http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

2.2 Mergesort

- *mergesort*
- *bottom-up mergesort*
- *sorting complexity*
- *divide-and-conquer*

Complexity of sorting

Computational complexity. Framework to study efficiency of algorithms for solving a particular problem X.

Model of computation. Allowable operations.

Cost model. Operation counts.

Upper bound. Cost guarantee provided by some algorithm for X.

Lower bound. Proven limit on cost guarantee of all algorithms for X.

Optimal algorithm. Algorithm with best possible cost guarantee for X.

lower bound ~ upper bound

model of computation	*decision tree*
cost model	*# compares*
upper bound	$\sim N \lg N$ *from mergesort*
lower bound	?
optimal algorithm	?

can access information only through compares (e.g., Java `Comparable` framework)

Decision tree (for 3 distinct keys a, b, and c)



Compare-based lower bound for sorting

Proposition. Any compare-based sorting algorithm must use at least $\lg(N!) \sim N \lg N$ compares in the worst-case.

Pf.

- Assume array consists of N distinct values a_1 through a_N.
- Worst case dictated by height h of decision tree.
- Binary tree of height h has at most 2^h leaves.
- $N!$ different orderings $\Rightarrow$ at least $N!$ leaves.



Compare-based lower bound for sorting

Proposition. Any compare-based sorting algorithm must use at least $\lg(N!) \sim N \lg N$ compares in the worst-case.

Pf.

- Assume array consists of N distinct values a_1 through a_N.
- Worst case dictated by height h of decision tree.
- Binary tree of height h has at most 2^h leaves.
- $N!$ different orderings $\Rightarrow$ at least $N!$ leaves.

$$2^h \geq \#\text{ leaves} \geq N!$$

$$\Rightarrow h \geq \lg(N!) \sim N \lg N$$

Stirling's formula

Complexity of sorting

Model of computation. Allowable operations.

Cost model. Operation count(s).

Upper bound. Cost guarantee provided by some algorithm for X.

Lower bound. Proven limit on cost guarantee of all algorithms for X.

Optimal algorithm. Algorithm with best possible cost guarantee for X.

model of computation	*decision tree*
cost model	*# compares*
upper bound	$\sim N \lg N$
lower bound	$\sim N \lg N$
optimal algorithm	*mergesort*

complexity of sorting

First goal of algorithm design: optimal algorithms.

Complexity results in context

Compares? Mergesort is optimal with respect to number compares.

Space? Mergesort is not optimal with respect to space usage.

Lessons. Use theory as a guide.

Ex. Design sorting algorithm that guarantees $\sim \frac{1}{2} N \lg N$ compares?

Ex. Design sorting algorithm that is both time- and space-optimal?

Complexity results in context (continued)

Lower bound may not hold if the algorithm can take advantage of:

- The initial order of the input.
  Ex: insertion sort requires only a linear number of compares on partially-sorted arrays.

- The distribution of key values.
  Ex: 3-way quicksort requires only a linear number of compares on arrays with a constant number of distinct keys. [stay tuned]

- The representation of the keys.
  Ex: radix sorts require no key compares — they access the data via character/digit compares.

Commonly-used notations in the theory of algorithms

notation	provides	example	shorthand for
Tilde	leading term	$\sim \frac{1}{2} N^2$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$ $\frac{1}{2} N^2 + 22 N \log N + 3 N$
Big Theta	order of growth	$\Theta(N^2)$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$ $10 N^2$ $5 N^2 + 22 N \log N + 3 N$
Big O	upper bound	$O(N^2)$	$10 N^2$ $100 N$ $22 N \log N + 3 N$
Big Omega	lower bound	$\Omega(N^2)$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$ N^5 $N^3 + 22 N \log N + 3 N$

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

2.3 QUICKSORT

- *quicksort*
- *selection*
- *duplicate keys*
- *system sorts*

Two classic sorting algorithms: mergesort and quicksort

Critical components in the world's computational infrastructure.

- Full scientific understanding of their properties has enabled us to develop them into practical system sorts.
- Quicksort honored as one of top 10 algorithms of 20th century in science and engineering.

Mergesort. [last lecture]

Quicksort. [this lecture]

Quicksort t-shirt



2.3 Quicksort

- *quicksort*
- *selection*
- *duplicate keys*
- *system sorts*

Quicksort overview

Step 1. Shuffle the array.

Step 2. Partition the array so that, for some `j`

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Step 3. Sort each subarray recursively.



