

REPORT DATE
18 Jan 2021
ORDERED TEST #
ORD-0991490-01



ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Brain glioblastoma (GBM)

DATE OF BIRTH 10 November 1990 SEX Female MEDICAL RECORD # Not given

PHYSICIAN

MEDICAL FACILITY Arias Stella ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None MEDICAL FACILITY ID 317319 PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN

SPECIMEN SITE Brain
SPECIMEN ID Q35284-2D
SPECIMEN TYPE Block
DATE OF COLLECTION 07 November 2020
SPECIMEN RECEIVED 09 January 2021

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - Cannot Be Determined **Tumor Mutational Burden** - 0 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

BRAF V600E - subclonal[†]
EGFR kinase domain duplication
IDH1 R132H
PIK3CA H1047R - subclonal[†]
ATRX R808*
TP53 H179Q

1 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: PDGFRA

† See About the Test in appendix for details.

16 Therapies with Clinical Benefit

35 Clinical Trials

O Therapies with Lack of Response

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

Microsatellite status - Cannot Be Determined

Tumor Mutational Burden - 0 Muts/Mb

ACTIONABILITY

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section



GENOMIC FINDINGS	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)
BRAF - V600E - subclonal	none	Regorafenib 2A
		Dabrafenib
		Dabrafenib + Trametinib
		Encorafenib + Binimetinib
		Selumetinib
		Trametinib
		Vemurafenib
10 Trials see p. 17		Vemurafenib + Cobimetinib
EGFR - kinase domain duplication	none	Afatinib
		Dacomitinib
		Erlotinib
		Gefitinib
6 Trials see p. 19		Osimertinib
<i>IDH1 -</i> R132H	none	Ivosidenib
9 Trials see p. 20		
PIK3CA - H1047R - subclonal	none	Everolimus
10 Trials see p. 22		Temsirolimus
		NCCN category

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIALS OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

ATRX - R808* p. 8 *TP53* - H179Q p. 9

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient, nor are they ranked in order of level of evidence for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.

BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT

Cannot Be Determined

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

On the basis of prospective clinical evidence in multiple solid tumor types, MSI and associated increased mutational burden¹⁻² may predict sensitivity to anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors²⁻⁶, including the approved therapies nivolumab (alone or in combination with ipilimumab)⁷⁻⁹, pembrolizumab¹⁰⁻¹¹, atezolizumab, avelumab, and durvalumab³⁻⁵. As the MSI status of this tumor is unknown, the relevance of these therapeutic approaches is unclear.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Low-level MSI has been reported in 5-9% of glioblastoma (GBM) samples¹²⁻¹⁴. A large-scale study did not find high-level microsatellite instability (MSI-H) in any of 129 GBM samples¹², although a small-scale study reported MSI-H in 4 of 15 pediatric GBMs and 1 of 12 adult GBMs¹⁵. The frequency of MSI has been reported to be increased in relapsed compared to primary GBM¹², in GBMs with a previous lower grade astrocytoma¹³, and in giant cell GBM compared to classic GBM¹⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁶. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a

result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH₂, MSH₆, or PMS₂¹⁶⁻¹⁸. The level of MSI in this sample could not be determined with confidence. Depending on the clinical context, MSI testing of an alternate sample or by another methodology could be considered. While approximately 80% of MSI-H tumors arise due to somatic inactivation of an MMR pathway protein, about 20% arise due to germline mutations in one of the MMR genes16, which are associated with a condition known as Lynch syndrome (also known as hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer or HNPCC)¹⁹. Lynch syndrome leads to an increased risk of colorectal, endometrial, gastric, and other cancers19-21 and has an estimated prevalence in the general population ranging from 1:600 to 1:2000²²⁻²⁴. Therefore, in the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2 is recommended.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 0 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1²⁵⁻²⁷, anti-PD-1 therapies²⁵⁻²⁸, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab²⁹⁻³³. In glioma, a lack of association between TMB and clinical benefit from immune checkpoint inhibitors has been reported^{25,34-35}. However, multiple case studies have reported that patients with ultramutated gliomas driven by POLE mutations have benefited from treatment with

anti-PD-1³⁶⁻³⁷ or anti-PD-L1³⁸ therapies. Therefore, although increased TMB alone may not be a strong biomarker for PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors in this cancer type, these agents may have efficacy for patients with glioma harboring both high TMB and POLE mutation.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Glioblastoma (GBM) harbors a median TMB of 2.7 mutations per megabase (muts/Mb), and 4.2% of cases have high TMB (>20 muts/Mb)³⁹. For pediatric patients, high TMB has been reported in a subset of high-grade gliomas, frequently in association with mutations in mismatch repair or proofreading genes and in TP₅₃, whereas BRAF alterations or other oncogene fusions were observed more frequently in brain tumors harboring low TMB⁴⁰⁻⁴¹. Increased TMB has been reported to correlate with higher tumor grade in glioma⁴² and glioblastoma (GBM) tissue samples with biallelic mismatch repair deficiency (bMMRD)³⁶, as well as with shorter OS of patients

with diffuse glioma43.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma44-45 and cigarette smoke in lung cancer^{11,46}, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁴⁷⁻⁴⁸, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁴⁹⁻⁵³, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{49,52-53}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents^{25,34-38}.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

BRAF

ALTERATION V600E - subclonal

TRANSCRIPT ID

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT 1799T>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 2.3%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

BRAF V600 mutations activate MEK-ERK signaling and are associated with sensitivity to BRAF V600 mutant-specific inhibitors such as vemurafenib⁵⁴, dabrafenib⁵⁵, and encorafenib⁵⁶; the combination of BRAF V600-mutant-selective inhibitors with MEK inhibitors such as encorafenib plus binimetinib⁵⁷, vemurafenib plus cobimetinib⁵⁸⁻⁵⁹, or dabrafenib plus trametinib60-62; MEK inhibitors such as trametinib63-65, cobimetinib66, binimetinib67, and selumetinib68-70: multikinase inhibitors that have activity against BRAF such as regorafenib⁷¹⁻⁷²; and ERK inhibitors⁷³. A Phase 2 trial of selumetinib reported partial response (PR) in 32% (8/25) of pediatric patients with BRAF-mutant pilocytic astrocytoma, including 2 with BRAF V600E and 6 with a KIAA1549-BRAF fusion⁶⁹. A Phase 1 trial of the ERK1/2 inhibitor ulixertinib reported PRs in 3/19 previously treated and 1/2 newly diagnosed patients with BRAF V600E-mutant melanoma, 3/12 patients with BRAF-mutant lung

cancer (2 with V600E and 1 with L597Q), and 4/ 21 patients with other BRAF-mutant cancers (2 with G469A, 1 with V600E, and 1 with L485W); 2 patients with BRAF V600E mutations also experienced CNS response74. BRAF inhibitors can induce adverse effects such as the development of cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas (SCC), keratoacanthomas, and new primary melanomas caused by inactivation of wild-type BRAF and leading to paradoxical activation of the MAPK pathway^{54-55,75}. Meta-analysis confirmed a reduced risk of developing cutaneous SCC with combined BRAF- and MEK-inhibition relative to BRAFinhibitor monotherapy 76 . A Phase 1/2 trial of PLX8394, a next-generation BRAF inhibitor predicted to not induce paradoxical MAPK pathway activation⁷⁷⁻⁷⁸, reported PRs in patients with BRAF V600E-mutant tumors, specifically in glioma (3/4), papillary thyroid carcinoma (1/9), colorectal cancer (1/10), and ovarian cancer $(1/1)^{79}$.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

BRAF V600E has been reported in 0.6-6% of GBM samples⁸⁰⁻⁸⁴, with one study reporting BRAF V600E in 54% (7/13) of epithelioid glioblastomas⁸⁵. BRAF mutation has been detected in 60-89% of patients with pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (PXA) and, additionally, has been reported in patients with GBM arising from PXA^{83,86-88}. In various studies, BRAF alterations have been reported in 1-3% of gliomas including low grade gliomas^{47,80-82,84,89} and glioblastomas (GBM)⁹⁰. Studies have reported conflicting results as to whether BRAF mutations, including V600E, are more likely to be found in lower grade astrocytomas or higher grade glioblastomas^{80,82,91},

and the frequency of the BRAF V600E mutation in astrocytoma reported in the literature varies by cohort⁹²⁻⁹⁴. BRAF V600E is not strongly associated with prognosis in patients with astrocytoma^{92,94}. While one study associated KIAA1549-BRAF fusion with improved prognosis in pediatric patients with low grade astrocytomas⁹⁵, others reported no significant association between BRAF rearrangements and outcome⁹⁶⁻⁹⁹.

FINDING SUMMARY

BRAF encodes a member of the RAF family of protein kinases, which includes ARAF, BRAF, and CRAF. These kinases function downstream of RAS as part of the MAPK (RAF-MEK-ERK) signaling cascade that facilitates cell proliferation, survival and transformation 100-101. BRAF mutations have been reported in up to 20% of all cancers, with the majority of mutations occurring at the V600 position¹⁰²⁻¹⁰³. Among the V600 mutations, V600E accounts for 70-80% of observations, V600K for 10-30%, and V600R for 5-7%, with V600D comprising the majority of the rest102,104-105. Mutations at V600 have been shown to constitutively activate BRAF kinase and hyperactivate the downstream MEK-ERK signaling, promoting oncogenic transformation^{102,106}. In multiple cancer types, multiple mutations at V600, including V600E, V6ooK, V6ooR, V6ooD, and V6ooM exhibited $sensitivity\ to\ V60o-targeted\ the rapies^{54-55,105,107-115};$ other mutations at this position are predicted to behave similarly.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

EGFR

ALTERATION kinase domain duplication

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

EGFR activating mutations may predict sensitivity to EGFR TKIs, including erlotinib¹¹⁶, gefitinib¹¹⁷, afatinib¹¹⁸, dacomitinib¹¹⁹, and osimertinib¹²⁰. Third-generation EGFR inhibitors, such as osimertinib, selectively target mutated EGFR, including EGFR $T_{790}M^{120-121}$. Necitumumab is an anti-EGFR antibody that is approved to treat metastatic squamous NSCLC in combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin122-123 that has also shown benefit in patients with CRC and melanoma¹²⁴⁻¹²⁵. Irreversible EGFR inhibitors, as well as HSP90 inhibitors, may be appropriate for patients with de novo or acquired resistance to EGFR-targeted therapy¹²⁶⁻¹²⁹. Preclinical studies have reported that EGFR-mutant cells¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸, including cells with exon 20 insertions¹³⁰, are sensitive to HSP90 inhibitors. Consistent with preclinical data demonstrating that the EGFR inhibitor AZD3759 is capable of penetrating the blood-brain barrier and reducing the volume of brain and leptomeningeal metastases, preliminary results from a Phase 1 trial evaluating single-agent AZD3759 reported a reduction in the volume of brain metastases in 40.0% (8/20) of patients with previously treated NSCLC harboring either EGFR L858R or EGFR exon 19 deletion, including 3

confirmed PRs and 3 unconfirmed PRs $^{131\text{--}132}.$ In a Phase 1/2 trial for advanced NSCLC, the brainpenetrant third-generation EGFR TKI lazertinib enabled ORRs of 54.3% (69/127) for all evaluable patients and 44.4% (8/18, intracranial) for patients with brain metastases¹³³. The reovirus Reolysin targets cells with activated RAS signaling¹³⁴⁻¹³⁶ and is in clinical trials in patients with some tumor types. Reolysin has demonstrated mixed clinical efficacy, with the highest rate of response reported for patients with head and neck cancer137-145. A Phase 1 trial of ABT-414, an EGFRtargeted antibody-drug conjugate with a toxic payload, in patients with GBM reported 2 complete responses (CR) and 5 partial responses (PR) in 18 patients with EGFR amplification (39% response rate); no CR or PR were observed in 28 patients without EGFR amplification¹⁴⁶. A clinical study of patients with GBM treated with gefitinib or erlotinib found no correlation between EGFR amplification or mutation and response to the therapy, but sensitivity to EGFR kinase inhibitors was associated with the co-expression of the EGFRvIII alteration and PTEN147. Activation of multiple ERBB family receptors or activation of the PI₃K pathway may be responsible for resistance to EGFR-targeted therapy in GBM; therefore, inhibition of ERBB family members or treatment with PI_3K/AKT inhibitors or mTOR inhibitors such as everolimus or temsirolimus in combination with an EGFR-targeted treatment, may be a therapeutic option148-149.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the TCGA datasets, EGFR alterations have been

reported in 25% of gliomas, and are more frequently detected in glioblastoma (54%) than in lower grade gliomas (9%)90,150-151. In the glioblastoma TCGA dataset, putative high-level amplification of EGFR has been found in 48% of cases, and mutation has been found in 21% of cases90. Missense mutations in the EGFR extracellular domain have been found in 10-15% of GBMs and approximately half have a low-level amplification of the mutated allele 152-153. No definitive correlation has been identified between EGFR amplification and length of survival in patients with GBM154-155; however, EGFR amplification has been associated with prolonged survival in patients over the age of 60 with GBM¹⁵⁶.

