

Mathematics of Neural ODEs

Vikram Voleti

PhD student - Mila, University of Montreal Visiting Researcher - University of Guelph Prof. Graham Taylor

Prof. Christopher Pal

Contents



- 1. Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)
 - Initial Value Problems
 - Numerical integration methods
 - Fundamental theorem of ODEs
- 2. Neural ODEs
 - Adjoint method
 - Applications
- 3. Recent research



- **Initial Value Problems**
- **Numerical Integration methods**
- Fundamental theorem of ODEs

- **Neural ODEs**
- Recent research



1st order Ordinary Differential Equation:

$$rac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, heta)$$

x is a variable we are interested in,

t is (typically) time,

f is a function of x and t, it is the differential,

 θ parameterizes f (optionally).



Initial value problem:

$$rac{dx(t)}{dt}=f(x(t),t, heta);\;\;x(t_0)\; ext{is given};\;\;x(t_1)=\;?$$

Many physical processes follow this template!



Initial value problem:

$$rac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t),t, heta); \;\; x(t_0) ext{ is given; } \; x(t_1) = \; ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$

Example: $\frac{\frac{dx}{dt} = 2t; \ x(0) = 2; \ x(1) = ?$ $\Rightarrow x(1) = x(0) + \int_0^1 2t \ dt$ $= x(0) + (t^2|_{t=1} - t^2|_{t=0})$ $= 2 + 1^2 - 0^2$ = 3



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) ext{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, \theta) \ dt$$

What if this cannot be analytically integrated?



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \underbrace{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt}_{t_0}$$

What if this cannot be analytically integrated?

Example:

$$rac{dx}{dt}=2xt\ ;\ x(0)=3$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{2x} dx = \int t dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\log x = \frac{1}{2}t^2 + c_0$$

$$\Rightarrow x(t) = ce^{t^2}$$

$$x(0) = 3 \Rightarrow c = 2$$

$$\therefore x(t) = 2e^{t^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow x(1) = 5.436$$



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) ext{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$

Approximations to $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t),t, heta) \ dt$

i.e. Numerical Integration:

- Euler method
- Runge-Kutta methods




Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$

1st-order Runge-Kutta / Euler's method:



https://guide.freecodecamp.org/mathematics/differential-equations/eulers-method/



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$

1st-order Runge-Kutta / Euler's method:

$$egin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= t_n + h \ x(t_{n+1}) &= x(t_n) + h f(x(t_n), t_n) \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$rac{dx}{dt} = f(x,t) = 2xt \; ; \; x(0) = 3; \; x(1) = \; ?$$
 (Solution: $x(t) = 2e^{t^2}; \; x(1) = 5.436$)

$$egin{aligned} h &= 0.25 \ x(0.25) &= x(0) + 0.25 * f(x(0),0) \ &= 3 + 0.25 * (2 * 3 * 0) \ &= 3 \ x(0.5) &= x(0.25) + 0.25 * f(x(0.25),0.25) \ &= 3 + 0.25 * (2 * 3 * 0.25) \ &= 3.375 \ x(0.75) &= x(0.5) + 0.25 * f(x(0.5),0.5) \ &= 3.375 + 0.25 * (2 * 3.375 * 0.5) \ &= 4.21875 \ x(1) &= x(0.75) + 0.25 * f(x(0.75),0.75) \end{aligned}$$

 ± 5.8008

=4.21875+0.25*(2*4.21875*0.75)



Initial value problem:


$$rac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, heta); \;\; x(t_0) ext{ is given; } \; x(t_1) = \; ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$

1st-order Runge-Kutta / Euler's method:

$$egin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= t_n + h \ x(t_{n+1}) &= x(t_n) + h f(x(t_n), t_n) \end{aligned}$$





Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$

1st-order Runge-Kutta / Euler's method:

$$egin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= t_n + h \ s_1 &= f(x(t_n),\ t_n) \ x(t_{n+1}) &= x(t_n) + h s_1 \end{aligned}$$



Initial value problem:

$$rac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, heta); \;\; x(t_0) ext{ is given; } \; x(t_1) = \; ?$$

