

Sorting

Tuesday, 24 January 2023

1:36 PM

- Sorting algorithms
 - Insertion Sort - some segment will be sorted and remaining will be unsorted state
 - *Insertion Sort* is one such online algorithm
 - <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/insertion-sort/>
 - Selection Sort
 - As the name indicates, it picks the smallest/largest element from unsorted part and swaps it with the element at the respective index
 - <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/selection-sort/>
 - Heap Sort
 - Note: Heap always make sure that maximum element is at the top, but it can be minimum element if we want to sort the elements in increasing/decreasing order..
 - So the crux..should be like heapify the initial array first..
 - Start removing top element and replace it with last element..
 - Apply heapify and repeat
 - **Advanced version of selection sort**
 - It internally uses heapify logic to sort the elements
 - Since heap structure can be maintained in the array. It does not require extra space
 - In-place sorting algorithm
 - <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/heap-sort/>
- <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/difference-between-insertion-sort-and-selection-sort/>
<https://www.tutorialandexample.com/quick-sort-vs-merge-sort>
<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/time-complexities-of-all-sorting-algorithms/>

Algorithm	Time Complexity			Space Complexity
	Best	Average	Worst	
Selection Sort	$\Omega(n^2)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
Bubble Sort	$\Omega(n)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
Insertion Sort	$\Omega(n)$	$\theta(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
Heap Sort	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(1)$
Quick Sort	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n)$

te

d array and fit it in its

doesn't guarantee the order

auxillary arrays

y						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

Merge Sort	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n)$
Bucket Sort	$\Omega(n + k)$	$\theta(n + k)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n)$
Radix Sort	$\Omega(nk)$	$\theta(nk)$	$O(nk)$	$O(n + k)$
Count Sort	$\Omega(n + k)$	$\theta(n + k)$	$O(n + k)$	$O(k)$
Shell Sort	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
Tim Sort	$\Omega(n)$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n)$
Tree Sort	$\Omega(n \log(n))$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n)$
Cube Sort	$\Omega(n)$	$\theta(n \log(n))$	$O(n \log(n))$	$O(n)$

Sorting:

Basis for comparison	Quick Sort	Merge Sort
The partition of elements in the array	The splitting of a array of elements is in any ratio, not necessarily divided into half.	In the merge sort, the array is divided into two parts.
Worst case complexity	$O(n^2)$	$O(n \log n)$
Works well on	It works well on smaller array	It operates well on larger arrays
Speed of execution	It work faster than other sorting algorithms for small data set like Selection sort etc	It has a constant time complexity regardless of the size of data set
Additional storage space requirement	Less(In-place)	More(not In-place)
Efficiency	Inefficient for larger arrays	More efficient for larger arrays
Sorting method	Internal	External
Stability	Not Stable	Stable
Preferred for	for Arrays	for Linked Lists
Locality of reference	good	poor

Quick Sort

Merge Sort

t

ge sort, the array is
just 2 halves (i.e. $n/2$).

fine on any size of array
sistent speed on any

n-place)

ent

ists

--

The idea is to select a random pivot element and place all the elements smaller than the pivot on its left side and all the elements larger than the pivot on its right side in the array. After that, repeat the same process for the left and right subarrays separately.	The idea is to divide a given array into two subarrays from the middle and repeat the process for the subarrays until the size becomes equal to 1 and then merge the elements by comparing with the elements created arrays in the correct order.
Its best and average time complexities are $O(n \log n)$, but in the worst case, it becomes equal to $O(n^2)$. This is when it selects the smallest or largest as the pivot element every time.	Its best, average, and worst time complexities are $O(n \log n)$.
In the worst case, it behaves like the subtract and conquer technique. We can conclude that it depends on the content.	It does not depend on the content of the array. It depends on the structure. It is a 'divide and conquer' technique.
Its space complexity is $O(1)$ as it requires no extra space.	Its space complexity, in the worst case, is $O(n)$.
It is an internal sorting technique.	It is an external sorting technique.
It is not a stable sorting technique. The order of identical elements does not remain preserved.	It is a stable sorting technique. The order of identical elements remains preserved.
It is less efficient compared to merge sort.	More efficient than the quick sort.