FINDING SUMMARY

EGFR encodes the epidermal growth factor receptor, which belongs to a class of proteins called receptor tyrosine kinases. In response to signals from the environment, EGFR passes biochemical messages to the cell that stimulate it to grow and divide157. The EGFR rearrangement seen here is an EGFR kinase domain duplication (EGFR-KDD). EGFR-KDDs, including in-frame tandem duplications of exons 18-25 or exons 18-26, have been shown to be activating and oncogenic¹⁵⁸⁻¹⁶¹. Other EGFR-KDD alterations, such as duplication of exons 17-25 or exons 14-26, have been observed in patients with lung adenocarcinoma or lung squamous cell carcinoma, respectively¹⁶². A patient with lung adenocarcinoma with an EGFR exon 18-25 duplication had a PR to afatinib¹⁵⁸.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

IDH1

ALTERATION R132H

TRANSCRIPT ID NM_005896

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT 395G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 37.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

IDH1 mutations that lead to production of 2-HG, most commonly R132 alterations, may predict sensitivity to IDH1-mutation-specific inhibitors such as ivosidenib¹⁶³. A Phase 1b/2 study of the IDH1 inhibitor olutasidenib for patients with IDH1-mutated glioma reported a DCR of 50% (n=24) with 1 PR¹⁶⁴. A Phase 1 study of the pan-IDH1/IDH2 inhibitor vorasidenib for patients with IDH1- or IDH2-mutated glioma reported an

ORR of 18.2% (4/22; RANO criteria) and median PFS of 31.4 months for non-enhancing cases and median PFS of 7.5 months for the overall glioma population (n=52)165. Preclinical studies suggested that IDH1 neomorphic mutations may also confer sensitivity to PARP inhibitors 166-169. In a Phase 1 trial of the PD-L1 inhibitor atezolizumab in patients with glioblastoma (GBM), 2 of the 3 patients with IDH1-mutant tumors experienced clinical benefit (1 PR and 1 long-term SD; the third patient experienced short-term SD), whereas none of the 8 patients with IDH1-wild-type GBM experienced benefit (8/8 PD); significantly longer PFS and a trend toward longer OS were observed in the patients with IDH1-mutated tumors compared to the patients with IDH1-wild-type tumors38. Preclinical data indicate that IDH1-mutated glioma may be sensitive to the glutaminase inhibitor telaglenastat in combination with radiotherapy¹⁷⁰.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

IDH1 mutation is characteristic of low-grade gliomas and secondary glioblastoma, and is

relatively rare in primary glioblastoma¹⁷¹⁻¹⁷⁵. In the TCGA datasets, IDH1 mutation has been found in 77% of lower grade glioma cases and in 5% of glioblastoma cases⁸⁹⁻⁹⁰. Several studies have found IDH1 mutations to be associated with improved prognosis and longer PFS and OS in patients with various types of glioma including anaplastic astrocytoma and GBM¹⁷⁵⁻¹⁸².

FINDING SUMMARY

The isocitrate dehydrogenases IDH1 and IDH2 encode highly homologous enzymes that are involved in the citric acid (TCA) cycle and other metabolic processes, playing roles in normal cellular metabolism and in protection against oxidative stress and apoptosis¹⁸³. R132 is located within the active site of IDH1 and is a hotspot for mutations in cancer¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁷. Substitutions at IDH1 R132 alter the enzymatic activity of IDH1, resulting in the production of the oncometabolite, D-2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG)¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁸⁹, which promotes tumorigenesis^{185,190-193}.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

PIK3CA

ALTERATION H1047R - subclonal TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_006218

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

3140A>G

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

7.7%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Clinical and preclinical data in various tumor types indicate that PIK3CA activating alterations may predict sensitivity to therapies targeting PI₃K or AKT194-195. On the basis of clinical benefit for patients with PIK3CA mutations and preclinical evidence, PIK3CA-mutated tumors may also respond to mTOR inhibitors, including everolimus and temsirolimus196-201. In a Phase 1 trial of the dual PI₃K/mTOR kinase inhibitor apitolisib, 79% (11/14) of patients with PIK3CA-mutated advanced solid tumors experienced disease control at the recommended Phase 2 dose (3/14 PRs, 8/14 SDs)²⁰². A patient with previously treated HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer harboring a PIK3CA H1047R alteration achieved an exceptional response with the pan-class I PI3K

inhibitor copanlisib²⁰³. However, studies of copanlisib and the pan-class I PI3K inhibitor buparlisib have demonstrated limited efficacy against PIK3CA-mutated tumors²⁰⁴⁻²¹⁰. PI₃Kalpha-selective inhibitors such as alpelisib or PI₃K-beta-sparing inhibitors such as taselisib may have bigger therapeutic windows than pan-PI₃K inhibitors¹⁹⁵. In PIK₃CA-mutated advanced solid tumors, alpelisib and taselisib have achieved low ORRs (0% [0/55] to 6% [7/111]) but high DCRs $(55\% [36/55] \text{ to } 58\% [64/111])^{211}$. AKT inhibitors ipatasertib and capivasertib have also been tested in breast cancer. Two Phase 2 studies have reported improved PFS from the addition of either ipatasertib (9.0 vs. 4.9 months, HR = 0.44) or capivasertib (9.3 vs. 3.7 months, HR = 0.30) to paclitaxel in metastatic triple-negative breast cancer harboring PIK3CA/AKT1/PTEN alterations, compared with paclitaxel and placebo²¹². Responses to capivasertib were also reported in 20% (3/15) of patients with PIK3CAmutated breast cancer in an earlier study²¹³. However, a Phase 1 trial reported no PFS benefit for patients with PIK₃CA-mutated, ER+/HER₂metastatic breast cancer from the addition of capivasertib to paclitaxel compared with paclitaxel plus placebo (10.9 vs. 10.8 months)214. Activating mutations in PIK₃CA may confer resistance to HER2-targeted therapies; combined inhibition of HER2 and the PI3K pathway may be required in HER2-positive tumors with PIK3CA

mutation²¹⁵⁻²¹⁹.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

PIK₃CA mutations have been reported in 9% of glioblastoma samples analyzed in the TCGA dataset90, and other studies report the incidence of PIK3CA mutations in primary glioblastomas as $5-18\%^{220-222}$. PIK₃CA mutations have been reported in 5-23% of high-grade gliomas (including glioblastomas, anaplastic astrocytomas, and anaplastic oligodendrogliomas)151,220-223. While another study did not observe PIK3CA mutations in low-grade astrocytomas or in anaplastic astrocytomas, it did report high ERK and AKT activity²²². Although the effects of PIK₃CA alterations on prognosis in gliomas has not been directly studied (PubMed, Feb 2020), activated PI₃K signaling has been shown to be associated with poor outcome in GBM patients²²⁴.

FINDING SUMMARY

PIK₃CA encodes p₁₁₀-alpha, which is the catalytic subunit of phosphatidylinositol ₃-kinase (PI₃K). The PI₃K pathway is involved in cell signaling that regulates a number of critical cellular functions, including cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, motility, and survival²²⁵⁻²²⁶. PIK₃CA alterations that have been characterized as activating, such as observed here, are predicted to be oncogenic²²⁷⁻²⁴⁶.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

ATRX

ALTERATION R808*

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000489

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

2422C>T

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 44.9%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

No targeted therapies are available to address ATRX inactivation. Although ATR inhibition is being investigated as a potential therapeutic approach in the context of ALT, a preclinical study demonstrated that ATRX inactivation is not sufficient to confer sensitivity to ATR inhibitors²⁴⁷. However, ATRX-deficient GBM cells were sensitive to the double-strand break-inducing agents doxorubicin, irinotecan, and topotecan but not single-strand break-inducing agents such as temozolomide²⁴⁸. Preclinical

evidence suggests that ATRX may be required for CDK4/6 inhibitors to be most effective²⁴⁹.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

Somatic mutation of ATRX has been reported in a number of solid tumor types, often associated with ALT²⁵⁰. ATRX mutation correlating with ALT has been reported in 10-20% of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs)²⁵⁰⁻²⁵², 12.6% of pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas²⁵³, and 48% of adolescent and young adult (AYA) patients with glioblastoma (GBM) or neuroblastoma²⁵⁴⁻²⁵⁸. ATRX loss in PNET251,259 and melanoma260 and mutation in other neuroendocrine tumors²⁵³ is associated with poor prognosis. Pediatric patients with high-grade glioma and ATRX mutation were shown to have more aggressive disease but are more responsive to treatment with double-strand break therapy²⁴⁸. ATRX mutation or loss of expression is more frequent in Grade 2/3 astrocytoma and secondary GBM than primary GBM, oligodendroglioma, and oligoastrocytoma²⁶¹⁻²⁶⁴ and has been proposed as a distinguishing biomarker²⁶²⁻²⁶⁴. ATRX mutation has not been detected in concurrence with MYCN amplification in glioma and neuroblastoma²⁵⁵⁻²⁵⁸. Low-grade gliomas with both IDH1/2 mutation

and ATRX mutation are associated with worse prognosis than those with IDH1/2 mutation but no ATRX mutation 262 . Loss of ATRX protein expression has been reported in 33-39% of incidences of leiomyosarcoma (LMS) associating with ALT, a poor prognostic factor across all LMS subtypes, and with poor prognosis in extrauterine LMS but not in uterine LMS $^{265-266}$.

