M: R-module

Theorem: The intersection of any collection of submodules of M is a submodule.

Proof If  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  in the submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules of  $\{N_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of submodules o

Definition: The sum of a family {Ne] of submodules is the set of finite sums  $U_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + U_{\alpha_K}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_K \in \Lambda$  and  $U_{\alpha_i} \in N_{\alpha_i}$ .

Notation: ZNa.

Theorer: ZNa is a submodule

Definition: Let SCM. The minimal submodule of M containing S is called the submodule generated by S.

This submodule is RS = finite linear combinations of S w wefficeents from R.

If M=RS for some S, we say S is a generalized set of M (" of generalized).

If S is finite, M is finitely general ed.

If M=Ru for some u & M, then Mis cyclic.

If  $\{N_{\alpha}\}$  is a system of submodules her  $R(\bigcup_{\alpha}N_{\alpha})=\sum_{\alpha}N_{\alpha}$ .

Factorization: Let N be a submodule of M. The factor module (or quoti'ent module)  $\frac{M}{N} \text{ is the set } \{u+N:u\in M\} \text{ if cosets if N in M with } \\ a(u+N) = au+N \ .$ 

Example: if I is a left ideal of ring R<sub>1</sub> R/I is a left R-module. Subexample: Let R = Mat<sub>nin</sub> (F). Let I =  $\binom{0}{1} \times 1$  is a left ideal. So R/I =  $\binom{1}{1}$  (first columns of matrices) is an R-module.

For (an R-module by left multiplication of matrices).

Torsion Elements: Let M be an R-module. WEM is a torsion element if JaeR,  $a \ne 0$ , s.t. au = 0.

Example: G'abelian gromp = G is a Z-motore. g - ng. torsion elements are elements of finite order.

If u, v are torsion elements, au=0, bv=0 for  $a, b\neq 0$ ,

then  $ab(u+b)\stackrel{?}{=}0$ . If R is commutative, yes. If R is not, maybe no b.

also, if ab=0 then we don't have foreston.

theorem If R is an integral domain, then torsion elements of M form the torsion submodule.

Notation: Tor(M)

(punterexamples: ① R has zero divisors. ② R is non-commutative
① R=M=Z<sub>6</sub>. Torsion elements are {0, 2, 3, 4} - not a subgroup be 3-Z=1.
② Next time.

If M=Tor(M), M is called a torsion module. If Tor(M)=0, M is said to be torsion-free.

Theorem: If R is an integral domain, M/Tor(m) is torsion-free.

Proof: Let  $a \overline{u} = \overline{0}$  for  $u \in M$ ,  $\overline{u} = u + \overline{1} \overline{0} r(m)$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $\overline{a} \overline{u} = a u + \overline{1} \overline{0} r(m) = \overline{0}$ So  $a u \in Tor(M)$ . So  $\exists b \neq 0$  s.l. b a u = 0, and  $b a \neq 0$  so  $u \in Tor(M)$  so  $\overline{u} = \overline{0}$ .

Page 2

Annihilators: Let M be an R-module, SSM. The Annihilator of S in R is  $\{a \in R: aS = 0 \ (as = o \ \forall s \in S)\} = Ann (S)$ .

Unim: Ann(S) is a left ideal of R.

St: Wivial.

Claim: if N is a submodule of M, Ann(N) is a 2-sided ideal.

of Exercise.

In particular, Ann(M) is a 2-sided ideal in R.

tum R/Aun(M) is a ring, and M is a module over this ring.

Det: Let SER, the annihilator of Sin Mis Ann(S) = { htm: Su=0}.

Claris if Sica right ideal in R. Ann(s) is a submodule of M.