Solution:

$$\int x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t),t, heta) \ dt$$

2nd-order Runge-Kutta method:

$$egin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= t_n + h \ s_1 &= f(x(t_n),\ t_n) \ s_2 &= f(x(t_n + rac{h}{2}),\ t_n + rac{h}{2}) = f(x(t_n) + rac{h}{2}s_1,\ t_n + rac{h}{2}) \ x(t_{n+1}) &= x(t_n) + hs_2 \end{aligned}$$



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$\int x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t),t, heta) \ dt$$



4th-order Runge-Kutta method:

$$egin{aligned} t_{n+1} &= t_n + h \ s_1 &= f(x(t_n),\ t_n) \ s_2 &= f(x(t_n) + rac{h}{2}s_1,\ t_n + rac{h}{2}) \ s_3 &= f(x(t_n) + rac{h}{2}s_2,\ t_n + rac{h}{2}) \ s_4 &= f(x(t_n) + hs_3,\ t_n + h) \ x(t_{n+1}) &= x(t_n) + rac{h}{6}(s_1 + 2s_2 + 2s_3 + s_4) \end{aligned}$$

- - - ➤ Default ODE solver used in MATLAB:

https://blogs.mathworks.com/loren/2015/09/23/ode-solver-selection-in-matlab/



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$

Many other ODE solvers to choose from!



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(x(t), t, heta) \ dt$$

Many other ODE solvers to choose from!

Considerations to choose an ODE solver:

- Stiff v/s Non-stiff ODE
- # of calculations per iteration
- Implicit v/s Explicit solver
- Single-step size v/s Multi-step size (adaptive)

https://blogs.mathworks.com/loren/2015/09/23/ode-solver-selection-in-matlab/

https://math.temple.edu/~queisser/assets/files/Talk3.pdf




Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1)=x(t_0)+\int_{t_0}^{t_1}f(x(t),t, heta)\;dt$$





Initial value problem:

$$rac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, heta); \;\; x(t_0) ext{ is given; } \; x(t_1) = \; ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = ext{ODESolve}(\ f(x(t),t, heta),\ x(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)$$



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$\hat{x}(t_1) = ext{ODESolve}(\ f(x(t),t, heta),\ x(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)$$

Fundamental Theorem of ODEs

Suppose f is continuously differentiable.

Then, the solution to the initial value problem is **unique!**

http://facultv.bard.edu/belk/math213/InitialValueProblems.pdf



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$x(t_1) = ext{ODESolve}(\ f(x(t),t, heta),\ x(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)$$

Fundamental Theorem of ODEs

Suppose f is continuously differentiable.

- The solution curves for this differential equation completely fill the plane, and
- Solution curves for different solutions do not intersect.

http://facultv.bard.edu/belk/math213/InitialValueProblems.pdf



Initial value problem:

$$rac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t),t, heta); \;\; x(t_0) ext{ is given}; \;\; x(t_1) = \; ?$$


Solution:

$$x(t_1) = ext{ODESolve}(\ f(x(t),t, heta),\ x(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)$$

Fundamental Theorem of ODEs

Suppose f is continuously differentiable.

Geometrically, x(t) is a flow!



http://faculty.bard.edu/belk/math213/InitialValueProblems.pdf



- 1. Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)
 - Initial Value Problems
 - Numerical Integration methods
 - Fundamental theorem of ODEs
- 2. Neural ODEs (Chen et al., 2018)
 - Adjoint method
 - Applications
- 3. Recent research



Initial value problem:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(x(t), t, \theta); \quad x(t_0) \text{ is given}; \quad x(t_1) = ?$$

Solution:

$$\hat{x}(t_1) = ext{ODESolve}(\ f(x(t),t, heta),\ x(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)$$

f is a neural network!

Paradigm shift: whereas earlier *f* was pre-defined/hand-designed according to the domain, here we would like to estimate an *f* that suits our objective.



