

Vikram Thirumaran

Prof. Thanh H. Nguyen

CS 315

February 9th, 2025

HW4

Q1: Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

The Floyd-Warshall algorithm is a dynamic programming approach to finding the shortest paths between all pairs of vertices in a weighted graph. We update the distance matrix iteratively, considering each node as an intermediate vertex.

Algorithm Steps:

1. Initialize the distance matrix $D(0)$ with the given weight matrix W .
2. Iterate over each node k (acting as an intermediate node):
 - For each pair of nodes (i,j) , update:

$$D(k)[i][j] = \min(D(k-1)[i][j], D(k-1)[i][k] + D(k-1)[k][j])$$

3. Repeat until all nodes have been considered.

Step-by-Step Execution

Then I can compute matrices $D(0), D(1), \dots, D(n)$ iteratively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & \infty & \infty & -1 & \infty \\ 1 & 0 & \infty & 2 & \infty & \infty \\ \infty & 2 & 0 & \infty & \infty & -8 \\ -4 & \infty & \infty & 0 & 3 & \infty \\ \infty & 7 & \infty & \infty & 0 & \infty \\ \infty & 5 & 10 & \infty & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & \infty & \infty & -1 & \infty \\ 1 & 0 & \infty & 2 & \underline{0} & \infty \\ \infty & 2 & 0 & \infty & \infty & -8 \\ -4 & \infty & \infty & 0 & \underline{-5} & \infty \\ \infty & 7 & \infty & \infty & 0 & \infty \\ \infty & 5 & 10 & \infty & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & \infty & \infty & -1 & \infty \\ 1 & 0 & \infty & 2 & 0 & \infty \\ \underline{3} & 2 & 0 & \underline{4} & \underline{2} & -8 \\ -4 & \infty & \infty & 0 & -5 & \infty \\ \underline{8} & 7 & \infty & \underline{9} & 0 & \infty \\ \underline{6} & 5 & 10 & \underline{7} & \underline{5} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 W=P(W) & \rightarrow D(1) & \rightarrow D(2)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & \infty & \infty & -1 & \infty \\ \underline{-2} & 0 & \infty & 2 & \underline{-3} & \infty \\ \underline{0} & 2 & 0 & 4 & \underline{-1} & -8 \\ -4 & \infty & \infty & 0 & -5 & \infty \\ \underline{5} & 7 & \infty & 9 & 0 & \infty \\ \underline{3} & 5 & 10 & 7 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & \infty & \infty & -1 & \infty \\ -2 & 0 & \infty & 2 & -3 & \infty \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 & -1 & -8 \\ -4 & \infty & \infty & 0 & -5 & \infty \\ 5 & 7 & \infty & 9 & 0 & \infty \\ 3 & 5 & 10 & 7 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \underline{6} & \infty & \underline{8} & -1 & \infty \\ -2 & 0 & \infty & 2 & -3 & \infty \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 & -1 & -8 \\ -4 & \underline{2} & \infty & 0 & -5 & \infty \\ 5 & 7 & \infty & 9 & 0 & \infty \\ 3 & 5 & 10 & 7 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 D(3) & \rightarrow D(4) & \rightarrow D(5)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6 & \infty & 8 & -1 & \infty \\ -2 & 0 & \infty & 2 & -3 & \infty \\ \underline{-5} & \underline{-3} & 0 & \underline{-1} & \underline{-6} & -8 \\ -4 & 2 & \infty & 0 & -5 & \infty \\ 5 & 7 & \infty & 9 & 0 & \infty \\ 3 & 5 & 10 & 7 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} D(6)$$

Q2: Dynamic Programming Problems (Detailed Breakdown)

Each problem below follows the two-step dynamic programming process:

1. Defining the subproblem
2. Finding a recurrence relation, including the base case and recursive step.

Q2.1: Highway Sign Placement

We need to place highway signs at designated mileposts while minimizing the penalty for spacing violations.

Step 1: Define the Subproblem

Let $P(i)$ represent the minimum penalty for placing signs up to the i -th milepost.

- Given a list of mileposts m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n (where $m_1 = 0$ and m_n is the last post), we must ensure signs are placed every 30 miles or fewer.
- The penalty for placing two consecutive signs x miles apart is $(30-x)^2$

Step 2: Recurrence Relation

We determine the best placement by choosing the previous sign at an optimal location j , minimizing the accumulated penalty.

Base Case:

$$P(1) = 0$$

(Since we must place a sign at $m_1 = 0$, there is no penalty.)

Recursive Step:

$$P(i) = \min_{j < i} (P(j) + (30 - (m_i - m_j))^2)$$

- We iterate over all valid previous sign locations j , ensuring $m_i - m_j \leq 30$
- We minimize the total penalty accumulated from j to i .

Q2.2: Factory Location Planning

A company moves between two cities Brookings (B) and Chiloquin (C), incurring monthly operating costs and a fixed moving cost.

Step 1: Define the Subproblem

Define:

- $F_B(i)$ = Minimum cost of operating up to month i , ending in Brookings.
- $F_C(i)$ = Minimum cost of operating up to month i , ending in Chiloquin.

Given:

- Monthly costs:
 - $B=(b_1,b_2,\dots,b_n)$ (cost of being in Brookings each month)
 - $C=(c_1,c_2,\dots,c_n)$ (cost of being in Chiloquin each month)
- Moving cost M between the cities.

Step 2: Recurrence Relation

We consider two cases for each city:

1. Staying in the same city.
2. Moving to the other city (incurs a cost of M).

Base Case:

$$F_B(1) = b_1, F_C(1) = c_1$$

(Starting in either city has the direct cost of operating there for the first month.)

Recursive Step:

$$F_B(i) = \min(F_B(i-1) + B[i], F_C(i-1) + M + B[i])$$

$$F_C(i) = \min(F_C(i-1) + C[i], F_B(i-1) + M + C[i])$$

The cost of being in Brookings in month i comes from either staying in Brookings or moving from Chiloquin.

- The cost of being in Chiloquin in month i follows the same logic.

Q2.3: Maximum Coin Usage for Exact Change

We want to maximize the number of coins used to make exact change.

Step 1: Define the Subproblem

Let $M(Y)$ be the maximum number of coins that sum exactly to Y .

- Given a set of coin denominations (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)
- We can use unlimited copies of each coin.
- We need to find the maximum number of coins summing to Y (if possible).

Step 2: Recurrence Relation

Base Case:

$M(0)=0$ (Zero coins are needed to make value 0.)

$M(Y)=-\infty$, if $Y < \min(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ (If Y is smaller than the smallest coin, it cannot be formed.)

Recursive Step:

$$M(Y) = \max_{z_{\text{subi}} \leq Y} (1 + M(Y - z_i))$$

Idk how to do a subscript of a subscript sorry 😞

- We check all coins z_i that do not exceed Y .
- We take the maximum value across all possibilities.