# Spectral Graph Theory

# Vignesh M Pai

# Contents

1	Inti	roduction
	1.1	Eigenvalues and Optimization
	1.2	The Laplacian and Graph Drawing
	1.3	Adjacency Matrices
	1.4	Comparing Graphs
<b>2</b>	Rar	ndom Graphs
	2.1	Introduction
	2.2	Moments of Eigenvalues
	2.3	Expectation of The Trace
	2.4	The Number of Walks
	2.5	Putting It All Together
3	App	pendix
	3.1	Linear Algebraic Results
	3.2	Cauchy's Interlacing Theorem for Rank One Updates
		3.2.1 The First Proof
		3.2.2 The Second Proof
	3.3	Probability

# 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Eigenvalues and Optimization

Let M be a n dimensional symmetric matrix.

# Definition 1.1.1: Rayleigh Quotient

The Rayleigh quotient for a matrix M is defined as

$$R(\boldsymbol{x}, M) := \frac{\boldsymbol{x}^T M \boldsymbol{x}}{\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}}$$

the matrix is ommitted if obvious from context.

### Theorem 1.1.1

Let

$$oldsymbol{x} \in \arg\max_{oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}} R(oldsymbol{x})$$

Such an x exists and is an eigenvector of M with the maximum eigenvalue  $\mu_1$ . We can write a similar statement for the minimum eigenvalue by minimizing R.

#### Theorem 1.1.2: Spectral Theorem for Symmetric Matrices

There exist numbers  $\mu_1, ..., \mu_n$  and orthonormal vectors  $\psi_1, ..., \psi_n$  such that  $M\psi_i = \mu_i \psi_i$  iff for  $1 \le i \le n$ 

$$oldsymbol{\psi}_i \in rg\max_{egin{array}{c} \|oldsymbol{x}\|=1 \ oldsymbol{x}^Toldsymbol{\psi}_j=0,\ j < i \ \end{array}} R(oldsymbol{x})$$

or equivalently

$$oldsymbol{\psi}_i \in rg \min_{\substack{\|oldsymbol{x}\|_{oldsymbol{x}} = 1 \ oldsymbol{x}^T oldsymbol{\psi}_j = 0, \ j > i}} R(oldsymbol{x})$$

#### Theorem 1.1.3: Courant-Fischer Theorem

Let M have eigenvalues  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq ... \geq \mu_n$ , then

$$\mu_k = \max_{\substack{S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ \dim(S) = k}} \min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x} \in S \\ \boldsymbol{x} \neq 0}} R(\boldsymbol{x}) = \min_{\substack{T \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ \dim(T) = n - k + 1}} \max_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x} \in T \\ \boldsymbol{x} \neq 0}} R(\boldsymbol{x})$$

where S, T are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Theorem 1.1.4: Cauchy's Interlacing Theorem

Let A be a symmetric real matrix of dimension n. Let B be obtained by deleting the same row and column of A (N is a principal submatrix of dimension n-1). Let  $\alpha_1 \geq ... \geq \alpha_n$  be the eigenvalues of A and  $\beta_1 \geq ... \geq \beta_{n-1}$  be the eigenvalues of B. Then for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ 

$$\alpha_k \ge \beta_k \ge \alpha_{k+1}$$

### 1.2 The Laplacian and Graph Drawing

Vectors on graphs are functions  $V \to \mathbb{R}$ , the vector **1** denotes the function  $\mathbf{1}(a) = 1$ . The degree function  $\boldsymbol{d}$  is also a vector.

Matrices on graphs are functions  $V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  or can be viewed as linear operators on the space of vectors on graphs. Let G be a graph, then we use  $M_G$  to denote the adjacency matrix,  $D_G$  to denote the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees, and  $L_G = D_G - M_G$  to denote the Laplacian matrix. Observe that  $M_G \mathbf{1} = d$  and  $L_G \mathbf{1} = 0$ .

The Laplacian is also a natural quadratic form on a graph

$$oldsymbol{x}^T L_G oldsymbol{x} = \sum_{(a,b) \in E(G)} w_{a,b} (oldsymbol{x}(a) - oldsymbol{x}(b))^2$$

Let  $\lambda_1 = 0 \le \lambda_2 \le ... \le \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $L_G$  with eigenvectors  $\psi_1, ..., \psi_n$ .

