

UNIT-1 Knowledge-Representation and Reasoning

Logical Agents: Knowledge based agents, the Wumpus world, logic. Patterns in propositional Logic, inference in First-Order Logic propositional vs first order inference unification and lifting

Logical Agents in AI & ML

Logical agents are **intelligent systems** that use **logic** to make decisions. They **store knowledge**, **reason about it**, and **take actions** based on logical rules.

1. What is a Logical Agent?

A **logical agent** is a type of AI that:

- ✓ Uses **knowledge** to understand the world.
- ✓ Uses **logical reasoning** to make decisions.
- ✓ Can **infer** new information from known facts.
- ✓ Takes **actions** based on logical conclusions.

◆ Example:

Imagine a **robot vacuum cleaner**:

- It **knows** that "Dirt is bad".
- It **sees dirt** in a room.
- It **decides** to clean the dirt.
- It **acts** by moving towards the dirt and vacuuming.

Logical agents **think logically**, just like humans solving puzzles! 🧠

2. Knowledge-Based Agents

A **knowledge-based agent (KBA)** is a type of logical agent that:

- **Has a knowledge base (KB)** → Stores facts about the world.
- **Uses inference rules** → Applies logic to make decisions.
- **Updates its knowledge** → Learns new information over time.

Components of a Knowledge-Based Agent:

1. **Knowledge Base (KB):** Stores facts and rules.
2. **Inference Engine:** Uses logic to reason and make decisions.
3. **Perception:** Observes the environment.
4. **Action Execution:** Takes actions based on logical conclusions.

◆ Example:

A medical diagnostic system (like **IBM Watson**) works as a **knowledge-based agent**:

- **KB:** Stores medical facts (e.g., "Fever + Cough → Maybe Flu").
 - **Inference:** If a patient has fever & cough, **concludes flu**.
 - **Action:** Suggests medicine or further tests.
-

3. The Wumpus World (A Logical Agent Game)

The **Wumpus World** is a classic **AI problem** used to test logical agents. It is a **grid-based game** where an agent must find **gold** while avoiding **dangers**.

The Environment:

◆ The world is a **4x4 grid** with:

✓ **Gold** → The goal (agent must find it).

✗ **The Wumpus** → A dangerous monster (agent must avoid it).

⚠ **Pits** → Deadly holes (agent must avoid them).

🌬 **Breeze** → Found near pits (helps detect danger).

👃 **Stench** → Found near the Wumpus (helps locate it).

Agent's Knowledge & Reasoning:

1. Starts in the bottom-left corner (safe square).
 2. Moves through the grid, sensing breeze & stench.
 3. Uses logic to decide where to go safely.
 4. Grabs the gold & exits safely.
-

4. How Logical Agents Solve the Wumpus World

◆ The agent follows logical **rules** to make decisions:

✓ Example Rule 1: If there is a breeze, a pit might be nearby.

✓ Example Rule 2: If there is a stench, the Wumpus is nearby.

✓ Example Rule 3: If a square has no danger signs, it is safe to move.

🧠 Logical Deduction Example:

- The agent moves into a square and senses **breeze**.
- It **infers** that a pit must be nearby.
- It **marks the dangerous area** and avoids moving there.
- It **continues exploring** and eventually finds the **gold safely**.

◆ Real-Life Analogy:

The Wumpus World is like a **blindfolded treasure hunt**:

- You **hear sounds** (stench, breeze) to guess dangers.
 - You **use reasoning** to avoid danger.
 - You **find the gold** using logic!
-

5. Applications of Logical Agents

Logical agents are used in real-world AI applications:

- ✓ **Medical Diagnosis:** AI doctors use logical reasoning to diagnose diseases.
 - ✓ **Game AI:** Chess and video game characters use logic to plan moves.
 - ✓ **Autonomous Vehicles:** Self-driving cars use logical rules to navigate safely.
 - ✓ **Virtual Assistants:** Siri, Alexa, and Google Assistant answer questions logically.
-

Patterns in Propositional Logic in AI & ML

1. Introduction to Propositional Logic in AI & ML

Propositional Logic (PL) is a fundamental part of **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** and **Machine Learning (ML)**. It is used to represent **knowledge** and make **logical inferences** about facts.

What is Propositional Logic?




- A **formal system** that uses **propositions (statements)** to express **truth values** (True or False).
- Used in **Knowledge-Based Systems, Expert Systems, and Logical Agents**.
- Forms the foundation of **automated reasoning** in AI.

