

SPECIMEN RECEIVED 23 April 2021

TUMOR TYPE
Lung non-small cell lung
carcinoma (NOS)
COUNTRY CODE

REPORT DATE 05 May 2021

ORD-1075808-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT
DISEASE Lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NOS)
DATE OF BIRTH 11 June 1957
SEX Female
MEDICAL RECORD # Not given
PHYSICIAN
MEDICAL FACILITY Arias Stella
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 317319
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided
SPECIMEN
SPECIMEN SITE Pleura
SPECIMEN ID 21-2591 A
SPECIMEN TYPE Block
DATE OF COLLECTION 06 April 2021

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 6 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

MET amplification, exon 14 splice site (3028+2_3028+23del22) *MDM2* amplification

MTAP loss

MYC amplification - equivocal[†]
CDKN2A/B CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss
PARP3 W101*

7 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: ALK, BRAF, EGFR, ERBB2, KRAS, RET, ROS1

ACTIONABILITY

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section

No therapies or clinical trials see Biomarker Findings section

- † See About the Test in appendix for details.
- 4 Therapies with Clinical Benefit

20 Clinical Trials

O Therapies with Lack of Response

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable Tumor Mutational Burden - 6 Muts/Mb GENOMIC FINDINGS MET - amplification, exon 14 splice site (3028+2_3028+23del22) 10 Trials see p. 12 MDM2 - amplification 4 Trials see p. 11 MTAP - loss 1 Trial see p. 14 MYC - amplification - equivocal 5 Trials see p. 15

The tribulation of the tribulati				
THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)			
Capmatinib 2A	Cabozantinib			
Crizotinib 2A				
Tepotinib 2A				
none	none			
none	none			
none	none			

© 2021 Foundation Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved.

NCCN category





TUMOR TYPE
Lung non-small cell lung
carcinoma (NOS)
COUNTRY CODE
PE

REPORT DATE 05 May 2021

ORD-1075808-01

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIALS OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and c	linical significance,	including prognostic,	diagnostic,	germline, ar	ıd potential	chemosensitivity
implications, see the Genomic Findings section.						

CDKN2A/B - CDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss p. 6 *PARP3* - W101* p. 7

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared

with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)5.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MSI-H is generally infrequent in NSCLC, reported in fewer than 1% of samples across several large studies⁶⁻¹¹, whereas data on the reported incidence of MSI-H in SCLC has been limited and conflicting¹²⁻¹⁵. One study reported MSI-H in lung adenocarcinoma patients with smoking history, and 3 of 4 MSI-H patients examined also had metachronous carcinomas in other organs, although this has not been investigated in large scale studies⁶. The prognostic implications of MSI in NSCLC have not been extensively studied (PubMed, Oct 2020).

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁶. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS216-18. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹⁹⁻²¹. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins^{16,18,20-21}.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 6 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1²²⁻²⁴, anti-PD-1 therapies²²⁻²⁵, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab $^{26-30}$. Multiple clinical trials of PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors or combination of PD-1 and CTLA-4 inhibitors in NSCLC have reported that patients with tumors harboring TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb derive greater clinical benefit from these therapies than those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others); similarly, higher efficacy of anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy for treatment of patients with NSCLC, compared with the use of chemotherapy, has been observed more significantly in cases of TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others);^{22-23,26-28,31-38}. Improved OS of patients with NSCLC treated with pembrolizumab plus

chemotherapy relative to chemotherapy only³⁹, or those treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab also relative to chemotherapy⁴⁰, has been observed across all TMB levels.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

A large-scale genomic analysis found that unspecified lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), lung adenocarcinoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) samples harbored median TMBs between 6.3 and 9 Muts/Mb, and 12% to 17% of cases had an elevated TMB of greater than 20 Muts/Mb41. Lower TMB is observed more commonly in NSCLCs harboring known driver mutations (EGFR, ALK, ROS1, or MET) with the exception of BRAF or KRAS mutations, which are commonly observed in elevated TMB cases⁴². Although some studies have reported a lack of association between smoking and mutational burden in NSCLC⁴³⁻⁴⁴, several other large studies did find a strong association with increased TMB⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸. TMB >10 muts/Mb was found to be more frequent in NSCLC metastases compared with primary tumors for both adenocarcinoma (38% vs. 25%) and SCC (41% vs. 35%) subtypes⁴⁹. A large study of Chinese patients with lung adenocarcinoma reported a shorter median OS for tumors with a higher number of mutations in a limited gene set compared with a lower mutation number (48.4 vs. 61.0 months)⁴³.

Another study of patients with NSCLC correlated elevated TMB with poorer prognosis and significantly associated lower TMB in combination with PD-L1 negative status with longer median survival in patients with lung adenocarcinoma⁵⁰. However, no significant prognostic association of TMB and/or PD-L1 status with survival has been reported in patients with lung SCC⁵⁰⁻⁵¹.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma⁵²⁻⁵³ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer^{31,54}, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma55-56, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁵⁷⁻⁶¹, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{57,60-61}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents^{22-23,26-28,31-38,62}.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

MET

ALTERATION

amplification, exon 14 splice site (3028+2_3028+23del22)

TRANSCRIPT ID

NM_000245

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

3028+2_3028+23del22

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

50.9%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

On the basis of extensive clinical evidence, MET amplification or activating mutations may predict sensitivity to MET-targeting therapies such as kinase inhibitors crizotinib, capmatinib, tepotinib, and cabozantinib. Crizotinib has benefited patients with MET-amplified NSCLC of varied histologies⁶³⁻⁶⁶, gastroesophageal cancer⁶⁷, glioblastoma68, and carcinoma of unknown primary⁶⁹. Capmatinib has demonstrated clinical efficacy for patients with MET-amplified NSCLC both as a monotherapy⁷⁰⁻⁷¹ and in combination with an EGFR-TKI for patients with concurrent activating EGFR mutations⁷²⁻⁷³. Tepotinib has demonstrated efficacy for patients with METamplified HCC74 as a monotherapy, and in combination with gefitinib for patients with MET-amplified and EGFR-mutated NSCLC75-77. Savolitinib elicited responses in patients with MET-amplified papillary renal cell carcinoma⁷⁸ and gastric cancer either alone or in combination with docetaxel79-80. AMG 337 elicited an ORR of 50% (5/10), including 1 CR, for patients with MET-amplified gastric, esophageal, or gastroesophageal junction cancer81. Patients with MET-amplified NSCLC82 and gastric cancer83 treated with the MET-targeting antibody onartuzumab (MetMAb) achieved clinical responses. In addition, high MET expression has been suggested to predict patient response to therapy regimens including rilotumumab, a monoclonal HGF-targeting antibody, as well as emibetuzumab, a monoclonal MET-targeting antibody, combined with ramucirumab84. Telisotuzumab vedotin, a MET antibody-drug conjugate, was reported to be active in METpositive NSCLC with an ORR of 18.8% (3/16) and a DCR of 56.3%85. MET inhibitors crizotinib,

capmatinib, PF-04217903, tepotinib, glesatinib, savolitinib, and foretinib have provided benefit for patients with MET-mutated papillary renal cell carcinoma (RCC)86-89, histiocytic sarcoma90, and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) of varied histologies⁹¹⁻⁹⁵. Patients with MET exon 14 mutated NSCLC who were treated with 1 of several MET inhibitors exhibited superior outcomes (median OS 24.6 vs. 8.1 months; HR=0.11, p=0.04) compared with patients who were not treated with a MET inhibitor96. Tepotinib showed durable clinical activity in patients with NSCLC with MET exon 14 skipping mutations⁹⁷, and yielded a PR lasting 9 months for a patient with HLA-DRB1-MET fusion-positive NSCLC98. In another study, 11 patients with hereditary papillary RCC and germline MET mutations (4 of which were H1094R) experienced 5 PRs and 5 SDs after treatment with foretinib86. Savolitinib yielded ORRs of 49% (30/61) in patients with MET exon 14 mutated NSCLC99 and numerically higher ORR for patients with METdriven papillary RCC compared to sunitinib (27% [9/33] vs. 7.4% [2/27])89. A Phase 1 study for patients with MET-altered NSCLC treated with MET inhibitor bozitinib monotherapy reported an overall ORR of 30.6% (11/36) and DCR of 97.2% (35/36) with MET overexpression, amplification, and exon 14 skipping demonstrating ORRs of 35.7% (5/14), 41.2% (7/17), and 66.7% (10/15), respectively; increased ORRs were observed in patients with both exon 14 skipping and amplification (100%, 4/4) and with both amplification and overexpression (50%, 3/6)100. A Phase 2 study evaluating the MET inhibitor savolitinib for patients with MET exon 14 splice site mutation-positive pulmonary sarcomatoid carcinoma and other types of NSCLCs reported 16/31 (52%) of patients achieved a PR¹⁰¹.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the Phase 2 VISION study of patients with non-small cell lung cancer, MET exon 14 skipping alterations were reported in 3.6% of patients ¹⁰². In one study of 4402 lung adenocarcinoma cases, MET mutations (primarily those affecting MET exon 14 splicing) have been reported in 3% of samples ³⁰. In TCGA datasets, MET mutation has been observed in 8.3% of lung adenocarcinomas and 2.1% of lung squamous cell carcinomas ¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁴. MET amplification has been reported at incidences of 14-48% in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), is correlated with increased MET

