

$$K' = \{e : \Phi_e^K(e) \downarrow\}$$

$$\Phi_e^K(e) \downarrow \iff \exists \sigma (\sigma = \chi_K \text{ and } \Phi_e^\sigma(e) \downarrow)$$

$$\exists \sigma (\exists s \sim \wedge \forall s' \sim \wedge \exists s'' \Phi_{\sigma s}^\sigma(e) \downarrow)$$

$$\exists \exists \exists \forall$$

$$\therefore K' \in \Sigma_2$$

$$INCOMP = \{ \langle a, b \rangle : W_a \text{ and } W_b \text{ are incomparable} \}$$

$$= \{ \langle a, b \rangle : \forall e, \Phi_e^A \neq \chi_B \text{ and } \Phi_e^B \neq \chi_A \}$$

$$= \{ \langle a, b \rangle : \forall e, \exists \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \Phi_e^A(x_1) \neq \chi_B(x_1) \text{ and } \Phi_e^B(x_2) \neq \chi_A(x_2) \}$$

$$= \{ \langle a, b \rangle : \forall e, \exists \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \forall s, \exists \dots$$

at most in Π_4 .

Trade-off Lemma $\forall A$ and n , $\sum_{n+1}^A = \sum_n^{A'}$

DEFN For each $n \geq 0$, let

$$\text{poly } \Sigma_n = \{ \{x : \exists y_1 \forall y_2 \dots \exists y_n \exists p(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) \} : p \text{ is computable in poly-time wrt } |x|\}$$

$$\text{poly } \Pi_n = \{ \bar{A} : A \in \text{poly } \Sigma_n \}$$

$$\text{poly } \Sigma_0 = P, \text{ poly } \Sigma_1 = NP.$$

$$\text{Clique} \in \text{poly } \Sigma_1$$

$$\text{MAX-CLIQUE} = \{ \langle G, k \rangle : \text{the largest clique in } G \text{ has size } k \}$$

$$= \{ \langle G, k \rangle : \exists \text{ guess}^0 \forall \text{ guesses}^1 \exists \text{ guess}^0, \quad 1 \text{ is not a clique, } 0 \text{ is, and } |0| = k \}.$$

$$\in \text{poly } \Sigma_2$$

$$\text{CRAZY-CLIQUE} = \{ \langle G, k \rangle : \text{each } V' \subseteq V \text{ s.t. } |V'| = \frac{|V|}{2} \text{ induces a subgraph in } G \text{ whose largest clique has size } k \}$$

↑
final
exam

$$\in \text{poly } \Pi_3$$

Theorem 1: if $\text{poly } \Sigma_n = \text{poly } \Pi_n$ then $\text{poly } \Sigma_n = \text{poly } \Sigma_{n+1} = \dots$

Theorem 2: $\forall n \geq 1, A \in \text{poly } \Sigma_n \Rightarrow A$ can be computed in poly-space

Theorem 3: $\forall n \geq 1, \exists$ a $\text{poly } \Sigma_n$ -complete language & a $\text{poly } \Pi_n$ -complete language (under \leq_p reduction).

Sacks Splitting Theorem: Let A be r.e. but not recursive. Then A can be partitioned into incomparable r.e. sets B & C .