

Call $\{c(a+di)^i : 0 \leq i, i < k\}$ a G -A progression of length k .

If $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$, is it true that one C_i is GAP rich?

Yes: use Hales-Jewett theorem.

Any multiplicatively large set is GAP rich too.

Ex: there is no field of triples in \mathbb{R}

$$H = \{a + bi + cj + dk : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\text{w/ } i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \quad \begin{array}{c} i \nearrow \\ k \leftarrow j \end{array}$$

$$a + bi + cj + dk = (a + bi) + (c + di)j$$

$$\text{Shortcut: } a + bi \approx \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$z + wj \approx \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{determinant} = |z|^2 + |w|^2 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$$

Euler's Theorem: if x & y are sums of 4 squares, $x \cdot y$ is a sum of 4 squares.

Pf: & check that $\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & w_1 \\ -\bar{w}_1 & \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_2 & w_2 \\ -\bar{w}_2 & \bar{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_3 & w_3 \\ -\bar{w}_3 & \bar{z}_3 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$-w_2 \quad -z_2 \quad -w_3 \quad -z_3$$

$$a+bi+cj+dk = a-bi-cj-dk$$

Cayley's Octaves

Frobenius: the only finite-dimensional division algebras over \mathbb{R}
are: $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$.

For Midterm:

Ch9: Just definitions (Hamiltonian cycles, Traveling Salesman Problem).

Ch10: Important stuff (König-Egermayer thm, Dilworth thm, Menger thm)

↳ thm 10.1.1 (König) know to prove

10.3.1 (marriage) know to prove.

Ch11: thm 11.2.1 (know to prove). Convexity stuff

Ch12: thm 12.2.2 (use Euler's formula to prove)
thm 12.2.1

Ch14: Steiner Systems (14.4.1, 14.4.2)

Magic/Latin Squares

Codes

Class formulated stuff (Hales-Jewett, ergodic theorem, Székely thm)
prove (von Neumann - Birkhoff thm on bistochastic matrices)
(i.e. $\#$ is normal)

Ergodic Szemerédi theorem:

\forall probability space X , any probability-preserving map $T: X \rightarrow X$
any $A \subset X$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, and any $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-Kn}A) > 0$$

Poincaré
recurrence
theorem

$\xrightarrow{\text{Poincaré recurrence}}$ If $\forall A \subset X$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{corollary}}$ then for a.e. $x \in A$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $T^n x \in A$. } exercise

Tatiana & Paul Shreft est