## Lemma 1.2.1

G is connected iff  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ .

We draw a graph in k dimensions by using the eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_2, ..., \lambda_{k+1}$  as the coordinates of vertices. These coordinates minimize the expression (excluding coordinates that lead to trivial drawings):

$$\sum_{(a,b)\in G} w_{a,b} \|x(a) - x(b)\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \boldsymbol{x}_i^T L_G \boldsymbol{x}_i$$

where  $x: V \to \mathbb{R}^k, x = (\boldsymbol{x_1}, ..., \boldsymbol{x_k})$  is the coordinate function.

## Theorem 1.2.2: Hall's Drawing Theorem

Let  $x_1, ..., x_k$  be orthonormal vectors that are orthogonal to 1, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k oldsymbol{x_i}^T L_G oldsymbol{x_i} \geq \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} \lambda_1$$

where equality holds for  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_j^T \boldsymbol{x_i} = 0$  for all i and j > k+1.

# 1.3 Adjacency Matrices

Let the eigenvalues of  $M_G$  be  $\mu_1 \geq ... \geq \mu_n$ .

#### Lemma 1.3.1

Let  $d_{avg}$  and  $d_{max}$  be the average and maximum degrees respectively, then

$$d_{avg} \le \mu_1 \le d_{max}$$

further, if H be a subgraph of G, then

$$d_{avg}(H) \le \mu_1$$

#### Lemma 1.3.2

If G is connected and  $\mu_1 = d_{max}$  then G is  $d_{max}$ -regular.

#### Theorem 1.3.3: Wilf's Theorem

Let  $\chi(G)$  be the chromatic number of G, then

$$\chi(G) \le |\mu_1| + 1$$

### Lemma 1.3.4

Let G be a connected weighted graph and  $\phi$  be a non negative eigenvector of  $M_G$ , then  $\phi$  is strictly positive.

#### Theorem 1.3.5: Perron-Frobenius Theorem

Let G be connected, then

- 1.  $\mu_1$  has a strictly positive eigenvector
- 2.  $\mu_1 \ge -\mu_n$
- 3.  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$

#### Lemma 1.3.6

If G is bipartite, then the eigenvalues of  $M_G$  are symmetric about 0.

# Theorem 1.3.7

Let G be connected,  $\mu_1 = -\mu_n$  iff G is bipartite.

# 1.4 Comparing Graphs

We introduce the partial order  $\succeq$  on matrices as

$$A \succeq B \iff \forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}^T A \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{x}^T B \boldsymbol{x}$$

In particular  $A \succeq 0$  means A is positive semidefinite. For graphs G, H on the same set of vertices, we write  $G \succeq H$  iff  $L_G \succeq L_H$ . If H is a subset of G, we have

$$G \succ H$$

For a graph H, define  $c \cdot H$  to be the graph H with each edge weight multiplied by c. Let  $\lambda_k(H)$  denote the kth smallest eigenvalue of  $L_H$ .

#### Lemma 1.4.1

If G, H are graphs

$$G \succeq c \cdot H \implies \lambda_k(G) \geq c\lambda_k(H)$$

Let  $G_{a,b}$  be the graph with only the edge (a,b). The proof of the following lemmas follow trivially from the Cauchy Schwarz inequality applied to the Laplacian.

# Lemma 1.4.2

Let  $P_n$  be the path graph on n vertices between vertex 1 and n.

$$G_{1,n} \leq (n-1)P_n$$

#### Lemma 1.4.3: Extension to Weighted Paths

Let  $P_{n,w}$  be the weighted path graph on n vertices with  $w_i$  the weight on the edge (i, i + 1).

$$G_{1,n} \preceq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{w_i}\right) P_{n,w}$$

We use these lemmas to prove bounds on the eigenvalues of graphs.

