

Normalisation

1.What is Normalization?

Normalization is a process in database design where a table is structured in such a way that **data is stored without repetition (redundancy)** and **dependency remains logical and minimal**.

In simple words, normalization organizes data into smaller related tables so that the database remains clean, efficient, and easy to update.

2.Why do we need Normalization?

We normalize a database to:

1. **Avoid duplicate / repeated data**
2. **Reduce unnecessary storage usage**
3. **Ensure data is stored in a proper, organized form**
4. **Make data easy to update, insert, or delete**
5. **Improve data consistency & accuracy**
6. **Maintain relationships properly between tables**

3.What problems does Normalization solve?

Normalization mainly removes **3 types of anomalies (problems)**:

| Problem | Meaning | Effect without normalization |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| Update Anomaly | Changing data in one place requires change in multiple places | Risk of inconsistency / mismatched data |
| Insert Anomaly | Unable to add new data without adding unnecessary data | Extra unwanted values need to be inserted |
| Delete Anomaly | Deleting one record deletes important information | Useful data may get lost accidentally |

Simple Example

Suppose we store student and course info in one table:

| Student | Course | Teacher |
|---------|--------|---------|
| Vijay | DBMS | Sharma |
| Vijay | OS | Sharma |
| Mikasa | DBMS | Sharma |

Problems:

1. Duplicate Teacher name repeated
2. If teacher Sharma leaves DBMS, deleting one row may remove student data
3. To update teacher name, we must update it in many rows

After Normalization

We split into two tables:

Students Table

| Student | Course |
|---------|--------|
| Vijay | DBMS |
| Vijay | OS |
| Mikasa | DBMS |

Teacher Table

| Course | Teacher |
|--------|---------|
| DBMS | Sharma |
| OS | Sharma |

Now:

No duplicate values
Update teacher name once only

Insert / delete becomes safe

Data remains consistent

Normalisation is a step towards DB optimisation.

Functional Dependency (FD)

Functional Dependency means:

If one attribute (or set of attributes) can uniquely determine another attribute in a relation, we say there is a functional dependency between them.

Example:

$\text{Roll_No} \rightarrow \text{Student_Name}$

If we know the Roll_No, we can find the Student_Name uniquely.

Here:

- **Roll_No is Determinant (Left side)**
- **Student_Name is Dependent (Right side)**

Notation

$X \rightarrow Y$

X determines Y

◆ Types of FD

| Type | Meaning | Example |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Trivial FD | Dependent is part of determinant | $A \rightarrow A, AB \rightarrow A$ |
| Non-Trivial FD | Dependent is not part of determinant | $\text{Roll_No} \rightarrow \text{Phone_No}$ |

Trivial FD = **Obvious**, nothing new is determined.

Non-trivial FD = **Useful dependency**.

Armstrong's Axioms (FD Rules)

| Rule | Meaning | Example |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Reflexive | If B is subset of A $\rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ | $ABC \rightarrow A$ |
| Augmentation | Add extra attribute on both sides | $If A \rightarrow B \rightarrow AC \rightarrow BC$ |
| Transitivity | Indirect dependency | $If A \rightarrow B \ \& \ B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow C$ |

These rules help in decomposition and normalization.

Why Normalization?

Because databases should **not store unnecessary repetitive data**.

If redundancy exists, it creates problems:

| Anomaly | What happens? |
|--------------------------|---|
| Insertion Anomaly | Can't insert data unless extra unwanted data is also added |
| Deletion Anomaly | Deleting one record may delete important information |
| Updation Anomaly | Update must be done in many places \rightarrow inconsistency risk |

Due to redundancy \rightarrow **DB size increases, performance decreases**.

What is Normalization?

Normalization is a **database optimization technique** used to:

- ✓ Reduce/Remove redundancy
- ✓ Avoid anomalies (Insert/Delete/Update)
- ✓ Break large tables into smaller related tables
- ✓ Store data in a structured and efficient manner

Simple line:

Normalization = Split big table into smaller tables to remove redundancy and avoid anomalies.

