30} = 48\%$$

for testing phase

Defects removed at current phase $\times 100\%$

Defects removed at current phase + Subsequent phase

(ii) Integration Testis

$$= \frac{137}{137+63+30} = 59.5\%$$

(iii) system testing

$$= \frac{63}{63+30} = 67.7\%$$

(iv) field

$$= \frac{30}{30} = 100\%$$

∴ Defect removal effectiveness.

Requirement	19.9 %
Analysis	26.9 %
Design	41.7 %
coding	46.2 %
unit testing	48.5 %
Integration	59.5 %
System testing	67.7 %

∴ System testing phase is the most effective in removing defects.

6. Do you think reviews and inspections are effective?
Explain.

The phases Requirement to coding comes under reviews and inspection.

\therefore The total number of defects in project = 1170

number of defects from requirement to coding phase
got found

$$= 49 + 95 + 224 + 354$$

$$= 722$$

$$\therefore \frac{722}{1170} = 0.617 = 61.7\%$$

\therefore The 61.7% of the defects are found through the reviews and inspection.

Therefore YES! The inspections and reviews are found to be effective.

7. defects originated in design phase increase by 10%
and defects detected in design phase by 10%.
then the impact of DRE in coding phase.

defect originated increase by 10%.

$$\therefore \frac{10}{100} \times 246 \approx 24.6 \approx 25$$

~~defect found in re~~

$$\therefore \frac{10}{100} \times 279 \approx 28$$

defect found at design review increase by 10%.

$$\frac{10}{100} \times 224 \approx 22.$$

$$\therefore \text{new defects injected} = 279 + 28 = 307$$

$$\text{new defect found} = 224 + 22 = 246.$$

The defect removal Effectiveness in coding phase

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{354}{246 + 156 + 307 - 49 - 95 - 246 + 452} \times 100 = 0.459 \\ &= 45.9\% \end{aligned}$$

Previous DRE for coding phase = 46.2%.

Current DRE for coding phase = 45.9%.

\therefore The increase of 10% in defects origin and defect found has a NEGATIVE EFFECT on the defect removal Effectiveness of coding phase.