ale know Dies distributive $\alpha \Lambda(yvz) = (\alpha \Lambda y) V(\alpha \Lambda z)$ endudadas di (1, 1 where \$2,9,2 e(pn,1,n) a e (Dn, V, N) where pins prime divisor of a TI p; min(x; , max(y; , z;) [... nisacd & vist RHS = $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) = T$ $\sum_{p_i} mox(min(x_i, y_i), min(x_i, z_i))$

& from ObO, we can conclude that LHS = RHS it min (xi, max (y; , Zi)) = max (min (xi, yi) [Pp. o min (x, , z,)) and we already know L= (W, N, V) is distributive lattice where 94 or him (it is ain) som

Q2 V2) modular lattice (L), n, , V,) and (L2, N2, 1000 de polo (L, N, V) is modular lattice and. Let (a,, y,), (a,, y) land (3, y3) & L When the decopped (L, N, V) is modular it we can show (well controlled implies of a subject of a supplies of $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_3, y_3)$ implies (a, y,) v ((x2, y2) n (x3, y3)) ((1, V = ((2, y)) V (22, y2)) n (23, y3)) a = b = a Nb = a LHS = (x, y) V ((x2, y2) N(23, y3)) mutually really = (x, y,), (x21, 23, y2 12 43) (1 + 1) $((2, 19)) \vee ((2, 19)) \cap ((2, 19)) = RHS$ Hancel Proved.

Lottice as abgelor algebra and lattice as order are essentially the same concepts having different appoarch and perseptive For example 1 In ordered theory, lattice has two operations Vand A. We can easily verify commutative associative and absorption laws for them. . They make (L, V, n) into a lattice in algebraio Benson (1)) V (14:15) 2) Also, given a lattice (L; V, N), we can define a partial order \leq on L ((co) a < b it a = anborredous (it's 1 st equivalently) V b (= a y b + a, b EL duality for other direction (18 sho Ore can see the similarly between that defines partial ordering join & neet operations (V & 1) Hence both definations are aparticular