ODEs

$$rac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)$$
 $egin{aligned} & \mathsf{Euler} \ \mathsf{discretization} \end{aligned}$ $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{x}_n + h \ f(\mathbf{x}_n, t_n, heta)$

Residual networks

$$\mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \mathrm{ResBlock}(\mathbf{x}_l, heta)$$
 $\mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \mathbf{x}_l + g(\mathbf{x}_l, heta)$
 \searrow Skip connection

Vector

notation <



ODEs

$$rac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)$$
 $igg|$ Euler discretization $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{x}_n + h \; f(\mathbf{x}_n, t_n, heta)$

Forward propagation:

$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \mathbf{x}(t_0), t_0, t_1)$$

Residual networks

$$\mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \mathrm{ResBlock}(\mathbf{x}_l, \theta)$$
 $\mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \mathbf{x}_l + g(\mathbf{x}_l, \theta)$
 \searrow Skip connection

$$\mathbf{y}_{pred} = \text{ResNet}(\mathbf{x})$$
 \rightarrow Stacked ResBlocks

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf



ODEs

$$rac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta) \ egin{aligned} & egin{align$$

Forward propagation:

$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\)$$

$$L(\mathbf{x}(t_1))
ightarrow rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}$$
 How to compute this?

Update hetato reduce L

Residual networks

$$\mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \mathrm{ResBlock}(\mathbf{x}_l, \theta)$$
 $\mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \mathbf{x}_l + g(\mathbf{x}_l, \theta)$
 \searrow Skip connection

$$\mathbf{y}_{pred} = \operatorname{ResNet}(\mathbf{x})$$
 $ightharpoonup Stacked ResBlocks$


$$L(\mathbf{y}_{pred})
ightarrow rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}$$

Update hetato reduce L

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf





https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf



ODEs

$$rac{d\mathbf{x}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)$$
 $egin{aligned} & \mathsf{Euler} \, \mathsf{discretization} \ & \mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{x}_n + h \, f(\mathbf{x}_n, t_n, heta) \end{aligned}$

Forward propagation:

$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\)$$

$$L(\mathbf{x}(t_1))
ightarrow egin{array}{c} rac{\partial L}{\partial heta} \end{bmatrix}$$
 Update $heta$ to reduce L

Back-propagate through the ODE Solver!

High memory cost -

need to save all activations of all iterations of ODESolve.

Can we do better?

Yes.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\ \Big]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

$$\left|rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}
ight| = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),\,t,\, heta)}{\partial heta} \; dt$$



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \; ; \; \frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$$rac{\partial L}{\partial heta} = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}(t)^ op rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta)}{\partial heta} \ dt$$

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf



$$\left\{L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\))
ightarrow rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}
ight\}$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \mathrm{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\)$$



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\) \ \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

Can be computed using autodiff





$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\)$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\) \ \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

Can be computed using autodiff

We can use a(t1) as initial value, and integrate backwards from t1 to t to get a(t).

We'll use tO as a proxy for t

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\ \Big]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\) \ \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

$$\mathbf{a}(t_0) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_0)} = ext{ ODESolve}(-\mathbf{a}(t)^ op rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}, rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}, t_1, t_0)$$

Backward integration from t1 to t0

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\ \Big]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\) \ \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_0) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_0)} = ext{ ODESolve}(-\mathbf{a}(t)^ op rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}, rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}, \ t_1, \ t_0)$$

Vector-Jacobian Product (can be efficiently evaluated by autodiff)



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\ \Big]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\) \ \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

$$\mathbf{a}(t_0) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_0)} = ext{ ODESolve}(-\mathbf{a}(t)^ op rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}, \; rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}, \; t_1, \; t_0)$$

(and we don't want to have saved x(t) in memory from forward-prop)



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\ \Big]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \mathbf{x}(t_0), t_0, t_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

$$x(t_0) = ext{ODESolve}(f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \mathbf{x}(t_1), t_1, t_0)$$

$$\mathbf{a}(t_0) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_0)} = ext{ ODESolve}(-\mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}, \; rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}, \; t_1, \; t_0)$$



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\ \Big]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \; ; \; rac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t,\theta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\) \ \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

$$x(t_0) = ext{ ODESolve}(f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta) , \mathbf{x}(t_1), t_1, t_0)$$

$$\mathbf{a}(t_0) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_0)} = ext{ODESolve}(-\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}, \; rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}, \; t_1, \; t_0)$$

$$\therefore rac{\partial L}{\partial heta} = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),\,t,\, heta)}{\partial heta} \; dt$$



$$\left(\ L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\))
ightarrow rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}
ight)$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \; ; \; rac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t,\theta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\) \ \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