FINDING SUMMARY

ATRX encodes a SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling protein implicated in histone variant H_{3·3} deposition, transcriptional regulation, and telomere maintenance²⁶⁷⁻²⁶⁸. ATRX inactivation or loss of expression is associated with alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT)^{250,266,269-270}. Alterations that disrupt the ADD domain (aa 167-270) or helicase domain (aa 2010-2280) of ATRX are predicted to result in loss of ATRX function is not sufficient to induce ALT, which requires other undetermined factors^{247,267}. Germline mutations in ATRX give rise to alpha-thalassemia X-linked intellectual disability syndrome (ATR-X syndrome)²⁷⁴.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

TP53

ALTERATION H1790

TRANSCRIPT ID NM_000546

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 81.2%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

There are no approved therapies to address TP53 mutation or loss. However, tumors with TP53 loss of function alterations may be sensitive to the WEE1 inhibitor adavosertib²⁷⁵⁻²⁷⁸, or p53 gene therapy and immunotherapeutics such as SGT-53²⁷⁹⁻²⁸³ and ALT-801²⁸⁴. In a Phase 1 study, adayosertib in combination with gemcitabine, cisplatin, or carboplatin elicited PRs in 9.7% (17/ 176) and SDs in 53.4% (94/176) of patients with solid tumors; the response rate was 21.1% (4/19) in patients with TP53 mutations versus 12.1% (4/ 33) in patients who were TP53 wild-type²⁸⁵. A Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with chemotherapy (gemcitabine, carboplatin, paclitaxel, or doxorubicin) reported a 31.9% (30/ 94, 3 CR) ORR and a 73.4% (69/94) DCR in patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian, Fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer²⁸⁶. A smaller Phase 2 trial of adavosertib in combination with carboplatin achieved a 42.9% (9/21, 1 CR) ORR and a 76.2% (16/21) DCR in patients with platinum-refractory TP53-mutated ovarian cancer²⁸⁷. The combination of adavosertib with paclitaxel and carboplatin in patients with TP53-mutated ovarian cancer also significantly increased PFS compared with paclitaxel and carboplatin alone²⁸⁸. In the Phase 2 VIKTORY trial, patients with TP53-mutated metastatic and/ or recurrent gastric cancer experienced a 24.0% (6/25) ORR with adayosertib combined with paclitaxel²⁸⁹. A Phase 1 trial of neoadjuvant adavosertib in combination with cisplatin and docetaxel for head and neck squamous cell

carcinoma (HNSCC) elicited a 71.4% (5/7) response rate for patients with TP53 alterations²⁹⁰. In a Phase 1b clinical trial of SGT-53 in combination with docetaxel in patients with solid tumors, 75.0% (9/12) of evaluable patients experienced clinical benefit, including 2 confirmed and 1 unconfirmed PRs and 2 instances of SD with significant tumor shrinkage²⁸³. Additionally, the combination of a CHK1 inhibitor and irinotecan reportedly reduced tumor growth and prolonged survival in a TP53-mutated, but not TP53-wildtype, breast cancer xenotransplant mouse model²⁹¹ Missense mutations leading to TP53 inactivation may also be sensitive to therapies that reactivate mutant p53 such as APR-246 $^{\overline{292-294}}$. In a Phase 1b trial in patients with p53-positive high-grade serous ovarian cancer, APR-246 combined with carboplatin and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin achieved a 52% (11/21) response rate and 100% DCR²⁹⁵. ATR inhibitor treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells with biallelic inactivation of TP53 suppressed cell viability, promoted DNA damage, and attenuated xenograft growth in preclinical studies²⁹⁶⁻²⁹⁷; however, ATR inhibitors as monotherapy had little effect on these parameters in solid tumor models in other preclinical studies²⁹⁸⁻²⁹⁹. Therefore, it is unclear whether TP53 inactivation predicts sensitivity to ATR inhibition.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the TCGA dataset, TP53 alterations have been reported in 35% of glioblastomas (GBMs), with a high incidence in pediatric and secondary GBMs and a low incidence in primary GBMs^{151,300}. TP53 mutations have been reported in 18-40% of astrocytoma samples, and preferentially in anaplastic astrocytoma; one study reported TP53 loss of function and partially/fully functional mutations in 15% and 25% of anaplastic astrocytomas, respectively³⁰¹⁻³⁰⁶. Some studies suggest that the presence of a TP53 mutation is correlated with a favorable prognosis in patients with GBM307. Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion³⁰⁸⁻³¹³. CH in this gene has been

associated with increased mortality, risk of coronary heart disease, risk of ischemic stroke, and risk of secondary hematologic malignancy³⁰⁸⁻³⁰⁹. Clinical management of patients with CH in this gene may include monitoring for hematologic changes and reduction of controllable risk factors for cardiovascular disease314. Comprehensive genomic profiling of solid tumors detects nontumor alterations that are due to CH312,315-316. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH. Mutation of TP53 is thought to be an early step in the tumorigenesis of astrocytomas, which can progress into anaplastic astrocytoma and then glioblastoma through gain of other genetic abnormalities such as loss of CDKN2A or RB1, followed by loss of PTEN317.

FINDING SUMMARY

Functional loss of the tumor suppressor p53, which is encoded by the TP53 gene, is common in aggressive advanced cancers318. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt TP53 function or expression319-323. One or more of the TP53 variants observed here has been described in the ClinVar database as a likely pathogenic or pathogenic germline mutation (by an expert panel or multiple submitters with no conflicts) associated with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (ClinVar, Sep 2020)324. Follow-up germline testing would be needed to distinguish whether the finding in this patient is somatic or germline. Germline mutations in TP53 are associated with the very rare autosomal dominant disorder Li-Fraumeni syndrome and the early onset of many cancers325-327, including sarcomas³²⁸⁻³²⁹. Estimates for the prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in the general population range from 1:5,000³³⁰ to 1:20,000³²⁹. For pathogenic TP53 mutations identified during tumor sequencing, the rate of germline mutations was 1% in the overall population and 6% in tumors arising before age 30331. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of TP53 is recommended.

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Afatinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

kinase domain duplication

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Afatinib is an irreversible kinase inhibitor that targets the kinase domains of EGFR, ERBB2/HER2, and ERBB4. It is FDA approved for the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and nonresistant EGFR mutations and for the treatment of patients with metastatic, squamous NSCLC after progression on platinum-based chemotherapy. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

EGFR activating mutations or amplification may indicate sensitivity to a fatinib. Extensive clinical evidence has demonstrated that treatment with a fatinib, when compared with chemotherapy, increases PFS for patients with EGFR-mutated NSCLC 118,332 . In a Phase 3b trial, first-line a fatinib enabled an ORR and DCR of 45,9% (220/479) and 86.0% (412/479), respectively, for patients with EGFR-mutated NSCLC 333 . One patient with lung adenocarcinoma and the EGFR-KDD alteration achieved a PR of 4 months with gefitinib treatment followed by afatinib and osimertinib³³⁴. A patient with lung adenocarcinoma and an EGFR kinase domain duplication experienced a PR to afatinib, but later developed a cooccurring EGFR amplification¹⁵⁸.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 2 clinical trial of afatinib, temozolomide, and both in combination for patients with glioblastoma (GBM) reported partial responses and stable disease achievement, including in patients with EGFRvIII, although temozolomide alone and in combination exhibited better responses than afatinib monotherapy in this study³³⁵. A case study reported a patient with recurrent GBM harboring various EGFR alterations (including amplification, EGFRvIII, and multiple missense mutations) who achieved prolonged response and survival of >5 years subsequent to treatment with afatinib plus temozolomide³³⁶. Afatinib has shown a lack of efficacy in several patients with GBM harboring EGFR amplification or activating mutations³³⁷.

Dabrafenib

Assay findings association

BRAF

V600E - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Dabrafenib is a BRAF V600-selective inhibitor that is FDA approved as a monotherapy to treat melanoma with BRAF V600E or V600K mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Mutations at BRAF V600, including V600E, V600K, V600R, V600D, and V600M, have been reported to exhibit clinical sensitivity to V600-targeted therapies^{54-55,105,107-114,338}; therefore, this tumor may be sensitive to V600-targeted therapy such as dabrafenib.

SUPPORTING DATA

Dabrafenib and vemurafenib have primarily been studied in the context of BRAF V600E-positive melanoma and NSCLC54-55,105,107-114,338 . A Phase 1/2 study evaluating single-agent dabrafenib for the treatment of pediatric patients with BRAF V600-mutant gliomas reported an ORR of 44% (14/32; 1 CR, 13 PR), median duration of response of 25.6 months, and one-year PFS rate of 85% in patients with low-grade glioma (LGG) and an ORR of 39% (9/23; 2 CR, 7 PR) and median PFS of 7.4 months in patients with histologically confirmed high-grade glioma (HGG) that was either refractory or had progressed on one

or more lines of standard therapy³³⁹⁻³⁴⁰. In separate case studies, patients with previously treated BRAF V600-mutant LGGs and HGGs exhibited CRs for 3 months and >2 years, respectively, following treatment with single-agent dabrafenib³⁴¹⁻³⁴². Treatment with the combination of dabrafenib and trametinib resulted in rapid clinical improvement and radiographic responses in two adult patients with BRAF V600-mutant HGG343. A Phase 1 trial that assessed combination treatment of dabrafenib and pazopanib in 23 patients with BRAFmutated malignancies reported an ORR of 22% (5 PRs), including 1 PR in a patient with BRAF V600E-mutated glioblastoma (GBM)³⁴⁴. Dabrafenib can induce adverse effects such as the development of cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas and keratoacanthomas caused by inactivation of wild-type BRAF that leads to paradoxical activation of the MAPK pathway, but it has been reported to be well tolerated in patients with BRAF V600Emutant thyroid cancer^{55,75,345}. Patients with melanoma harboring BRAF V600E or V600K mutation treated with a combination of dabrafenib and trametinib experienced significantly lower rates of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma and regression of established BRAF inhibitorinduced skin lesions^{60,62,346-348}.

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Dabrafenib + Trametinib

Assay findings association

BRAF

V600E - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Dabrafenib is a BRAF V600-selective inhibitor and trametinib is a MEK inhibitor. These two therapies are FDA approved in combination to treat patients with melanoma with BRAF V600E or BRAF V600K mutations. This combination is also approved to treat patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with a BRAF V600E mutation, and to treat patients with BRAF V600E-positive anaplastic thyroid cancer (ATC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical evidence in various solid tumors and hematologic malignancies, BRAF activating alterations may confer sensitivity to the combination of BRAF V600-targeted therapies and MEK inhibitors such as dabrafenib and trametinib $^{60\text{-}62,349\text{-}355}$.

SUPPORTING DATA

Dabrafenib combined with trametinib has shown clinical efficacy for patients with BRAF V600E-mutated highgrade gliomas (HGGs). A Phase 2 open-label trial of the combination treatment for previously treated recurrent or progressive glioma with BRAF V600E mutation reported RANO ORRs for 27.1% of patients with HGGs (10/37; 1 CR)356-357. In case studies of epithelioid glioblastoma (GBM), 3 patients experienced clinical benefit from dabrafenib plus trametinib, including 1 patient with SD ongoing for 16 months³⁵⁸ and rapid clinical improvement and radiographic responses for 2 additional adult patients³⁴³; however, 1 patient did not respond to this regimen³⁵⁹. A patient with GBM lacking epithelioid or rhabdoid features experienced a CR from dabrafenib plus trametinib³⁶⁰. Dabrafenib plus trametinib enabled a pediatric patient with anaplastic astroblastoma to remain disease-free for 20 months361.

Dacomitinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

kinase domain duplication

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Dacomitinib is a second generation irreversible tyrosine kinase inhibitor that targets the kinase domains of EGFR, ERBB2/HER2, and ERBB4/HER4. It is FDA approved for the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with EGFR exon 19 deletion or exon 21 L858R substitution mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical^{119,362-363} and preclinical³⁶⁴⁻³⁶⁵ data,

EGFR amplification or activating mutation may indicate sensitivity to dacomitinib.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 2 trial of dacomitinib in patients with EGFR-amplified glioblastoma reported CR in 1/49 (2%), PRs in 2/49 (4%), and SD in 12/49 (24%) of patients, for a DCR of 15/49 (31%)³⁶⁶. Among the patients with EGFR-amplified/EGFRvIII glioblastoma, 1/19 (5%) PR and 4/19 (21%) SDs were reported, for a DCR of 5/19 (26%)³⁶⁶.

Encorafenib + Binimetinib

Assay findings association

BRAF

V600E - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

The combination of the BRAF inhibitor encorafenib and MEK inhibitor binimetinib is FDA approved to treat patients with melanoma with BRAF V600E or BRAF V600K mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical efficacy in the treatment of patients with BRAF V600-mutated melanoma $^{57,367\cdot369}$, and activity in colorectal, thyroid, and lung cancer $^{369\cdot371}$, activating alterations affecting BRAF predict sensitivity to the combination of encorafenib and binimetinib.