Let  $K_n$  be the complete graph on n vertices, it is easy to see that  $\lambda_i(K_n) = n$  for  $i \geq 2$ . We can also write that

$$L_{K_n} = \sum_{a < b} L_{G_{a,b}}$$

this allows us to prove the following

$$K_n = \sum_{a < b} G_{a,b} \leq \sum_{a < b} (b - a) P_{a,b} \leq \sum_{a < b} (b - a) P_n$$

$$\implies \lambda_2(K_n) = n \leq \lambda_2(P_n) \sum_{a < b} (b - a) = \frac{n(n+1)(n-1)}{6} \lambda_2(P_n)$$

### Lemma 1.4.4: Bounding $\lambda_2$ of the Path Graph

$$\lambda_2(P_n) \ge \frac{6}{(n+1)(n-1)}$$

Let  $T_d$  be the complete binary tree of depth d, this will have  $n = 2^{d+1} - 1$  vertices. Let  $T_d^{a,b}$  be the shortest path between vertices a, b on  $T_d$ . Note that this path has size at most  $2d \le 2\log_2 n$ . Doing a

similar comparision with  $K_n$  we get

$$K_n = \sum_{a < b} G_{a,b} \leq \sum_{a < b} 2dT_d^{a,b} \leq \sum_{a < b} 2\log_2 nT_d = \binom{n}{2} 2\log_2 nT_d$$

$$\implies \lambda_2(K_n) = n \leq \binom{n}{2} 2\log_2 n\lambda_2(T_d)$$

# Lemma 1.4.5: Bounding $\lambda_2$ of Complete Binary Trees

$$\lambda_2(T_d) \ge \frac{1}{(n-1)\log_2 n}$$

# 2 Random Graphs

### 2.1 Introduction

An Erdös-Rényi random graph is a graph in which each edge is present with probability p, independent of other edges. The largest eigenvalue of such a graph is close to pn and all other eigenvalues are usually atmost of order  $\sqrt{np}$ . Our goal is to prove formal results regarding the eigenvalues.

We can write the adjacency matrix M of this graph as

$$M = \mathbb{E}(M) + R = p(J - I) + R$$

where J is the all ones matrix, I is the identity matrix and R is defined for off diagonal lower triangular entries as

$$R(a,b) = \begin{cases} 1-p & \text{with probability } p \\ -p & \text{with probability } 1-p \end{cases}$$

The upper triangular entries are determined from the symmetry of R. Clearly the expectation of R is the zero matrix. We will show that the eigenvalues of R are usually small and thus M is approximately p(J-I). Note that the eigenvalues of p(J-I) are p(n-1) with multiplicity 1 and -p with multiplicity n-1.

Let the eigenvalues of R be  $\rho_1 \geq ... \geq \rho_n$ . Then, the eigenvalues of R - pI are  $\rho_i - p$ . Since pJ is rank one, the eigenvalues of M = R - pI + pJ interlace the eigenvalues of R - pI by the Cauchy interlacing theorem. Hence, the eigenvalues of R can give bounds on the eigenvalues of M.

### 2.2 Moments of Eigenvalues

We can get some quantitative results on the eigenvalues of R by calculating the moments of  $\rho_i$ . It is easy to verify that the kth moment is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_i^k = \operatorname{tr}(R^k)$$

We know that the eigenvalues of  $R^k$  are  $\rho_i^k$ . From the value of the trace, we know that for even k

$$\rho_1^k < Tr(R^k) \implies |\rho_1| < Tr(R^k)^{1/k}$$

If we calculate a bound:  $\mathbb{E}(Tr(\mathbb{R}^k)) < u$ , we can write using Markov's inequality

$$Pr(|\rho_1| > (1+\epsilon)u^{1/k}) = Pr(\rho_1^k > (1+\epsilon)^k u)$$

$$\leq Pr(Tr(R^l) > (1+\epsilon)^k \mathbb{E}(Tr(R^k)))$$

$$\leq (1+\epsilon)^{-k}$$

The probability on the RHS will be small if  $\epsilon > 1/k$ . This will in particular be useful if for large k we can find small u.