protein expression, and occurs more frequently following treatment with EGFR inhibitors^{82,105-112}. In the Phase 2 VISION study of patients with NSCLC, MET amplification was reported in 4.9% of samples¹⁰². Studies on the effect of MET amplification on prognosis in NSCLC have vielded conflicting results105,109-110,113-117, although concurrent MET amplification and EGFR mutation have been correlated with reduced disease-free survival¹¹⁸. MET exon 14 splice alteration, which has predominantly been observed in lung cancer, was found to be an independent poor prognostic factor in a study of 687 patients with NSCLC¹¹⁹. However, other studies did not find MET exon 14 splice alteration as a major risk factor for overall survival for NSCLC patients, although recurrence rate was significantly higher in patients with exon 14 splice alteration compared to those with ALK fusion $^{120-121}$. Among NSCLC patients with exon 14 alterations that had not been previously treated with a MET inhibitor, a non-significant trend for reduced survival was noted in the context of concurrent MET amplification (5.2 vs 10.5 months, $p = 0.06)^{96}$.

FINDING SUMMARY

MET encodes a receptor tyrosine kinase, also known as c-MET or hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR), that is activated by the ligand HGF; MET activation results in signaling mediated partly by the RAS-RAF-MAPK and PI3K pathways to promote proliferation 122-123. MET has been reported to be amplified in cancer¹²⁴, with amplification positively correlating with protein expression in some cancer types 105,125-128 and associating with therapeutic response to MET inhibitors in a variety of cancer types^{63-65,67-69,129-130}. Certain MET alterations have been associated with the removal of exon 1492,131-135 and/or loss of a binding site for the ubiquitin ligase CBL, an enzyme that targets MET for degradation^{131,136-138}. Loss of either MET exon 14 or a CBL binding site increases MET stability, leading to prolonged signaling upon HGF stimulation and increased oncogenic potential^{131,135,137-141}; these mutations are expected to be activating. Responses to various MET inhibitors have been reported for multiple patients with alterations in their tumors predicted to lack MET exon 14^{90,92,142-146}.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE MDM2

ALTERATION amplification

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

MDM2 antagonists disrupt the MDM2-p53 interaction, thereby stabilizing p53¹⁴⁷. Preclinical studies have suggested that amplification of MDM2, in the absence of concurrent TP53 mutations, may increase sensitivity to these agents148-149. Preliminary Phase 1 studies of the MDM2-p53 antagonist APG-115 reported a PR in a patient with liposarcoma harboring a MDM2 amplification and wild-type for TP53 and SD in 21.4%-38.5% (5/13 and 6/28) of patients in genomically unselected solid tumors¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁵¹ . Phase 1b studies of the MDM2 inhibitor idasanutlin for refractory AML in combination with cytarabine or venetoclax reported anti-leukemic response rates of 33% (25/75) and 37% (11/30), respectively¹⁵²⁻¹⁵³; clinical benefit (58% ORR, 7/12)

with idasanutlin monotherapy has been reported for patients with polycythemia vera¹⁵⁴. The dual MDM2/MDM4 inhibitor ALRN-6924 led to an ORR of 27% (4/15) for patients with TP53 wild-type peripheral T-cell lymphoma in a Phase 2 study¹⁵⁵; responses have also been observed in TP53 wild-type AML, MDS, Merkel cell carcinoma, colorectal cancer, and liposarcoma¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁷

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

In the TCGA datasets, amplification of MDM2 has been reported in 8% of lung adenocarcinoma cases¹⁰³ and 2% of lung squamous cell carcinoma cases¹⁰⁴. Separate studies have reported MDM2 amplification at similar incidences of 6-7% in nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), mainly in patients with adenocarcinoma, but a higher incidence of 21% (24/116) has also been observed, with amplification found in various NSCLC subtypes¹⁵⁸⁻¹⁶⁰. The role of MDM2 expression/amplification as a prognostic marker is complex, with some studies showing a negative and others a positive effect on survival in patients with NSCLC^{158,160-162}.

FINDING SUMMARY

MDM2 encodes an E3 ubiquitin protein ligase, which mediates the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of p53, Rb1, and other proteins 163-165. MDM2 acts to prevent the activity of the tumor suppressor p53; therefore, overexpression or amplification of MDM2 may be oncogenic 166-167. Overexpression or amplification of MDM2 is frequent in cancer¹⁶⁸. Although two retrospective clinical studies suggest that MDM2 amplification may predict a short time-to-treatment failure on anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors, with 4/5 patients with MDM2 amplification169 and 2/3 patients with MDM2 or MDM4 amplification¹⁷⁰ experiencing tumor hyperprogression, amplification of MDM2 or MDM4 was not associated with shorter progression-free survival (PFS) in a retrospective analysis of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) outcomes with immune checkpoint inhibitors (hazard ratio of 1.4, p=0.44)35. The latter study reported PFS of >2 months for 5/8 patients with MDM₂/MDM₄ amplification³⁵.

GENE

MTAP

ALTERATION loss

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Preclinical and limited clinical evidence indicate that MTAP inactivation produces specific metabolic vulnerabilities. MTAP inactivation may confer sensitivity to MAT2A inhibitors¹⁷¹. A Phase 1 trial of MAT2A inhibitor AG-270 reported 1 PR and 2 SDs lasting longer than 6 months for patients with advanced solid tumors displaying MTAP loss¹⁷². Although preclinical data have suggested that MTAP loss sensitizes cells to PRMT5 inhibition^{171,173-174}, MTAP loss may not be a biomarker of response to previously developed small-molecule SAM-uncompetitive PRMT5 inhibitors¹⁷⁵; dual PRMT1 and PRMT5 inhibition may be more effective¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁸. In preclinical cancer models, MTAP inactivation showed increased sensitivity to inhibitors of purine synthesis or

purine analogs, especially upon addition of exogenous MTA, which is converted to adenine in normal cells, thereby providing competition to purine poisons lacking in MTAP-deficient cells¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸⁹. A Phase 2 study of L-alanosine, an inhibitor of adenine synthesis, as a monotherapy for 65 patients with MTAP-deficient cancers reported no responses and stable disease in 23.6% (13/55) of patients¹⁹⁰.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MTAP loss/homozygous deletion as well as loss of expression has been reported in a wide variety of solid tumors and hematologic cancers¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹²; such events have been correlated with poor prognosis in a variety of cancer types, including hepatocellular carcinoma¹⁹³, gastrointestinal stromal tumors¹⁹⁴, mantle cell lymphoma (MCL)¹⁹⁵, melanoma¹⁹⁶⁻¹⁹⁷, gastric cancer¹⁹⁸, myxofibrosarcoma¹⁹⁹, nasopharyngeal carcinoma²⁰⁰, ovarian carcinoma¹⁹¹ and non-small cell lung cancer²⁰¹. MTAP loss was not prognostic in pediatric B-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia²⁰² or in astrocytoma²⁰³. However, MTAP has also been reported to be overexpressed in colorectal

cancer (CRC) samples²⁰⁴, and MTAP retention is thought to be important for prostate cancer growth due to continuous supply of SAM²⁰⁵. Germline SNPs in MTAP have been correlated with the development of cutaneous melanoma²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁷, esophageal cancer²⁰⁸⁻²⁰⁹, osteosarcoma²¹⁰, and CRC²¹¹.