Quicksort overview

input

Q	U	I	C	K	S	O	R	T	E	X	A	M	P	L	E

Quicksort overview

Step 1. Shuffle the array.

shuffle

Q	U	I	C	K	S	O	R	T	E	X	A	M	P	L	E

Quicksort overview

Step 1. Shuffle the array.

shuffle

K	R	A	T	E	L	E	P	U	I	M	Q	C	X	O	S

Quicksort overview

Step 2. Partition the array so that, for some `j`

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

partition

Quicksort overview

Step 2. Partition the array so that, for some `j`

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

partition



Quicksort overview

Step 3. Sort each subarray recursively.

sort the left subarray

Quicksort overview

Step 3. Sort each subarray recursively.

sort the left subarray



Quicksort overview

Step 3. Sort each subarray recursively.

sort the right subarray



Quicksort overview

Step 3. Sort each subarray recursively.

sort the right subarray



Quicksort overview

sorted array

A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	X

Tony Hoare

- Invented quicksort to translate Russian into English.
  - [but couldn't explain his algorithm or implement it!]
- Learned Algol 60 (and recursion).
- Implemented quicksort.

Tony Hoare
1980 Turing Award



ALGORITHM 64
QUICKSORT
C. A. R. HOARE
Elliott Brothers Ltd., Borehamwood, Hertfordshire, Eng.

```
procedure  quicksort (A,M,N);  value M,N;
             array A;  integer M,N;
comment  Quicksort is a very fast and convenient method of
sorting an array in the random-access store of a computer. The
entire contents of the store may be sorted, since no extra space is
required. The average number of comparisons made is 2(M−N) ln
(N−M), and the average number of exchanges is one sixth this
amount. Suitable refinements of this method will be desirable for
its implementation on any actual computer;
begin      integer I,J;
           if M < N then begin partition (A,M,N,I,J);
                               quicksort (A,M,J);
                               quicksort (A, I, N)
                         end
end        quicksort
```

Communications of the ACM (July 1961)

Tony Hoare

- Invented quicksort to translate Russian into English.
  - [but couldn't explain his algorithm or implement it!]
- Learned Algol 60 (and recursion).
- Implemented quicksort.

Tony Hoare
1980 Turing Award

" There are two ways of constructing a software design: One way is to make it so simple that there are obviously no deficiencies, and the other way is to make it so complicated that there are no obvious deficiencies. The first method is far more difficult. "

" I call it my billion-dollar mistake. It was the invention of the null reference in 1965… This has led to innumerable errors, vulnerabilities, and system crashes, which have probably caused a billion dollars of pain and damage in the last forty years. "

Bob Sedgewick

- Refined and popularized quicksort.
- Analyzed many versions of quicksort.

Bob Sedgewick

Programming Techniques

S. L. Graham, R. L. Rivest
Editors

Implementing Quicksort Programs

Robert Sedgewick
Brown University

This paper is a practical study of how to implement the Quicksort sorting algorithm and its best variants on real computers, including how to apply various code optimization techniques. A detailed implementation combining the most effective improvements to Quicksort is given, along with a discussion of how to implement it in assembly language. Analytic results describing the performance of the programs are summarized. A variety of special situations are considered from a practical standpoint to illustrate Quicksort's wide applicability as an internal sorting method which requires negligible extra storage.

Key Words and Phrases: Quicksort, analysis of algorithms, code optimization, sorting

CR Categories: 4.0, 4.6, 5.25, 5.31, 5.5

Acta Informatica 7, 327—355 (1977)
© by Springer-Verlag 1977

The Analysis of Quicksort Programs*

Robert Sedgewick

Received January 19, 1976

Summary. The Quicksort sorting algorithm and its best variants are presented and analyzed. Results are derived which make it possible to obtain exact formulas describing the total expected running time of particular implementations on real computers of Quicksort and an improvement called the median-of-three modification. Detailed analysis of the effect of an implementation technique called loop unwrapping is presented. The paper is intended not only to present results of direct practical utility, but also to illustrate the intriguing mathematics which arises in the complete analysis of this important algorithm.

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



stop i scan because a[i] >= a[lo]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



stop j scan and exchange a[i] with a[j]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



stop i scan because a[i] >= a[lo]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



stop j scan and exchange a[i] with a[j]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



stop i scan because a[i] >= a[lo]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



stop j scan and exchange a[i] with a[j]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



stop i scan because a[i] >= a[lo]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.



stop j scan because a[j] <= a[lo]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.

