

Assessment Criteria for research paper- out of 100%

The following criteria will be applied in assessing SLR:

Outstanding (90% – 100%)

The essay presentation, discussion and results are very in-depth while tackling all possible aspects of analysed technologies within selected contexts. Results are of outstanding quality and paper shows comprehensive understanding of applicable techniques. All different angles of the problem were investigated and detailed reports and high quality snapshots are provided. The submission is very complete and provided results and discussions are comparable with selected base paper in all aspects. The paper writing and structure is of outstanding quality with no writing or grammar issues.

Excellent (80% – 89%)

The essay presentation, structure, language are of high standard, which result in a high quality, professional document reflecting an in-depth analysis of given technologies in the selected contexts. The paper title clearly reflects goals and method of the research. Paper abstract professionally explained research problem, suggested solutions, and achieved results. Paper introduction provides detailed background of the research subject and clearly explains research problem and approach. Research methodology is well explained and properly followed in all stages of the research as reflected in the paper. The results are excellent; taking into account various views of selected topic with detailed discussion of different research aspects. Figures and tables are of good quality and relevant to provided context. The results are of high standard and supported by critical evaluation and in-depth discussions. Conclusions and future works are well justified and aligned with paper plan. The paper writing and structure is of excellent quality with very minor issues.

Very Good (70% -79%)

The essay presentation, structure, language are very good which result in an excellent and professional document reflecting very good understanding of given technologies in the selected contexts. The paper title clearly reflects goals and method of the research. Paper abstract is well explaining the research problem, suggested solutions, and achieved results. Paper introduction provides discussion of problem background and clearly explains research problem and approach. Research methodology is well explained and properly followed in all stages of the research as reflected in the paper. The results are of very good quality covering almost all required aspects of the problem with detailed discussion of different research aspects. Figures and tables are of good quality and relevant to provided context. The results are of professional standard and supported by critical evaluation and in-depth analysis. Conclusions and future works are properly justified and aligned with the paper plan. The paper writing and structure is of very quality with minor issues. For marks over 70%, a lot of depth will be required.

Good (60% - 69%)

The essay presentation, structure, language are above average containing all required results and analyses with good standard. The paper title clearly reflects goals and method of the research. Paper abstract is properly explaining the research problem, proposed solutions, and achieved results. Paper introduction demonstrates good knowledge of the problem and study background.

Research methodology demonstrates proper usage of relevant research tools and methods within different stages of the research. The results are of good quality, well analysed and justified in relevant contexts. Figures and tables are relevant and of good quality. The results are of professional standard and supported by proper justifications and analysis. Conclusions and future works are properly justified and aligned with the research plan. The paper writing and structure is of good quality with only few issues.

Satisfactory (50%-59%)

The essay presentation, structure, and language are appropriate containing adequate results and analyses. The paper title and abstract show adequate level of understanding of the research problem, proposed solutions, and achieved results. Paper introduction sufficiently describes research problem and study background. Research methodology is properly explained and sufficient results and discussions are provided. Figures and tables are relevant and of good quality. Paper writing and structure is of satisfactory standard and conclusion and future works are sufficiently explained.

Unsatisfactory (40% -49%)

The essay presentation and structure reflects basic understanding of the research context. Provided results are insufficient and only show marginal understanding of the research subject. The research problem is only basically described and results and discussions are minimal. However, the flaws in results and discussions may be rectified with some minimal efforts. The work and approach are inadequate and cannot lead to appropriate research conclusions. There are little snapshots presented and provided evaluation and conclusions are inconsistent.

Inadequate (30% - 39%)

The paper is poor in terms of structure, presentation and discussion. Provided discussions are limited and do not sufficiently address research problems. The results are wrong with no or very little relevance to the research context. The paper results are inappropriate and conclusions and future works are not relevant to the research context.

Poor (20% - 29%)

The paper is poor in terms of explaining research problem, results and conclusion. There is no or very limited results and discussion with little research evidences. The results and findings are not carrying any value and provided conclusions are wrong.

Very Poor (10% - 19%)

The paper structure, results, and discussions are very poor with writing and grammar issues. There is no or very limited results with no justification or discussion. The results and findings are meaningless and there is no conclusion.

Extremely Poor (0% - 9%)

The paper structure, results and discussions are extremely poor or some sections are not provided. There is no indication of candidate understanding of the research context and results and discussions are very poor.