SUPPORTING DATA

The combination of encorafenib and binimetinib has been

reported to provide clinical benefit for patients with various solid tumors harboring BRAF V600 activating alterations^{57,369-371}, and has been studied primarily in the context of BRAF V600-mutated melanoma where patients treated with this combination achieved greater PFS and OS compared with encorafenib or vemurafenib monotherapy^{57,367,372}. A combination of encorafenib, binimetinib, and the CDK4/6 inhibitor ribociclib in a Phase 1b trial for patients with BRAF V600-mutant cancers elicited responses in melanoma, astrocytoma, unknown carcinoma, and in 1 of 3 patients with colorectal cancer; a Phase 2 study of this combination in V600-mutant melanoma reported an ORR of 52.4% (22/42), including 5 CRs, median PFS of 9.2 months, and median OS of 19.4 months³⁷³.

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Erlotinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

kinase domain duplication

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Erlotinib is a small-molecule inhibitor of EGFR. It is FDA approved as a monotherapy or in combination with ramucirumab for patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) mutations. Erlotinib is also FDA approved in combination with gemcitabine as a first-line treatment for advanced pancreatic cancer. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Amplification or activation of EGFR may predict sensitivity to therapies such as erlotinib. In patients with activating mutations in EGFR, treatment with erlotinib has been associated with improved response and lengthened time to progression³⁷⁴. A heavily pretreated patient with KRAS wild-type metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and an EGFR exon 19 deletion experienced a sustained partial response for 32 weeks to erlotinib monotherapy³⁷⁵.

SUPPORTING DATA

A clinical study of patients with glioblastoma (GBM) treated with gefitinib or erlotinib found that 9/49 (18%) had tumor shrinkage of 25% or more; in this study, the extracellular domain EGFRvIII mutation was correlated with response 147 . In a Phase 2 study of 65 patients with GBM or gliosarcoma, treatment with erlotinib, temozolomide, and radiotherapy resulted in longer progression-free survival relative to a historical control study utilizing a regimen of temozolomide and radiotherapy alone (19.3 months vs. 14.1 months)³⁷⁶. However, in a Phase 1/2 trial of erlotinib monotherapy in 11 patients with relapsed or refractory GBM or anaplastic astrocytoma, all patients showed disease progression and the drug showed significant toxicity377. In addition, a Phase 2 trial of patients with recurrent or progressive GBM treated with erlotinib and sorafenib did not meet its objective of a 30% increase in overall survival time compared with historical controls; sorafenib was found to increase erlotinib clearance³⁷⁸.

Everolimus

Assay findings association

PIK3CA

H1047R - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Everolimus is an orally available mTOR inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat renal cell carcinoma (RCC) following antiangiogenic therapy; pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors; and well-differentiated nonfunctional neuroendocrine tumors of the lung or gastrointestinal tract. Everolimus is also approved to treat either renal angiomyolipoma or subependymal giant cell astrocytoma in association with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of extensive clinical^{196-197,200} and preclinical²⁰¹ evidence in multiple tumor types, PIK₃CA activation may predict sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors such as everolimus.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 2 trial of radiotherapy (RT), temozolomide (TMZ), and bevacizumab followed by everolimus and bevacizumab reported that 61% (31/51) of patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma had objective responses with a median progression-free survival (PFS) of 11.3 months and median overall survival (OS) of 13.9

months³⁷⁹. A Phase 2 study of everolimus combined with TMZ and RT for the treatment of newly diagnosed glioblastoma reported a median PFS of 6.4 months and median OS of 15.8 months380. A Phase 1 trial of everolimus plus TMZ for patients with newly diagnosed or progressive glioblastoma reported partial responses (PR) in 11% (3/28) and stable disease (SD) in 57% (16/28) of cases³⁸¹. A pilot study of everolimus with gefitinib in patients with recurrent glioblastoma reported 14% (3/22) PRs, 36% (8/22) SDs, and median PFS and OS of 2.6 months and 5.8 months, respectively³⁸². Everolimus treatment achieved SD in 45% (5/11) of pediatric patients with heavily pretreated low-grade CNS tumors; median PFS of these responses was 14 months³⁸³. Studies have shown a lack of efficacy in several patients with glioblastoma harboring PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway activating mutations337,380. Whereas frequent adverse events precluded a recommended Phase 2 dose and schedule for the combination of trametinib and everolimus in a Phase 1b trial for solid tumors³⁸⁴, a retrospective study for heavily pretreated patients with solid tumors reported tolerable regimens of the combination for 23/31 patients, with 16 patients treated >3 months and evaluable patients achieving a median PFS of 6.5 months³⁸⁵.

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Gefitinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

kinase domain duplication

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Gefitinib targets the tyrosine kinase EGFR and is FDA approved to treat non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations in EGFR. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Amplification or activation of EGFR may predict sensitivity to therapies such as gefitinib. Clinical studies have consistently shown significant improvement in response rates and progression-free survival for patients with EGFR-mutated NSCLC treated with gefitinib, compared to chemotherapy^{374,386-391}.

SUPPORTING DATA

A clinical study of patients with glioblastoma (GBM) treated with gefitinib or erlotinib found that 9/49 (18%)

had tumor shrinkage of 25% or more; in this study, the extracellular domain EGFRvIII mutation was correlated with response¹⁴⁷. A Phase 2 clinical study of gefitinib in patients with high-grade glioma (including GBM, anaplastic astrocytoma, and oligodendroglioma) reported 18% (5/28) disease stabilization; efficacy was not correlated with EGFR expression³⁹². However, a Phase 1/ 2 clinical trial of gefitinib combined with radiotherapy in 178 patients with GBM reported no overall survival benefit of added gefitinib, and EGFR expression was found to be of no prognostic value for patients treated with gefitinib plus radiotherapy³⁹³. A Phase 2 trial of preoperative gefitinib treatment in patients with recurrent GBM reported that although EGFR phosphorylation was decreased in treated patients as compared to the control group, measurement of 12 downstream molecules revealed no significant changes³⁹⁴.

Ivosidenib

Assay findings association

IDH1 R132H

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Ivosidenib is an isocitrate dehydrogenase-1 (IDH1) inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat patients with relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and a susceptible IDH1 mutation. It is also approved as a first-line treatment for patients with AML and a susceptible IDH1 mutation who are not eligible for intensive induction chemotherapy or who are ≥75 years old. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of extensive clinical evidence in AML395 and

cholangiocarcinoma $^{396\text{-}397}$ and limited clinical data in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) 395 and glioma 163,398 , IDH1 R132 mutation may confer sensitivity to ivosidenib.

SUPPORTING DATA

In a Phase 1 study of ivosidenib for patients with IDH1-mutated advanced solid tumors, 1 patient achieved PR in the non-enhancing glioma population (ORR=2.9% [1/35]); for patients with non-enhancing glioma and enhancing glioma, SD rates were 85.7% (30/35) and 45.2% (14/31), respectively, and median PFS was 13.6 months and 1.4 months, respectively $^{\rm 163,398}$.

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Osimertinib

Assay findings association

EGFR

kinase domain duplication

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Osimertinib is an irreversible EGFR TKI that is selective for EGFR TKI-sensitizing mutations and the EGFR T790M mutation. It is FDA approved in various treatment settings for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) whose tumors have EGFR exon 19 deletions, exon 21 L858R mutations, or T790M mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

EGFR TKI-sensitizing mutations and/or the EGFR T790M mutation may predict sensitivity to osimertinib $^{120\text{-}121,399}$. T790M-positive patients showed higher response rates than T790M-negative cases in a Phase 1 study for patients with acquired EGFR TKI resistance (61% vs. 21%)¹²⁰. In preclinical assays, cells transformed with the EGFR kinase domain duplication were sensitive to osimertinib, although one cell line with this EGFR variant was not inhibited at clinically achievable levels¹⁵⁸.

SUPPORTING DATA

In a case study, a patient with recurrent, bevacizumabrefractory glioblastoma (GBM) treated with osimertinib experienced near-complete resolution of one lesion harboring EGFR A289V and C628F missense mutations, but progression of a second lesion which was positive for EGFRvIII but negative for other EGFR alterations⁴⁰⁰. Osimertinib has been studied primarily for the treatment of EGFR-mutated NSCLC. The Phase 3 FLAURA study reported that, relative to erlotinib or gefitinib, first-line

osimertinib significantly increased both median PFS (mPFS; 18.9 vs. 10.2 months, HR=0.46) and median OS (38.6 vs. 31.8 months, HR=0.80) for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and activating, sensitizing EGFR mutations (specifically, exon 19 deletion or L858)121,401. In the Phase 3 ADAURA study, patients with early-stage EGFR-mutated NSCLC receiving adjuvant osimertinib experienced both longer disease-free survival (DFS; not reached vs. 19.6 months, HR=0.17) and central nervous system DFS (not reached vs. 48.2 months, HR=0.18) than those receiving placebo⁴⁰². A Phase 1 study reported that T790M-negative patients with acquired EGFR TKI resistance experienced an ORR of 21% and mPFS of 2.8 months¹²⁰. A Phase 2 study of osimertinib for EGFR-TKI-naïve patients with metastatic or recurrent NSCLC and uncommon EGFR mutations reported a 50.0% (18/36) ORR and an 88.9% (32/36) DCR with a median PFS of 8.2 months and a median duration of response of 11.2 months; patients harboring L861Q, G719X, or S768I mutations had ORRs of 77.8% (7/9), 52.6% (10/19), and 37.5% (3/8), respectively 403. A Phase 1/ 2 trial of osimertinib in combination with bevacizumab for patients with untreated metastatic EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) reported an 80% (39/ 49) ORR, a 100% (6/6, 2 CRs) central nervous system response rate, median PFS of 19 months, and a 1-year PFS rate of 72%404. The Phase 1b TATTON study of osimertinib in combination with selumetinib, savolitinib, or durvalumab for patients with previously treated EGFRmutated NSCLC reported ORRs of 42% (15/36), 44% (8/ 18), and 44% (10/23), respectively 405.

Regorafenib

Assay findings association

BRAF

V600E - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Regorafenib is a small-molecule inhibitor of multiple kinases, including RET, VEGFRs, PDGFRs, KIT, and RAF family proteins. It is FDA approved to treat hepatocellular carcinoma that has been previously treated with sorafenib, metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC), or advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Alterations that activate BRAF may predict sensitivity to regorafenib. Regorafenib as a monotherapy⁷¹⁻⁷², or in combination with panitumumab71, was reported to provide clinical benefit for 2 patients with BRAF V600Emutant CRC^{71-72,406}. Furthermore, a patient with an acinic cell tumor of the parotid gland harboring a duplication of the BRAF kinase domain achieved a partial response to

regorafenib monotherapy, which was ongoing after 12 months of treatment407.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 1 study of regorafenib in combination with the anti-EGFR antibody cetuximab included one patient with glioblastoma, who derived prolonged stable disease (SD) from this combination and was found to have an EGFR variant $^{408}\!.$ A Phase 1 trial of regorafenib for 41 pediatric patients with genomically unselected recurrent or refractory solid tumors, including 20 patients with central nervous system tumors, reported SD of at least 15 weeks for 8 patients, with 2 anaplastic ependymoma cases experiencing SD for 31 weeks as well as more than 56 weeks. The trial observed tolerable toxicity consistent with that in adult patients and noted increased hematologic toxicity in heavily pretreated patients 409 .

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Selumetinib

Assay findings association

BRAF

V600E - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Selumetinib is a MEK inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat pediatric patients 2 years of age and older with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) who have symptomatic, inoperable plexiform neurofibromas (PNs). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical evidence demonstrating the efficacy of selumetinib in patients with BRAF V600-mutated papillary thyroid cancer⁴¹⁰, melanoma,^{70,411-414} and low grade glioma⁶⁹, BRAF activating alterations may predict sensitivity to selumetinib.

