Predicting restaurant tips using predictive analytics on Excel

DESCRIPTION: The dataset in file Restaurant tips dataset.xlsx contains tips data for different customers. The following are the features in the dataset:

Sex - Gender of the customer
Smoker- Indicates if the customer is a smoker or not
Day - Day of the restaurant visit
Time - Indicates whether the tip was for lunch or
dinner
Size - Number of members dining
Total bill - Bill amount in USD
Tip - Tip amount in USD

TOOLS USED: Microsoft Excel, Data Analysis Add-in.

STEPS FOR EXECUTION:

Exploratory Data Analysis:

- **Data Cleaning** Missing entries were removed from the dataset. Duplicate and redundant entries were filtered and removed.
- Feature Identification The following features were found:
- o Independent Features sex, smoker, day, time, size, total bill
- o Dependent Features tip
- **Feature Encoding** The following categorical variables were encoded to numeric values using IF conditions:
- o Sex: Female 1, Male 2
- o Smoker: No 3, Yes- 4
- o Day: Sun 5, Sat 6, Fri 7, Thur 8
- Time: Lunch 10, Dinner 11
- **Standardization in excel using STANDARDIZE()** All features were standardized using the excel STANDARDIZE Z-Score function.

- Feature Analysis Relation between features was determined using the Correlation and Covariance matrix. We select the independent features that affect the dependent feature tip the most.
 - Feature smoker(Y/N) shows 0 correlation and covariance with tip. Approximate values were Correlation ~ 0.009 and covariance ~0.006.
 - Feature size and total bill show high positive correlation with tip. Approximate values were Correlation ~ 0.48 and covariance ~0.64 for features size and tip, correlation ~ 0.67 and covariance ~8.29 for features total bill and tip.
 - So, we can remove the feature smoker from our model as it has negligible impact on the dependent variable tip. The features size and total bill have high impact on the feature tip.

Multiple Linear Regression model was applied on the dataset to predict the restaurant tips. The predicted tips range from 1 to 10. The predictive models were built and applied on the given dataset.

- Regression Model 1 model trained by using all the non-standardized independent Features - sex, smoker, day, time, size, total bill. The P-value of all the features except size, total bill was above 0.5 tolerance level. Thus, the other features include randomness in the model and can be ignored.
- Regression Model 2- model trained by using the non-standardized independent
 Features size, total bill. The P-value of these features was below 0.5 tolerance level.
- Regression Model 3 model trained by using all the standardized independent Features sex, smoker, day, time, size, total bill. The P-value of all the features except size, total bill was above 0.5 tolerance level. Thus, the other features include randomness in the model and can be ignored. R-squared index was similar to Model
- Regression Model 4- model trained by using the standardized independent Features

 size, total bill. The P-value of these features was below 0.5 tolerance level. R Squared index was similar to Model 2.
- Predicted tip values using the above regression models were compared to the actual values.
- RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) of the model was calculated. RMSE is root of mean of square errors. Following was observed:
 - o RMSE Regression Model 1 1.0079
 - o RMSE Regression Model 2 1.0091
 - o Regression Model 2 has a better RMSE than Regression Model 1

Feature Encoding:

G2	V,))
	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K
1	sex (Encoded)	smoker (Encode	day (Encoded)	time (Encoded)	size	total_bill	Actual tip
2	1.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	16.99	1.01
3	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	3.00	10.34	1.66
4	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	3.00	21.01	3.50
5	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	23.68	3.31
6	1.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	4.00	24.59	3.61
7	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	4.00	25.29	4.71
8	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	8.77	2.00
9	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	4.00	26.88	3.12
10	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	15.04	1.96
11	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	14.78	3.23
12	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	10.27	1.71
13	1.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	4.00	35.26	5.00
14	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	15.42	1.57
15	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	4.00	18.43	3.00
16	1.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	14.83	3.02
17	2.00	3.00	5.00	11.00	2.00	21.58	3.92

Feature Analysis:

CORRELATI	ON						
COMMELATI							
	sex	smoker	day	time			
	(Encoded)	(Encoded)	(Encoded)	(Encoded)	size	total_bill	tip
sex							
(Encoded)	1						
smoker							
(Encoded)	0.0099302	1					
day							
(Encoded)	0.2243876	-0.025008	1				
time							
(Encoded)	0.1981286	0.0639112	0.873133	1			
size	0.083248	-0.130564	0.1625247	0.1000453	1		
total_bill	0.1413497	0.0901361	0.1699781	0.1792319	0.5975889	1	
tip	0.085274	0.0097627	0.1317975	0.1175964	0.4884004	0.6749979	1

FEATURE STANDARDIZATION:

