# **I** Project Report

Title: Predicting Desired Savings Using Socioeconomic Factors

# **Objective**

To build predictive models that estimate individuals' **desired savings** using a minimal set of socioeconomic indicators, enabling insights into saving behavior for financial planning and personalized services.

# **Dataset Summary**

- **Size**: 20.000 individuals
- Target Variable: Desired Savings
- Input Features Used:
  - 1. Income
  - 2. Age
  - 3. Dependents
  - 4. **Occupation** (OneHotEncoded)
  - 5. **City\_Tier** (*OrdinalEncoded: Tier\_3 < Tier\_2 < Tier\_1*)
  - 6. Expenses
- Preprocessing Pipeline:
  - o Missing column (Unnamed: 16) dropped
  - o Categorical variables encoded with OrdinalEncoder and OneHotEncoder
  - o Standardization applied using StandardScaler
  - o Dataset split 80/20 for training and testing

# **Modeling Pipeline**

#### 1. Multiple Linear Regression (MLR)

- **R<sup>2</sup> Score**: 0.9142
- Trained using the six listed features
- Diagnostics:
  - o Residuals vs Predicted plots
  - $\circ$  Q-Q Plot and **Shapiro-Wilk Test**  $\rightarrow$  residuals not normally distributed
  - o **Breusch-Pagan Test** → heteroscedasticity present
  - o **Durbin-Watson**  $\approx 2.003 \rightarrow$  no autocorrelation
  - VIF Analysis: Some multicollinearity found, particularly in encoded categorical variables

#### 2. Regularized Linear Models

- LassoCV:
  - $\circ$  Best  $\alpha = 0.01$
  - o **R<sup>2</sup> Score**: 0.9142
- RidgeCV:
  - $\circ$  Best  $\alpha = 1.0$
  - o **R<sup>2</sup> Score**: 0.9142
- **GridSearchCV** was used to fine-tune the regularization parameter alpha in both Lasso and Ridge.

#### 3. XGBoost Regressor

- R<sup>2</sup> Score: 0.9148
- Parameters: n estimators=100, learning rate=0.1, max depth=3
- Effectively handled:
  - o Non-linear patterns
  - Feature interactions
  - Multicollinearity
- Slightly outperformed MLR while being more robust

#### 4. Random Forest Regressor

- R<sup>2</sup> Score: 0.96
- Best performing model
- Trained with 100 trees (n estimators=100)
- Provided highest predictive accuracy and generalization capability
- Robust to outliers, feature interactions, and assumptions

# **Summary Highlights**

- Developed a **Multiple Linear Regression model** (**R**<sup>2</sup> = **0.9142**) using features like **income**, **age**, **dependents**, **occupation**, **city tier**, **and expenses** to estimate individuals' desired savings.
- Conducted assumption diagnostics including residual plots, Q-Q plot, and VIF analysis, revealing violations of linearity, normality, and multicollinearity.
- Applied LassoCV and RidgeCV regularization techniques; leveraged GridSearchCV for optimal hyperparameter tuning and feature selection.
- Implemented an **XGBoost Regressor** ( $\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.9148$ ) which matched MLR performance but handled nonlinearities and feature interactions more effectively.
- Trained a **Random Forest Regressor** ( $\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.96$ ) that delivered the best overall accuracy and stability, making it suitable for real-world deployment.

# **Conclusion**

This project demonstrates how a small but meaningful set of socioeconomic features can accurately predict individuals' desired savings. While linear models provide interpretability, ensemble methods like **Random Forest** and **XGBoost** offer superior performance, especially under assumption violations. The Random Forest model stands out as the most reliable choice for production-level deployment.