## Lec 10/5

Wednesday, October 5, 2016 9:14 AM

Theorem 3: CA = CCP & R has no infinitesimals

proof: =>: proved yesterday. (using Bwp => CA)

€: Giace CA ⇔ NIP & IR has no infinitesimals.

it suffices to show that CCP > NIP.

Let  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_n - b_n| = 0$ .

Then Ean3 forms a cauchy sequence

if mon tum amc[an, bn], so am-an < bn-an < 2 . if no N.

So lim an = L exists.

an < L < b, for all n for all n (proof by contradiction).

So  $L \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_n$  and because  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n - b_n| = 0$ ,

There cannot be two distinct elements in II.

## Summary

(A ⇔ LUBP ⇔ GLBP ⇔ NIP & no infinitesimals ⇔ MCP ⇔ BWP ⇔ CCP & no infinitesimals. (⇔ IVT ⇔ EVT) ← Problem A m HW 6.

Remark

on ordered field with no infinitesimals is called archimedian.

Otherwise it is called non-archimedian.

archimedian fields EIR

There are ultra-non-archimedian fields:

For any countable set of positive elements { \( \xi\_1, \xi\_2, ... \) \}

There is a positive element w>0 s.t. w< ?; Vi.

Non-archimetran is this Property for one such S= {1, \frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3},...}

In an ultra-non-archimedian field, any Cauchy sequence {a,3} is eventually constant:  $a_N = a_{N+1} = a_{N+2} = \cdots$ 

hence i't converges trivially. So the field satisfies CCP but has lots of infinitesimals.

If  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence that is not eventually constant, then we can find a subsequence  $\{a_n\}$  s.t.  $a_n\} \neq a_n$  if  $j \neq k$ . If  $\{a_n\}$  is cauchy, so is any subsequence, but  $\{|a_n\} - a_{n+1}| : j = 1, 2, ... \}$  is a countable set of positive elements, so there is a  $\omega$  s.t.  $|a_n\} - a_{n+1}| < \omega$  so  $\{a_n\}$  is not cauchy.

## Diff evential Calculus

Definition:  $f'(\alpha) = \lim_{x \to \alpha} \frac{f(x) - f(\alpha)}{x - \alpha}$ 

is the derivative of fat a (if it exists and is finite).

Note: this requires that f is defined on an open interval containing a.

Also:  $f'(\alpha) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\alpha + h) - f(\alpha)}{h}$ 

obtained by substituting x = a+h in the previous definition.

to snow these definitions are the same, technical point:

Define  $G(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} & \text{if } x \neq a, x \in down(f) \\ f'(a) & \text{if } x = a. \end{cases}$ 

Then  $\lim_{x\to a} G(x) = f'(a) = G(a)$ 

by substitution/ composition than for limits:

in the the test

by substitution/ composition than for limits:

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a)}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0} G(a+h) = G(\lim_{h\to 0} (a+h)) = G(a) = f'(a).$$

$$f(x) = \chi^{3/2} = (\sqrt{\chi})^3$$
 defined on  $(0, \infty)$ . Since  $f'(\alpha)$  requires  $f'(\alpha)$  be defined on an open interval around  $\alpha$ , we must assume  $\alpha > \alpha$ .

$$f'(\alpha) = \lim_{x \to \alpha} \frac{x^{3/2} - \alpha^{3/2}}{x - \alpha} = \lim_{x \to \alpha} \frac{x^3 - \alpha^2}{(x - \alpha)(x^{3/2} + \alpha^{3/2})}$$

$$= \lim_{x \to \alpha} \frac{(x - \alpha)(x^2 + x + \alpha^2)}{(x - \alpha)(x^{3/2} + \alpha^{3/2})} = \lim_{x \to \alpha} \frac{x^2 + \alpha x + \alpha^2}{x^{3/2} + \alpha^{3/2}} \quad \text{(by localization principle)}$$

$$= \frac{3\alpha^2}{2\alpha^{3/2}} \quad \text{(check that } f \text{ is continuous at } \alpha\text{)}.$$

$$= \frac{3}{2} \alpha^{1/2}$$

Work to show  $f(x) = \chi^{3/2}$  is continuous  $\forall \alpha \neq 0$ . ( $|\chi \chi \rangle^{3/2} = \alpha^{3/2} \quad \forall \alpha \neq 0$ )

Since  $\chi^{3/2} = (\sqrt{\chi})^2$ , it suffices to show that  $\sqrt{\chi}$  is continuous  $\forall \alpha \neq 0$ .

given  $\mathcal{C} \neq 0$ , want to fin  $\sqrt{\chi} \neq 0$  s.t.  $|\chi - \alpha| < \delta \Rightarrow \chi_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$  or  $\sqrt{\chi} \neq 0$ 

$$|\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{a}| = |\frac{x - a}{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{a}}| = |\frac{x - a}{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{a}}| < |\frac{x - a}{\sqrt{a}}| < \varepsilon \iff |x - a| < |\overline{a}| \varepsilon$$
(bose  $S = \min(a, \varepsilon \sqrt{a})$ 

Proof: 
$$f'(\alpha)$$
 exists  $\Rightarrow$   $f$  is continuous at  $\alpha$ .

Proof: if  $f'(\alpha)$  exists, then
$$\lim_{x \to \alpha} (f(x) - f(\alpha)) = \lim_{x \to \alpha} (x - \alpha) \frac{f(x) - f(\alpha)}{x - \alpha}$$

$$= 0 f'(\alpha) = 0$$

$$\lim_{x \to \alpha} f(x) = f(\alpha)$$