## Bra approach

Viktor Morin <viktor.morin@outlook.com>

Wed 2024-05-22 16:20

To:Viktor Morin <viktor.morin@sourcein.se>

22:18　　　　　　　　　●●●●　📶　23

this idea is similar.

**P.S.** If you liked this, you will love The .NET Weekly newsletter. Join 49,000+ engineers to improve your .NET and software architecture skills.

Subscribe here → https://bit.ly/44BhYim

What do you think about this approach?

# Grouping by Type　　2/4

📁 Domain
|__ 📁 Core
|__ 📁 DomainEvents
|__ 📁 Entities
|__ 📁 Enumerations
|__ 📁 Exceptions
|__ 📁 Repositories
|__ 📁 Services
|__ 📁 ValueObjects

- Technical concepts don't have a meaning in the Domain
- Doesn't communicate intent (what the Domain is about)
- The cohesion with this folder structure is low
- Need to touch many files (and folders) to make changes

@MilanJovanović

SWIPE >>>

👍 122          👎                                          💬 5

View all posts

🏠 Home          Shorts          ➕          Subscriptions          You

22:18                                                   23

this idea is similar.

**P.S.** If you liked this, you will love The .NET Weekly newsletter. Join 49,000+ engineers to improve your .NET and software architecture skills.

Subscribe here → https://bit.ly/44BhYim

What do you think about this approach?

# Grouping by Feature 3/4

```
📁 Domain
|__ 📁 Core
|__ 📁 Events
|__ 📁 Friendships
     |__ 📁 DomainEvents
     |__ # Friendship.cs
     |__ # FriendshipRequest.cs
     |__ # FriendshipService.cs
     |__ # IFriendshipRepository.cs
|__ 📁 Invitations
|__ 📁 Users
```

- Better cohesion
- Domain communicates intent
- High coupling for a single feature
- Low coupling between unrelated features

Regards, Viktor Morin