SUPPORTING DATA

Clinical data on the efficacy of selumetinib for the treatment of glioblastoma are limited (PubMed, Oct 2020). Selumetinib has demonstrated efficacy in NF1-associated neurofibroma in Phase 2 studies⁴¹⁵⁻⁴¹⁶ and a Phase 1

study⁴¹⁷. Phase 2 studies reported clinical responses in low-grade glioma⁶⁸⁻⁶⁹, melanoma^{70,411,413-414,418}, lung⁴¹⁹⁻⁴²⁰ and endometrial cancer⁴²¹. Phase 1 studies for selumetinib in solid tumors resulted in 1/15 PR (CRC) and 5/15 SD reported from patients with tonsil SCC, NSCLC, and CRC422, and achieved 2/39 PR (CRC) and 18/39 SD in combination with cyclosporin A⁴²³. Multiple Phase 1 studies combining selumetinib with erlotinib or temsirolimus⁴²⁴, docetaxel or dacarbazine⁴²⁵, AKT inhibitors 426 , and cixutumumab (anti-IGF-1R antibody) 427 reported clinical responses in patients with advanced solid tumors including NSCLC, thyroid carcinoma, tongue SCC, and ovarian cancer. Selumetinib has demonstrated clinical activity in low-grade glioma. A Phase 2 study of selumetinib for patients with low-grade glioma (LGG) reported 9/25 PR for patients with BRAF alterations and 10/25 PR for those with NF1-associated LGG⁶⁹ and a Phase 1 study for selumetinib reported 5/25 PR for LGG patients⁶⁸.

Temsirolimus

Assay findings association

PIK3CA

H1047R - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Temsirolimus is an intravenous mTOR inhibitor that is FDA approved for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of extensive clinical $^{198-199,428}$ and preclinical 201 evidence, PIK₃CA activation may predict sensitivity to mTOR inhibitors such as temsirolimus. In two studies of temsirolimus-containing treatment regimens in a variety of cancer types, response rates of 4/16 (25%) 198 and 7 23 (30%) 428 were reported in patients with PIK₃CA-mutant tumors.

SUPPORTING DATA

A Phase 1, dose-escalation trial combining temsirolimus and radiation/temozolomide therapy, with or without adjuvant temozolomide monotherapy, in patients with

newly diagnosed glioblastoma reported no clinical responses but 24/25 patients experienced a period of stable disease; increased infection rates were noted with this regimen⁴²⁹. A Phase 1/2 trial of temsirolimus in combination with sorafenib in glioblastoma was terminated at the Phase 2 interim analysis after patients failed to meet the primary endpoint of 6 month progression-free survival; significant toxicity was also observed in the combination therapy, even at low doses of temsirolimus⁴³⁰. A Phase 2 study showed that addition of temsirolimus to bevacizumab therapy in patients with recurrent glioblastoma did not add clinical benefit⁴³¹. A Phase 2 clinical trial of temsirolimus in pediatric glioma reported disease stabilization in 7/17 patients including one patient with anaplastic astrocytoma⁴³². A Phase 1/2 study of temsirolimus in combination with erlotinib reported 6% (1/16) complete responses, 6% (1/16) partial responses, and 12.5% (2/16) instances of stable disease in patients with anaplastic glioma⁴³³.

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Trametinib

Assay findings association

BRAF

V600E - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Trametinib is a MEK inhibitor that is FDA approved as a monotherapy to treat patients with melanoma with BRAF V600E or V600K mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Activating BRAF alterations may predict sensitivity to MEK inhibitors such as trametinib. Significant clinical responses to trametinib have been achieved by patients with melanoma harboring BRAF V600E $^{64-65}$, V600K 64 , V600R 65 , K601E 65,434 , L597V 64 , L597Q $^{434-435}$, or L597S 436 mutations; by a patient with histiocytosis harboring an activating N486_P490del alteration 115 ; as well as by patients with tumors harboring BRAF fusions $^{437-442}$.

SUPPORTING DATA

A study of four pediatric patients with BRAF mutation-

positive non-operable astrocytoma reported a reduction in tumor volume in response to trametinib for the 3 optic gliomas with BRAF duplication443-444. A patient with NF1-associated glioblastoma experienced clinical benefit in response to trametinib⁴⁴⁵. A patient with piliocytic astrocytoma harboring an NFIA-RAF1 fusion that had progressed on multiple lines of prior treatment exhibited ongoing SD following treatment with trametinib⁴⁴⁶. Whereas frequent adverse events precluded a recommended Phase 2 dose and schedule for the combination of trametinib and everolimus in a Phase 1b trial for solid tumors³⁸⁴, a retrospective study for heavily pretreated patients with solid tumors reported tolerable regimens of the combination for 23/31 patients, with 16 patients treated >3 months and evaluable patients achieving a median PFS of 6.5 months³⁸⁵.

Vemurafenib

Assay findings association

BRAF

V600E - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Vemurafenib is a selective inhibitor of BRAF with V600 mutations and is FDA approved to treat melanoma as monotherapy for patients with the BRAF V600E mutation. It is also approved to treat patients with Erdheim-Chester Disease (ECD) with BRAF V600 mutation. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of strong clinical data, BRAF V600E mutations may confer sensitivity to V600-targeted therapies such as vemurafenib $^{54,107,112,447-453}$.

SUPPORTING DATA

In the Phase 2 VE-BASKET study, an ORR of 25% (6/24) was reported for patients with BRAF V600-mutated gliomas, with a CR lasting 25.9 months for a patient with pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (PXA) and PRs reported

for patients with PXA, anaplastic ganglioma, juvenile piliocytic astrocytoma, and low-grade glioma $^{\rm 450,453}$. Other studies have reported antitumor activity of vemurafenib for patients with BRAF V600E-mutated PXA454, glioblastoma⁴⁵⁵, or ganglioglioma⁴⁵⁶. Dabrafenib and vemurafenib have primarily been studied in the context of BRAF V600E-positive melanoma and $NSCLC^{54-55,105,107-114,338}$. Vemurafenib can induce adverse effects, such as the development of cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas, keratoacanthomas, and new primary melanomas caused by inactivation of wild-type BRAF and leading to paradoxical activation of the MAPK pathway^{54,75}. In a Phase 1b trial, patients with BRAF V6ooE-mutant melanoma treated with a combination of vemurafenib and cobimetinib had increased RR (87%) and PFS (13.7 months) compared to the RR and PFS values previously reported for vemurafenib or MEK inhibitor monotherapy; this combination also resulted in lower rates of cutaneous SCC457.

Vemurafenib + Cobimetinib

Assay findings association

BRAF

V600E - subclonal

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Vemurafenib is a selective inhibitor of BRAF with V600 mutations and cobimetinib is a MEK inhibitor. The combination is FDA approved to treat patients with melanoma with BRAF V600E or V600K mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

On the basis of clinical evidence in melanoma and colorectal carcinoma, BRAF activating alterations may confer sensitivity to the combination of BRAF

V600-targeted therapies and MEK inhibitors such as vemurafenib and cobimetinib^{58-59,458}.

SUPPORTING DATA

The combination of vemurafenib and cobimetinib has been reported to provide clinical benefit for patients with various solid tumors harboring BRAF V600 activating alterations \$^{458-460}\$ and has been studied primarily in the context of BRAF V600-mutated melanoma, where patients treated with this combination achieved greater PFS and OS compared with vemurafenib alone \$^{58-59,461}\$.

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.



CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

BRAF

RATIONALE

BRAF V600 mutation may predict sensitivity to

inhibitors of BRAF, MEK, or ERK.

ALTERATION V600E - subclonal

NCT03970447	PHASE 2/3
A Trial to Evaluate Multiple Regimens in Newly Diagnosed and Recurrent Glioblastoma	TARGETS BRAF, KIT, RET, VEGFRs

LOCATIONS: Florida, Louisiana, South Carolina, Texas, Mississippi, Georgia, Alabama

NCT01989585	PHASE 1/2
Dabrafenib, Trametinib, and Navitoclax in Treating Patients With BRAF Mutant Melanoma or Solid Tumors That Are Metastatic or Cannot Be Removed by Surgery	TARGETS BCL-W, BCL-XL, BCL2, BRAF, MEK
LOCATIONS: Florida, North Carolina, Kansas, Ohio, New Jersey, New York	

NCT02857270 PHASE 1

A Study of LY3214996 Administered Alone or in Combination With Other Agents in Participants With

Advanced/Metastatic Cancer

Advanced/Metastatic Cancer

ERK1, ERK2, CDK4, CDK6

LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Tennessee, District of Columbia, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Villejuif Cedex (France), Sydney (Australia), Nedlands (Australia)

NCT02070549	PHASE 1
Trametinib in Treating Patients With Advanced Cancer With or Without Hepatic Dysfunction	TARGETS MEK

LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Toronto (Canada)

NCT03973918	PHASE 2
Study of Binimetinib With Encorafenib in Adults With Recurrent BRAF V600-Mutated HGG	TARGETS BRAF, MEK

LOCATIONS: Alabama, North Carolina, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Michigan

NCT03905148	PHASE 1/2
Study of the Safety and Pharmacokinetics of BGB-283 and PD-0325901 in Patients With Advanced or Refractory Solid Tumors	TARGETS RAFs, EGFR, MEK

LOCATIONS: Texas, Randwick (Australia), Blacktown (Australia), Melbourne (Australia), Nedlands (Australia)



CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT04201457	PHASE 1/2
A Trial of Dabrafenib, Trametinib and Hydroxychloroquine for Patients With Recurrent LGG or HGG With a BRAF Aberration	TARGETS BRAF, MEK
LOCATIONS: Georgia, Tennessee, District of Columbia, Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, California	
NCT04051606	PHASE 2
Regorafenib in Bevacizumab Refractory Recurrent Glioblastoma	TARGETS BRAF, KIT, RET, VEGFRS
LOCATIONS: Ohio	
NCT03162627	PHASE 1
Selumetinib and Olaparib in Solid Tumors	TARGETS MEK, PARP
LOCATIONS: Texas	
NCT03454035	PHASE 1
Ulixertinib/Palbociclib in Patients With Advanced Pancreatic and Other Solid Tumors	TARGETS MAPK3, MAPK1, CDK4, CDK6



CLINICAL TRIALS

GE	ΞN	Е		
E	C	il	5	R

RATIONALE EGFR activating mutations, rearrangements, or

amplification may predict sensitivity to EGFRtargeted therapies. Several strategies to overcome **ALTERATION**

resistance are under investigation, including nextgeneration EGFR TKIs and EGFR inhibitor combinations.

kinase domain duplication

NCT03829436 PHASE 1 TPST-1120 as Monotherapy and in Combination With (Nivolumab, Docetaxel or Cetuximab) in **TARGETS Subjects With Advanced Cancers** PD-1, PPARalpha, EGFR

LOCATIONS: Florida, North Carolina, Tennessee, Oklahoma, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New York, Massachusetts, Michigan, California

NCT03783403 **PHASE 1** A Study of CC-95251, a Monoclonal Antibody Directed Against SIRP α , in Subjects With Advanced Solid **TARGETS** and Hematologic Cancers CD20, EGFR, SIRP-alpha

LOCATIONS: Texas, Alabama, North Carolina, Tennessee, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Toronto (Canada), Arizona

NCT02800486 PHASE 2 Super Selective Intra-arterial Repeated Infusion of Cetuximab (Erbitux) With Reirradiation for **TARGETS** Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory GBM, AA, and AOA **EGFR**

LOCATIONS: New York

NCT02861898 PHASE 1/2 **TARGETS** Super-selective Intra-arterial Repeated Infusion of Cetuximab for the Treatment of Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma **EGFR**

LOCATIONS: New York

NCT01552434 **PHASE 1** Bevacizumab, Temsirolimus Alone and in Combination With Valproic Acid or Cetuximab in Patients **TARGETS** With Advanced Malignancy and Other Indications VEGFA, HDAC, mTOR, EGFR

LOCATIONS: Texas

NCT02638428	PHASE 2
Genomics-Based Target Therapy for Children With Relapsed or Refractory Malignancy	TARGETS FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, KIT, VEGFRs, ALK, AXL, MET, ROS1, TRKA, TRKC, ABL, DDR2, SRC, FLT3, RAFs, RET, EGFR, mTOR, JAK2, JAK1, ERBB2, BRAF
LOCATIONS: Seoul (Korea, Republic of)	2011, 111 01, 37112, 37111, 11002, 510



CLINICAL TRIALS

GENE
IDH1

ALTERATION R132H

RATIONALE

IDH1 mutations may predict sensitivity to IDH1 inhibitors. On the basis of preclinical data, IDH1 mutations may also confer sensitivity to PARP

inhibitors in solid tumors. Preclinical data indicate that IDH1 mutations may predict sensitivity to glutaminase inhibitors.

