

Name - T. Vijay

Roll no - CS17BTECH11040

1. Inlier ratio  $w = 0.5$

Degrees of freedom in Homography = 8

$\therefore$  Minimum no. of sample required,  $n = \lceil 8/2 \rceil = 4$

Let minimum no. of iterations required

to achieve 95% probability of success =  $k$

$$\therefore 1 - (1 - w^n)^k > 0.95$$

$$0.05 > (1 - w^n)^k$$

$$k > \frac{\log(0.05)}{\log(1 - w^n)} = \frac{\log(0.05)}{\log(1 - (0.5)^4)}$$

$$k > 46.41$$

~~or~~ ~~or~~

$\therefore$  Minimum iterations required is 47

2.  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{ij}^1} = \left( \frac{\partial ((w^3)^T h^{(2)})}{\partial w_{ij}^1} \right)$

$$= (w^3)^T \frac{\partial h^{(2)}}{\partial w_{ij}^1}$$

We know  $h^2 = \sigma(w^2 h^1)$

and  $\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x))$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{ij}^1} = (w^3)^T \left( \sigma(w^2 h^{(1)}) (1 - \sigma(w^2 h^{(1)})) \left( \frac{\partial w^2 h^1}{\partial w_{ij}^1} \right) \right)$$

$$= (w^3)^T \left( \sigma(w^2 h^{(1)}) (1 - \sigma(w^2 h^{(1)})) w^2 \left( \sigma(w^1 x) (1 - \sigma(w^1 x)) \frac{\partial w^1 x}{\partial w_{ij}^1} \right) \right)$$

$(\because h^1 = \sigma(w^1 x))$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{ij}^1} = (w^3)^T \left( \sigma(w^2 h^1) (1 - \sigma(w^2 h^1)) w^2 \sigma(w^1 x) (1 - \sigma(w^1 x)) x \right)$$

Q3

$$\Delta^{(2)} := \Delta^{(2)} + \delta^{(3)} (a^{(2)})^T$$

Q4

$$\text{Total weights} = M \times d + C \times M = M(c+d)$$

$$\text{Total biases} = M + C$$

$$\text{Total independent ~~der~~ derivate} = M + C$$

⊙  $C$  we need to compute  $\delta^{(2)}$  &  $\delta^{(3)}$

5. Since  $\epsilon_n$  is  $N(0, \Sigma)$

$\Rightarrow y_n$  is  $N(f(x_n, w), \Sigma)$   ~~$y_n = f(x_n, w) + \epsilon_n$~~   
( $\because y_n = f(x_n, w) + \epsilon_n$ )

$\therefore P(y_n = y | x_n)$  = probability distribution of Gaussian distribution

$$\therefore P(y_n = y | x_n) \propto \exp(-(y - f(x_n, w))^T \Sigma^{-1} (y - f(x_n, w)))$$

$$P(Y/X) = \prod_{i=1}^N P(y_i | x_i) \\ \propto \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - f(x_i, w))^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - f(x_i, w))\right)$$

And we know minimizing the sum of squared error is equivalent to MLE

~~Ques~~ In MLE, we try to maximize

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^N \log P(y_i | x_i, w)$$

$$\therefore L(w) = -\log P(Y/X) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - f(x_i, w))^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - f(x_i, w)) \\ \downarrow \\ \sigma^2 I$$

$\therefore$  This is equivalent to sum of squared error when  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 I$

6. a) The problem caused by scale symmetry is vanishing & exploding gradients.

Proof:

Let  $w_1, w_2$  be weights of two layers

$$L(D, w_1, w_2) = L(D, \gamma w_1, w_2 / \gamma) \\ (\text{scale symmetry})$$

$$\text{Let } \gamma w_1 = p_1 \quad \& \quad w_2 / \gamma = p_2$$

$$\therefore L(D, w_1, w_2) = L(D, p_1, p_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial L(D, w_1, w_2)}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial L(D, p_1, p_2)}{\partial p_1}$$

$$= \frac{\partial L(D, p_1, p_2)}{\partial p_1} \cdot \gamma$$

$\therefore$  Exploding gradient can be seen at layer 1

and similarly

$$\frac{\partial L(D, w_1, w_2)}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial L(D, p_1, p_2)}{\partial p_2} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma}$$

$\therefore$  Vanishing gradient

$\therefore$  Poor training and learning.

b) Value symmetry: when we initial all weights and biases with same value, the model doesn't learn.

This is because the backpropagated error is proportional to the values of the weights.