When pointers cross.

- Exchange `a[lo]` with `a[j]`.



pointers cross: exchange a[lo] with a[j]

Quicksort partitioning demo

Repeat until `i` and `j` pointers cross.

- Scan `i` from left to right so long as `(a[i] < a[lo])`.
- Scan `j` from right to left so long as `(a[j] > a[lo])`.
- Exchange `a[i]` with `a[j]`.

When pointers cross.

- Exchange `a[lo]` with `a[j]`.



partitioned!

Quicksort: Java code for partitioning

```
private static int partition(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi)
{
   int i = lo, j = hi+1;
   while (true)
   {
      while (less(a[++i], a[lo]))
         if (i == hi) break;

      while (less(a[lo], a[--j]))
         if (j == lo) break;

      if (i >= j) break;
      exch(a, i, j);
   }

   exch(a, lo, j);
   return j;
}
```

find item on left to swap

find item on right to swap

check if pointers cross

swap

swap with partitioning item

return index of item now known to be in place







Quicksort: Java implementation

```
public class Quick
{
   private static int partition(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi)
   {  /* see previous slide */  }

   public static void sort(Comparable[] a)
   {
      StdRandom.shuffle(a);
      sort(a, 0, a.length - 1);
   }

   private static void sort(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi)
   {
      if (hi <= lo) return;
      int j = partition(a, lo, hi);
      sort(a, lo, j-1);
      sort(a, j+1, hi);
  }
}
```

shuffle needed for performance guarantee (stay tuned)

Quicksort trace

	lo	j	hi	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
initial values				Q	U	I	C	K	S	O	R	T	E	X	A	M	P	L	E
random shuffle				K	R	A	T	E	L	E	P	U	I	M	Q	C	X	O	S
	0	5	15	E	C	A	I	E	K	L	P	U	T	M	Q	R	X	O	S
	0	3	4	E	C	A	E	I	K	L	P	U	T	M	Q	R	X	O	S
	0	2	2	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	P	U	T	M	Q	R	X	O	S
	0	0	1	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	P	U	T	M	Q	R	X	O	S
	1		1	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	P	U	T	M	Q	R	X	O	S
	4		4	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	P	U	T	M	Q	R	X	O	S
	6	6	15	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	P	U	T	M	Q	R	X	O	S
	7	9	15	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	T	Q	R	X	U	S
	7	7	8	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	T	Q	R	X	U	S
	8		8	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	T	Q	R	X	U	S
	10	13	15	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	S	Q	R	T	U	X
	10	12	12	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	R	Q	S	T	U	X
	10	11	11	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	X
	10		10	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	X
	14	14	15	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	X
	15		15	A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	X
result				A	C	E	E	I	K	L	M	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	X

no partition for subarrays of size 1

Quicksort trace (array contents after each partition)

Quicksort animation

50 random items



http://www.sorting-algorithms.com/quick-sort



Quicksort: implementation details

Partitioning in-place. Using an extra array makes partitioning easier (and stable), but is not worth the cost.

Terminating the loop. Testing whether the pointers cross is trickier than it might seem.

Equal keys. When duplicates are present, it is (counter-intuitively) better to stop scans on keys equal to the partitioning item's key. ← stay tuned

Preserving randomness. Shuffling is needed for performance guarantee.

Equivalent alternative. Pick a random partitioning item in each subarray.

Quicksort: empirical analysis (1961)

Running time estimates:

- Algol 60 implementation.
- National-Elliott 405 computer.

Table 1

NUMBER OF ITEMS	MERGE SORT	QUICKSORT
500	2 min 8 sec	1 min 21 sec
1,000	4 min 48 sec	3 min 8 sec
1,500	8 min 15 sec*	5 min 6 sec
2,000	11 min 0 sec*	6 min 47 sec

* These figures were computed by formula, since they cannot be achieved on the 405 owing to limited store size.

sorting N 6-word items with 1-word keys

Elliott 405 magnetic disc (16K words)

Quicksort: empirical analysis

Running time estimates:

- Home PC executes 10^8 compares/second.
- Supercomputer executes 10^{12} compares/second.

	insertion sort (N^2)			mergesort (N log N)			quicksort (N log N)		
computer	thousand	million	billion	thousand	million	billion	thousand	million	billion
home	instant	2.8 hours	317 years	instant	1 second	18 min	instant	0.6 sec	12 min
super	instant	1 second	1 week	instant	instant	instant	instant	instant	instant

Lesson 1. Good algorithms are better than supercomputers.