NCT03212274	PHASE 2
Olaparib in Treating Patients With Advanced Glioma, Cholangiocarcinoma, or Solid Tumors With IDH1 or IDH2 Mutations	TARGETS PARP

LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Georgia, North Carolina, Tennessee, Kentucky, Oklahoma, Maryland, Missouri

NCT03992131	PHASE 1/2
A Study to Evaluate Rucaparib in Combination With Other Anticancer Agents in Patients With a Solid Tumor (SEASTAR)	TARGETS PARP, FGFRs, VEGFRs, TOP1

LOCATIONS: Texas, Tennessee, Massachusetts

NCT03914742	PHASE 1/2
BGB-290 and Temozolomide in Treating Patients With Recurrent Gliomas With IDH1/2 Mutations	TARGETS PARP

LOCATIONS: Alabama, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Missouri, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Ohio, Massachusetts, Michigan

NCT02769962	PHASE 1/2
Trial of CRLX101, a Nanoparticle Camptothecin With Olaparib in People With Relapsed/Refractory Small Cell Lung Cancer	TARGETS PARP, TOP1

LOCATIONS: Maryland

NCT02264678	PHASE 1/2
Ascending Doses of AZD6738 in Combination With Chemotherapy and/or Novel Anti Cancer Agents	TARGETS ATR, PARP, PD-L1

LOCATIONS: New York, Massachusetts, California, Saint Herblain (France), Withington (United Kingdom), Sutton (United Kingdom), London (United Kingdom), Villejuif (France), Seoul (Korea, Republic of)

NCT03830918	PHASE 1/2
Niraparib and Temozolomide in Treating Patients With Extensive-Stage Small Cell Lung Cancer With a Complete or Partial Response to Platinum-Based First-Line Chemotherapy	TARGETS PARP
LOCATIONS: California	

NCT04221503	PHASE 2
Niraparib/TTFields in GBM	TARGETS PARP

LOCATIONS: Pennsylvania



CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT01434316	PHASE 1
Veliparib and Dinaciclib in Treating Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS PARP, CDK1, CDK2, CDK5, CDK9
LOCATIONS: Massachusetts	
NCT02813135	PHASE 1/2
European Proof-of-Concept Therapeutic Stratification Trial of Molecular Anomalies in Relapsed or Refractory Tumors	TARGETS TOP1, CDK6, CDK4, WEE1, PARP, mTORC1, mTORC2, PD-1, KIR, IDH2
LOCATIONS: Villejuif (France), Copenhagen (Denmark)	



CLINICAL TRIALS

PIK3CA

ALTERATION H1047R - subclonal

RATIONALE

PIK₃CA activating mutations may lead to activation of the PI₃K-AKT-mTOR pathway and may therefore indicate sensitivity to inhibitors of

this pathway. Strong clinical data support sensitivity of PIK3CA-mutated solid tumors to the PI₃K-alpha inhibitor alpelisib.

NCT03893487	PHASE NULL		
Fimepinostat in Treating Brain Tumors in Children and Young Adults	TARGETS HDAC, PI3K		
LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Tennessee, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Missouri, Ohio, Massachusetts, Mi	chigan, Minnesota		
NCT03994796	PHASE 2		
Genetic Testing in Guiding Treatment for Patients With Brain Metastases	TARGETS ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, CDK4, CDK6, PI3K, mTOR		
LOCATIONS: Florida, Louisiana, Texas			
NCT02574728	PHASE 2		
Sirolimus in Combination With Metronomic Chemotherapy in Children With Recurrent and/or Refractory Solid and CNS Tumors	TARGETS TOP2, mTOR		
LOCATIONS: Florida, Georgia, Virginia, Delaware, Missouri, Arizona			
NCT03834740	PHASE NULL		
Ph0/2 Ribociclib & Everolimus	TARGETS CDK6, CDK4, mTOR		
LOCATIONS: Arizona			
NCT04632992	PHASE 2		
A Study Evaluating Targeted Therapies in Participants Who Have Advanced Solid Tumors With Genomic Alterations or Protein Expression Patterns Predictive of Response	TARGETS ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, PD-L1, ERBB2, ERBB3, PI3K-alpha, RET, AKTs		
LOCATIONS: Tennessee, California			
NCT03842228	PHASE 1		
Copanlisib, Olaparib, and Durvalumab in Treating Patients With Metastatic or Unresectable Solid Tumors	TARGETS PI3K, PD-L1, PARP		
LOCATIONS: Texas, Massachusetts			



Tumors

LOCATIONS: Maryland, New Jersey, New York

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT04589845	PHASE 2
Tumor-Agnostic Precision Immuno-Oncology and Somatic Targeting Rational for You (TAPISTRY) Platform Study	TARGETS ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, RET, PD-L1, AKTs, ERBB2, MDM2, PI3K- alpha
LOCATIONS: Texas, New York, Michigan, Nevada	
NCT03006172	PHASE 1
To Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability, and Pharmacokinetics of GDC-0077 Single Agent in Participants With Solid Tumors and in Combination With Endocrine and Targeted Therapies in Participants With Breast Cancer	TARGETS PI3K-alpha, Aromatase, ER, CDK4, CDK6
LOCATIONS: Tennessee, New York, Massachusetts, Toronto (Canada), Valencia (Spain), Bordeaux (Fra London (United Kingdom), Villejuif (France)	ance), Barcelona (Spain), Surrey (United Kingdom),
NCT03711058	PHASE 1/2
Study of PI3Kinase Inhibition (Copanlisib) and Anti-PD-1 Antibody Nivolumab in Relapsed/Refractory Solid Tumors With Expansions in Mismatch-repair Proficient (MSS) Colorectal Cancer	TARGETS PD-1, PI3K
LOCATIONS: Maryland	
NCT03366103	PHASE 1/2
Navitoclax and Vistusertib in Treating Patients With Relapsed Small Cell Lung Cancer and Other Solid	TARGETS

mTORC1, mTORC2, BCL-W, BCL-XL,

BCL2



TUMOR TYPE
Brain glioblastoma (GBM)

REPORT DATE 18 Jan 2021



APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991490-01

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

APC	FUBP1	KMT2A (MLL)	NOTCH1
V1125A	T561A	A3440T	R703H
SPEN	TSC1	VEGFA	ZNF217
P748L	K587R	K53E	D652H

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

APPENDIX

ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991490-01

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						

DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991490-01

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes. Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid

capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Alterations and Therapies Biomarker and Genomic Findings
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit in patient's tumor type (ranked alphabetically within each NCCN category) followed by therapies with clinical benefit in other tumor type (ranked alphabetically within each NCCN category).

Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK* (NCCN*) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2020. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

- 1. The MSI-H/MSS designation by FMI F1CDx test is based on genome wide analysis of 95 microsatellite loci and not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using uterine, cecum and colorectal cancer FFPE tissue. The clinical validity of the qualitative MSI designation has not been established. For Microsatellite Instability (MSI) results, confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal method should be considered.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics

of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient

(e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and

the testing platform used for the detection;

therefore, observed TMB results may vary

between different specimens for the same

employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other

patient and between detection methodologies

bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these

https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/

pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of

10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.

genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding arm-

3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing

SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the

and chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for

ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score

LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be

quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

vary.

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the

fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is

observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or

interpretive content. Caution is recommended in

interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline

or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that

tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may

result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The

Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient

been established for samples below 35% tumor

content. There may be potential interference of

effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.

ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering

TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of

variables in FMI's TMB calculation

ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991490-01

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1^{st} Quartile to 3^{rd} Quartile

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE **RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN**

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable MR Suite Version 2.1.0 for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such

as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

The median exon coverage for this sample is 370x

APPENDIX

References

- 1. Histopathology (2007) pmid: 17204026
- 2. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 3. Hochster et al., 2017; ASCO Abstract 673
- 4. Fleming et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 5585
- 5. Bang et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 92
- 6. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- Overman MJ, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2017) pmid: 28734759
- Overman MJ, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29355075
- 9. Lipson EJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23169436
- 10. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 11. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 12. Martinez R, et al. Oncology (2004) pmid: 15331927
- Martinez R, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2005) pmid: 15672285
- Martinez R, et al. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. (2007) pmid: 17498554
- 15. Szybka M, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 12908754
- 16. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 17. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- **18.** Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- **19.** Lynch HT, et al. Clin. Genet. (2009) pmid: 19659756
- 20. Pande M, et al. Fam. Cancer (2012) pmid: 22714864
- 21. Kastrinos F, et al. Semin. Oncol. (2007) pmid: 17920897
- **22.** Silva FC, et al. Sao Paulo Med J (2009) pmid: 19466295
- 23. Sehgal R, et al. Genes (Basel) (2014) pmid: 24978665
- 24. Fam. Cancer (2005) pmid: 16136383
- 25. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 27. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 28. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 29. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- **30.** Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- 31. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 32. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- **33.** Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- **34.** Zhao J, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 30742119
- 35. Touat M, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32322066
- 36. Bouffet E, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27001570
- **37.** Johanns TM, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27683556
- 38. Lukas RV, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2018) pmid: 30073642
- Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid: 28420421
- Patel RR, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer (2020) pmid: 32386112
- 41. Johnson A, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28912153
- Draaisma K, et al. Acta Neuropathol Commun (2015) pmid: 26699864
- **43.** Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2020) pmid: 32164609
- 44. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- **46.** Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
 Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- 49. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 50. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393

- 52. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- **53.** Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- McArthur GA, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24508103
- **55.** Hauschild A, et al. Lancet (2012) pmid: 22735384
- **56.** Delord JP, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2017) pmid: 28611198
- Dummer R, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29573941
 Ascierto PA, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27480103
- 59. Ribas A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2020) pmid: 31732523
- 60. Long GV, et al. Lancet (2015) pmid: 26037941
- 61. Long GV, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2017) pmid: 28475671
- 62. Robert C, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 25399551
- 63. Flaherty KT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2012) pmid: 22663011
- **64.** Falchook GS, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22805292
- 65. Kim KB, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23248257
- 66. Larkin J, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25265494
- 67. Ascierto PA, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23414587
- 68. Banerjee A, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) pmid:
- 28339824
- **69.** Fangusaro J, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31151904
- 70. Robert C, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 2373551471. Al-Marrawi MY, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2013) pmid:
- 23792568
 72. Rechsteiner M, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25336117
- 73. Morris EJ, et al. Cancer Discov (2013) pmid: 23614898
- 74. Sullivan RJ, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 29247021
- 75. Gibney GT, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2013) pmid:
- 23712190 76. el Habbal M, et al. Am. J. Cardiol. (1989) pmid: 2913734
- 77. Zhang C, et al. Nature (2015) pmid: 26466569
- **78.** Yao Z, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 30559419
- **79.** Janku et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 2583
- 80. Basto D, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2005) pmid: 15791479
- 81. Knobbe CB, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2004) pmid: 15517309
- 82. Schindler G, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2011) pmid: 21274720
- 83. Dias-Santagata D, et al. PLoS ONE (2011) pmid:
- 84. Chi AS, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2012) pmid: 22821383
- 85. Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, et al. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23552385
- Tanaka S, et al. Brain Tumor Pathol (2014) pmid: 24894018
- 87. Ma C, et al. World Neurosurg (2018) pmid: 30240866
- 88. Phillips JJ, et al. Brain Pathol. (2018) pmid: 30051528
- 89. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26061751
- 90. Brennan CW, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 24120142
- 91. Horbinski C, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 22492957
- 92. Bannykh SI, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 25066317
- 93. Theeler BJ, et al. Neuro-oncology (2014) pmid: 24470550
- 94. Chappé C, et al. Brain Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23442159
- **95.** Hawkins C, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21610142
- 96. Colin C, et al. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. (2013) pmid: 23278243
- 97. Jones DT, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18974108
- 98. Lin A, et al. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. (2012) pmid: 22157620
- 99. Horbinski C, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2010) pmid: 20044755
- Holderfield M, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 24957944