InShort

| | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Topic | Shortcut Memory |
| FD | One attribute determines another |
| Determinant | Left side of FD |
| Dependent | Right side of FD |
| Trivial FD | $B \subseteq A$ |
| Normalization | Removes redundancy + anomalies |
| Anomalies | Insert, Delete, Update problems |

Types of Normal Forms

1. First Normal Form (1NF)

A relation is in **1NF** when:

Every cell contains **single (atomic) value**

No multi-valued or repeating groups in a column

Example (NOT 1NF)

| | |
|---------|------------|
| Student | Phone_No |
| Sanya | 7876, 7873 |

After converting to 1NF →

| | |
|---------|----------|
| Student | Phone_No |
| Sanya | 7876 |
| Sanya | 7873 |

2. Second Normal Form (2NF)

A table is in **2NF** when:

It is already in **1NF**

There is **no Partial Dependency**

Meaning:

If **Primary Key is composite** (more than one attribute) →
then **no non-prime attribute should depend on part of the key.**

Example of Partial Dependency

$(\text{Roll_No}, \text{Subject}) \rightarrow \text{Student_Name}$

Student_Name depends only on **Roll_No** (part of key).

Remove partial dependency → Normalize into two tables.

Example (Not in 2NF)

| Roll_No | Subject | Student_Name |
|---------|-----------|--------------|
| 5 | Math | Vijay |
| 6 | Physics | Vijay |
| 7 | Chemistry | Mona |

Composite Primary Key = **(Roll_No, Subject)**

But **Student_Name** depends only on **Roll_No**, not on both → Partial Dependency

Convert to 2NF

Table 1: Students

| | |
|---------|--------------|
| Roll_No | Student_Name |
| 5 | Vijay |
| 6 | Mona |

Table 2: Enrollment

| Roll_No | Subject |
|---------|-----------|
| 5 | Math |
| 6 | Physics |
| 7 | Chemistry |

Now **every non-prime attribute depends on full key** \rightarrow 2NF achieved.

3. Third Normal Form (3NF)

A table is in **3NF** when:

It is already in **2NF**

No transitive dependency exists

Meaning:

Non-prime attribute should **not depend on another non-prime attribute**.

Example

$\text{Roll_No} \rightarrow \text{Dept}$

$\text{Dept} \rightarrow \text{HOD}$ (Transitive Dependency)

Break into two tables so dependency becomes direct.

Example (Not in 3NF)

| Roll_No | Dept | HOD |
|---------|------|--------|
| 5 | CS | Sharma |
| 6 | IT | Singh |
| 7 | CS | Sharma |

Dependencies:

- Roll_No → Dept
- Dept → HOD (**Non-prime → Non-prime**) = Transitive Dependency

So table is **not in 3NF**

Convert to 3NF

Table 1: Student

| Roll_No | Dept |
|---------|------|
| 5 | CS |
| 6 | IT |
| 7 | CS |

Table 2: Department

| Dept | HOD |
|------|--------|
| CS | Sharma |
| IT | Singh |

Now HOD does not depend on Roll_No → Transitivity removed → **3NF achieved.**

4. BCNF (Boyce–Codd Normal Form)

A table is in **BCNF** when:

It is in **3NF**

For every FD $A \rightarrow B$, A must be a **super key**

BCNF is stronger than 3NF.

Meaning:

No attribute (prime or non-prime) should determine key attribute unless it itself is a key.

If any attribute determines another key attribute \rightarrow must break table further.

Example (3NF but not BCNF)

| Course | Teacher |
|--------|---------|
| DBMS | Ajay |
| CN | Mehra |
| DBMS | Mehra |

Functional Dependencies:

1. Course \rightarrow Teacher
2. Teacher \rightarrow Course

Since **Teacher is not a key**, second dependency violates BCNF.

Convert to BCNF

Table 1: Teacher \rightarrow Course

| Teacher | Course |
|---------|--------|
| Ajay | DBMS |
| Mehra | CN |

Table 2: Course → Teacher

| Course | Teacher |
|--------|---------|
| DBMS | Ajay |

Now every determinant is a **super key** → **BCNF achieved.**

Advantages of Normalization

| Benefit | Why it Matters |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Reduces redundancy | No repeated/unnecessary data |
| Improves storage efficiency | Saves memory space |
| Avoids anomalies | No insert, delete, update problems |
| Maintains consistency | Data remains accurate everywhere |
| Faster updates | Change data at one place only |
| Better database performance | Query execution becomes smooth |

Memory Trick

1NF → Remove multi-values

2NF → Remove partial dependency

3NF → Remove transitive dependency

BCNF → Determinant must be superkey

| Normal Form | Condition | Removes | Example Issue |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1NF | Atomic values only | Repeating groups | Vijay, Singh in one cell |
| 2NF | In 1NF + No partial dependency | Partial dependency | Student_Name depends only on Roll_No |
| 3NF | In 2NF + No transitive dependency | Transitivity | Dept → HOD from Roll_No |
| BCNF | LHS of every FD must be super key | All anomalies | Teacher determines Course |