◆ Example:

- **Statement (Proposition):** "If it is raining, the ground is wet."
 - **Logic Representation:**
 - Let **P** = "It is raining"
 - Let **Q** = "The ground is wet"
 - **Rule:** $P \Rightarrow Q$ (If P is true, then Q must also be true).
-

2. Basic Elements of Propositional Logic

2.1 Propositions (Statements)

- A **Proposition** is a **statement that is either True or False**.
- **Example Propositions:**
 - **P:** "It is raining."  (Can be True or False)
 - **Q:** "The ground is wet."  (Can be True or False)
 - "What time is it?"  (Not a proposition – it is a question, not a statement).

2.2 Logical Operators (Connectives)

Propositional logic uses **logical operators** to combine statements.

Symbol Name	Meaning
$\neg P$ Negation (NOT)	"It is NOT raining."
$P \wedge Q$ Conjunction (AND)	"It is raining AND the ground is wet."
$P \vee Q$ Disjunction (OR)	"It is raining OR the ground is wet."
$P \Rightarrow Q$ Implication (IF-THEN)	"If it is raining, THEN the ground is wet."
$P \Leftrightarrow Q$ Biconditional (IF AND ONLY IF)	"The ground is wet IF AND ONLY IF it is raining."

3. Patterns in Propositional Logic

Patterns are **common logical structures** that help in **knowledge representation and inference**.

3.1 Modus Ponens (Pattern 1: Direct Reasoning)



Definition:

If $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true, and P is true, then Q must also be true.

 **Example:**

1. **If it is raining, the ground is wet.** ($P \Rightarrow Q$)
2. **It is raining.** (P is True)
3. **Conclusion: The ground is wet.** (Q is True)

 **Logic Representation:**

$(P \Rightarrow Q), P \vdash Q$ ($P \Rightarrow Q$), $P \vdash Q$ ($P \Rightarrow Q$), $P \vdash Q$

 **Real-Life Example:**

- **Rule:** "If a person studies hard, they will pass the exam."

- **Fact:** "John studied hard."
- **Conclusion:** "John will pass the exam."

3.2 Modus Tollens (Pattern 2: Indirect Reasoning)



Definition:

If $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true, and Q is false, then P must also be false.

◆ **Example:**

1. If it is raining, the ground is wet. ($P \Rightarrow Q$)
2. The ground is NOT wet. ($\neg Q$)
3. **Conclusion:** It is NOT raining. ($\neg P$)

◆ **Logic Representation:**

$(P \Rightarrow Q), \neg Q \vdash \neg P$

◆ **Real-Life Example:**

- **Rule:** "If the car has fuel, it will start."
- **Fact:** "The car did NOT start."
- **Conclusion:** "The car does NOT have fuel."

3.3 Hypothetical Syllogism (Pattern 3: Chain Reasoning)



Definition:

If $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q \Rightarrow R$, then $P \Rightarrow R$.

◆ **Example:**

1. If it is raining, the ground is wet. ($P \Rightarrow Q$)
2. If the ground is wet, people carry umbrellas. ($Q \Rightarrow R$)
3. **Conclusion:** If it is raining, people carry umbrellas. ($P \Rightarrow R$)

◆ **Logic Representation:**

$(P \Rightarrow Q), (Q \Rightarrow R) \vdash (P \Rightarrow R)$

◆ **Real-Life Example:**

- **Rule 1:** "If a student studies, they pass the exam."
- **Rule 2:** "If they pass the exam, they get a certificate."
- **Conclusion:** "If a student studies, they get a certificate."

3.4 Disjunctive Syllogism (Pattern 4: Eliminating Options)



Definition:

If $P \vee Q$ is true, and P is false, then Q must be true.

◆ Example:

1. Either the lamp is broken OR the bulb is fused. ($P \vee Q$)
2. The lamp is NOT broken. ($\neg P$)
3. Conclusion: The bulb is fused. (Q)

◆ Logic Representation:

$(P \vee Q), \neg P \vdash Q$ ($P \vee Q$), $\neg P \vdash Q$ ($P \vee Q$), $\neg P \vdash Q$

◆ Real-Life Example:

- **Statement:** "Either John is at home OR he is at work."
- **Fact:** "John is NOT at home."
- **Conclusion:** "John is at work."

3.5 Resolution (Pattern 5: Combining Knowledge)



Definition:

If $P \vee Q$ and $\neg Q \vee R$ are true, then we can infer $P \vee R$.

