Lec 10/4

Tuesday, October 4, 2016 9:11 AM

Sequential formulations of CA.

Definition. Let [an] be a sequence.

Let $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of indices (in N). We then form the sequence $\{\alpha_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ (Composition of functions) we call $\{a_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ a subsequence of $\{\alpha_{n_i}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and we say that $\{a_{n_i}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ contains the subsequence $\{a_{n_i}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Examples: {azn}: subsequence of even terms.
{azn-1}: subsequence of odd terms.

Observation/Lemma n; > j

Proposition: If lim an = L, then lim an; = L for any subsequence.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then for some N $n > N \Rightarrow |\alpha_n - L| < \varepsilon$

50 j>N => |an; -1 | < 8

because n; 7 j.

Monotone Convergence Property (MCP)

1) every bounded l'acreasing sequence has a limit

2) decreasing

(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) because {an} increasing \Leftrightarrow {-an} decreasing and $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 1$ \Leftrightarrow $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = -L$.

Theorem 1 MCP = CA Proof: =: Since CA (>> LUBP it suffices to show that LUBP => MCP Let [an3 be bounded and increasing. Consider the set S = 3 an: n=13 Sis bounded above so sups = L exists by LUBP A we show That like an = L Let 270 be given. Then L- E is not an upper bound for S. so L > an > L-2 for some N. Then for n>N, Lyanzan>L-& 50 an-L/< 8 >: Since CA⇔ NIP & IR has no infinitesimals. # first slow MCP => IR has no infinitesimals => lin = 0 () 3 mil is decreasing and bounded 50 MCP implies like = L exists. { 1 | 3 m | 15 a subsequence of { 1 3 m = 1 because missis a los a subsequence => 11km 1/+1 = L. $O = L - L = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n(n+1)} \right) = L$ 40 L=0 and R has no infinitesimals. * NOW Show MCP => NIP Let In = [an, bn] and I, \leftilde I_2 \in \tau_2 \in \dots and $\lim_{n\to\infty} (b_n - a_n) = 0$ Then {a,3 is a bounded in orensing sequence So MCP Says I'm an = L exists.

and Lébn for all n

So $L \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ Condition that $(b_n - a_n) \longrightarrow 0$ implies $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ contain two distinct elements. So $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \{L_j^2\}$

Bolzaro-Weierstrauss Property (BWP)

Every bounded sequence contains a subsence that has a limit

Theorem 2: CA & BWP

Definition: We say that an index N is a peak point of Earling, if appear for all n>N

Proof of Lemm: If there are infinitely many peak points,

Then there is a strictly decreasing subsequence
(take the successive peak points).

Otherwise, there is a (nonstrictly) in creasing

subsequence (go to last peak point 4...).

Proof of Thm 2: (A \iff MCP, so it suffices to blow MCP \iff BWP.

if {an} is a bounded sequence, it has a monotonic subsequence (which is also bounded).

So by MCP, this subsequence has a limit.

if {an} is bounded monotonically, then BWP |

Implies | lim an = L for some subsequence {an}?

So lim an = L

Cauchy Completeness Property (CCD)

Every cauchy sequence converges.

Definition: a sequence {an3 is a cauchy sequence if $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \text{ s.t. } |a_n - a_m| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n, m > N.$ Intuitively: Sequence bunches up.

Theorem 3: CA & CCP and R has no infinitesimals.

Proof: =>: CA => BWP so it suffices to show that BWP >> CCP Let Ean3 be a cauchy sequence.

> * We will show Ears is bounded first. Let z=1. then find an index N sit.

 $|\alpha_m - \alpha_n| < | \forall_{n,m} > N$

Let $B_1 = \max(a_1, a_2, ..., a_N)$ $B = B_1 + 1$

Then $|a_m| \leq B_1 \leq B$ for $m \leq N$ $|a_m| \leq |a_N| + |a_m - a_N| \leq B_1 + 1 = B$ for $m \geqslant N$.

so Ean3 is bounded.

So by BWP, lim on; = L exists for some subsequence.

Now we will show lim a = L.

Let 270 be given. Then for some J, $|a_{n_j}-a_{n_j}| \leq \frac{c_2}{2}$ for $j \geqslant J$.

and for some N, $|\alpha_m - \alpha_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for } m, n \geqslant N.$

let M = max {N, n, 3

Suppose
$$n \geqslant M$$
. Then
$$|\alpha_n - L| \leq |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n_M}| + |\alpha_{n_M} - L|$$

$$|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n_M}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{since } n \geqslant M, \, n_m \geqslant M$$

$$|\alpha_{n_M} - L| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{since } M \geqslant n_j \geqslant j$$

$$|\alpha_n - L| \leq \varepsilon$$

$$* and we already know $CA \implies R$ has no infinitesimals.
$$E: \quad \text{USE NIP.}$$$$