

TUMOR TYPE

Lung large cell neuroendocrine

carcinoma

COUNTRY CODE

REPORT DATE 19 Jan 2021

ORD-0991420-01

**ABOUT THE TEST** FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

#### **PATIENT**

DISEASE Lung large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma

**DATE OF BIRTH** 10 November 1960 **SEX** Female

MEDICAL RECORD # Not given

#### **PHYSICIAN**

MEDICAL FACILITY Hospital Regional Lambayeque
ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None
MEDICAL FACILITY ID 319645
PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

#### **SPECIMEN**

SPECIMEN SITE Lung

SPECIMEN ID 26369

SPECIMEN TYPE Block

DATE OF COLLECTION 08 August 2020

SPECIMEN RECEIVED 09 January 2021

#### Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 8 Muts/Mb

### Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

ATM D1099fs\*15

8 Disease relevant genes with no reportable alterations: ALK, BRAF, EGFR, ERBB2, KRAS, MET, RET, ROS1

4 Therapies with Clinical Benefit

10 Clinical Trials

O Therapies with Lack of Response

#### **PATHOLOGIST COMMENTS**

Brennan Decker, M.D., Ph.D. 19-Jan-2021

This assay is not designed to distinguish inherited (germline) from somatic (tumor only) variants. However, the ATM p.D1o99fs\*15 (NM\_o0o051:c.3296\_3318del23) variant found in this case has some characteristics consistent with inherited origin. Genetic counseling and dedicated constitutional germline testing may be helpful, if clinically indicated.

BIOMARKER FINDINGS	ACTIONABILITY			
Microsatellite status - MS-Stable	No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section			
Tumor Mutational Burden - 8 Muts/Mb	No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section			
GENOMIC FINDINGS	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)		
<b>ATM -</b> D1099fs*15	none	Niraparib		
		Olaparib		
		Rucaparib		
<b>10 Trials</b> see <i>p. 6</i>		Talazoparib		

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patient's tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as a supplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



**BIOMARKER FINDINGS** 

#### BIOMARKER

### Microsatellite status

MS-Stable

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors<sup>1-3</sup>, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab<sup>4</sup>. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated

with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)<sup>5</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

MSI-H is generally infrequent in NSCLC, reported in fewer than 1% of samples across several large studies<sup>6-11</sup>, whereas data on the reported incidence of MSI-H in SCLC has been limited and conflicting<sup>12-15</sup>. The prognostic implications of MSI in NSCLC have not been extensively studied (PubMed, Oct 2020).

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of

genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor<sup>16</sup>. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS2<sup>16-18</sup>. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers<sup>19-21</sup>. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins<sup>16,18,20-21</sup>.

**BIOMARKER** 

# Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 8 Muts/Mb

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1<sup>22-24</sup>, anti-PD-1 therapies<sup>22-25</sup>, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab<sup>26-30</sup>. Multiple clinical trials of PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors or combination of PD-1 and CTLA-4 inhibitors in NSCLC have reported that patients with tumors harboring TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb derive greater clinical benefit from these therapies than those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others); similarly, higher efficacy of anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy for treatment of patients with NSCLC, compared with the use of chemotherapy, has been observed more significantly in cases of TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others);<sup>22-23,26-28,31-38</sup>. Improved OS of patients with NSCLC treated with pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy relative to chemotherapy only<sup>39</sup>, or those treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab also relative to chemotherapy<sup>40</sup>, has been observed across all TMB levels.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

A large-scale genomic analysis found that unspecified lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), lung adenocarcinoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) samples harbored median TMBs between 6.3 and 9 Muts/Mb, and 12% to 17% of cases had an elevated TMB of greater than 20 Muts/Mb41. Lower TMB is observed more commonly in NSCLCs harboring known driver mutations (EGFR, ALK, ROS1, or MET) with the exception of BRAF or KRAS mutations, which are commonly observed in elevated TMB cases<sup>42</sup>. In one study, large cell neuroendocrine carcinomas (LCNEC) had an average mutational burden of 10.5 mutations/Mb, which was higher than in small cell or non-small cell lung carcinoma<sup>43</sup>; high TMB was observed in 4.7% (2/43) of LCNECs and intermediate TMB was observed in 81% (35/43) of LCNECs. In contrast, 93% of carcinoid-like LCNECs (those with MEN1 mutation) or conventional carcinoids had low TMB43. In one study, higher nonsynonymous mutation burden correlated with immune cell infiltration of tumors and higher PD-L1 expression on immune cells in patients with SCLC and LCNEC44. Published data investigating the prognostic implications of TMB in large cell neuroendocrine carcinomas are limited (PubMed, Jul 2020). Although some studies have reported a lack of association between smoking and mutational burden in NSCLC45-46, several other large studies did find a strong association with increased TMB<sup>47-50</sup>. TMB >10 muts/Mb was found to be more frequent in NSCLC metastases compared with primary tumors for both