FINDING SUMMARY

MTAP encodes S-methyl-5'-thioadenosine (MTA) phosphorylase, a tumor suppressor involved in polyamine metabolism and methionine synthesis, although its enzymatic function is dispensable for its tumor suppressor activity²¹²⁻²¹³. Decreased expression of MTAP leads to MTA accumulation within tumor cells and their microenvironment^{193,214-215}, thereby reducing intracellular arginine methylation^{171,173,216} and altering cell signaling^{215,217}. MTAP is located at 9p21, adjacent to CDKN2A and CDKN2B, with which it is frequently co-deleted in various cancers. Other alterations in MTAP are rare and have not been extensively characterized.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

MYC

ALTERATION
amplification - equivocal

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

There are no available therapies that directly target MYC. However, preclinical data indicate that MYC overexpression may predict sensitivity to investigational agents targeting CDK1²¹⁸⁻²¹⁹, CDK2²²⁰, Aurora kinase A²²¹⁻²²⁸, Aurora kinase B²²⁹⁻²³², glutaminase²³³⁻²³⁶, or BET bromodomain-containing proteins²³⁷⁻²⁴⁰, as well as agents targeting both HDAC and PI₃K²⁴¹⁻²⁴³. A Phase 2 study reported a PFS benefit associated with a combination of the Aurora A kinase inhibitor alisertib and paclitaxel as second-line therapy for patients with MYC-overexpressed small cell lung

cancer but not for patients without MYC overexpression²⁴⁴. A patient with MYC-amplified invasive ductal breast carcinoma experienced a PR to an Aurora kinase inhibitor²⁴⁵. The glutaminase inhibitor CB-839, in combination with either everolimus or cabozantinib, has demonstrated encouraging efficacy in Phase 1 and 2 studies enrolling patients with pretreated advanced renal cell carcinoma²⁴⁶⁻²⁴⁷. MYC amplification has also been suggested to predict response to chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer in some studies²⁴⁸⁻²⁴⁹. Preclinical evidence suggests that colon cancer cells with MYC amplification may be more sensitive to 5-fluorouracil and paclitaxel²⁵⁰⁻²⁵¹.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MYC amplification has been reported in 10-50% of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) samples, including adenocarcinoma and/or squamous cell carcinoma subtypes²⁵²⁻²⁵⁶. In the Lung

Adenocarcinoma TCGA and Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma TCGA datasets, putative MYC amplification has been reported in 9% and 4.5% of cases, respectively 103-104. MYC amplification has been associated with metastasis in NSCLC, as well as with poor prognosis in early stage lung adenocarcinoma specifically 252-255.

FINDING SUMMARY

MYC (c-MYC) encodes a transcription factor that regulates many genes related to cell cycle regulation and cell growth. It is an oncogene and may be activated in as many as 20% of cancers²⁵⁷. MYC dysregulation (amplification, overexpression, translocation) has been identified in a number of different cancer types²⁵⁸. MYC amplification has been significantly linked with increased mRNA and protein levels and results in the dysregulation of a large number of target genes^{257,259-260}.

GENE

CDKN2A/B

ALTERATIONCDKN2A loss, CDKN2B loss

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Preclinical data suggest that tumors with loss of p16INK4a function may be sensitive to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as abemaciclib, ribociclib, and palbociclib²⁶¹⁻²⁶⁴. Although case studies have reported that patients with breast cancer or uterine leiomyosarcoma harboring CDKN2A loss responded to palbociclib treatment²⁶⁵⁻²⁶⁶, multiple other clinical studies have shown no significant correlation between p16INK4a loss or inactivation and therapeutic benefit of these agents²⁶⁷⁻²⁷³; it is not known whether CDK4/6 inhibitors would be beneficial in this case. Although preclinical studies have suggested that loss of p14ARF function may be associated with reduced sensitivity to MDM2 inhibitors^{149,274}, the clinical relevance of p14ARF as a predictive biomarker is not clear. There are no drugs that directly target the mutation or loss of CDKN2B in cancer. Because the p15INK4b protein encoded by CDKN2B is known to inhibit CDK4, tumors with CDKN2B mutation or loss may predict sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as ribociclib, abemaciclib, and

palbociclib^{268,270-271,275-277}.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

CDKN2A/B loss and CDKN2A mutation have been reported in approximately 19% and 4% of lung adenocarcinomas, respectively¹⁰³. CDKN₂A/ B loss and CDKN2A mutation have been reported in 26% and 17% of lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) samples analyzed in the TCGA dataset, respectively¹⁰⁴. Loss of p16INK4a protein expression, through CDKN2A mutation, homozygous deletion, or promoter methylation, has been described in 49-68% of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) samples, whereas low p14ARF protein expression has been detected in 21-72% of NSCLC samples 104,278-283. In patients with lung SCC, loss of CDKN2B associated with poor survival in one study²⁸⁴. Loss of p16INK4a protein as well as CDKN2A promoter hypermethylation correlate with poor survival in patients with NSCLC^{280,285-287}.

FINDING SUMMARY

CDKN2A encodes two different, unrelated tumor suppressor proteins, p16INK4a and p14ARF, whereas CDKN2B encodes the tumor suppressor p15INK4b²⁸⁸⁻²⁸⁹. Both p15INK4b and p16INK4a bind to and inhibit CDK4 and CDK6, thereby maintaining the growth-suppressive activity of the Rb tumor suppressor; loss or inactivation of either p15INK4b or p16INK4a contributes to

dysregulation of the CDK4/6-cyclin-Rb pathway and loss of cell cycle control^{279,290}. The tumor suppressive functions of p14ARF involve stabilization and activation of p53, via a mechanism of MDM2 inhibition²⁹¹⁻²⁹². One or more alterations observed here are predicted to result in p16INK4a loss of function²⁹³⁻³¹⁴. One or more alterations seen here are predicted to result in p14ARF loss of function^{297,314-317}. CDKN2B alterations such as seen here are predicted to inactivate p15INK4b³¹⁸.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline CDKN2A mutation is associated with melanoma-pancreatic cancer syndrome, a condition marked by increased risk of developing malignant melanoma and/or pancreatic cancer³¹⁹. Mutation carriers within families may develop either or both types of cancer, and melanoma cases may be referred to as familial or hereditary melanoma³²⁰⁻³²¹. CDKN₂A is the most implicated gene in familial melanoma, with germline mutations present in 16% to 20% of familial melanoma cases³²²⁻³²⁴. CDKN₂A alteration has also been implicated in familial melanomaastrocytoma syndrome, an extremely rare tumor association characterized by dual predisposition to melanoma and nervous system tumors³²⁵⁻³²⁷. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of CDKN2A is recommended.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

PARP3

ALTERATION

W101*

TRANSCRIPT ID NM_005485

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

302G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF)

11.6%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

There are no approved therapies or clinical trials to address genomic alterations in PARP3. However, multiple PARP3 inhibitors are in preclinical development, with a particular focus on combinatorial approaches with other agents³²⁸⁻³³⁰.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

PARP3 mutations have been reported in 3% of endometrial, 2% of large intestine, 2% of skin, and <1% of other tumor types (COSMIC 2021)³³¹. Published studies have not investigated the effects of genomic alterations in PARP3 on the clinical or prognostic features of solid or hematologic malignancies.

FINDING SUMMARY

PARP3 encodes a member of the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) family that plays roles in DNA damage repair and cell cycle progression. Multiple functions of PARP3 have been reported in cellular response to DNA damage, including sensing nicked nucleosomes³³², stabilization of the mitotic spindle³³³, promotion of both nonhomologous end-joining and homologous recombination via regulation of G-quadruplex DNA³³⁴, and inhibition of G1/S cell cycle progression³³⁵. PARP3 alterations have not been characterized, but they have been reported in cancer, which may indicate biological relevance.



THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE

Capmatinib

Assay findings association

MET

amplification, exon 14 splice site (3028+2_3028+23del22)

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Capmatinib is a selective MET tyrosine kinase inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer harboring MET exon 14 skipping-associated alterations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Based on extensive clinical data in NSCLC^{70,97,99,336-338}, MET mutations associated with exon 14 skipping may predict sensitivity to selective MET inhibitors. On the basis of clinical data in NSCLC^{70,75-77,339}, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)⁷⁴, renal cell carcinoma (RCC)⁷⁸, and gastric cancer⁷⁹, MET amplification may predict sensitivity to selective MET inhibitors.