### 2.3 Expectation of The Trace

Let M be a matrix, then we can write

$$M^{l}(a,b) = \sum_{v_1,...,v_{k-1} \in V} M(a,v_1)M(v_1,v_2)...M(v_{l-1},b)$$

Therefore, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}(R^{l}(a_{0}, a_{0})) = \sum_{a_{1}, \dots, a_{l-1} \in V} \mathbb{E}(R(a_{0}, a_{1})R(a_{1}, a_{2}) \dots R(a_{l}, a_{0}))$$

Note that distinct elements of R are independent, therefore the only dependence comes from terms that appear multiple times. Let S be the multiset  $\{\{a_0, a_1\}, \{a_1, a_2\}, ..., \{a_{l-1}, a_0\}\}$  and S' denote the set of the same elements, then

$$\mathbb{E}(R(a_0,a_1)R(a_1,a_2)...R(a_l,a_0)) = \prod_{\{b_i,c_i\} \in S'} \mathbb{E}(R(b_i,c_i)^{d_i})$$

where  $d_i$  denotes the number of  $\{b_i, c_i\}$  in S. The RHS is clearly zero if any  $d_i$  is 1. For  $d \geq 2$ , we can write

$$\mathbb{E}(R(b_i, c_i)^d) = (1 - p)^d p + (-p)^d (1 - p) = p(1 - p) \left( (1 - p)^{d-1} - (-p)^{d-1} \right) \le p(1 - p)$$

this gives us

$$\mathbb{E}(R(a_0, a_1)R(a_1, a_2)...R(a_l, a_0)) \le (p(1-p))^{|S'|}$$

We define a closed walk of length l as a sequence of vertices  $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{l-1}, a_l$  such that  $a_l = a_0$ . A closed walk is called significant if the multiset  $\{\{a_0, a_1\}, \{a_1, a_2\}, ... \{a_{l-1}, a_l\}\}$  has at least two copies of each element.

#### Definition 2.3.1

Let  $W_{n,l,k}$  denote the number of significant closed walks of length l in a graph with n vertices such that the above multiset has k distinct elements.

#### Lemma 2.3.1

$$\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{tr}(R^l)) \le \sum_{k=1}^{l/2} W_{n,l,k}(p(1-p))^k$$

#### 2.4 The Number of Walks

# Lemma 2.4.1

$$W_{n,l,k} \le n^{k+1} 2^l l^{4(l-2k)}$$

# 2.5 Putting It All Together

Using the bound on the number of walks, we can write

$$\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{tr}(R^{l})) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{l/2} n^{k+1} 2^{l} l^{4(l-2k)} (p(1-p))^{k}$$

$$= n 2^{l} l^{4l} \sum_{k=1}^{l/2} (np(1-p)l^{-8})^{k}$$

$$= n (4np(1-p))^{l/2} \sum_{k=1}^{l/2} (np(1-p)l^{-8})^{1-k}$$

for  $l^8 \leq np(1-p)$ , we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{tr}(R^{l})) \le n(4np(1-p))^{l/2} \sum_{k=1}^{l/2} 2^{1-k}$$

$$\le 2n(4np(1-p))^{l/2}$$

Substituting back in the markov identity, we get

$$Pr(|\rho_1| \ge (1+\epsilon)(2n)^{1/l}(4np(1-p))^{1/2}) \le (1+\epsilon)^{-l}$$

Note that  $(2n)^{1/l}$  can be written as

$$(2n)^{1/l} = 2^{\log_2 2n/l}$$

We get the required result, that the eigenvalues are of order  $\sqrt{np}$ , if l satisfies

$$1 + \log_2 n < l < (np(1-p))^{1/8}$$

Such an l can be found for sufficiently large n, for p = 0.5, n roughly needs to satisfy

$$n^{1/8} > 1 + \log_2 n$$

This is not satisfied for  $n=2^{40}\approx 10^{12}$ . Therefore while asymptotically useful, this result may not be practically useful.

# 3 Appendix

# 3.1 Linear Algebraic Results

### Theorem 3.1.1

Let A, B be commuting symmetric matrices on a vector space V, then they can be simultaneously diagonalized by the same unitary transformation.

*Proof.* We will prove by induction on the dimension of V that A, B have the same eigenspaces, orthonormal basis of these eigenspaces will then provide the unitary transformation.

