

Rao-Blackwell Theorem & Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimators (MVUE) (not in text)

Recall Conditional Expectation:

$$E(X|Y=y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x|y) dx \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_X x f(x|y)$$

Notice this is a function of y , and if we let y range over all possible values, we get $E(X|Y)$ as a function of Y , so it's a RV.

similarly, $\text{Var}(X|Y=y)$ is a func of y , so $\text{Var}(X|Y)$ is a RV.

Note: ① $E(E(X|Y)) = E(X)$

② $\text{Var}(X) = E(\text{Var}(X|Y)) + \text{Var}(E(X|Y))$

Thm (Rao-Blackwell):

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample and let $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an unbiased estimator of parameter θ s.t. $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$ is finite. If U is a sufficient statistic for θ and $\hat{\theta}^* = E(\hat{\theta}|U)$, then for all θ ,

$$E(\hat{\theta}^*) = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}^*) \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}).$$

Proof: $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = E(\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}|U)) + \text{Var}(E(\hat{\theta}|U))$
 $\geq \text{Var}(E(\hat{\theta}|U))$
 $= \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}^*)$

$$E(\hat{\theta}^*) = E(\hat{\theta}|U) = E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta \quad \blacksquare$$

Remarks: ① by doing conditional expectation of an unbiased estimator given a sufficient statistic, we can obtain a better unbiased estimator.

② if $\hat{\theta}$ is a function of the sufficient statistic U , say $\hat{\theta} = h(U)$, then there is no new information in $\hat{\theta}^*$ so $\hat{\theta}^* = \hat{\theta}$.
 $(E(h(U)|U) = h(U) \text{ since if you know } U \text{ you know } h(U)).$

③ $\hat{\theta}^*$ is a function of U . so $\hat{\theta}^{**} = E(\hat{\theta}^*|U) = \hat{\theta}^*$ by ②.

- ④ many sufficient statistics for θ . Which to use? The minimal sufficient statistic. The statistic that best summarizes info in a sample about the parameter θ . The minimal sufficient statistic can be identified usually by the factorization theorem.

Def U is a minimal statistic for θ if for any \tilde{U} , U is a function of \tilde{U} .

Ex: $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{z_\theta} |x|^\theta \mathbb{1}_{\{x \in [1, \infty)\}}$

Sol: $f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{z_\theta} |x_i|^\theta \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i \in [1, \infty)\}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{z_\theta^n} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right|^\theta}_{g(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i, \theta)} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i \in [1, \infty)\}}}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$

So $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a sufficient statistic, but is not minimal.

Since $\left| \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right|$ is also sufficient but there is no function $|z| \rightarrow z$.
 \rightarrow minimal sufficient statistic.

In fact, if U is a minimal sufficient statistic & $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator, under a completeness condition,

$E(\hat{\theta} | U)$ is MVUE for θ .

Ex: $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\theta)$. find the MVUE for θ .

Sol: $f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x_i/\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)/\theta}$ so $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a minimal sufficient stat.

so find an unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$. take $\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}$ as unbiased estimator.

but \bar{X} is a function of $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, so $E(\bar{X} | U) = \bar{X}$ must be MVUE for θ .

Problem 3 on practice exam:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \underbrace{(3\theta)^{\sum x_i} e^{-3n\theta}}_{g(\sum x_i, \theta)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\prod x_i!}}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

take $U = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, it best summarizes the data.

$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{3} \bar{X}$ is unbiased for θ , so $E(\frac{1}{3} \bar{X} | \sum X_i)$ is MVUE.

but $\frac{1}{3} \bar{X}$ is a function of $\sum X_i$ so $E(\frac{1}{3} \bar{X} | \sum X_i) = \frac{1}{3} \bar{X}$ is MVUE.

Exercise: $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2x}{\theta} e^{-x^2/\theta} & x > 0 \\ 0 & o.w. \end{cases}$
find MVUE for θ .

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{2x_i}{\theta} e^{-x_i^2/\theta} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)^n}_{\text{}} \underbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)}_{\text{}} \underbrace{e^{-\sum x_i^2/\theta}}_{\text{}} \quad \text{so } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \text{ is a min suff. est.}$$

Note that:

$$E(\sum x_i^2) = n E(x_i^2) = n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2x^3}{\theta} e^{-x^2/\theta} dx = n \left(-e^{-x^2/\theta} (t - x^2) \right) \Big|_0^{\infty} = nt$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \int \frac{2x^3}{\theta} e^{-x^2/\theta} dx = \int u \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-u/\theta} dx = -u e^{-u/\theta} + \int e^{-u/\theta} du \\ u = x^2, du = 2x dx \\ \text{Side Calculation} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} = -u e^{-u/\theta} + \theta e^{-u/\theta} \\ = -e^{-x^2/\theta} (t - x^2) \end{array}$$

So $\frac{\sum x_i^2}{n}$ is an unbiased estimator for θ .

therefore $E\left(\frac{\sum x_i^2}{n}, \sum x_i^2\right)$ is MVUE. But $\frac{\sum x_i^2}{n}$ is a func
of $\sum x_i^2$ so this = $\frac{\sum x_i^2}{n}$ is MVUE.