- **101.** Burotto M, et al. Cancer (2014) pmid: 24948110
- 102. Davies H, et al. Nature (2002) pmid: 12068308
- 103. Kandoth C, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 24132290
- 104. Greaves WO, et al. J Mol Diagn (2013) pmid: 23273605
- **105.** Klein O, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23237741
- 106. Wellbrock C, et al. Cancer Res. (2004) pmid: 15059882
- Fisher R, et al. Cancer Manag Res (2012) pmid: 22904646
- 108. Yang H, et al. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20551065
- **109.** Gentilcore G, et al. BMC Cancer (2013) pmid: 23317446
- 110. van den Brom RR, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23473613
- 111. Klein O, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23490649
- 112. Ponti G, et al. J. Clin. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23463675
- 113. Ponti G, et al. J Hematol Oncol (2012) pmid: 23031422
- **114.** Parakh S, et al. J Clin Pharm Ther (2015) pmid: 25382067
- 115. Lee LH, et al. JCI Insight (2017) pmid: 28194436
- 116. Rosell R, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22285168
- 117. Douillard JY, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2014) pmid: 24263064
- 118. Sequist LV, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23816960
- 119. Mok TS, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29864379
- 120. Jänne PA, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 25923549
- 121. Soria JC, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29151359
- 122. Thatcher N, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26045340
- **123.** Paz-Ares L, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25701171 **124.** Elez E. et al. Br. J. Cancer (2016) pmid: 26766738
- 125. Kuenen B, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid:
- 20197484 126. Shimamura T, et al. Cancer Res. (2005) pmid: 16024644
- **127.** Shimamura T, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18632637
- **128.** Sawai A, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18199556
- 129. Bernardes CE, et al. J Phys Condens Matter (2015) pmid: 25923649
- 130. Xu W, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17712310
- 131. Zeng Q, et al. J. Med. Chem. (2015) pmid: 26313252
- 132. Yang Z, et al. Sci Transl Med (2016) pmid: 27928026
- 133. Ahn et al., 2019; ASCO 31587882
- **134.** Strong JE, et al. EMBO J. (1998) pmid: 9628872
- 135. Coffey MC, et al. Science (1998) pmid: 9812900
- 136. Gong J, et al. Front Oncol (2014) pmid: 25019061
- 137. Forsyth P, et al. Mol. Ther. (2008) pmid: 18253152
- 138. Vidal L, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18981012139. Gollamudi R, et al. Invest New Drugs (2010) pmid: 19572105
- 140. Harrington KJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20484020
- 141. Comins C, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20926400
- 142. Lolkema MP, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid:
- 21106728
- 143. Galanis E, et al. Mol. Ther. (2012) pmid: 22871663144. Karapanagiotou EM, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012)
- pmid: 22316603 145. Morris DG, et al. Invest New Drugs (2013) pmid:
- 146. Gan et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 2016
- Mellinghoff IK, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2005) pmid: 16282176
- **148.** Clark PA, et al. Neoplasia (2012) pmid: 22745588 **149.** Fenton TR, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2012)
- 150. Ceccarelli M, et al. Cell (2016) pmid: 26824661

pmid: 22891331

- 151. Nature (2008) pmid: 18772890
- **152.** Lee JC, et al. PLoS Med. (2006) pmid: 17177598 **153.** Vivanco I. et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588883

154. Srividya MR, et al. J. Clin. Pathol. (2010) pmid:

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

"1st Floor, Cambridge, MA 02141 · CLIA: 22D2027531

APPENDIX

References

ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991490-01

- 20702468
- 155. Das P, et al. J Clin Neurosci (2011) pmid: 20888234
- 156. Smith JS, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2001) pmid: 11504770
- 157. Ciardiello F, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2008) pmid: 18337605
- 158. Gallant JN, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 26286086
- 159. Ciesielski MJ, et al. Oncogene (2000) pmid: 10698499
- 160. Ozer BH, et al. Oncogene (2010) pmid: 19915609
- 161. Baik CS, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 26398831
- 162. Wang J, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2019) pmid: 30255937
- 163. Fan B, et al. Invest New Drugs (2019) pmid: 31028664 164. De La Fuente et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 2505
- 165. Mellinghoff et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 2504
- 166. Philip B, et al. Cell Rep (2018) pmid: 29719265
- 167. Molenaar RJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid:
- 29339439 Lu Y, et al. Cancer Res. (2017) pmid: 28202508
- 169. Sulkowski PL, et al. Sci Transl Med (2017) pmid:
- 170. McBrayer SK, et al. Cell (2018) pmid: 30220459
- 171. Chaumeil MM, et al. Nat Commun (2013) pmid: 24019001 172. Hartmann C, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid:
- 23918605 173. Rossetto M, et al. Rev. Neurol. (Paris) (2011) pmid:
- 174. Shin JH, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2013) pmid: 24129546
- 175. Parsons DW, et al. Science (2008) pmid: 18772396
- 176. Hartmann C, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2010) pmid: 21088844
- 177. Sonoda Y, et al. Cancer Sci. (2009) pmid: 19765000
- 178. Ahmadi R, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2012) pmid: 22528790
- 179. Jiang H, et al. Neuro-oncology (2013) pmid: 23486687
- 180. Shibahara I, et al. Int. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 21971842
- 181. Juratli TA, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2012) pmid: 23015095
- 182. Weller M, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19805672
- Reitman ZJ, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (2010) pmid: 183. 20513808
- 184. Jin G, et al. PLoS ONE (2011) pmid: 21326614
- 185. Gross S, et al. J. Exp. Med. (2010) pmid: 20142433
- 186. Ward PS, et al. Cancer Cell (2010) pmid: 20171147
- 187. Leonardi R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2012) pmid: 22442146
- 188. Dang L. et al. Nature (2009) pmid: 19935646
- 189. Ward PS, et al. Oncogene (2012) pmid: 21996744
- 190. Figueroa ME, et al. Cancer Cell (2010) pmid: 21130701
- 191. Xu W, et al. Cancer Cell (2011) pmid: 21251613
- 192. Turcan S. et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22343889
- 193. Duncan CG, et al. Genome Res. (2012) pmid: 22899282 194. André F. et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) pmid: 31091374
- 195. Fritsch C, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2014) pmid: 24608574
- 196. Park HS, et al. PLoS ONE (2016) pmid: 27105424
- 197. André F, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27091708
- 198. Janku F, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21216929
- 199. Moulder S, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25878190
- 200. Lim SM, et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 26859683
- 201. Meric-Bernstam F, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22422409
- 202. Dolly SO, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 26787751
- 203. Spathas et al., 2020; DOI: 10.1200/PO.19.00049
- Santin AD, et al. Gynecol Oncol Rep (2020) pmid: 204. 31934607
- 205. Campone M, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2018) pmid: 30241001
- 206. Patnaik A. et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27672108
- 207. Rodon J, et al. Invest New Drugs (2014) pmid: 24652201

- 208. Bendell JC, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22162589
- 209. Heudel PE, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2017) pmid: 28072765
- 210. Vansteenkiste JF, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 26098748
- 211. Juric D, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29401002
- 212. Schmid P. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31841354
- 213. Banerji et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 2500
- 214. Turner NC. et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30860570
- 215. Esteva FJ, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2010) pmid: 20813970
- 216. Baselga J. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 25332247
- 217. Chakrabarty A, et al. Oncogene (2010) pmid: 20581867 218. Kataoka Y, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2010) pmid: 19633047
- 219. Wang L, et al. BMC Cancer (2011) pmid: 21676217
- 220. Gallia GL, et al. Mol. Cancer Res. (2006) pmid: 17050665
- 221. Broderick DK, et al. Cancer Res. (2004) pmid: 15289301
- 222. El-Habr EA, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 20569675
- 223. Derakhshandeh-Peykar P, et al. J. Neurogenet. (2011) pmid: 22026810
- 224. Chakravarti A, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2004) pmid: 15143086
- 225. Samuels Y, et al. Cancer Cell (2005) pmid: 15950905
- 226. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19629070
- 227. Kang S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2005) pmid: 15647370
- 228. Ikenoue T, et al. Cancer Res. (2005) pmid: 15930273
- 229. Gymnopoulos M, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2007) pmid: 17376864
- 230. Horn S, et al. Oncogene (2008) pmid: 18317450
- 231. Rudd ML, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21266528
- 232. Hon WC, et al. Oncogene (2012) pmid: 22120714
- 233. Burke JE, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2012) pmid: 22949682
- 234. Wu H, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid:
- 235. Laurenti R, et al. Rev Saude Publica (1990) pmid: 2103068
- 236. Dan S, et al. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20530683
- 237. Oda K, et al. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18829572
- 238. Zhao L, et al. Oncogene (2008) pmid: 18794883 239. Lui VW, et al. Cancer Discov (2013) pmid: 23619167
- 240. Ross RL, et al. Oncogene (2013) pmid: 22430209
- 241. Rivière JB, et al. Nat. Genet. (2012) pmid: 22729224
- 242. Shibata T, et al. Cancer Lett. (2009) pmid: 19394761
- 243. Dogruluk T, et al. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 26627007
- 244. Croessmann S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 29284706
- 245. Ng PK, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29533785
- 246. Spangle JM, et al. (2020) pmid: 32929011
- 247. Flynn RL, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25593184
- 248. Koschmann C, et al. Sci Transl Med (2016) pmid:
- **249.** Kovatcheva M, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 25803170
- 250. Heaphy CM, et al. Science (2011) pmid: 21719641
- 251. Singhi et al., 2015; USCAP Abstract 1797
- 252. Jiao Y, et al. Science (2011) pmid: 21252315
- 253. Fishbein L, et al. Nat Commun (2015) pmid: 25608029
- 254. Morosini et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 11008
- 255. Cheung NK, et al. JAMA (2012) pmid: 22416102 256. Molenaar JJ, et al. Nature (2012) pmid: 22367537
- 257. Pugh TJ, et al. Nat. Genet. (2013) pmid: 23334666
- 258. Cheung NK, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23702928
- 259. Marinoni I, et al. Gastroenterology (2014) pmid: 24148618
- 260. Qadeer ZA, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2014) pmid:

- 261. Kannan K, et al. Oncotarget (2012) pmid: 23104868
- 262. Haberler C, et al. Clin. Neuropathol. () pmid: 24559763
- 263. Reuss DE, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2015) pmid: 25427834
- 264. Sahm F, et al. Acta Neuropathol. (2014) pmid: 25143301
- 265. Singhi et al., 2015; USCAP Abstract 93
- 266. Liau JY, et al. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. (2015) pmid: 25229770
- 267. Clynes D, et al. Trends Biochem. Sci. (2013) pmid: 23916100
- 268. Ratnakumar K, et al. Epigenetics (2013) pmid: 23249563
- 269. Loveiov CA, et al. PLoS Genet. (2012) pmid: 22829774
- 270. Bower K, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 23185534 271. Nan X, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2007) pmid:
- 272. Garrick D, et al. Gene (2004) pmid: 14729260 273. Eustermann S, et al. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. (2011) pmid:
- 21666677 274. Gibbons RJ, et al. Cell (1995) pmid: 7697714
- 275. Hirai H, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2010) pmid: 20107315
- 276. Bridges KA, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid:
- 277. Rajeshkumar NV, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21389100
- 278. Osman AA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2015) pmid: 25504633
- 279. Xu L, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2002) pmid: 12489850
- 280. Xu L, et al. Mol. Med. (2001) pmid: 11713371
- 281. Camp ER, et al. Cancer Gene Ther. (2013) pmid: 23470564
- 282. Kim SS, et al. Nanomedicine (2015) pmid: 25240597
- 283. Pirollo KF, et al. Mol. Ther. (2016) pmid: 27357628 284. Hajdenberg et al., 2012; ASCO Abstract e15010
- 285. Leijen S. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27601554
- 286. Moore et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 5513
- 287. Leijen S. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27998224
- 288. Oza et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 5506 289. Lee J. et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31315834
- 290. Méndez E, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 29535125
- 291. Ma CX, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2012) pmid: 22446188
- 292. Lehmann S. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22965953
- 293. Mohell N, et al. Cell Death Dis (2015) pmid: 26086967
- 294. Fransson Å, et al. J Ovarian Res (2016) pmid: 27179933
- 295. Gourley et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5571
- 296. Kwok M, et al. Blood (2016) pmid: 26563132
- 297. Boudny M, et al. Haematologica (2019) pmid: 30975914 298. Dillon MT, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid:
- 28062704 Middleton FK, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2018) pmid:
- 30127241 300. Jha P, et al. Diagn. Mol. Pathol. (2011) pmid: 22089350
- **301.** Uno M. et al. Cancer Lett. (2005) pmid: 15914282
- 302. Uno M, et al. Int. J. Biol. Markers () pmid: 16711514
- 303. Lass U. et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 22844452
- 304. Faria MH, et al. APMIS (2012) pmid: 23009112 305. Milinkovic V. et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 24358143
- 306. Galatro TF, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23613880 307. Schmidt MC, et al. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. (2002)
- pmid: 11939587
- 308. Jaiswal S, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426837 309. Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid:
- 25426838 310. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) pmid: 25326804
- Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28669404
- 312. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) pmid: 29678827

- Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) pmid: 29420212
 Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program (2018) pmid: 30504320
- 315. Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32269342
- 316. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768066
- 317. Nozaki M, et al. Neuro-oncology (1999) pmid: 11550308
- 318. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19935675
- 319. Joerger AC, et al. Annu. Rev. Biochem. (2008) pmid: 18410249
- **320.** Kato S, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2003) pmid: 12826609
- 321. Kamada R, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2011) pmid: 20978130
- **322.** Zerdoumi Y, et al. Hum. Mol. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28472496
- **323.** Yamada H, et al. Carcinogenesis (2007) pmid: 17690113
- **324.** Landrum MJ, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2018) pmid: 29165669
- 325. Bougeard G, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26014290
- **326.** Sorrell AD, et al. Mol Diagn Ther (2013) pmid: 23355100
- 327. Nichols KE, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2001) pmid: 11219776
- 328. Kleihues P, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (1997) pmid: 9006316
- **329.** Gonzalez KD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19204208
- 330. Lalloo F, et al. Lancet (2003) pmid: 12672316
- 331. Mandelker D, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31050713
- 332. Wu YL, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24439929
- 333. Passaro et al., 2019: ELCC Abstract 1150
- 334. Wang et al., 2018; IASLC WCLC Abstract P1.13-24
- **335.** Reardon DA, et al. Neuro-oncology (2015) pmid: 25140039
- 336. Alshami J, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 26423602
- **337.** Blumenthal DT, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2016) pmid: 27531351
- 338. Klempner SJ, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27048246
- **339.** Hargrave DR, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31811016
- **340.** Kieran MW, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31506385
- **341.** Shih KC, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24516030
- 342. Meletath SK, et al. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2016) pmid: 27799506
- Johanns TM, et al. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2018) pmid: 29295876
- 344. Haraldsdottir et al., 2018; doi/full/10.1200/PO.17.00247
- 345. Falchook GS, et al. Thyroid (2015) pmid: 25285888
- **346.** Flaherty KT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2012) pmid: 23020132
- **347.** Long GV, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25265492
- 348. Peters S, et al. Melanoma Res. (2014) pmid: 25185693
- 349. Planchard D, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2017) pmid: 28919011
- **350.** Planchard D, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27283860
- 351. Subbiah V, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29072975
- **352.** J Toxicol Sci (1989) pmid: 2639210
- 353. Westin SN, et al. Gynecol Oncol (2019) pmid: 31623857
- 354. Kreitman et al., 2018; ASH Abstract 391
- 355. Laganà et al., 2018; DOI: 10.1200/PO.18.00019
- 356. Wen et al., 2019; SNO Abstract ACTR-30
- 357. Wen et al., 2018; SNO Abstract RARE-09
- 358. Schreck KC, et al. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2018) pmid: 29632053
- **359.** Smith-Cohn M, et al. CNS Oncol (2019) pmid: 31818130
- 360. Kushnirsky M, et al. JCO Precis Oncol (2020) pmid: 32923904
- 361. Toll SA, et al. Oncotarget (2019) pmid: 30728904
- **362.** Wu YL, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2017) pmid: 28958502

- 363. Necchi A, et al. BJU Int. (2018) pmid: 28921872
- 364. Zhu Y, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2014) pmid: 24658109
- 365. Hokenfu Zasshi (1979) pmid: 259761
- 366. Sepúlveda-Sánchez JM, et al. Neuro-oncology (2017) pmid: 28575464
- 367. Ascierto PA, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2020) pmid: 31901705
- 368. Holbrook K, et al. Cancer (2020) pmid: 31658370
- **369.** Sullivan RJ, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2020) pmid: 32669376
- 370. Kefford et al., 2013; Melanoma Bridge Meeting Abstract P5
- 371. McLoughlin EM, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2019) pmid: 31757377
- 372. Gogas et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 10012
- 373. Ascierto et al., 2017; ASCO Abstract 9518
- 374. Petrelli F, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2012) pmid: 22056888
- 375. Cecchini M, et al. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2017) pmid: 28874593
- 376. Prados MD, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19075262
- **377.** Kesavabhotla K, et al. J. Exp. Ther. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22946346
- 378. Peereboom DM, et al. Neuro-oncology (2013) pmid: 23328813
- 379. Hainsworth JD, et al. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol (2012) pmid: 22706484
- **380.** Ma DJ, et al. Neuro-oncology (2015) pmid: 25526733
- **381.** Mason WP, et al. Invest New Drugs (2012) pmid: 22160854
- 382. Kreisl TN, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2009) pmid: 19018475
- 383. Segal et al., 2016; ISPNO Abstract EPT-21
- 384. Tolcher AW, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25344362
- 385. Patterson et al., 2018: AACR Abstract 3891
- 386. Han JY, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22370314
- **387.** Maemondo M, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2010) pmid: 20573926
- 388. Mitsudomi T, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2010) pmid: 20022809
- 389. Mok TS, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2009) pmid: 19692680
- **390.** Qi WX, et al. Curr Med Res Opin (2015) pmid: 25329826
- **391.** Zhao H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 25546556
- **392.** Franceschi E, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2007) pmid: 17353924
- **393.** Chakravarti A, et al. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. (2013) pmid: 23182702
- **394.** Hegi ME, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21471286
- **395.** DiNardo CD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29860938
- 396. Lowery MA, et al. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol (2019) pmid: 31300360
- **397.** Abou-Alfa GK, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32416072
- 398. Mellinghoff IK, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32530764
- 399. Cross DA, et al. Cancer Discov (2014) pmid: 24893891
- 400. Makhlin I, et al. CNS Oncol (2019) pmid: 31769726
- **401.** Ramalingam SS, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) pmid: 31751012
- 402. Wu YL, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2020) pmid: 32955177
- 403. Cho JH, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31825714
- 404. Yu HA, et al. JAMA Oncol (2020) pmid: 32463456
- 405. Oxnard GR, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32139298
- **406.** Marks El, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2015) pmid: 26561209
- 407. Klempner SJ, et al. JAMA Oncol (2016) pmid: 26562024
- 408. Subbiah V, et al. JCI Insight (2017) pmid: 28422758
- **409.** Geoerger et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 10542
- **410.** Hayes DN, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22241789
- 411. Kirkwood JM, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid:

- 22048237
- 412. Patel SP, et al. Cancer (2013) pmid: 22972589
- **413.** Banerji U, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20179232
- **414.** Boers-Sonderen MJ, et al. Anticancer Drugs (2012) pmid: 22293660
- 415. Gross AM, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2020) pmid: 32187457
- 416. Coyne et al., 2019; AACR-NCI-EORTC Abstract PR07
- 417. Dombi E, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2016) pmid: 28029918
- 418. Gupta A, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24567366
- **419.** Lopez-Chavez A, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25667274
- **420.** Hainsworth JD, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2010) pmid: 20802351
- **421.** Coleman RL, et al. Gynecol. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25887099
- **422.** Deming DA, et al. Invest New Drugs (2016) pmid: 26666244
- **423.** Krishnamurthy A, et al. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 30042150
- 424. Infante JR, et al. Invest New Drugs (2017) pmid:
- **425.** LoRusso PM, et al. BMC Cancer (2017) pmid: 28264648
- **426.** Tolcher AW, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 25516890
- **427.** Wilky BA, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2015) pmid: 25268371
- **428.** Janku F, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22271473
- **429.** Sarkaria JN, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20921209
- 430. Lee EQ, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 23099651
- 431. Lassen U, et al. Anticancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23564811
- 432. Geoerger B, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2012) pmid: 22033322
- 433. Wen PY, et al. Neuro-oncology (2014) pmid: 24470557
- **434.** Bowyer SE, et al. Melanoma Res. (2014) pmid: 24933606
- **435.** Sullivan et al., 2016: ASCO Abstract 9537
- **436.** Dahlman KB, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22798288
- **437.** Banerjee et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 10065
- **438.** Ross JS, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2016) pmid: 26314551
- **439.** Menzies AM, et al. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res (2015) pmid: 26072686
- 440. Grisham RN, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26324360
- **441.** Chmielecki J, et al. Cancer Discov (2014) pmid: 25266736
- **442.** Durham BH, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768065 **443.** Miller et al., 2016; ISPNO Abstract LG-01
- **444.** Miller C, et al. J Neurosurg Pediatr (2017) pmid: 28009226
- 445. Ameratunga M, et al. J Clin Pharm Ther (2016) pmid: 26936308
- **446.** Yde CW, et al. Cancer Genet (2016) pmid: 27810072
- **447.** Chapman PB, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2011) pmid: 21639808
- 448. Kurzrock R, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32067683
- **449.** Hyman DM, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26287849
- 450. Subbiah V. et al. Cancer Discov (2020) pmid: 32029534
- **450.** Subblan V, et al. Cancer Discov (2020) pmid: 320295. **451.** Mazieres J, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 31959346
- **452.** Larkin J, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2019) pmid: 30580112
- **452.** Carkin J, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2019) pmid: 30580112
- **454.** J. Neurooncol. (2013) pmid: 23756728
- **455.** Robinson GW, et al. BMC Cancer (2014) pmid: 24725538
- 456. del Bufalo F. et al. J Transl Med (2014) pmid: 25524464
- **456.** Ribas A. et al. Lancet Oncol. (2014) pmid: 25037139
- **458.** Klute et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 122
- 459. Chic N, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2020) pmid: 32896487
- 460. Guidry J. et al. JAAD Case Rep (2020) pmid: 33015265

461. Larkin et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 9006