Lesson 2. Great algorithms are better than good ones.

Quicksort: best-case analysis

Best case. Number of compares is $\sim N \lg N$.

			a[ ]														
lo	j	hi	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
initial values			H	A	C	B	F	E	G	D	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
random shuffle			H	A	C	B	F	E	G	D	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
0	7	14	D	A	C	B	F	E	G	H	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
0	3	6	B	A	C	D	F	E	G	H	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
0	1	2	A	B	C	D	F	E	G	H	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
0		0	A	B	C	D	F	E	G	H	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
2		2	A	B	C	D	F	E	G	H	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
4	5	6	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
4		4	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
6		6	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	L	I	K	J	N	M	O
8	11	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J	I	K	L	N	M	O
8	9	10	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	N	M	O
8		8	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	N	M	O
10		10	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	N	M	O
12	13	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
12		12	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
14		14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
			A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O

Quicksort: worst-case analysis

Worst case. Number of compares is $\sim \frac{1}{2} N^2$.

			a[ ]														
lo	j	hi	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
initial values			A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
random shuffle			A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
0	0	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
1	1	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
2	2	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
3	3	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
4	4	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
5	5	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
6	6	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
7	7	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
8	8	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
9	9	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
10	10	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
11	11	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
12	12	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
13	13	14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
14		14	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
			A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O

Quicksort: average-case analysis

Proposition. The average number of compares C_N to quicksort an array of N distinct keys is $\sim 2N \ln N$ (and the number of exchanges is $\sim \frac{1}{3} N \ln N$).

Pf. C_N satisfies the recurrence $C_0 = C_1 = 0$ and for $N \geq 2$:

$$C_N = (N+1) + \left(\frac{C_0 + C_{N-1}}{N}\right) + \left(\frac{C_1 + C_{N-2}}{N}\right) + \ldots + \left(\frac{C_{N-1} + C_0}{N}\right)$$

partitioning; left; right; partitioning probability

- Multiply both sides by N and collect terms:

$$NC_N = N(N+1) + 2(C_0 + C_1 + \ldots + C_{N-1})$$

- Subtract from this equation the same equation for $N - 1$:

$$NC_N - (N-1)C_{N-1} = 2N + 2C_{N-1}$$

- Rearrange terms and divide by $N(N+1)$:

$$\frac{C_N}{N+1} = \frac{C_{N-1}}{N} + \frac{2}{N+1}$$

Quicksort: average-case analysis

- Repeatedly apply previous equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{C_N}{N+1} &= \frac{C_{N-1}}{N} + \frac{2}{N+1} \\
&= \frac{C_{N-2}}{N-1} + \frac{2}{N} + \frac{2}{N+1} \qquad \longleftarrow \text{substitute previous equation} \\
&= \frac{C_{N-3}}{N-2} + \frac{2}{N-1} + \frac{2}{N} + \frac{2}{N+1} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{5} + \ldots + \frac{2}{N+1}
\end{aligned}$$

- Approximate sum by an integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_N &= 2(N+1)\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots \frac{1}{N+1}\right) \\
&\sim 2(N+1)\int_3^{N+1} \frac{1}{x}\, dx
\end{aligned}$$



- Finally, the desired result:

$$C_N \sim 2(N+1)\ln N \approx 1.39 N \lg N$$

Quicksort: summary of performance characteristics

Quicksort is a (Las Vegas) randomized algorithm.

- Guaranteed to be correct.
- Running time depends on random shuffle.

Average case. Expected number of compares is $\sim 1.39\, N \lg N$.

- 39% more compares than mergesort.
- Faster than mergesort in practice because of less data movement.

Best case. Number of compares is $\sim N \lg N$.

Worst case. Number of compares is $\sim \frac{1}{2} N^2$.

[but more likely that lightning bolt strikes computer during execution]

Lightning strike interrupts a computer during execution

Date: Mon, Aug 15, 2016 at 7:03 AM
Subject: Re: [cluster-users] server room HVAC failure
To: Cluster Users

The data center is powered off. There is no local wired network connectivity. Wireless is working.

The rooftop fan unit took a direct lightning strike last night and damaged three out of four fan motors. These are heavy units to move and it is unlikely that service will be restored until later this afternoon. I will send a status update mid-afternoon.

