COURSE EVALUATIONS

Essay Responses for ECON101010 for 2025 Wintr (2251)

Question ID: 22244

Please provide any additional comments you may have regarding the course.

Responses (6 of 6)

It was a very helpful course, I definitely enjoyed being in class physically as opposed to just doing online work all the time. The small class size made it very accessible to ask any questions that I had there and then instead of waiting until the end of the class or going at a different time to get further clarification. He gave lots of examples and did practice questions after we finished the majority of a topic, which definitely helped my understanding before moving onto the next thing. There wasn't too much homework and the work that was assigned was due at reasonable times. I don't really have that many complaints but I do wish we had more breaks given throughout class. It's a lot of material at once to comprehend and sitting for 100+ minutes sometimes makes my head hurt.

This instructor was very thorough in explaining the various topics, and he did a great job of ensuring everyone was on the same page after explaining something. While the slides used the lectures were comprehensive and easy to follow, there were times when homework problems required information that was not explained on the slides or in the lectures, so the biggest improvement that could be made, I think, would be to ensure that the lecture slides are as consistent with the homework problems and the textbook as possible. I liked the order in which concepts were covered in this course, as it allowed for a natural flow of understanding. There were never times when a concept was explained through other concepts that hadn't been covered yet which made the learning process smooth and cumulative. I will say that while some examples helped to clarify concepts, there were some examples that did not achieve this. This is the problem that stood out to me the most.

This course was structured well

Dr. Kamble was very helpful when classmates or I had questions, and would often go into detail just to ensure his students understood a concept. He also was very timely and descriptive with emails. I won't be taking an econ class again, but I hope any students that do will take his class!

My instructor, Prof. Kamble, was a wonderful educator; he was prepared daily. It was a long winter class, but he made it enjoyable to learn and made the learning environment positive. He was also very understanding when I had to miss a few classes, as I have a chronic illness. Additionally, he held office hours every day and was extremely helpful through that as well. I don't have any criticisms about the professor; however, I do have some criticisms about the course. I wish the final wasn't comunalitive, as it is a lot of information to digest in 3 1/2 - 4 weeks. I also wish there were more practice problems on Canvas, but I was able to find some through Macmillan learning, so it worked out. Other than that, this was a great class to take on campus in the winter. I thoroughly enjoyed the content and teaching style of Prof. Kamble.

The course was interesting in which it explains a lot more about the deeper meaning of economics than in previous classes I had that somewhat focused on economics.

COURSE EVALUATIONS

Brief Responses for ECON101010 for 2025 Wintr (2251) - Instructor Kamble,Vikrant Vilas

Brief Responses	Total = Responded / Enrolled

QID	Question	Response Statistics	
22220	The instructor communicated effectively.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.17 **Std Dev:** 0.75
22221	The instructor was accessible to me outside of the course.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55
22222	The amount of contact with the instructor was satisfactory (e.g. email, discussions, face-to-face meeting, etc.)	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.67 **Std Dev:** 0.52
22223	I felt comfortable interacting with the instructor and other students.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.67 **Std Dev:** 0.52
22229	My questions about Canvas were responded to promptly.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.33 **Std Dev:** 0.82
22230	My questions about course assignments were responded to promptly.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.84
22231	I was provided with supportive feedback related to course assignments.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55
22240	The instructor was respectful of students' ideas and views.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.67 **Std Dev:** 0.52
22242	The instructor provided a reasonable amount of flexibility regarding the completion of course assignments/exams.	Strongly Disagree — Strongly Agree 1 2 3 4 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE.

COURSE EVALUATIONS

Brief Responses for ECON101010 for 2025 Wintr (2251)

Brief Responses	Total = Responded / Enrolled

QID	Question	Response Statistics	
22224	This course included activities and assignments that provided students with opportunities to interact with one another.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 3.83 **Std Dev:** 0.98
22225	This course included interactive assignments and links to examples from the Web that directly involves me in the learning process.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55
22226	This course used realistic assignments and problem solving activities that were interesting and motivated me to do my best work.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55
22227	The course allowed me to take responsibility for my own learning.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55
22228	The course was used to stimulate thoughtful discussions.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.17 **Std Dev:** 0.98
22232	The course was structured to be user friendly.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.33 **Std Dev:** 0.52
22233	The course was designed to provide an efficient learning environment.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.33 **Std Dev:** 0.52
22234	The technology allowed me to complete my assignments efficiently.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.67 **Std Dev:** 0.52
22235	The course used examples that clearly communicated expectations for completing course assignments.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55
22236	This course provided good examples that helped to explain concepts and skills.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.83 **Std Dev:** 0.41
22237	The assignments for this course were of appropriate difficulty level.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.67 **Std Dev:** 0.52
22238	The course used realistic assignments and problem-solving activities related to situations that I am likely to encounter outside of this course in a future job situation.	Strongly Disagree　　　　Strongly Agree 1　　2　　3　　4　　5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55

		Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree			
22241	The course was designed so that technology would minimally interfere with learning.	1	2	3	4	5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55	
22243	This course used a variety of assignments and activities that allowed students to demonstrate understanding of critical course concepts.	Strongly Disagree 1	2	3	4	Strongly Agree 5	**Total:** 6 / 6 **Mean:** 4.5 **Std Dev:** 0.55	