Back-propagation:

$$x(t_0) = \text{ODESolve}(f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \mathbf{x}(t_1), t_1, t_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_0) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_0)} = ext{ ODESolve}(-\mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),\,t,\, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}},\;rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)},\;t_1,\;t_0)$$

$$\therefore rac{\partial L}{\partial heta} = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}(t)^ op rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),\,t,\, heta)}{\partial heta} \; dt = \; ext{ODESolve}(-\mathbf{a}(t)^ op rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),\,t,\, heta)}{\partial heta}, \; \; \mathbf{0}_{| heta|} \; , \; t_1, \; t_0)$$

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf Initial value is 0



$$L(ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta),\ \mathbf{x}(t_0),\ t_0,\ t_1\)) o rac{\partial L}{\partial heta}\]$$

Adjoint method (Pontryagin et al., 1962)

adjoint
$$\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$
; $\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}(t)^{\top} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

Forward propagation:
$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \text{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\) \ \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(t_1) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$$

 $x(t_0) = \text{ODESolve}(-f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta)^{\top}, \mathbf{x}(t_1), t_1, t_0)$

Back-propagation:

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $\mathbf{a}(t_0) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_0)} = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{combine}}$ Combine the 3 ODE Solves into 1!

$$\therefore rac{\partial L}{\partial heta} = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}(t)^ op rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta)}{\partial heta} \; dt = ext{ODESolve}(-\mathbf{a}(t)^ op rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta)}{\partial heta}, \; \mathbf{0}_{| heta|}, t_1,t_0)$$

 $, t_0)$



Forward propagation:


$$\mathbf{x}(t_1) = ext{ODESolve}(\ f(\mathbf{x}(t), t, heta), \ \mathbf{x}(t_0), \ t_0, \ t_1\)$$
Compute $L(\mathbf{x}(t_1)).$
 $\mathbf{a}(t_1) = rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)}$

Back-propagation:

$$egin{array}{c} \mathbf{x}(t_0) \ rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_0)} \ = & ext{ODESolve} \left(egin{array}{c} f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta) \ -\mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta)}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \end{array}
ight), & rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)} \ -\mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta)}{\partial heta} \end{array}
ight), & rac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}(t_1)} \ -\mathbf{a}(t)^{ op} rac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}(t),t, heta)}{\partial heta} & \mathbf{0}_{| heta|} \end{array}$$


Update hetato reduce L








https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf






Neural ODEs describe a homeomorphism (flow).

- They preserve dimensionality.
- They form non-intersecting trajectories.

https://openreview.net/pdf?id=B1e9Y2NYV



https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf



Neural ODEs are **reversible** models!

Just integrate forward/backward in time.



https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows



https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf


Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows

Generative Latent Models

ODE-Net:






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows

Generative Latent Models



Train f to maximize the likelihood of the samples from target distribution $log p(x_1)$, by computing $x(t_0)$ using the Neural ODE with $x(t_1)$ as the initial value, and the Change of Variables formula.




https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows

Generative Latent Models



Sample from the noise distribution, transform it into a sample from the target distribution using the trained Neural ODE.




https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows






https://arxiv.org/pdf/1806.07366.pdf

Applications

Supervised Learning

Continuous Normalizing Flows





1. Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)

- o Initial Value Problems
- Numerical Integration methods
- Fundamental theorem of ODEs

2. Neural ODEs (Chen et al., 2018)

- Adjoint method
- Applications

3. Recent research



FFJORD: Free-form Continuous Dynamics For Scalable Reversible Generative Models (Grathwohl et al., ICLR 2019)





Figure 1: FFJORD transforms a simple base distribution at t_0 into the target distribution at t_1 by integrating over learned continuous dynamics.

- Essentially a better Continuous Normalizing Flow.
- Makes a better estimate for the log determinant term.
- "We demonstrate our approach on high-dimensional density estimation, image generation, and variational inference, achieving the state-of-the-art among exact likelihood methods with efficient sampling."