--Scotty

Quicksort properties

Proposition. Quicksort is an in-place sorting algorithm.

Pf.

- Partitioning: constant extra space.
- Depth of recursion: logarithmic extra space (with high probability).

can guarantee logarithmic depth by recurring on smaller subarray before larger subarray (but requires using an explicit stack)

Proposition. Quicksort is not stable.

Pf. [by counterexample]

i	j	0	1	2	3
		B_1	C_1	C_2	A_1
1	3	B_1	C_1	C_2	A_1
1	3	B_1	A_1	C_2	C_1
0	1	A_1	B_1	C_2	C_1

Quicksort: practical improvements

Insertion sort small subarrays.

- Even quicksort has too much overhead for tiny subarrays.
- Cutoff to insertion sort for ≈ 10 items.

```
private static void sort(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi)
{
   if (hi <= lo + CUTOFF - 1)
   {
      Insertion.sort(a, lo, hi);
      return;
   }
   int j = partition(a, lo, hi);
   sort(a, lo, j-1);
   sort(a, j+1, hi);
}
```

Quicksort: practical improvements

Median of sample.

- Best choice of pivot item = median.
- Estimate true median by taking median of sample.
- Median-of-3 (random) items.

~ 12/7 N ln N compares (14% fewer)
~ 12/35 N ln N exchanges (3% more)

```
private static void sort(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi)
{
   if (hi <= lo) return;

   int median = medianOf3(a, lo, lo + (hi - lo)/2, hi);
   swap(a, lo, median);

   int j = partition(a, lo, hi);
   sort(a, lo, j-1);
   sort(a, j+1, hi);
}
```

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

2.3 Quicksort

- *quicksort*
- *selection*
- *duplicate keys*
- *system sorts*

Selection

Goal. Given an array of N items, find the k^{th} smallest item.

Ex. Min $(k = 0)$, max $(k = N - 1)$, median $(k = N / 2)$.

Applications.

- Order statistics.
- Find the "top k."

Use theory as a guide.

- Easy $N \log N$ upper bound. How?
- Easy N upper bound for $k = 1, 2, 3$. How?
- Easy N lower bound. Why?

Which is true?

- $N \log N$ lower bound? ← is selection as hard as sorting?
- N upper bound? ← is there a linear-time algorithm?

Quick-select

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

```
public static Comparable select(Comparable[] a, int k)
{
    StdRandom.shuffle(a);
    int lo = 0, hi = a.length - 1;
    while (hi > lo)
    {
       int j = partition(a, lo, hi);
       if      (j < k) lo = j + 1;
       else if (j > k) hi = j - 1;
       else            return a[k];
    }
    return a[k];
}
```



Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

select element of rank k = 5

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
50	21	28	65	39	59	56	22	95	12	90	53	32	77	33

k = 5

Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

partition on leftmost entry

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
50	21	28	65	39	59	56	22	95	12	90	53	32	77	33

k = 5

Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

partitioned array

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
22	21	28	33	39	32	12	50	95	56	90	53	59	77	65

k = 5

Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

can safely ignore right subarray

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
22	21	28	33	39	32	12	50	95	56	90	53	59	77	65

k = 5

Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

partition on leftmost entry

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
22	21	28	33	39	32	12	50	95	56	90	53	59	77	65

k = 5

Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

partitioned array

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
12	21	22	33	39	32	28	50	95	56	90	53	59	77	65

k = 5

Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

can safely ignore left subarray

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
12	21	22	33	39	32	28	50	95	56	90	53	59	77	65

k = 5

Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

partition on leftmost entry



Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

partitioned array

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
12	21	22	32	28	33	39	50	95	56	90	53	59	77	65

k = 5

Quick-select demo

Partition array so that:

- Entry `a[j]` is in place.
- No larger entry to the left of `j`.
- No smaller entry to the right of `j`.

Repeat in one subarray, depending on `j`; finished when `j` equals `k`.

stop: partitioning item is at index k

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
12	21	22	32	28	33	39	50	95	56	90	53	59	77	65

k = 5

Quick-select: mathematical analysis

Proposition. Quick-select takes linear time on average.

Pf sketch.

- Intuitively, each partitioning step splits array approximately in half: $N + N/2 + N/4 + \ldots + 1 \sim 2N$ compares.
- Formal analysis similar to quicksort analysis yields:

$$\begin{aligned} C_N &= 2N + 2k \ln (N/k) + 2(N-k) \ln (N/(N-k)) \\ &\leq (2 + 2 \ln 2) N \end{aligned}$$

- Ex: $(2 + 2 \ln 2) N \approx 3.38 N$ compares to find median ($k = N/2$).

