

(X, \mathcal{A}, μ) a measure space.

Riesz-Fischer Theorem: $L^2(\mu)$ is complete.

Propn Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\mu)$. Then (f_n) has a subsequence (f_{n_k}) s.t. $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ a.e.

pf $\int |f - f_n|^2 d\mu = \|f - f_n\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0$. Hence \exists natural numbers $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ such that $\forall k, \forall n \geq n_k, \int |f - f_n|^2 d\mu \leq 2^{-k}$.

In particular, for each $k, \int |f - f_{n_k}|^2 d\mu \leq 2^{-k}$.

$$\int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f - f_{n_k}|^2 d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int |f - f_{n_k}|^2 d\mu \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 1 < \infty.$$

So $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f - f_{n_k}|^2$ is finite a.e.

Let $X_1 = \left\{ x \in X : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f - f_{n_k}|^2 < \infty \right\}$.

Then $\mu(X \setminus X_1) = 0$, and $\forall x \in X_1$,

$|f(x) - f_{n_k}(x)|^2 \rightarrow 0$, so $f_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$, so $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ a.e. \square

Corollary of Proof: Let $f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in L^2(\mu)$ s.t. $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_2^2 < \infty$.

Corollary of Proof: Let $f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in L^2(\mu)$. Suppose $\sum_n \int |f - f_n|^2 d\mu < \infty$,

Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e.

Propn Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\mu)$ and $f_n \rightarrow g$ a.e. Then $f = g$ a.e.

Pf Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\mu)$, (f_n) has a subsequence (f_{n_k}) s.t.

$f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ a.e. but $f_{n_k} \rightarrow g$ a.e. too, so $f = g$ a.e.

(since the union of two μ -null sets is μ -null).

□

Propn Let (f_n) be an orthogonal sequence in $L^2(\mu)$.

Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_2^2 < \infty$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ converges a.e. to f .

Then $f \in L^2(\mu)$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ converges to f in $L^2(\mu)$, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2.$$

pf Let $g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k$. We have $g_n \rightarrow f$ a.e., by assumption.

For $m < n$, we have $\|g_m - g_n\|_2^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^n f_k \right\|_2^2 \stackrel{(f_n) \text{ is orthogonal}}{=} \sum_{k=m+1}^n \|f_k\|_2^2 \xrightarrow{m, n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

because $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_2^2 < \infty$. Thus the sequence (g_n)

is Cauchy in $L^2(\mu)$. Hence, by the Riesz-Fischer

Theorem, $\exists g \in L^2(\mu)$ s.t. $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(\mu)$.

But, by assumption, $g_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. So $g = f$ a.e.

by the previous proposition. Hence $f \in L^2(\mu)$ and

$g_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\mu)$,

$$\text{For each } n, \|g_n\|_2^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\|_2^2.$$

Since $g_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(\mu)$, $\|g_n\|_2 \rightarrow \|f\|_2$ because

$$-\|f - g_n\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2 - \|g_n\|_2 \leq \|f - g_n\|_2$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & \downarrow \text{squeeze} & \downarrow \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\text{So } \|g_n\|_2^2 \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2, \text{ and so } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_2^2 \rightarrow \|f\|_2^2. \quad \square$$

This ties up the loose end in our proof
of Wald's 2nd Equation.

Martingales

Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a filtration.

To say $(M_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale w.r.t. (\mathcal{F}_n) means

that (M_n) is an (\mathcal{F}_n) -adapted sequence of \int -ble real RVs such that for each n , for each $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

$$E(M_{n+1}; A) = E(M_n; A)$$

Remark If (M_n) is a mtg wrt a filtration (\mathcal{F}_n) , then for each $n \geq 0$ and for each $k \geq 1$ and for each

$$A \in \mathcal{F}_n, \quad E(M_{n+k}; A) = E(M_n; A)$$

eg Let (S_n) be a RW in \mathbb{R} wrt a filtration (\mathcal{F}_n) .

Suppose $E(|S_1|) < \infty$ and $E(S_1) = 0$.

Then (S_n) is a martingale wrt (\mathcal{F}_n)

pf Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Then $E(\underbrace{S_{n+1} - S_n}_{X_{n+1} \perp A}; A) = E(S_{n+1} - S_n) \cdot P(A) = 0$. □

eg A Symmetric simple RW on \mathbb{Z} is a mtg.

eg Let X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots be integrable real RVs adapted to a filtration (\mathcal{F}_n) .

Suppose for each $n \geq 1$, X_n is indep of \mathcal{F}_{n-1} .

(a) Suppose $\forall n \geq 1, E(X_n) = 0$. Let $S_n = \sum_{k \leq n} X_k$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Then (S_n) is a martingale wrt (\mathcal{F}_n) .

(b) Suppose instead that $\forall n \geq 1, E(X_n) = 1$. Let $M_n = \prod_{k \leq n} X_k$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then M_n is a martingale wrt (\mathcal{F}_n) .

pf (a) essentially already done, see last example

(b) X_1, \dots, X_n are independent.

$$\text{So } E(|M_n|) = E(|X_1| \cdots |X_n|) = E(|X_1|) \cdots E(|X_n|) = 1 < \infty,$$

So M_n is integrable. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

$$E(M_{n+1}; A) = E(\underbrace{X_{n+1}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{ind. of} \\ \mathcal{F}_n}} \underbrace{M_n 1_A}_{\mathcal{F}_n\text{-meas}}) = E(X_{n+1}) \cdot E(M_n 1_A) = E(M_n; A). \quad \square$$

eg let (S_n) be an asymmetric simple RW on \mathbb{Z} , and let $\xi_n = S_n - S_{n-1}$.

Let $p = P(\xi_1 = 1)$, $q = P(\xi_1 = -1)$. Then $p + q = 1$.

Assume $\frac{1}{2} < p < 1$. Define φ on \mathbb{Z} by $\varphi(x) = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^x$.

Then the sequence $(\varphi(S_n))$ is a martingale wrt

the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(S_0, \dots, S_n) = \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n))$.

pf $\varphi(S_n) = \prod_{k \leq n} X_k$ where $X_k = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\xi_k}$.

$$E(X_k) = \frac{q}{p} \cdot P(\xi_k = 1) + \frac{p}{q} \cdot P(\xi_k = -1) = q + p = 1.$$

Martingale Transforms

Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a filtration.

Let $(M_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a mtye wrt (\mathcal{F}_n) .

Let $(H_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a predictable process

Where for each n , H_n is a banded real RV.

(predictable means for each $n \geq 1$, H_n is \mathcal{F}_{n-1} -mble)

By defn,

$$(H \cdot M)_n = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n H_k (M_k - M_{k-1}) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$