Clearly the statement is true for dim V=1, let dim V=n>1 and assume the statement is true for all V such that dim V< n. Let  $\alpha_1,...,\alpha_k$  be the distinct eigenvalues of A. If k=1, then  $A=\lambda_1 I$  and thus we are done. So assume  $k\geq 2$ , let  $\Lambda_i$  be the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\alpha_i$ . Then,  $\Lambda_i$  is an invariant subspace of B since for any  $\mathbf{v}\in\Lambda_i$ 

$$A(B\mathbf{v}) = BA\mathbf{v} = \lambda_i B\mathbf{v} \implies B\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda_i$$

Thus, we can restrict A, B to  $\lambda_i$  and apply the induction hypothesis (since  $k \geq 2 \implies \dim \Lambda_i < n$ ) to get an eigenbasis of  $\Lambda_i$  that simultaneously diagonalizes A, B over  $\Lambda_i$ . The union of these eigenbases over all  $\Lambda_i$  gives us a basis for V that simultaneously diagonalizes both A, B.

The above theorem is helpful in calculating the eigenvalues of A + B.

#### Theorem 3.1.2

Let M be a symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ , then

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_i = Tr(M)$$

*Proof.* Let D be the diagonal matrix of M diagonalized by U, then

$$M = UDU^T \implies Tr(M) = Tr((UD)U^T) = Tr(U^T(UD)) = Tr(D) = \sum_i \lambda_i$$

# 3.2 Cauchy's Interlacing Theorem for Rank One Updates

The result that we want to prove is

# Theorem 3.2.1: Cauchy Interlacing Theorem for Rank One Updates

Let A be a symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\alpha_1 \geq ... \geq \alpha_n$ . Let  $B = A + xx^T$  for some vector x, let B have eigenvalues  $\beta_1 \geq ... \geq \beta_n$ , then

$$\beta_i \ge \alpha_i \ge \beta_{i+1}$$

We will do this in two ways. In the first proof, we show that A is a principal submatrix of a matrix with 'similar' eigenvalues to B, this will allow us to apply Cauchy's interlacing theorem for principal submatrices. In the second proof, we will relate the characteristic polynomials and their roots.

### 3.2.1 The First Proof

We first prove a couple of lemmas that are known as 'Sylvester's theorem'.

#### Lemma 3.2.2

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix and B be an  $n \times m$  matrix, then we can write

$$x^n \det(xI_m - AB) = x^m \det(xI_n - BA)$$

*Proof.* Define the following matrices

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} xI_m & A \\ B & I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ -B & xI_n \end{bmatrix}$$

we can see that

$$CD = \begin{bmatrix} xI_m - AB & xA \\ 0 & xI_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad DC = \begin{bmatrix} xI_m & A \\ 0 & xI_n - BA \end{bmatrix}$$

using det(CD) = det(DC), we get the required result.

#### Lemma 3.2.3

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix and B be an  $n \times m$  matrix, then AB and BA have the same set of non zero eigenvalues.

*Proof.* This follows trivially from the previous lemma or from the following proof. Let x be an eigenvector of AB with eigenvalue  $\lambda \neq 0$ , it is simple to check that y = Bx is an eigenvector of BA with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

#### Lemma 3.2.4

For positive definite symmetric matrices A, a matrix  $\sqrt{A}$  such that  $\sqrt{A}^2 = A$  exists.

*Proof.* Existence follows since the diagonalized matrix of A has a square root.

We are now ready to prove the theorem.

*Proof.* We will prove the result for positive definite symmetric matrices A by adding  $(1 - \alpha_n)I$  to A. Let  $\sqrt{A}$  be a square root of A, now define the  $n \times (n+1)$  matrix C as

$$C := \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{A} & \boldsymbol{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

then we can write

$$CC^T = B, \quad C^TC = \begin{bmatrix} A & \sqrt{A}x \\ x^T\sqrt{A} & x^Tx \end{bmatrix}$$

By previous lemma,  $C^TC$  and  $CC^T$  share the same set of nonnegative eigenvalues. The eigenvalues of A interlace the eigenvalues of  $C^TC$  since it is a principal submatrix. The eigenvalues of  $C^TC$  are thus all positive except the smallest (which is 0). Since the eigenvalues of  $CC^T = B$  are positive, the result follows.

#### 3.2.2 The Second Proof

#### Definition 3.2.1: Cyclic Vectors

Let A be a linear operator on a vector space V.  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  is called cyclic if the set of finite linear combinations of  $\{A^n\mathbf{x} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  equals V.