COVARIANO	F						
CO 17 11 17 11 11 1							
	sex	smoker	day	time			
	(Encoded)	(Encoded)	(Encoded)	(Encoded)	size	total_bill	tip
sex							
(Encoded)	0.2286576						
smoker							
(Encoded)	0.0023032	0.2352622					
day							
(Encoded)	0.1234399	-0.013955	1.323511				
time							
(Encoded)	0.0423377	0.0138529	0.4488814	0.1996986			
size	0.037833	-0.060187	0.1776999	0.0424901	0.9032498		
total_bill	0.6009987	0.3887412	1.7387714	0.7121777	5.0500095	79.062657	
tip	0.0563591	0.0065449	0.2095685	0.0726334	0.6415565	8.2955093	1.9103367

Multiple Linear Regression Model 1:

A	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	- 1	J	K	L	М
1	sex (Encod	smoker (Er	day (Encod	time (Enco	size	total_bill	sex (Standa	smoker (St	day (Stand	time (Stan	size (Stand	total_bill (Actual tip
2	1	3	5	11	2	16.99	-1.35114	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	-0.31758	1.01
3	2	3	5	11	3	10.34	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	0.450322	-1.06547	1.66
4	2	3	5	11	3	21.01	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	0.450322	0.134522	3.5
5	2	3	5	11	2	23.68	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	0.434801	3.31
6	1	3	5	11	4	24.59	-1.35114	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	1.502517	0.537144	3.61
7	2	3	5	11	4	25.29	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	1.502517	0.615869	4.71
8	2	3	5	11	2	8.77	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	-1.24204	2
9	2	3	5	11	4	26.88	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	1.502517	0.794687	3.12
10	2	3	5	11	2	15.04	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	-0.53689	1.96
11	2	3	5	11	2	14.78	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	-0.56613	3.23
12	2	3	5	11	2	10.27	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	-1.07334	1.71
13	1	3	5	11	4	35.26	-1.35114	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	1.502517	1.737137	5
14	2	3	5	11	2	15.42	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	-0.49415	1.57
15	2	3	5	11	4	18.43	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	1.502517	-0.15564	3
16	1	3	5	11	2	14.83	-1.35114	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	-0.56051	3.02
17	2	3	5	11	2	21.58	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	-0.60187	0.198627	3.92
18	1	3	5	11	3	10.33	-1.35114	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	0.450322	-1.0666	1.67
19	2	3	5	11	3	16.29	0.740115	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	0.450322	-0.39631	3.71
20	1	3	5	11	3	16.97	-1.35114	-0.78056	-1.10174	0.616994	0.450322	-0.31983	3.5
21	2	3	6	11	3	20.65	0.740115	-0.78056	-0.23251	0.616994	0.450322	0.094035	3.35

Multiple Linear Regression Model 2:

	SUMMARY OUTPUT										
	Regression Sta	tistics									
108	Multiple R	0.684226									
	R Square	0.468166									
	Adjusted R Square	0.454645									
Mu	Standard Error	1.022799									
R Sc	Observations	243									
Adjı	ANOVA										
Stai		df	SS	MS	F	ignificance F					
Obs	Regression	6	217.3281	36.22135	34.62456	6.99E-30					
0.00	Residual	236	246.8837	1.046117							
	Total	242	464.2118								
AN											
		Coefficients t	andard Erro	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Jpper 95.0%		
Reg	Intercept	3.002387	0.065613	45.75932	2.5E-119	2.873126	3.131648	2.873126	3.131648		
Res	sex (Standardized)	-0.017517	0.067734	-0.258616	0.796157	-0.150957	0.115923	-0.150957	0.115923		
Tot	smoker (Standardize		0.068415	-0.516438	0.606032		0.09945		0.09945		
100	day (Standardized)	-0.060979	0.138723	-0.439577	0.660646		0.212314		0.212314		
	time (Standardized)	-0.05184	0.137885	-0.375968	0.707278		0.219803		0.219803		
	size (Standardized)	0.166285	0.084936	1.957776	0.051435		0.333614			ower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Inte	total_bill (Standardiz	0.838165	0.085017	9.858787	2.01E-19	0.670675	1.005654	0.670675	1.005654	-5.2579809	10.39269453
sex					_					-0.31568889	0.242423864
smo	Norm	al Proba	hility DI	ot						-0.35072488	0.205036218
day		ai Fioba	Dility Fi	οι						-0.2905611	0.184550488
tim	15									-0.72387672	0.491864201
size	Actual tip			*						-0.00109846	0.351027206
	B 5 -			j							
ota).075426978	0.113100059
	0 20	40 6	0 80	100 120							
		Sample P		200 120							
		Jample P	ercentile								

Multiple Linear Regression Model 3:

