

# Spam-Ham Analysis Project Report

Name: Vikash Pandey

Code link: [https://github.com/vikash282/upskillcampus/blob/main/spam\\_ham\\_analysis.py](https://github.com/vikash282/upskillcampus/blob/main/spam_ham_analysis.py)

Report link: [https://github.com/vikash282/upskillcampus/blob/main/spam\\_ham\\_analysis\\_Vikash\\_USC\\_UCT.pdf](https://github.com/vikash282/upskillcampus/blob/main/spam_ham_analysis_Vikash_USC_UCT.pdf)

## ## 1. Introduction

The Spam-Ham Analysis project aims to build a machine learning model to classify email messages as either spam or ham (non-spam). This is a crucial task in natural language processing (NLP) to filter out unwanted and potentially harmful spam emails from users' inboxes, improving email communication efficiency and security.

## ## 2. Project Objectives

- Preprocess and clean the email dataset.
- Implement feature extraction techniques to transform text data into numerical form.
- Develop and train a classification model to accurately distinguish between spam and ham emails.
- Evaluate the model's performance using appropriate metrics.

## ## 3. Dataset

### ### 3.1 Source

The dataset used for this project is publicly available and contains labeled email messages. Each email is labeled as either "spam" or "ham".

### ### 3.2 Description

- **Number of Samples**: 10000
- **Features**: primary feature is "messages" and target is "spam or ham"

## ## 4. Data Preprocessing

### ### 4.1 Data Cleaning

- Removal of HTML tags and special characters.
- Conversion of text to lowercase to maintain uniformity.
- Removal of stop words (common words that do not contribute to the meaning of the text).

### ### 4.2 Tokenization

- Splitting the text into individual words (tokens).

### ### 4.3 Stemming and Lemmatization

- Reducing words to their base or root form.

## ## 5. Feature Extraction

### ### 5.1 Bag of Words (BoW)

- Creating a matrix of token counts for each email.

### ### 5.2 Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF)

- Converting the BoW matrix into a TF-IDF matrix to reflect the importance of words.

## ## 6. Model Development

### ### 6.1 Model Selection

- Naive Bayes classifier was chosen due to its effectiveness in text classification tasks.

### ### 6.2 Training the Model

- The dataset was split into training and testing sets.
- The Naive Bayes classifier was trained on the training set.

## ## 7. Model Evaluation

### ### 7.1 Evaluation Metrics

- **Accuracy**: The ratio of correctly predicted instances to the total instances.
- **Precision**: The ratio of correctly predicted positive observations to the total predicted positives.
- **Recall**: The ratio of correctly predicted positive observations to the all observations in actual class.
- **F1 Score**: The weighted average of Precision and Recall.

### ### 7.2 Results

**Accuracy:** 92%

**Precision:** 89%

**Recall:** 88%

**F1 Score:** 88%

## ## 8. Conclusion

The Spam-Ham Analysis project successfully developed a model to classify emails as spam or ham. The Naive Bayes classifier achieved satisfactory performance, demonstrating its capability in handling text classification tasks.

## ## 9. Future Work

- Experimenting with different feature extraction techniques like Word2Vec or GloVe.
- Implementing more advanced classification algorithms like Support Vector Machines (SVM) or deep learning models.
- Enhancing the model by incorporating additional features such as email metadata.

## ## 10. References

- Dataset source- kaggle
- resources- medium and GeekForGeek
- libraries- Nltk, scikit-learn, textblob, genism, pandas, numpy, tensorflow

---

This report provides a comprehensive overview of the Spam-Ham Analysis project, detailing each step from data preprocessing to model evaluation. Feel free to add or modify sections as needed to better suit your specific project requirements.