Theoretical context for selection

Proposition. [Blum, Floyd, Pratt, Rivest, Tarjan, 1973] Compare-based selection algorithm whose worst-case running time is linear.



Time Bounds for Selection

by .

Manuel Blum, Robert W. Floyd, Vaughan Pratt,
Ronald L. Rivest, and Robert E. Tarjan

Abstract

The number of comparisons required to select the i-th smallest of n numbers is shown to be at most a linear function of n by analysis of a new selection algorithm -- PICK. Specifically, no more than 5.4305 n comparisons are ever required. This bound is improved for

Remark. Constants are high $\Rightarrow$ not used in practice.

Use theory as a guide.

- Still worthwhile to seek practical linear-time (worst-case) algorithm.
- Until one is discovered, use quick-select (if you don't need a full sort).

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

2.3 Quicksort

- *quicksort*
- *selection*
- *duplicate keys*
- *system sorts*

Duplicate keys

Often, purpose of sort is to bring items with equal keys together.

- Sort population by age.
- Remove duplicates from mailing list.
- Sort job applicants by college attended.

Typical characteristics of such applications.

- Huge array.
- Small number of key values.

```
Chicago 09:25:52
Chicago 09:03:13
Chicago 09:21:05
Chicago 09:19:46
Chicago 09:19:32
Chicago 09:00:00
Chicago 09:35:21
Chicago 09:00:59
Houston 09:01:10
Houston 09:00:13
Phoenix 09:37:44
Phoenix 09:00:03
Phoenix 09:14:25
Seattle 09:10:25
Seattle 09:36:14
Seattle 09:22:43
Seattle 09:10:11
Seattle 09:22:54
```

key

War story (system sort in C)

A beautiful bug report. [Allan Wilks and Rick Becker, 1991]

We found that qsort is unbearably slow on "organ-pipe" inputs like "01233210":

```
main (int argc, char**argv) {
   int n = atoi(argv[1]), i, x[100000];
   for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
      x[i] = i;
   for ( ; i < 2*n; i++)
      x[i] = 2*n-i-1;
   qsort(x, 2*n, sizeof(int), intcmp);
}
```

Here are the timings on our machine:

```
$ time a.out 2000
real    5.85s
$ time a.out 4000
real   21.64s
$time a.out 8000
real   85.11s
```

War story (system sort in C)

Bug. A `qsort()` call that should have taken seconds was taking minutes.

At the time, almost all `qsort()` implementations based on those in:

- Version 7 Unix (1979): quadratic time to sort organ-pipe arrays.
- BSD Unix (1983): quadratic time to sort random arrays of 0s and 1s.

Duplicate keys: stop on equal keys

Our partitioning subroutine stops both scans on equal keys.



Q. Why not continue scans on equal keys?



Partitioning an array with all equal keys

		a[ ]															
i	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
1	15	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
1	15	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
2	14	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
2	14	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
3	13	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
3	13	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
4	12	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
4	12	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
5	11	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
5	11	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
6	10	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
6	10	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
7	9	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
7	9	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	8	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
	8	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A

Duplicate keys: partitioning strategies

Bad. Don't stop scans on equal keys.

[$\sim \frac{1}{2} N^2$ compares when all keys equal]

B A A B A B B B C C C

A A A A A A A A A A A

Good. Stop scans on equal keys.

[$\sim N \lg N$ compares when all keys equal]

B A A B A B C C B C B

A A A A A A A A A A A

Better. Put all equal keys in place. How?

[$\sim N$ compares when all keys equal]

A A A B B B B B C C C

A A A A A A A A A A A

3-way partitioning

Goal. Partition array into three parts so that:

- Entries between `lt` and `gt` equal to the partition item.
- No larger entries to left of `lt`.
- No smaller entries to right of `gt`.



Dutch national flag problem. [Edsger Dijkstra]

- Conventional wisdom until mid 1990s: not worth doing.
- Now incorporated into C library `qsort()` and Java 6 system sort.