Augmented Neural ODEs (Dupont et al., NeurIPS 2019)



- Shows that Neural ODEs cannot model non-homeomorphisms (non-flows)
- Augments the state with additional dimensions to cover non-homeomorphisms
- Performs ablation study on toy examples and image classification

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1904.01681.pdf



ANODEV2: A Coupled Neural ODE Evolution Framework

(Zhang et al., NeurIPS 2019)

$$\begin{cases} z(1) = z(0) + \int_0^1 f(z(t), \theta(t)) dt & \text{``parent network''}, \\ \theta(t) = \theta(0) + \int_0^t q(\theta(t), p) dt, & \theta(0) = \theta_0 & \text{``weight network''}. \end{cases}$$

- Network weights are also a function of time
- Separate "weight network" generates the weights of the function network at a given time



Latent ODEs for Irregularly-Sampled Time Series

(Rubanova et al., NeurIPS 2019)




Figure 2: The Latent ODE model with an ODE-RNN encoder. To make predictions in this model, the ODE-RNN encoder is run backwards in time to produce an approximate posterior over the initial state: $q(z_0|\{x_i,t_i\}_{i=0}^N)$. Given a sample of z_0 , we can find the latent state at any point of interest by solving an ODE initial-value problem. Figure adapted from Chen et al. [2018].


- Improves the generative latent variable framework for irregularly-sampled time series
- Essentially uses an ODE in the encoder where samples are missing
- Shows results on toy data, MuJoCo, PhysioNet

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.03907.pdf




Simple Video Generation using Neural ODEs

(David Kanaa*, Vikram Voleti*, Samira Kahou, Christopher Pal; NeurIPS 2019 Workshop)



 Video generation as a generative latent variable model using Neural ODEs



https://sites.google.com/view/neurips2019lire/accepted-papers?authuser=0



ODE2VAE: Deep generative second order ODEs with Bayesian neural networks (Yildiz et al., NeurIPS 2019)




Figure 2: A schematic illustration of ODE²VAE model. Position encoder (μ_s , σ_s) maps the first item \mathbf{x}_0 of a high-dimensional data sequence into a distribution of the initial position \mathbf{s}_0 in a latent space. Velocity encoder (μ_v , σ_v) maps the first m high-dimensional data items $\mathbf{x}_{0:m}$ into a distribution of the initial velocity \mathbf{v}_0 in a latent space. Probabilistic latent dynamics are implemented by a second order ODE model $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_W$ parameterised by a Bayesian deep neural network (W). Data points in the original data domain are reconstructed by a decoder.

- Uses 2nd-order Neural ODE
- Uses a Bayesian Neural Network
- Showed results modelling video generation as a generative latent variable model using (2nd-order Bayesian) Neural ODE

https://papers.nips.cc/paper/9497-ode2vae-deep-generative-second-order-odes-with-bayesian-neural-networks.pdf



On Robustness of Neural Ordinary Differential Equations

(Yan et al., ICLR 2020)




Figure 3: An illustration of the time-invariant property of ODEs. We can see that the curve $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_1(t)$ is exactly the horizontal translation of $\mathbf{z}_1(t)$ on the interval $[T', \infty)$.

- Ablation study on adversarial attacks on ODE-Nets
- Introduces new regularization term to improve robustness

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1910.05513.pdf, https://openreview.net/pdf?id=B1e9Y2NYvS



How to Train Your Neural ODE (Finlay et al., 2020)




Figure 1. Optimal transport map and a generic normalizing flow.

- Makes a link between the flow in Neural ODEs and optimal transport
- Introduces two new regularization terms to constrain flows to straight lines
- Speeds up training of Neural ODEs

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2002.02798.pdf

Additional References



- http://faculty.bard.edu/belk/math213/InitialValueProblems.pdf
- https://math.temple.edu/~queisser/assets/files/Talk3.pdf
- Textbook: https://users.math.msu.edu/users/gnagy/teaching/ode.pdf
- https://lpsa.swarthmore.edu/NumInt/NumIntFirst.html
- http://homepages.cae.wisc.edu/~blanchar/eps/ivp/ivp
- Excellent blog post on ODE solvers: https://blogs.mathworks.com/loren/2015/09/23/ode-solver-selection-in-matlab/
- Autodiff tutorial: http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~rgrosse/courses/csc421 2019/readings/L06%20Automatic%20Differentiation.pdf
- Course on Neural Networks & Deep Learning by Roger Grosse & Jimmy Ba, University of Toronto http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~rgrosse/courses/csc421 2019/



Thank you!