◆ Example:

1. Either it is raining OR it is sunny. ($P \vee Q$)
2. Either it is NOT sunny OR people go outside. ($\neg Q \vee R$)
3. Conclusion: Either it is raining OR people go outside. ($P \vee R$)

◆ Logic Representation:

$(P \vee Q), (\neg Q \vee R) \vdash (P \vee R)$ ($P \vee Q$), $(\neg Q \vee R) \vdash (P \vee R)$ ($P \vee Q$), $(\neg Q \vee R) \vdash (P \vee R)$

◆ Real-Life Example:

- **Statement 1:** "Either the battery is dead OR the phone is on silent."
- **Statement 2:** "Either the phone is NOT on silent OR you will hear a ringtone."
- **Conclusion:** "Either the battery is dead OR you will hear a ringtone."

4. Applications of Propositional Logic Patterns in AI & ML

◆ Expert Systems (Medical Diagnosis, Legal AI)

- AI doctors use **Modus Ponens** to diagnose diseases.
- Example: "If a patient has a fever, they might have the flu."

◆ Game AI (Chess, Wumpus World)

- Uses **Disjunctive Syllogism** to eliminate bad moves.

◆ Robotics & Autonomous Vehicles

- Uses **Hypothetical Syllogism** for navigation.
- Example: "If there is an obstacle ahead, the car should turn."

◆ Natural Language Processing (NLP)

- Uses **Resolution** to infer meaning from sentences.

Inference in First-Order Logic (FOL) – Step by Step Explanation

1. Introduction to Inference in First-Order Logic (FOL)

Inference in **First-Order Logic (FOL)** is the process of **deriving new facts** from **known facts and rules**. It allows AI systems to **reason logically** about the world.

What is First-Order Logic (FOL)?

First-Order Logic (also called **Predicate Logic**) extends **Propositional Logic** by adding:

- ✓ **Quantifiers** – Express statements about "all" or "some" objects.
- ✓ **Predicates** – Describe relationships between objects.
- ✓ **Variables** – Represent unknown entities.

◆ Example:

- **Statement in English:** "All humans are mortal."
- **FOL Representation:** $\forall x \text{ Human}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Mortal}(x)$ for all x , $\text{Human}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Mortal}(x)$
 - $\forall x$ (For all x): Applies to all objects.
 - **Human(x):** x is a human.
 - **Mortal(x):** x is mortal.



Inference

Goal:

Given "Socrates is a human", can we infer that "Socrates is mortal"?

2. Propositional Logic vs. First-Order Logic (Differences in Inference)

Feature	Propositional Logic (PL)	First-Order Logic (FOL)
Variables	✗ No variables	✓ Uses variables (x, y, etc.)
Quantifiers	✗ No quantifiers	✓ Uses \forall (for all) and \exists (there exists)
Expressiveness	✓ Simple	🔥 More powerful
Example Rule	"If it rains, the ground is wet"	"If a person is a student, they have a teacher"
Limitation	Cannot generalize	Can represent relationships and general knowledge

◆ Example Comparison:

□ Propositional Logic (PL):

- **Rule:** "If it rains, the ground is wet."
 - $P \Rightarrow Q \setminus \text{Rightarrow } QP \Rightarrow Q$ (where P = "It rains", Q = "The ground is wet")
- **Fact:** "It rains."
- **Inference:** "The ground is wet."

▢ First-Order Logic (FOL):

- **Rule:** "If a student is enrolled in a class, they have a teacher."
 - $\forall x \text{ Student}(x) \Rightarrow \text{HasTeacher}(x) \setminus \text{forall } x \setminus, \setminus \text{text}\{\text{Student}\}(x) \setminus \text{Rightarrow } \setminus \text{text}\{\text{HasTeacher}\}(x) \forall x \text{ Student}(x) \Rightarrow \text{HasTeacher}(x)$
- **Fact:** "Alice is a student." ($\text{Student}(\text{Alice})$)
- **Inference:** "Alice has a teacher." ($\text{HasTeacher}(\text{Alice})$)

Why FOL is More Powerful?

FOL can **express knowledge more generally** using variables and quantifiers, whereas **PL** requires separate rules for each individual case.

3. Inference in First-Order Logic (FOL) – Step by Step

Inference in FOL follows these methods:

- ✓ **Forward Chaining** – Start with known facts and apply rules to infer new facts.
- ✓ **Backward Chaining** – Start with a goal and work backward to prove it.
- ✓ **Resolution** – Use logical contradiction to derive conclusions.
- ✓ **Unification & Lifting** – Match variables with constants or other variables.