SUPPORTING DATA

Capmatinib monotherapy has demonstrated clinical activity for patients with advanced NSCLC harboring MET exon 14 skipping alterations and lacking EGFR mutations or ALK rearrangements³⁴⁰⁻³⁴¹. The Phase 2 GEOMETRY mono-1 study reported a higher ORR (67.9% vs. 40.6%) and DCR (96.4% vs. 78.3%), and longer PFS (12.4 vs. 5.4 months) and median duration of response (12.6 vs. 9.7 months) for treatment-naive patients with exon 14 mutations when compared with those who were previously treated; no correlation was observed between

patient responses and the presence of co-occurring MET amplification³³⁶. Additionally, this study recorded a 53.8% (7/13) intracranial response rate and 92.3% (12/13) intracranial DCR340. A retrospective analysis of the GEOMETRY mono-1 study compared with a cohort of real-world (RW) patients with NSCLC harboring MET exon 14 skipping alterations who received first-line chemotherapy and/or immunotherapy reported a longer PFS (mPFS 12.0 vs mrwPFS 6.2 months) for patients that received capmatinib compared to chemotherapy and/or immunotherapy used in the real-world³⁴². In the Phase 2 GEOMETRY mono-1 study for patients with advanced NSCLC and MET gene copy number (GCN) ≥10, capmatinib elicited ORRs of 29-40%, median PFS of 4.1-4.2 months, and median OS of 9.6-10.6 months across treatment-naive and previously treated cohorts³⁴³. A Phase 1 study of capmatinib monotherapy for advanced EGFR- and ALK-wild-type NSCLC reported ORRs of 46.7% (7/15) for patients with MET GCN ≥6, 25% (3/12) for patients with MET GCN 4-6, and 5.9% (1/17) for patients with MET GCN <4; median PFS was 3.7 months overall, and 7.9 months for patients with MET GCN ≥6341. Multiple Phase 1 and 2 clinical studies have reported limited efficacy for capmatinib monotherapy in non-NSCLC indications, with no responses observed for patients with glioblastoma $(n=10)^{344}$, gastric cancer (n=9), or other advanced solid tumors $(n=24)^{345-346}$.



THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE

Crizotinib

Assay findings association

MET

amplification, exon 14 splice site (3028+2_3028+23del22)

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Crizotinib is an inhibitor of the kinases MET, ALK, ROS1, and RON. It is FDA approved to treat patients with ALK rearrangement- or ROS1 rearrangement-positive nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and to treat pediatric and young adult patients with ALK rearrangement-positive anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Sensitivity of MET alterations to crizotinib is suggested by extensive clinical data in patients with MET-amplified cancers, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)^{63-65,347-348}, gastric cancer¹²⁹, gastroesophageal cancer⁶⁷, glioblastoma⁶⁸, and carcinoma of unknown primary⁶⁹, as well as in patients with MET-mutated cancers, including NSCLC^{90,92-95,349}, renal cell carcinoma (RCC)⁸⁸, and histiocytic sarcoma⁹⁰. Crizotinib has also benefited patients with NSCLC or histiocytic sarcoma tumors harboring various alterations associated with MET exon 14 skipping^{90,92-96}.

SUPPORTING DATA

The expansion cohort of the PROFILE 1001 study reported a 32.3% (21/65, 3 CRs) ORR, 7.3 month median PFS, and 20.5 month median OS for patients with advanced MET exon 14-altered NSCLC³⁵⁰. Other Phase 2 studies have reported ORRs of 20.0% to 35.7%, median

PFS of 2.4 to 2.6 months, and median OS of 3.8 to 8.1 months for patients with MET-mutated NSCLC351-352. A retrospective study reported median PFS of 7.4 months in patients with MET exon 14-altered NSCLC treated with crizotinib 353 . In a small study for patients with NSCLC and MET overexpression with or without gene amplification, crizotinib elicited 11 PRs and 3 SDs in 19 evaluable patients³⁴⁸. Crizotinib has demonstrated efficacy in patients with NSCLC and ALK rearrangements354-358, ROS1 rearrangements^{352,359-362}, an NTRK1 fusion³⁶³, or MET activation^{63-65,92-95,134,347-349,364-369}. The Phase 2 METROS and AcSe trials have reported ORRs of 31.3% to 32.0%, median PFS of 3.2 to 5.0 months, and median OS of 5.4 to 7.7 months for patients with MET amplified advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC); a higher level of amplification was predictive of better response in the AcSe trial (P=0.04)³⁵¹⁻³⁵². Additional patients with MET amplified NSCLC have been reported to experience clinical benefit from crizotinib in several case studies^{63-65,367,369-370}. A patient with lung adenocarcinoma harboring K86oI and L858R EGFR mutations, who acquired both EGFR T790M and MET amplification upon various treatments, experienced clinical benefit from subsequent combination treatment of osimertinib and crizotinib371. Two patients with ALK-positive NSCLC and acquired MET amplification experienced benefit from crizotinib monotherapy and crizotinib in combination with lorlatinib³⁷².

Tepotinib

Assay findings association

MET

amplification, exon 14 splice site (3028+2_3028+23del22)

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Tepotinib is a selective MET tyrosine kinase inhibitor that is FDA approved to treat patients with metastatic nonsmall cell lung cancer harboring MET exon 14 skipping alterations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Based on extensive clinical data in NSCLC^{70,97,99,336-338}, MET mutations associated with exon 14 skipping may predict sensitivity to selective MET inhibitors. On the basis of clinical data in NSCLC^{70,75-77,339}, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)⁷⁴, renal cell carcinoma (RCC)⁷⁸, and gastric cancer⁷⁹, MET amplification may predict sensitivity to selective MET inhibitors.

SUPPORTING DATA

In the Phase 2 VISION study, tepotinib yielded an ORR of 45%, median duration of response (DOR) of 11 months, and median PFS of 8.9 months for patients with NSCLC and MET exon 14 skipping alterations, with similar ORRs observed for treatment-naïve and previously treated patients^{97,338}. Among patients with brain metastases, tepotinib yielded an ORR of 57% (8/14)373, median DOR of 9.5 months, and median PFS of 10.9 months97. Tepotinib has primarily been investigated in NSCLC, demonstrating efficacy as a single agent for patients with MET exon 14-skipping alterations 97,338 and in combination with gefitinib for patients with concurrent EGFR mutation and MET amplification or overexpression in Phase 2 studies⁷⁶⁻⁷⁷ . A case study reported 1 PR lasting 9 months for a patient with HLA-DRB1-MET fusionpositive NSCLC metastatic to the brain98.

TUMOR TYPE
Lung non-small cell lung
carcinoma (NOS)

REPORT DATE 05 May 2021



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1075808-01

THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

Cabozantinib

Assay findings association

MET

amplification, exon 14 splice site (3028+2_3028+23del22)

AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

Cabozantinib inhibits multiple tyrosine kinases, including MET, RET, VEGFRs, and ROS1. It is FDA approved to treat patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) after prior treatment with sorafenib, or progressive, metastatic medullary thyroid cancer (MTC). Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

GENE ASSOCIATION

Sensitivity of MET alterations to cabozantinib is suggested by clinical responses in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring MET mutations associated with MET exon 14 skipping, with or without concurrent MET amplification 92,374, as well as by extensive preclinical data 375-381.

SUPPORTING DATA

Cabozantinib elicited a CR in a patient with lung adenocarcinoma harboring a MET amplification and a mutation affecting MET exon 14 splicing⁹². A Phase 2 randomized discontinuation trial of cabozantinib reported a 10.0% (6/60) ORR and a 58.3% (35/60) DCR, with median PFS of 4.2 months, for patients with genomically unselected, heavily pretreated NSCLC 382 . Patients with EGFR wild-type non-squamous NSCLC who had progressed after previous treatment experienced longer median PFS with cabozantinib alone or combined with erlotinib (4.3 and 4.7 months, HR=0.39 and 0.37, respectively) compared with single agent erlotinib (1.8 months) in a randomized Phase 2 trial³⁸³. A Phase 1 study of cabozantinib for advanced solid tumors reported an ORR of 20.0% (4/20; 4 PRs, all in EGFR-mutated tumors) and DCR of 100% (20/20) in the expansion cohort for Japanese patients with NSCLC384.

