

PID R , $M \cong R^n$, $N \subseteq M$ submodule.

Then N is free of rank $k \leq n$, and \exists basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ in M
and elements $a_1, \dots, a_k \in R$ s.t. $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_k$ and $\{a_1 u_1, \dots, a_k u_k\}$ is a basis in N .

vector spaces

$$W \subseteq V \Rightarrow \exists U \text{ s.t. } W \oplus U = V.$$

in M , put $K = R\{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n\}$. Then $M = \tilde{N} \oplus K$ where

$\tilde{N} = R\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ and \tilde{N}/N is a torsion module.

$$\tilde{N}/N \cong R/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_k)$$

Let $\varphi: K \rightarrow M$ be a homom (M & K free of finite rank).

Let $N = \varphi(K)$.

Let $L = \text{Ker } \varphi \subseteq K$. So \exists basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ in K s.t. $\{b_1 v_1, \dots, b_\ell v_\ell\}$ is a basis in L .

but L is complete so $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ is a basis in L .

$K = L \oplus P$ and $P \cong N = \varphi(K)$.

Choose a basis in N as in the theorem.

take corresponding elements in P .

Then the matrix of φ will be

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} a_1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_k & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

So \forall matrix A over R , \exists invertible P & Q s.t.

$$\underset{m \times n}{P} \underset{m \times n}{A} \underset{n \times n}{Q} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} a_1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_k & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \text{ and, moreover, } a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_k.$$

Or: any matrix over a PID can be reduced to this form by row-column operations.

Let R be Euclidean Domain: $a, b \neq 0 \Rightarrow a = bq + r$ w/ $r = 0$ or $|r| < |b|$. euclidean
norm

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} a_1 & 0 \dots 0 \\ \hline 0 & \\ \vdots & \\ 0 & \end{array} \right) \quad *$$

① put minimal element of matrix at $(1,1)$ by row & column switching.

② If this minimal element doesn't divide an element of first column or row, subtract a multiple of first row or column to get a smaller element

③ repeat ① and ② until $(1,1)$ element divides every element of first row & column.

④ subtract multiples of first row/column to get 0's in all (i,j) and $(i,1)$ with $i \neq 1 \neq j$.

⑤ induct.

do we have $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_k$? Not necessarily.

The algorithm must be complicated.

Theorem: if M is a finitely generated R -module (R is PID),

then $M \cong R^l \oplus R/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m)$ where $l = \text{rank } M$

Smith Lemma

Then $M \cong R^l \oplus R/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m)$ where $l = \text{rank } M$

and a_1, \dots, a_m are nonzero, non-unit elements of R

such that $a_1 | \dots | a_m$. These numbers a_1, \dots, a_m

are called the invariant factors of M & are unique up to multiplication by units.

existence

uniqueness

Special Case $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Any finitely generated

abelian group is $\cong \mathbb{Z}^l \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{a_m}$

with $a_1 | \dots | a_m$

(finite $\Rightarrow l=0$)

Corollary: $M \cong (\text{free module}) \oplus \text{Tor}(M)$

Corollary: If M is torsion-free, M is free.

existence part of proof of Theorem: Let K be a free module of rank n such

that $\varphi: K \rightarrow M$ is epimorphism ($n = \# \text{ generators of } M$)

let $N = \text{Ker } \varphi$. Find basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ in K and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$

s.t. $a_1 | \dots | a_n$ and $\{a_1 u_1, \dots, a_n u_n\}$ is a basis in N .

Then $K/N \cong R/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_n) \oplus R^{n-k}$.

if $a_i \in R^\times$ for some i , then $R/(a_i) = 0$ and

can be removed from the sum. So

$$K/N \cong R/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(a_m) \oplus R^{n-k}$$

where a_i are not units. Also, $M \cong K/N$.

$$\forall i, a_i = p_{i,1}^{r_{i,1}} \cdot \dots \cdot p_{i,s}^{r_{i,s}} \quad - \text{ distinct primes in } R$$

$$\text{Then } R/(a_i) \cong R/(p_{i,1}^{r_{i,1}}) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(p_{i,s}^{r_{i,s}})$$

So another decomposition is

$$M = R/(p_1^{r_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus R/(p_s^{r_s}) \oplus R^l \quad \text{where}$$

p_i are not necessarily distinct and r_i are integers.

$$\text{eg } \mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_{12} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$$

$p_i^{r_i}$ are the elementary divisors of M , and they are uniquely defined
and this implies a_1, \dots, a_m are uniquely defined.