

## Lec 3/6

Monday, March 6, 2017 15:02

four steps to test a hypothesis.

1: formulate  $H_0, H_1$ .

2: (method 1): use samp. dist to determine CR of size  $\alpha$ .

(method 2): specify test statistic.

⋮

Ex: RS  $n=20$ ,  $\bar{x}=4.05$ ,  $s=0.2$ . evidence that  $\mu > 4$  at level  $\alpha=0.05$ ?

Sol. 1:  $H_0: \mu = 4$ .  $H_1: \mu > 4$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

2: test stat  $t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$

↑

(1)

↓

↑  
(2)  $t$  distributed.

CR:  $t \geq t_{\alpha, n-1} = t_{0.05, 19} = 1.729$

3: (1)  $t = \frac{4.05 - 4}{0.2/\sqrt{20}} = 1.118$

(2) P-value = 0.13875

$\hookrightarrow P(t_{19} > 1.118)$

4: (1)  $t \notin$  CR so not evidence to reject  $H_0$ .

(2) p-value  $> 0.05$  so " " "

## § 13.3 tests w/ Diffs of means

Pop. 1:  $\mu_1, \sigma_1$ . Pop 2:  $\mu_2, \sigma_2$ . assume both Normally distributed.

take independent samples of size  $n_1, n_2$  from 2 populations.

Want to test hypothesis about relation b/w  $\mu_1$  &  $\mu_2$ .

typically consider  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$ .  $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ .

or more general:  $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \delta$   $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq \delta$ .

We can show that LRT in this setting is based on the statistic  $Z = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - \delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$

and the test rejects  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq \delta$  2-sided  
1-sided  
1-sided

if  $|Z| \geq Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  or  $Z \geq Z_{\alpha}$  or  $Z \leq -Z_{\alpha}$  depending on  $H_1$ .

Q what if  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  not known or populations not normal.

if  $n_1, n_2$  large then can use  $\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$  so

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - \delta}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}} \stackrel{\text{approx}}{\sim} N(0,1) \text{ still.}$$

Ex:

Data:

| group       | n  | $\bar{x}$ | s     |
|-------------|----|-----------|-------|
| 1 treatment | 31 | 51.48     | 11.01 |
| 2 control   | 33 | 41.52     | 17.15 |

$\alpha = 0.05$ .

suff. to state treatment  
is improving?

1:  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$   $H_1: \mu_1 > \mu_2$

2: statistic  $Z = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}} \stackrel{\text{approx}}{\sim} N(0,1)$

CR:  $Z \geq Z_{\alpha} = 1.68$

3:  $Z = 2.781$

$P = 0.0027$

4:  $Z \in CR$ ,  $P > \alpha$  so this is sufficient to conclude treatment has effect.

If  $n_1, n_2$  are small &  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2$  not known.

- If we can assume  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma$ , and pops are normal, then use t-test. (see sec 11.3, LRT)

$$\text{Stat is } t = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - \delta}{S_P \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \quad \text{where } S_P^2 = \frac{(n_1-1)S_1^2 + (n_2-1)S_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

$$\text{Under } H_0, \quad t \sim \underbrace{t_{n_1+n_2-2}}_{\text{d.f.}}$$

so we reject  $H_0$  i.f. of  $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq \delta$   $\begin{matrix} 2\text{-sided} \\ 1\text{-sided} \\ 1\text{-sided} \end{matrix}$  if  $|t| > t_{\alpha/2, n_1+n_2-2}$   
if  $t > t_{\alpha, n_1+n_2-2}$   
if  $t < -t_{\alpha, n_1+n_2-2}$

Q: What if we can't assume  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ ? No simple formula.

Methods are available, see references @ end of textbook section.

Q: What about independence assumption?

Another common design involves "paired" data.