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.



CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \Rightarrow Geographical proximity \Rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

MDM2

ALTERATION amplification

RATIONALE

Inhibitors of the MDM2-p53 interaction are being tested in clinical trials. Overexpression or

amplification of MDM2 may increase sensitivity to these agents, but more data are required.

NCT03611868	PHASE 1/2
A Study of APG-115 in Combination With Pembrolizumab in Patients With Metastatic Melanomas or Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS MDM2, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Tennessee, Arkansas, Virginia, District of Columbia, Pennsylvania, Missouri

NCT04589845	PHASE 2
Tumor-Agnostic Precision Immuno-Oncology and Somatic Targeting Rational for You (TAPISTRY) Platform Study	TARGETS ALK, ROS1, TRKA, TRKB, TRKC, RET, PD-L1, AKTs, ERBB2, MDM2, PI3K- alpha

LOCATIONS: Florida, Alabama, Texas, Tennessee, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Delaware

NCT03449381	PHASE 1
This Study Aims to Find the Best Dose of BI 907828 in Patients With Different Types of Advanced Cancer (Solid Tumors)	TARGETS MDM2
LOCATIONS: Florida, Tennessee, New York, Connecticut, Ottawa (Canada), Tokyo, Chuo-ku (Japan)	

NCT03725436	PHASE 1
ALRN-6924 and Paclitaxel in Treating Patients With Advanced, Metastatic, or Unresectable Solid Tumors	TARGETS MDM2, MDM4
LOCATIONS: Texas	

TUMOR TYPE Lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NOS) REPORT DATE 05 May 2021



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1075808-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

GENE MET

RATIONALE

Activation of MET may lead to increased MET expression and activation and may therefore

confer sensitivity to MET inhibitors.

CSF1R, FLT3, KIT, RET, mTOR, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB3, MEK, BRAF, SMO, DDR2, PARP, PD-1, CTLA-4, ERBB4

ALTERATION amplification, exon 14 splice site (3028+2_3028+23del22)

NCT03906071	PHASE 3
Phase 3 Study of Sitravatinib Plus Nivolumab vs Docetaxel in Patients With Advanced Non-Squamous NSCLC	TARGETS PD-1, AXL, DDR2, FLT3, KIT, MET, PDGFRA, RET, TRKA, TRKB, VEGFRS
LOCATIONS: Florida	
NCT03175224	PHASE 1/2
CBT-101 Study for Advanced Solid Tumors and c-Met Dysregulation	TARGETS MET
LOCATIONS: Rio Piedras (Puerto Rico), Florida, Louisiana, South Carolina	
NCT02693535	PHASE 2
TAPUR: Testing the Use of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Approved Drugs That Target a Specific Abnormality in a Tumor Gene in People With Advanced Stage Cancer	TARGETS VEGFRs, ABL, SRC, ALK, AXL, MET, ROS1, TRKA, TRKC, CDK4, CDK6,

LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Georgia, Alabama, North Carolina, Virginia, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Indiana

NCT04310007	PHASE 2
Testing the Addition of the Pill Chemotherapy, Cabozantinib, to the Standard Immune Therapy Nivolumab Compared to Standard Chemotherapy for Non-small Cell Lung Cancer	TARGETS MET, RET, ROS1, VEGFRs, PD-1
LOCATIONS: Florida, Louisiana, Georgia, South Carolina, Alabama, Texas, North Carolina	
NCT03170960	PHASE 1/2
Study of Cabozantinib in Combination With Atezolizumab to Subjects With Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors	TARGETS PD-L1, MET, RET, ROS1, VEGFRS
LOCATIONS: Florida, Louisiana, South Carolina, Texas, Georgia, Virginia	
NCT03539536	PHASE 2
Study of Telisotuzumab Vedotin (ABBV-399) in Subjects With Previously Treated c-Met+ Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer	TARGETS MET
LOCATIONS: Florida, Alabama, Texas, Tennessee, Kentucky, Arkansas, Virginia, Missouri	

TARGETS

MET



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1075808-01

Alterations

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT02795156	PHASE 2	
Study to Assess the Activity of Molecularly Matched Targeted Therapies in Select Tumor Types Based on Genomic Alterations	TARGETS BRAF, KIT, RET, VEGFRS, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, MET, ROS1	
LOCATIONS: Florida, Tennessee, Missouri, Wisconsin, Colorado		
NCT02609776	PHASE 1	
A Dose Escalation Study of JNJ-61186372 in Participants With Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer	TARGETS MET, EGFR	
LOCATIONS: Florida, Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Missouri, New York, Massachusetts, Michigan, Illinois, Toronto (Canada)		
NCT02864992	PHASE 2	

LOCATIONS: Texas, Missouri, New York, Madrid (Spain), Bayonne (France), Barcelona (Spain), Toulouse (France), Paris Cedex 10 (France)

Tepotinib Phase II Study in Lung Adenocarcinoma Harbouring MET Exon 14 (METex14) Skipping

Safety and Efficacy of Capmatinib (INC280) Plus Pembrolizumab vs Pembrolizumab Alone in NSCLC With PD-L1≥ 50% TARGETS MET, PD-1	

LOCATIONS: Tennessee, Madrid (Spain), Valencia (Spain), Barcelona (Spain), Badalona (Spain), Toulouse Cedex 9 (France), LILLE Cédex (France), Bruxelles (Belgium), Yvoir (Belgium), Liege (Belgium)



TUMOR TYPE Lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NOS) REPORT DATE 05 May 2021



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1075808-01

CLINICAL TRIALS

MTAP

RATIONALE

MTAP loss may predict sensitivity to MAT2A

inhibitors.

ALTERATION loss

NCT03435250	PHASE 1	
Study of AG-270 in Participants With Advanced Solid Tumors or Lymphoma With MTAP Loss	TARGETS MAT2A	
LOCATIONS: Tennessee, New York, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Barcelona (Spain), Villejuif Cedex (France)		



CLINICAL TRIALS

ALTERATION

NE RATIONALE MYC amplifi

MYC amplification may predict sensitivity to inhibition of CDKs, especially CDK1 and CDK2, of Aurora kinases, including Aurora kinase A and B,

and of BET domain proteins, which are reported to downregulate MYC expression and MYC-dependent transcriptional programs.

amplification - equivocal			
NCT03297424	PHASE 1/2		
A Study of PLX2853 in Advanced Malignancies.	TARGETS BRD4		
LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Virginia, New York, Arizona			
NCT02516553	PHASE 1		
BI 894999 First in Human Dose Finding Study in Advanced Malignancies	TARGETS BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, BRDT		
LOCATIONS: Texas, New York, Ohio, Massachusetts, California, Madrid (Spain), Nantes (France), Barcelona (Spain), Villejuif (France), Paris (France)			

NCT03654547	PHASE 1
Safety of TT-00420 Monotherapy in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors and Triple Negative Breast Cancer	TARGETS Aurora kinase A, Aurora kinase B
LOCATIONS: Texas	

NCT01434316	PHASE 1
Veliparib and Dinaciclib in Treating Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS PARP, CDK1, CDK2, CDK5, CDK9

LOCATIONS: Massachusetts

NCT03220347	PHASE 1
A Study to Assess the Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics and Preliminary Efficacy of CC-90010 in Subjects With Advanced Solid Tumors and Relapsed/Refractory Non-Hodgkin's Lymphomas	TARGETS BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, BRDT
LOCATIONS: Madrid (Spain), Bordeaux (France), Barcelona (Spain), Villejuif (France), Rozzano (MI) (Kashiwa (Japan)	taly), Meldola (Italy), Napoli, Campania (Italy),



TUMOR TYPE Lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NOS) REPORT DATE 05 May 2021



ORDERED TEST # ORD-1075808-01

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

ATM BCOR CSF3R **FANCA** D851Y D1935Y G366R D953E GATA6 IRS2 **KDR MERTK** F983V V1356A S159T rearrangement

PDGFRA TSC1 F1059I K587R



APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						

DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes.

Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Alterations and Therapies Biomarker and Genomic Findings
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit in patient's tumor type (ranked alphabetically within each NCCN category) followed by therapies with clinical benefit in other tumor type (ranked alphabetically within each NCCN category).

Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK* (NCCN*) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories, please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2021. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

- 1. The MSI-H/MSS designation by FMI F1CDx test is based on genome wide analysis of 95 microsatellite loci and not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using uterine, cecum and colorectal cancer FFPE tissue. The clinical validity of the qualitative MSI designation has not been established. For Microsatellite Instability (MSI) results, confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal method should be considered.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit.



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.

- 3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.
- 4. Alterations reported may include somatic (not inherited) or germline (inherited) alterations; however, the test does not distinguish between germline and somatic alterations. The test does not provide information about susceptibility.
- 5. Biopsy may pose a risk to the patient when archival tissue is not available for use with the assay. The patient's physician should determine whether the patient is a candidate for biopsy.
- 6. Reflex testing to an alternative FDA approved companion diagnostic should be performed for patients who have an *ERBB2* amplification result detected with copy number equal to 4 (baseline ploidy of tumor +2) for confirmatory testing. While this result is considered negative by FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx), in a clinical concordance study with an FDA approved FISH

test, 70% (7 out of 10 samples) were positive, and 30% (3 out of 10 samples) were negative by the FISH test with an average ratio of 2.3. The frequency of *ERBB2* copy number 4 in breast cancer is estimated to be approximately 2%. Multiple references listed in https://www.mycancergenome.org/content/disease/breast-cancer/ERBB2/238/ report the frequency of HER2 overexpression as 20% in breast cancer. Based on the F1CDx HER2 CDx concordance study, approximately 10% of HER2 amplified samples had copy number 4. Thus, total frequency is conservatively estimated to be approximately 2%.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of follow-up germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are ATM, BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CHEK2, FH, FLCN, MLH1,

MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
TKI	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 4.0.0

The median exon coverage for this sample is 904x

APPENDIX

References

- 1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- Warth A, et al. Virchows Arch. (2016) pmid: 26637197
- 7. Ninomiya H, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2006) pmid: 16641899
- Vanderwalde A, et al. Cancer Med (2018) pmid: 29436178
- 9. Zang YS, et al. Cancer Med (2019) pmid: 31270941
- Dudley JC, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 26880610
- 11. Takamochi K, et al. Lung Cancer (2017) pmid: 28676214
- 12. Pylkkänen L, et al. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. (1997) pmid: 9329646
- 13. Gonzalez R, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2000) pmid: 11061602
- 14. Chen XQ, et al. Nat. Med. (1996) pmid: 8782463
- 15. Merlo A, et al. Cancer Res. (1994) pmid: 8174113
- Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 17. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 19. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 20. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 21. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 22. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 23. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 24. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 25. Cristescu R, et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 26. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 27. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658845
- **28.** Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 29. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 30. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 31. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070 32. Colli LM, et al. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27197178
- **33.** Wang VE, et al. J Immunother Cancer (2017) pmid: 28923100
- **34.** Carbone DP, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2017) pmid: 28636851
- **35.** Rizvi H, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29337640
- **36.** Forde PM, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658848
- **37.** Miao D, et al. Nat. Genet. (2018) pmid: 30150660
- **38.** Chae YK, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2019) pmid: 30425022
- 39. Paz-Ares et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract LBA80
- 40. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) pmid:
- 31562796 41. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid:
- 41. Chaimers 2R, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid 28420421
- 42. Spigel et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 9017
- **43.** Xiao D, et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 27009843
- **44.** Shim HS, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 26200269
- **45.** Govindan R, et al. Cell (2012) pmid: 22980976
- **46.** Ding L, et al. Nature (2008) pmid: 18948947
- **47.** Imielinski M, et al. Cell (2012) pmid: 22980975
- **48.** Kim Y, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24323028 **49.** Stein et al., 2019; DOI: 10.1200/PO.18.00376
- Chen Y, et al. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31088500

- 51. Yu H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2019) pmid: 30253973
- 52. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 54. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- **55.** Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 56. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- **58.** Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 60. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- **62.** Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32919526
- 63. Ou SH, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2011) pmid: 21623265
- **64.** Schwab R, et al. Lung Cancer (2014) pmid: 24192513
- 65. Le X, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2015) pmid: 25922291
- 66. Schrock AB, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2017) pmid: 28315738
- 67. Lennerz JK, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 22042947
- 68. Chi AS, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2012) pmid: 22162573
- 69. Palma NA, et al. Case Rep Oncol (2014) pmid: 25232318
- 70. Schuler et al., 2016: ASCO Abstract 9067
- 71. Wu et al., 2018; WCLC Abstract P1.01-97
- 72. Gainor JF, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2020) pmid: 31864558
- **73.** Gautschi O, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2020) pmid: 31864554
- 74. Faivre et al. 2021; ASCO GI Abstract 329
- 75. Yang et al., 2019; AACR Abstract CT193
- 76. Park et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract 4770
- 77. Wu et al., 2019; IASLC Abstract MA09.0978. Gan HK, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 30952639
- **79.** Lee J, et al. Cancer Discov (2019) pmid: 31315834
- **80.** Kim ST, et al. Transl Oncol (2019) pmid: 31315834
- 81. Kwak et al., 2015; ASCO GI Abstract 01
- 82. Spigel DR, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 24101053
- 83. Catenacci DV, et al. Cancer Discov (2011) pmid: 22389872
- **84.** Harding JJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31142504
- **85.** Strickler JH, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 30285518
- 86. Choueiri TK, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23213094
- **87.** Diamond JR, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23610116
- Stein MN, et al. Eur. Urol. (2015) pmid: 25457019
 Choueiri TK, et al. JAMA Oncol (2020) pmid: 32469384
- 90. Frampton GM, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 25971938
- 91. Engstrom LD, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2017) pmid:
- 28765324 92. Paik PK, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 25971939
- **93.** Jenkins RW, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2015) pmid: 25769807
- 94. Waqar SN, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 25898962
- Mendenhall MA, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 25898965
- **96.** Awad et al., 2017; ASCO Abstract 8511
- **97.** Paik PK, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2020) pmid: 32469185
- **98.** Blanc-Durand F, et al. Oncologist (2020) pmid: 32716573
- 99. Lu et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 9519
- 100. Yang et al., 2020; AACR Abstract CT127
- 101. Lu et al., 2019; AACR Abstract CT031
- 102. Le et al., 2020; AACR Abstract 3385

- **103.** Nature (2014) pmid: 25079552
- 104. Nature (2012) pmid: 22960745

TUMOR TYPE

carcinoma (NOS)

Lung non-small cell lung

- 105. Dziadziuszko R, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2012) pmid: 22237262
- 106. Murray S, et al. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22992338
- 107. Xia N, et al. Exp. Lung Res. (2013) pmid: 23919423
- 108. Nakamura Y, et al. Cancer Sci. (2007) pmid: 17459054
- 109. Park S, et al. Histol. Histopathol. (2012) pmid: 22207554
- 110. Cappuzzo F, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19255323
- 111. Zucali PA, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2008) pmid: 18467317
- 112. An SJ, et al. PLoS ONE (2012) pmid: 22768234
- 113. Yang JJ, et al. Lung Cancer (2013) pmid: 23079155 114. Chen YT, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2011) pmid: 22052229
- 115. Kanteti R, et al. J. Environ. Pathol. Toxicol. Oncol. (2009) pmid: 19817696
- 116. To C, et al. Exp. Cell Res. (2002) pmid: 11795945
- 117. Tsuta K, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2012) pmid: 22198430
- 118. Tanaka A, et al. Lung Cancer (2012) pmid: 22733594
- 119. Tong JH, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 26847053
- 120. Lee GD, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2017) pmid: 28502721
- **121.** Gow CH, et al. Lung Cancer (2017) pmid: 28024701
- 122. J. Clin. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 22042966
- 123. Jung KH, et al. Arch. Pharm. Res. (2012) pmid: 22553051
- **124.** Gao J, et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
- 125. Ang CS, et al. Anticancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23898085
- 126. Abou-Bakr AA, et al. Gulf J Oncolog (2013) pmid:
- 127. Ho JC, et al. Semin Respir Crit Care Med (2013) pmid: 24258573
- 128. Madoz-Gúrpide J, et al. J Transl Med (2015) pmid: 26319934
- **129.** Ali SM, et al. Oncologist (2015) pmid: 25882375
- 130. Kwak EL, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 26432108131. Kong-Beltran M, et al. Cancer Res. (2006) pmid:
- 16397241 132. Onozato R, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2009) pmid:

19096300

- 133. Okuda K, et al. Cancer Sci. (2008) pmid: 19037978
- Awad MM, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 26729443
 Gray MJ, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2015) pmid:
- 26637977 136. Peschard P, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2004) pmid: 15123609
- 137. Lee JM, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 23208509
- 138. Lee JH, et al. Exp. Mol. Med. (2006) pmid: 17079873
- 139. Togashi Y, et al. Lung Cancer (2015) pmid: 26547802140. Peschard P, et al. Mol. Cell (2001) pmid: 11741535
- 141. Abella JV, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2005) pmid: 16227611
- 142. Drilon et al., 2018; WCLC Abstract OA12.02
- 143. Felip et al., 2018; WCLC Abstract OA12.01
- 144. Wolf et al., 2018; ESMO Abstract LBA52
- **145.** Moro-Sibilot et al., 2018; WCLC Abstract OA12.03 **146.** Berry ZS, et al. JAMA (1993) pmid: 8315738
- 146. Berry 25, et al. JAMA (1993) prilid: 8315/38 147. Cheok CF, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2011) pmid: 20975744
- 148. Ohnstad HO, et al. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23165797
- 149. Gamble LD. et al. Oncogene (2012) pmid: 21725357
- **150.** Zhang et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 3124
- 151. Rasco et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 3126152. Martinelli et al., 2016; EHA21 Abstract S504
- 153. Daver et al., 2018; ASH Abstract 767
- **154.** Mascarenhas et al., 2019; ASH Abstract 134
- 156. Sallman et al., 2018; ASH Abstract 4066157. Meric-Bernstam et al., 2017; ASCO Abstract 2505



APPENDIX

References

- 158. Higashiyama M, et al. Br. J. Cancer (1997) pmid: 9155050
- 159. Marchetti A, et al. Diagn. Mol. Pathol. (1995) pmid: 7551299
- 160. Dworakowska D, et al. Lung Cancer (2004) pmid: 15165086
- 161. Onel K, et al. Mol. Cancer Res. (2004) pmid: 14757840
- 162. Ren YW, et al. Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev. (2013) pmid: 24175836
- 163. Sdek P, et al. Mol. Cell (2005) pmid: 16337594
- 164. Brady M, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2005) pmid: 15632057
- 165. Li M, et al. Mol. Cell (2004) pmid: 15053880
- 166. Brown CJ, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2009) pmid: 19935675
- 167. Cordon-Cardo C, et al. Cancer Res. (1994) pmid: 8306343
- 168. Beroukhim R, et al. Nature (2010) pmid: 20164920
- 169. Kato S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2017) pmid: 28351930
- 170. Singavi et al., 2017; ESMO Abstract 1140PD
- 171. Marjon K, et al. Cell Rep (2016) pmid: 27068473
- 172. Heist et al., 2019; AACR-NCI-EORTC Abstract B116
- 173. Mavrakis KJ, et al. Science (2016) pmid: 26912361
- 174. Endoscopy (1989) pmid: 2691236
- 175. Guccione E, et al. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. (2019) pmid: 31350521
- 176. Fedoriw A, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) pmid: 31257072
- 177. Srour N, et al. Cancer Cell (2019) pmid: 31287990
- 178. Gao G, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30916320
- 179. Hansen LJ, et al. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31040154
- 180. Tang B, et al. Cancer Res. (2018) pmid: 29844120
- 181. Munshi PN, et al. Oncologist (2014) pmid: 24928612
- 182. de Oliveira SF, et al. PLoS ONE (2016) pmid: 26751376
- 183. Lubin M, et al. PLoS ONE (2009) pmid: 19478948
- 184. Tang B, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2012) pmid: 22825330
- 185. Collins CC, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid:
- 186. Bertino JR, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2011) pmid:
- 187. Coulthard SA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21282358
- 188. Miyazaki S, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2007) pmid: 17912432
- 189. Efferth T, et al. Blood Cells Mol. Dis. () pmid: 11987241
- Kindler HL, et al. Invest New Drugs (2009) pmid: 190. 18618081
- 191. Wei R, et al. Sci Rep (2016) pmid: 27929028
- 192. Zhao M, et al. BMC Genomics (2016) pmid: 27556634
- 193. Kirovski G, et al. Am. J. Pathol. (2011) pmid: 21356366
- 194. Huang HY, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2009) pmid:
- 195. Marcé S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2006) pmid: 16778103
- 196. Meyer S, et al. Exp. Dermatol. (2010) pmid: 20500769
- 197. Wild PJ, et al. Arch Dermatol (2006) pmid: 16618867
- 198. Kim J, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2011) pmid: 21412930
- 199. Li CF, et al. Oncotarget (2014) pmid: 25426549
- 200. He HL, et al. Medicine (Baltimore) (2015) pmid: 26656376
- 201. Su CY, et al. Eur J Surg Oncol (2014) pmid: 24969958
- 202. Mirebeau D, et al. Haematologica (2006) pmid: 16818274
- **203.** Becker AP, et al. Pathobiology (2015) pmid: 26088413
- 204. Snezhkina AV, et al. Oxid Med Cell Longev (2016) pmid: 27433286
- 205. Bistulfi G, et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 26910893
- Antonopoulou K, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2015) pmid: 25407435
- 207. Maccioni L, et al. BMC Cancer (2013) pmid: 23816148

- 208. Hyland PL, et al. Int J Epidemiol (2016) pmid: 26635288
- 209. Lin X, et al. Cancer Sci. (2017) pmid: 27960044
- 210. Zhi L, et al. J Cancer (2016) pmid: 27994653
- 211. Gu F, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23361049 212. Limm K, et al. PLoS ONE (2016) pmid: 27479139
- 213. Tang B, et al. G3 (Bethesda) (2014) pmid: 25387827
- 214. Limm K, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23265702
- 215. Stevens AP, et al. J. Cell. Biochem. (2009) pmid: 19097084
- 216. Kryukov GV. et al. Science (2016) pmid: 26912360
- 217. Limm K, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25087184
- 218. Horiuchi D. et al. J. Exp. Med. (2012) pmid: 22430491
- 219. Goga A, et al. Nat. Med. (2007) pmid: 17589519
- 220. Molenaar JJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid: 19525400
- 221. Dammert MA, et al. Nat Commun (2019) pmid: 31375684
- 222. Mollaoglu G, et al. Cancer Cell (2017) pmid: 28089889
- 223. Cardnell RJ, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 29088717
- 224. Wang L, et al. Mol Oncol (2017) pmid: 28417568
- 225. Takahashi Y, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25632068
- 226. Li Y, et al. Thyroid (2018) pmid: 30226440
- 227. Mahadevan D, et al. PLoS ONE (2014) pmid: 24893165
- 228. Park SI, et al. Target Oncol (2019) pmid: 31429028
- 229. Helfrich BA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2016) pmid:
- 230. Hook KE, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22222631
- 231. Yang D, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2010) pmid: 20643922
- 232. He J, et al. Anticancer Drugs (2019) pmid: 30540594
- 233. Shroff EH, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2015) pmid: 25964345
- 234. Effenberger M, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 29156762
- 235. Qu X, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2018) pmid: 30103944
- 236. Xiang Y, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2015) pmid: 25915584
- 237. Delmore JE, et al. Cell (2011) pmid: 21889194
- 238. Bandopadhayay P, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 24297863
- 239. Lovén J, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 23582323
- 240. Otto C, et al. Neoplasia (2019) pmid: 31734632
- 241. Dong LH, et al. J Hematol Oncol (2013) pmid: 23866964
- 242. Pei Y, et al. Cancer Cell (2016) pmid: 26977882
- 243. Fu XH, et al. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. (2019) pmid: 30224636
- 244. Owonikoko TK, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2020) pmid: 31655296
- 245. Ganesan P, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2014) pmid: 25253784
- 246. Tannir et al., 2018; ASCO GU Abstract 603
- 247. Motzer et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract LBA54
- 248. Pereira CB, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23555992
- 249. Yasojima H, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2011) pmid: 21741827
- 250. Arango D, et al. Cancer Res. (2001) pmid: 11406570
- **251.** Bottone MG, et al. Exp. Cell Res. (2003) pmid: 14516787
- 252. Lockwood WW, et al. Oncogene (2008) pmid: 18391978
- 253. Kubokura H, et al. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg (2001) pmid: 11578259
- 254. Iwakawa R, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21148746
- 255. Boelens MC, et al. Lung Cancer (2009) pmid: 19324446
- 256. Yokota J, et al. Oncogene (1988) pmid: 2838790
- 257. Dang CV, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2006) pmid: 16904903
- 258. Nesbit CE, et al. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 10378696
- 259. Blancato J, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2004) pmid: 15083194