Step 1: Forward Chaining (Data-Driven Inference)

- Starts with **known facts** and applies rules to **derive new conclusions**.
- Used in **expert systems** and **rule-based AI**.

◆ Example:

1. **Rule:** "If x is a bird, then x can fly." $\forall x \text{ Bird}(x) \Rightarrow \text{CanFly}(x)$ for all x, $\text{Bird}(x) \Rightarrow \text{CanFly}(x)$
2. **Fact:** "Tweety is a bird." (**Bird(Tweety)**)
3. **Inference:** "Tweety can fly." (**CanFly(Tweety)**)

✓ **AI Application:** Used in **diagnostic expert systems** (e.g., medical AI).

Step 2: Backward Chaining (Goal-Driven Inference)

- Starts with a **goal** and tries to prove it using known facts.
- Used in **AI Planning & Logical Programming** (e.g., Prolog).

◆ Example:

1. **Query:** "Can Tweety fly?" (**CanFly(Tweety)?**)
2. **Rule:** "If x is a bird, then x can fly."
3. **Fact:** "Tweety is a bird."
4. **Conclusion:** "Yes, Tweety can fly."

✓ **AI Application:** Used in **chatbots and automated reasoning systems**.

Step 3: Resolution (Proving by Contradiction)

- Converts **FOL statements into clauses** and **derives contradictions** to prove the conclusion.
- Used in **automated theorem proving**.

◆ Example:

1. **Given Statements:**
 - $\forall x \text{ Student}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Studies}(x)$ for all x, $\text{Student}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Studies}(x)$ (All students study).
 - **NotFact:** $\neg \text{Studies}(\text{Alice})$ $\neg \text{Studies}(\text{Alice})$ (Alice does not study).
2. **Contradiction Found:** Alice is a student but does not study.

3. **Conclusion: Reject "Alice does not study"** \rightarrow Alice must study.

✓ **AI Application:** Used in mathematical proofs and AI logic solvers.

Step 4: Unification & Lifting (Pattern Matching in Inference)

Unification is the process of **matching logical variables to constants or other variables** to make inference possible.

4.1 Unification (Finding Matching Substitutions)

◆ **Example:**

- **Rule:** "All birds can fly." $\forall x \text{ Bird}(x) \Rightarrow \text{CanFly}(x)$ for all x , $\text{Bird}(x) \rightarrow \text{CanFly}(x)$
- **Fact:** "Tweety is a bird." (**Bird(Tweety)**)
- **Unification:** $x = \text{Tweety}$ $x = \text{Tweety}$
- **Inference:** "Tweety can fly." (**CanFly(Tweety)**)

✓ **Application:** Used in AI search engines and expert systems.

4.2 Lifting (Applying Unification to Complex Sentences)

◆ **Example:**

- **Rule:** "If someone is a parent, they have a child." $\forall x \text{ Parent}(x) \Rightarrow \exists y \text{ Child}(x, y)$ for all x , $\text{Parent}(x) \rightarrow \exists y \text{ Child}(x, y)$
- **Fact:** "John is a parent." (**Parent(John)**)
- **Lifting Unification:** $x = \text{John}$ $x = \text{John}$ and introduces y (child's name unknown)
- **Inference:** "John has a child." (**Child(John, y)**)


✓ **Application:** Used in Natural Language Processing (NLP) and AI knowledge graphs.

4. Summary of Key Concepts

Concept	Definition	Example
Forward Chaining	Start from facts and apply rules to infer new facts.	"Bird(Tweety) \rightarrow CanFly(Tweety)"
Backward Chaining	Start with a goal and work backward to prove it.	"Can Tweety fly?" (Check Bird(Tweety))

Concept	Definition	Example
Resolution	Uses logical contradiction to prove a conclusion.	"If Alice is a student, she studies. Alice does not study \rightarrow Contradiction!"
Unification	Matches variables to constants.	"x = Tweety" in $\text{Bird}(x) \rightarrow \text{CanFly}(x)$
Lifting	Generalizes unification for complex cases.	"John is a parent \rightarrow John has a child."

5. Conclusion

 **First-Order Logic (FOL) allows AI to make complex inferences using variables, predicates, and quantifiers.**

☒ **Forward & Backward Chaining** \rightarrow Used in **Expert Systems & AI Planning.**

☒ **Resolution** \rightarrow Used in **Automated Theorem Proving.**

☒ **Unification & Lifting** \rightarrow Used in **AI Search Engines & NLP.**

Would you like a **Python implementation** demonstrating **FOL inference**? 😊