The above definition is equivalent to saying that  $x \in V$  is cyclic if

$$V = \{ p(A)\boldsymbol{x} \mid p \in \mathbb{R}[t] \}$$

#### Lemma 3.2.5

A symmetric linear operator A on a vector space V has a cyclic vector iff A has no repeated eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Suppose that x is a cyclic vector, then

$$V = \{ p(A)\boldsymbol{x} \mid p \in \mathbb{R}[t] \}$$

Fix an orthonormal basis on V, since A is symmetric, it is diagonalizable:  $A = UDU^T$  where D is diagonal and U is orthogonal. We can then rewrite the above condition as

$$V = \{p(D)\boldsymbol{y} \mid p \in \mathbb{R}[t]\}, \quad (\boldsymbol{y} = U^T\boldsymbol{x})$$

Note that  $\{p(D) \mid p \in R[t]\}$  is a vector space with dimension equal to the number of distinct eigenvalues of A. Therefore,  $\{p(D)\mathbf{y} \mid p \in \mathbb{R}[t]\}$  has the same dimension and we cannot have repeated eigenvalues.

Conversely, suppose A has no repeated eigenvalues, then  $\boldsymbol{x} = U\boldsymbol{y}$  corresponding to  $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{1}$  is a cyclic vector (it is simple to show that each eigenvector can be written in the form  $p(D)\boldsymbol{y}$  for some p, and thus  $V = \{p(D)\boldsymbol{y} \mid p \in \mathbb{R}[t]\}$ ).

### Definition 3.2.2

Let A be a matrix, then  $\chi(A)$  represents the characteristic polynomial of A given by

$$\chi(A)(z) = \det(zI - A)$$

#### Lemma 3.2.6

Let A be a symmetric linear operator on a vector space V, let W be a subspace of V. Then the eigenvalues of A are the same as the union of the eigenvalues of A restricted to W and  $W^{\perp}$ .

We are now ready to prove the theorem.

*Proof.* Define  $W := \{p(A)\boldsymbol{x} \mid p \in \mathbb{R}[t]\}$  and let  $W^{\perp}$  denote the orthogonal vector space. Since  $\boldsymbol{x} \in W$ ,  $\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{v} = 0$  for all  $\boldsymbol{v} \in W^{\perp}$ , this means that A = B on  $W^{\perp}$ . Now, let us retrict A, B to W, let  $k = \dim W$ . Since W is cyclic by definition, A has k distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_k$  with eigenvectors  $\phi_i$ . Let z not be an eigenvalue of A, then

$$\chi(B)(z) = \det(zI - A - \boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T)$$
  
= \det(zI - A) \det(I - (zI - A)^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T)

zI - A is invertible since z is not an eigenvalue of A. We can now use Sylvester's theorem with x = 1 to write

$$\chi(B)(z) = \chi(A)(z)(1 - \boldsymbol{x}^T(zI - A)^{-1}\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$= \chi(A)(z)\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{\phi}_i^T)^2}{z - \lambda_i}\right)$$

Define the function

$$G(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i})^{2}}{z - \lambda_{i}}$$

Note the following properties of G

- 1. Solutions to G(z) = 1 are eigenvalues of B.
- 2. Since  $\phi_i \in W$ , we must have  $\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}_i \neq 0$ .
- 3. There is exactly one solution  $(\mu_{i+1})$  to G(z) = 1 for  $z \in (\lambda_{i+1}, \lambda_i), 1 \le i \le k-1$ .
- 4. There is exactly one solution  $(\mu_1)$  to G(z) = 1 for  $z > \lambda_1$ .

It is now obvious that  $\mu_i$  are exactly the eigenvalues of B and they interlace the eigenvalues of A.

$$\mu_i \ge \lambda_i \ge \mu_{i+1}$$

Since A = B on  $W^{\perp}$ , the interlacing property is not affected by including the eigenvalues from this vector space.

# 3.3 Probability

### Theorem 3.3.1: Markov's Inequality

Let X be a non negative random variable with a > 0, then

$$P(X > aE(X)) \le a^{-1}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} E(X) &= P(X \leq aE(X))E(X|X \leq aE(X)) + P(X > aE(X))E(X|X > aE(X)) \\ &\Longrightarrow P(X > aE(X)) \leq \frac{E(X)}{E(X|X > aE(X))} \leq \frac{E(X)}{aE(X)} = a^{-1} \end{split}$$