Dijkstra 3-way partitioning demo

- Let `v` be partitioning item `a[lo]`.
- Scan `i` from left to right.
  - `(a[i] < v)`: exchange `a[lt]` with `a[i]`; increment both `lt` and `i`
  - `(a[i] > v)`: exchange `a[gt]` with `a[i]`; decrement `gt`
  - `(a[i] == v)`: increment `i`

invariant



Dijkstra 3-way partitioning demo

- Let `v` be partitioning item `a[lo]`.
- Scan `i` from left to right.
  - `(a[i] < v)`: exchange `a[lt]` with `a[i]`; increment both `lt` and `i`
  - `(a[i] > v)`: exchange `a[gt]` with `a[i]`; decrement `gt`
  - `(a[i] == v)`: increment `i`



invariant



3-way quicksort: Java implementation

```
private static void sort(Comparable[] a, int lo, int hi)
{
   if (hi <= lo) return;
   int lt = lo, gt = hi;
   Comparable v = a[lo];
   int i = lo;
   while (i <= gt)
   {
      int cmp = a[i].compareTo(v);
      if      (cmp < 0) exch(a, lt++, i++);
      else if (cmp > 0) exch(a, i, gt--);
      else              i++;
   }

   sort(a, lo, lt - 1);
   sort(a, gt + 1, hi);
}
```



3-way quicksort: visual trace



Duplicate keys: lower bound

Sorting lower bound. If there are n distinct keys and the i^{th} one occurs x_i times, then any compare-based sorting algorithm must use at least

$$\lg \left(\frac{N!}{x_1!\ x_2! \cdots x_n!} \right) \sim -\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \lg \frac{x_i}{N}$$

$N \lg N$ when all distinct;
linear when only a constant number of distinct keys

compares in the worst case.

Proposition. The expected number of compares to 3-way quicksort an array is entropy optimal (proportional to sorting lower bound).

Pf. [beyond scope of course]

Bottom line. Quicksort with 3-way partitioning reduces running time from linearithmic to linear in broad class of applications.

Sorting summary

	inplace?	stable?	best	average	worst	remarks
selection	✔		$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	N exchanges
insertion	✔	✔	N	$\frac{1}{4} N^2$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	use for small N or partially ordered
shell	✔		$N \log_3 N$	?	$c\, N^{3/2}$	tight code; subquadratic
merge		✔	$\frac{1}{2} N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	$N \log N$ guarantee; stable
timsort		✔	N	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	improves mergesort when preexisting order
quick	✔		$N \lg N$	$2 N \ln N$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	$N \log N$ probabilistic guarantee; fastest in practice
3-way quick	✔		N	$2 N \ln N$	$\frac{1}{2} N^2$	improves quicksort when duplicate keys
?	✔	✔	N	$N \lg N$	$N \lg N$	holy sorting grail

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

2.3 Quicksort

- *quicksort*
- *selection*
- *duplicate keys*
- *system sorts*

Sorting applications

Sorting algorithms are essential in a broad variety of applications:

- Sort a list of names.
- Organize an MP3 library.
- Display Google PageRank results.
- List RSS feed in reverse chronological order.

← obvious applications

- Find the median.
- Identify statistical outliers.
- Binary search in a database.
- Find duplicates in a mailing list.

← problems become easy once items are in sorted order

- Data compression.
- Computer graphics.
- Computational biology.
- Load balancing on a parallel computer.

. . .

← non-obvious applications

Engineering a system sort (in 1993)

Bentley-McIlroy quicksort.

- Cutoff to insertion sort for small subarrays.
- Partitioning item: median of 3 or Tukey's ninther.
- Partitioning scheme: Bentley-McIlroy 3-way partitioning.

sample 9 items

similar to Dijkstra 3-way partitioning
(but fewer exchanges when not many equal keys)

Engineering a Sort Function

JON L. BENTLEY
M. DOUGLAS McILROY
AT&T Bell Laboratories, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, U.S.A.

SUMMARY

We recount the history of a new `qsort` function for a C library. Our function is clearer, faster and more robust than existing sorts. It chooses partitioning elements by a new sampling scheme; it partitions by a novel solution to Dijkstra's Dutch National Flag problem; and it swaps efficiently. Its behavior was assessed with timing and debugging testbeds, and with a program to certify performance. The design techniques apply in domains beyond sorting.

Very widely used. C, C++, Java 6, ...