SUMMARY O	UTPUT							
Regressio	n Statistics							
Multiple R	0.683277523							
R Square	0.466868174							
Adjusted R S	0.462425409							
Standard Err	1.01547627							
Observation	243							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regression	2	216.7257226	108.3628613	105.085043	1.66017E-33			
Residual	240	247.486093	1.031192054					
Total	242	464.2118156						
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	0.672163792	0.194391852	3.4577776	0.000644182	0.289231742	1.055095841	0.289231742	1.055095841
size	0.192346316	0.085486043	2.250031813	0.025353509	0.023947562	0.36074507	0.023947562	0.36074507
total bill	0.092637395	0.009137207	10.13848061	2.46028E-20	0.074638033	0.110636757	0.074638033	0.110636757

Multiple Linear Regression Model 4:

SUMMARY	OUTPUT							
Regressio	n Statistics							
Multiple R	0.68327752							
R Square	0.46686817							
Adjusted R	0.46242541							
Standard E	1.01547627							
Observatio	243							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F			
Regressior	2	216.7257226	108.362861	105.085043	1.6602E-33			
Residual	240	247.486093	1.03119205					
Total	242	464.2118156						
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 99.0%	Upper 99.0%
Intercept	3.00238683	0.065142833	46.0892886	3.29E-121	2.87406212	3.13071154	2.83324547	3.17152819
size (Stand	0.18280489	0.081245471	2.25003181	0.02535351	0.02275963	0.34285016	-0.0281465	0.39375626
total_bill (:	0.82370563	0.081245471	10.1384806	2.4603E-20	0.66366037	0.9837509	0.61275427	1.034657
4.5	No	ormal Pro	bability	Plot				
4 Actual tip				لر				
0	20	40 Samp	60 le Percentile	80 100	120			

Comparison of Predicted Tip values using the models above with the Actual values using RMSE:

Prediction using Model 1 and 2:

L2	7 1 7	√ fx =\$P\$	11+(I2*\$P\$12)+(J2*\$P\$1	13)
4	K	J	M	O P
		Predicted tip using	Predicted tip using	
1	Actual tip	Regression Model 2	Regression Model 1	
2	1.01	2.630765768	2.722561826	
3	1.66	2.207073406	2.234041283	RMSE Regression Model 2 1.0091884
4	3.50	3.195514412	3.239833026	RMSE Regression Model 1 1.0079594
5	3.31	3.250509941	3.316552251	
6	3.61	3.719502603	3.788893308	
7	4.71	3.784348779	3.818245257	
8	2.00	1.869286379	1.911083188	
9	3.12	3.931642238	3.968124251	
10	1.96	2.450122847	2.50211545	Regression Model 2 Coefficient
11	3.23	2.426037124	2.477606935	Intercept 0.6721633
12	1.71	2.008242472	2.052478466	size 0.1923463
13	5.00	4.707943609	4.794685052	total_bill 0.092633
14	1.57	2.485325057	2.537935587	
15	3.00	3.148856249	3.171597519	
16	3.02	2.430668994	2.518952626	
17	3.92	3.055971411	3.118598861	
18	1.67	2.206147032	2.269731162	
19	3.71	2.758265907	2.794909219	Regression Model 1 Coefficient
20	3.50	2.821259336	2.895640926	Intercept 2.5673568
21	3.35	3.16216495	3.152892855	sex (Encoded) -0.0366325
22	4.08	2.716918545	2.720589078	smoker (Encoded) -0.0728443
23	2.75	2.936469172	2.980626132	day (Encoded) -0.053005
24	2.23	2.517748145	2.554555028	time (Encoded) -0.1160062
25	7.58	5.093315173	5.09718347	size 0.1749643
26	3.18	2.892929596	2.899689764	total_bill 0.0942633
27	2.34	3.091421064	3.060148832	

Comparison using RMSE (Root Mean Square Error):

Р3	- i ×	√ f _x	=SQR	T(SUMSQ(L2:L244-K2:k	(244) / COUNTA	(L2:L244))		
4	K	L		M	N		0	Р
	Actual tip	Predicted tip usi	ing	Predicted tip using				
1	Actual tip	Regression Mod	lel 2	Regression Model 1				
2	1.01	2.63076	55768	2.722561826				
3	1.66	2.20707	73406	2.234041283		RMSE Regi	ession Model 2	1.00918843
4	3.50	3.19551	14412	3.239833026		RMSE Regi	ession Model 1	1.00795947
5	3.31	3.25050	09941	3.316552251				
6	3.61	3.71950	02603	3.788893308				
7	4.71	3.78434	18779	3.818245257				
8	2.00	1.86928	36379	1.911083188				
0	2 12	2.02164	12220	2 060124261				

RESULT AND CONCLUSION: Regression Model 2 has better RMSE and lesser R-squared error than Regression Model