- 260. Fromont G. et al. Hum. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23574779
- 261. Konecny GE, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21278246
- 262. Katsumi Y, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2011) pmid: 21871868
- 263. Cen L, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 22711607
- 264. Logan JE, et al. Anticancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23898052
- 265. Elvin JA, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28283584
- 266. Gao J, et al. Curr Oncol (2015) pmid: 26715889 267. Gopalan et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 8077
- 268. Peguero et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 2528
- 269. Konecny et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5557
- 270. DeMichele A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 25501126
- 271. Finn RS, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25524798
- 272. Infante JR, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27542767
- 273. Johnson DB, et al. Oncologist (2014) pmid: 24797823
- 274. Van Maerken T, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid:
- 275. Shapiro et al., 2013; ASCO Abstract 2500
- 276. Flaherty KT, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22090362
- 277. Dickson MA, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23569312
- 278. Doxtader EE, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2012) pmid: 21840041
- 279. Gazzeri S, et al. Oncogene (1998) pmid: 9484839
- 280. Kratzke RA, et al. Cancer Res. (1996) pmid: 8758904 281. Lee JU, et al. Tuberc Respir Dis (Seoul) (2012) pmid: 23101020
- 282. Cortot AB, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2014) pmid:
- 24169260 283. Mounawar M, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17575133
- 284. Zhao Y, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2011) pmid: 21889114
- 285. Kawabuchi B. et al. Int. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 9988232
- 286. Xing XB, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23805242
- 287. Lou-Qian Z, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23372805 288. Quelle DE, et al. Cell (1995) pmid: 8521522
- 289. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15878778
- 290. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 10498883 Sherr CJ, et al. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.
- (2005) pmid: 16869746
- 292. Ozenne P, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20549699
- 293. Ruas M, et al. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 10498896 294. Jones R, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17909018
- 295. Haferkamp S, et al. Aging Cell (2008) pmid: 18843795
- 296. Huot TJ, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2002) pmid: 12417717 297. Rizos H, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2001) pmid: 11518711
- 298. Gombart AF, et al. Leukemia (1997) pmid: 9324288
- 299. Yang R, et al. Cancer Res. (1995) pmid: 7780957
- 300. Parry D, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1996) pmid: 8668202 301. Greenblatt MS, et al. Oncogene (2003) pmid: 12606942
- 302. Yarbrough WG, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (1999) pmid: 10491434
- 303. Poi MJ, et al. Mol. Carcinog. (2001) pmid: 11255261
- 304. Byeon IJ, et al. Mol. Cell (1998) pmid: 9660926 305. Kannengiesser C, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2009) pmid:
- 19260062 306. Lal G, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2000) pmid:
- 10719365 307. Koh J. et al. Nature (1995) pmid: 7777061
- 308. McKenzie HA, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2010) pmid: 20340136 309. Miller PJ, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) pmid: 21462282
- 310. Kutscher CL, et al. Physiol, Behav. (1977) pmid: 905385 311. Scaini MC, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) pmid: 24659262



APPENDIX

References

- 312. Jenkins NC, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2013) pmid: 23190892
- 313. Walker GJ, et al. Int. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 10389768
- 314. Rutter JL, et al. Oncogene (2003) pmid: 12853981
- 315. Itahana K, et al. Cancer Cell (2008) pmid: 18538737
- 316. Zhang Y, et al. Mol. Cell (1999) pmid: 10360174
- 317. Zhang Y, et al. Cell (1998) pmid: 9529249
- 318. Jafri M, et al. Cancer Discov (2015) pmid: 25873077
- 319. Whelan AJ, et al. N Engl J Med (1995) pmid: 7666917
- 320. Adv Exp Med Biol (2010) pmid: 20687502
- **321.** Hogg D, et al. J Cutan Med Surg (1998) pmid: 9479083
- 322. De Unamuno B, et al. Melanoma Res (2018) pmid:
- 323. Soura E, et al. J Am Acad Dermatol (2016) pmid: 26892650
- 324. Huerta C, et al. Acta Derm Venereol (2018) pmid: 29405243
- 325. Kaufman DK, et al. Neurology (1993) pmid: 8414022
- 326. Bahuau M, et al. Cancer Res (1998) pmid: 9622062
- 327. Chan AK, et al. Clin Neuropathol () pmid: 28699883
- 328. Lindgren AE, et al. J. Med. Chem. (2013) pmid: 24188023 329. Lindgren AE, et al. ACS Chem. Biol. (2013) pmid:
- 330. Oplustil O'Connor L, et al. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27550455

23742272

- 331. Tate JG, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2019) pmid: 30371878
- 332. Grundy GJ, et al. Nat Commun (2016) pmid: 27530147
- 333. Boehler C, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2011) pmid:

Electronically signed by Matthew Hiemenz, M.D. | 05 May 2021

Foundation Medicine, Inc. | 1.888.988.3639

- 334. Day TA, et al. Nat Commun (2017) pmid: 28447610
- 335. Augustin A, et al. J. Cell. Sci. (2003) pmid: 12640039

- 336. Wolf et al., 2019: ASCO Abstract 9004
- 337. Riedel et al., 2019; ASCO abstract 9030
- 338. Mazieres et al., 2020; ESMO Abstract 1283P
- 339. Wu et al., 2018; WLCL Abstract P1.01-97
- 340. Wolf J, et al. N Engl J Med (2020) pmid: 32877583 341. Schuler M, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32240796
- 342. Wolf et al., 2020; ESMO Abstract 1346P
- 343. Wolf et al., 2020; ASCO Abstract 9509
- 344. van den Bent M, et al. J. Neurooncol. (2020) pmid: 31776899
- 345. Bang YJ, et al. Cancer Sci. (2020) pmid: 31778267
- 346. Esaki T. et al. Cancer Sci. (2019) pmid: 30724423
- 347. Vassal et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 2595
- 348. Li et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 8090
- 349. Benderra MA, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2016) pmid: 26845121
- 350. Drilon A, et al. Nat. Med. (2020) pmid: 31932802
- 351. Landi L, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31416808
- 352. Moro-Sibilot D, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31584608
- 353. Awad MM, et al. Lung Cancer (2019) pmid: 31200835
- 354. Shaw et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 9066
- 355. Lu et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 9058
- 356. Yoshida T, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27354483
- 357. Solomon BJ, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid:
- 358. Shaw AT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2013) pmid: 23724913
- 359. Goto et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 9022
- 360. Shaw AT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25264305
- 361. Mazières J, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25667280

- 362. Scheffler M, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 25868855
- 363. Vaishnavi A, et al. Nat. Med. (2013) pmid: 24162815
- 364. Drilon et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 108

TUMOR TYPE

carcinoma (NOS)

- 365. Camidge et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 8001
- 366. Schrock AB, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2016) pmid: 27343443
- 367. Jorge SE, et al. Lung Cancer (2015) pmid: 26791794
- 368. Mahjoubi L, et al. Invest New Drugs (2016) pmid: 26892698
- 369. Zhang Y, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2016) pmid: 26724472
- 370. Caparica R, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2017) pmid: 27664533
- 371. York ER, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2017) pmid: 28274743
- 372. Dagogo-Jack I, et al. Clin Cancer Res (2020) pmid: 32086345
- 373. Viteri et al., 2020; ESMO Abstract 1286P
- 374. Klempner SJ, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2017) pmid: 27693535
- 375. Yakes FM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21926191
- 376. Weber H. et al. J Biomol Screen (2014) pmid: 25260782
- 377. Navis AC, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23484006
- 378. Yeh I. et al. Nat Commun (2015) pmid: 26013381
- 379. Lee YH, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2014) pmid: 25534569
- 380. Torres KE, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21540237
- 381. Sameni M, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 26432786
- 382. Hellerstedt BA, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2019) pmid: 30528315
- 383. Neal JW, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2016) pmid: 27825638
- 384. Nokihara H, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2019) pmid: 30718102