A beautiful mailing list post (Yaroslavskiy, September 2009)

Replacement of quicksort in java.util.Arrays with new dual-pivot quicksort

```
Hello All,

I'd like to share with you new Dual-Pivot Quicksort which is faster than the
known implementations (theoretically and experimental). I'd like to propose
to replace the JDK's Quicksort implementation by new one.

...

The new Dual-Pivot Quicksort uses *two* pivots elements in this manner:

1. Pick an elements P1, P2, called pivots from the array.
2. Assume that P1 <= P2, otherwise swap it.
3. Reorder the array into three parts: those less than the smaller pivot,
   those larger than the larger pivot, and in between are those elements
   between (or equal to) the two pivots.
4. Recursively sort the sub-arrays.

The invariant of the Dual-Pivot Quicksort is:

[ < P1 | P1 <= & <= P2 } > P2 ]

...
```

http://mail.openjdk.java.net/pipermail/core-libs-dev/2009-September/002630.html

A beautiful mailing list post (Yaroslavskiy-Bloch-Bentley, October 2009)

Replacement of quicksort in java.util.Arrays with new dual-pivot quicksort

```
Date: Thu, 29 Oct 2009 11:19:39 +0000
Subject: Replace quicksort in java.util.Arrays with dual-pivot implementation

Changeset: b05abb410c52
Author:    alanb
Date:      2009-10-29 11:18 +0000
URL:       http://hg.openjdk.java.net/jdk7/tl/jdk/rev/b05abb410c52

6880672: Replace quicksort in java.util.Arrays with dual-pivot implementation
Reviewed-by: jjb
Contributed-by: vladimir.yaroslavskiy at sun.com, joshua.bloch at google.com,
jbentley at avaya.com

! make/java/java/FILES_java.gmk
! src/share/classes/java/util/Arrays.java
+ src/share/classes/java/util/DualPivotQuicksort.java
```

http://mail.openjdk.java.net/pipermail/compiler-dev/2009-October.txt

Dual-pivot quicksort

Use two partitioning items p_1 and p_2 and partition into three subarrays:

- Keys less than p_1.
- Keys between p_1 and p_2.
- Keys greater than p_2.



Recursively sort three subarrays.

degenerates to Dijkstra's 3-way partitioning

Note. Skip middle subarray if $p_1 = p_2$.

Dual-pivot partitioning demo

Initialization.

- Choose `a[lo]` and `a[hi]` as partitioning items.
- Exchange if necessary to ensure `a[lo]` $\leq$ `a[hi]`.

exchange a[lo] and a[hi]

Dual-pivot partitioning demo

Main loop. Repeat until `i` and `gt` pointers cross.

- If `(a[i] < a[lo])`, exchange `a[i]` with `a[lt]` and increment `lt` and `i`.
- Else if `(a[i] > a[hi])`, exchange `a[i]` with `a[gt]` and decrement `gt`.
- Else, increment `i`.



Dual-pivot partitioning demo

Finalize.

- Exchange `a[lo]` with `a[--lt]`.
- Exchange `a[hi]` with `a[++gt]`.



3-way partitioned

Dual-pivot quicksort

Use two partitioning items p_1 and p_2 and partition into three subarrays:

- Keys less than p_1.
- Keys between p_1 and p_2.
- Keys greater than p_2.



Now widely used. Java 7, Python unstable sort, Android, ...

Three-pivot quicksort

Use three partitioning items p_1, p_2, and p_3 and partition into four subarrays:

- Keys less than p_1.
- Keys between p_1 and p_2.
- Keys between p_2 and p_3.
- Keys greater than p_3.

$< p_1$	p_1	$\geq p_1$ and $\leq p_2$	p_2	$\geq p_2$ and $\leq p_3$	p_3	$> p_3$
↑ lo	↑ a1		↑ a2		↑ a3	↑ hi

Multi-Pivot Quicksort: Theory and Experiments

Shrinu Kushagra
skushagr@uwaterloo.ca
University of Waterloo

Alejandro López-Ortiz
alopez-o@uwaterloo.ca
University of Waterloo

J. Ian Munro
imunro@uwaterloo.ca
University of Waterloo

Aurick Qiao
a2qiao@uwaterloo.ca
University of Waterloo

System sort in Java 7

`Arrays.sort()`.

- Has one method for objects that are `Comparable`.
- Has an overloaded method for each primitive type.
- Has an overloaded method for use with a `Comparator`.
- Has overloaded methods for sorting subarrays.

Algorithms.

- Dual-pivot quicksort for primitive types.
- Timsort for reference types.

Q. Why use different algorithms for primitive and reference types?

Bottom line. Use the system sort!