adenocarcinoma (38% vs. 25%) and SCC (41% vs. 35%) subtypes<sup>51</sup>. A large study of Chinese patients with lung adenocarcinoma reported a shorter median OS for tumors with a higher number of mutations in a limited gene set compared with a lower mutation number (48.4 vs. 61.0 months)<sup>45</sup>. Another study of patients with NSCLC correlated elevated TMB with poorer prognosis and significantly associated lower TMB in combination with PD-L1 negative status with longer median survival in patients with lung adenocarcinoma<sup>52</sup>. However, no significant prognostic association of TMB and/or PD-L1 status with survival has been reported in patients with lung SCC<sup>52-53</sup>.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma<sup>54-55</sup> and cigarette smoke in lung cancer<sup>31,56</sup>, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma<sup>57-58</sup>, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes<sup>59-63</sup>, and microsatellite instability (MSI)<sup>59,62-63</sup>. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents<sup>22-23,26-28,31-38,64</sup>.



**GENOMIC FINDINGS** 

GENE

### **ATM**

ALTERATION D1099fs\*15

TRANSCRIPT ID

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

3296\_3318del23

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 68.8%

#### **POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES**

Loss of functional ATM results in a defective DNA damage response and homologous recombination-mediated DNA repair and may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors<sup>65</sup>. Clinical data in prostate cancer<sup>66,68</sup>, gastric cancer<sup>69</sup>, colorectal cancer<sup>70</sup>, breast cancer<sup>70</sup>, papillary renal cell carcinoma<sup>71</sup>, and cholangiocarcinoma<sup>72</sup> indicate that loss or inactivation of ATM may confer sensitivity to PARP inhibitors<sup>73-80</sup>. In Phase 1 trials of ATR inhibitors, a heavily pretreated patient with CRC who achieved a CR to berzosertib<sup>81</sup> and 4 out of 4 patients with diverse solid tumors who achieved PRs to BAY1895344<sup>82</sup> harbored ATM inactivation or protein loss; preclinical studies showing

reduced cell viability and increased DNA damage in preclinical models of solid tumors<sup>83-85</sup> and hematologic malignancies<sup>83,86</sup> also support the increased sensitivity of ATM-deficient cells to ATR inhibitors. Preclinical experiments also indicate that loss of ATM causes dependency on DNA-PKcs in cancer cells; DNA-PKcs inhibitors promoted apoptosis in ATM-deficient cells and were active in a lymphoma mouse model lacking ATM activity<sup>87</sup>.

#### **FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS**

ATM mutation has been observed in 3.1% of lung carcinoid-endocrine tumors and 6.0% (4/67) in lung large cell carcinomas analyzed in the COSMIC database (Feb 2020). A study of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors reported ATM mutations in 25% (4/16) of small-cell lung carcinomas, 5% (1/19) of large cell neuroendocrine carcinomas, and none of the typical (0/17) or atypical (0/17) carcinoid tumors analyzed88. Published data investigating the prognostic implications of ATM alteration in lung neuroendocrine tumors are limited (PubMed, Feb 2020). Variants seen in this gene have been reported to occur in clonal hematopoiesis (CH), an age-related process in which hematopoietic stem cells acquire somatic mutations that allow for clonal expansion89-94. Comprehensive genomic

profiling of solid tumors may detect nontumor alterations that are due to CH<sup>93,95-96</sup>. Patient-matched peripheral blood mononuclear cell sequencing is required to conclusively determine if this alteration is present in tumor or is secondary to CH.

#### **FINDING SUMMARY**

ATM encodes the protein ataxia telangiectasia mutated, which is a serine/threonine protein kinase that plays a key role in the DNA damage response<sup>97</sup>. Loss of functional ATM promotes tumorigenesis<sup>98</sup>. Alterations such as seen here may disrupt ATM function or expression99-101. ATM mutation carriers have increased cancer risk, with female carriers displaying a 38% lifetime risk of breast cancer<sup>102</sup>. Biallelic mutations in ATM underlie the rare autosomal-recessive inherited disorder ataxia-telangiectasia (A-T), also referred to as genome instability or DNA damage response syndrome<sup>103</sup>. This disease is characterized by genomic instability, sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents, and increased risk of developing cancer<sup>97,103</sup>. The prevalence of A-T is estimated at 1:40,000 to 1:100,000 worldwide 103. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of ATM is recommended.



#### THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

### **Niraparib**

Assay findings association

**ATM** D1099fs\*15

#### **AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE**

The PARP inhibitor niraparib is FDA approved to treat patients with epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer, with or without homologous recombination deficiency (HRD)-positive status. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

#### **GENE ASSOCIATION**

ATM inactivation may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors. ATM alterations have been associated with clinical benefit from PARP inhibitors in solid tumors, including prostate cancer<sup>66-68,104</sup>, colorectal cancer<sup>70</sup>, breast cancer<sup>70</sup>, gastric cancer<sup>69</sup>, cholangiocarcinoma<sup>72</sup>, and papillary renal cell carcinoma<sup>71</sup>.

#### **SUPPORTING DATA**

In a Phase 1 study of niraparib treatment for patients with solid tumors, 2/2 patients with non-small cell lung cancer achieved stable disease; 1/2 patients harbored a BRCA2 mutation 105. Niraparib has been primarily evaluated in the

context of ovarian cancer. In a Phase 3 study of patients with platinum-sensitive, recurrent ovarian cancer, niraparib significantly increased median PFS, as compared to placebo, in patients with germline BRCA mutations (21 vs. 5.5 months) and in patients without germline BRCA mutations (9.3 vs. 3.9 months) as well as in a subgroup of the patients without germline BRCA mutations with homologous recombination-deficient tumors (12.9 vs. 3.8 months)<sup>106</sup>. In a Phase 1 study of niraparib treatment for patients with solid tumors, 40% (8/20) of patients with ovarian cancer and BRCA mutations and 50% (2/4) of patients with breast cancer and BRCA mutations experienced a PR, and 43% (9/21) of patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer and 100% (2/2) of patients with non-small cell lung cancer achieved SD105. A Phase 1 study of the combination of niraparib and bevacizumab in patients with platinumsensitive, high-grade ovarian cancer reported a DCR of 91% (10/11), with a response rate of 45% (5/11)<sup>107</sup>.

### Olaparib

Assay findings association

**ATM** D1099fs\*15

#### **AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE**

The PARP inhibitor olaparib is FDA approved to treat patients with epithelial ovarian, Fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer, patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious gBRCA-mutated pancreatic adenocarcinoma or HER2-negative breast cancer, and patients with prostate cancer and mutations in homologous recombination repair genes. Olaparib is also approved in combination with bevacizumab to treat patients with ovarian, Fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer with deleterious or suspected deleterious somatic or gBRCA mutation and/or genomic instability. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

#### **GENE ASSOCIATION**

ATM inactivation may predict sensitivity to PARP

inhibitors. ATM alterations have been associated with clinical benefit from PARP inhibitors in solid tumors, including prostate cancer<sup>66-68,104</sup>, colorectal cancer<sup>70</sup>, breast cancer<sup>70</sup>, gastric cancer<sup>69</sup>, cholangiocarcinoma<sup>72</sup>, and papillary renal cell carcinoma<sup>71</sup>.

#### **SUPPORTING DATA**

In a Phase 2 study, the addition of olaparib to gefitinib did not significantly increase either median PFS (10.9 vs. 12.8 months; HR 0.75, p=0.12) or median OS (23.1 vs. 23.3 months; HR 1.22, p=0.346) in patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC, unselected for other mutations; the ORR for patients treated with the combination (71%, 60/84) was similar to that of those treated with single-agent gefitinib (68%, 61/90) $^{108}$ .



THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT

IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE

### ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991420-01

### Rucaparib

Assay findings association

**ATM** D1099fs\*15

#### **AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE**

The PARP inhibitor rucaparib is FDA approved to treat patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) or epithelial ovarian, Fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer and deleterious somatic or germline BRCA mutations. Rucaparib is also approved as a maintenance treatment of patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, Fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

#### **GENE ASSOCIATION**

ATM inactivation may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors. ATM alterations have been associated with clinical benefit from PARP inhibitors in solid tumors, including prostate cancer<sup>66-68,104</sup>, colorectal cancer<sup>70</sup>, breast cancer<sup>70</sup>, gastric cancer<sup>69</sup>, cholangiocarcinoma<sup>72</sup>, and papillary renal cell carcinoma<sup>71</sup>.

#### **SUPPORTING DATA**

Clinical data on the efficacy of rucaparib for the treatment of lung neuroendocrine tumors are limited (PubMed, Aug 2020). Rucaparib has primarily been evaluated in the context of ovarian carcinoma, breast carcinoma, pancreatic carcinoma, and melanoma. In a Phase 2 study of rucaparib for recurrent, platinum-sensitive ovarian, peritoneal, or fallopian tube carcinoma, median PFS was significantly longer in patients with BRCA1/2 mutations (12.8 months) or high loss of heterozygosity (LOH; 5.7 months) compared to patients with low LOH (5.2 months). Objective responses were observed for 80% (32/40) of patients with BRCA1/2 mutations, for 29% (24/82) with

high LOH, and for 10% (7/10) with low LOH  $^{109}.$  In heavily pretreated patients with a germline BRCA1/2 mutation who had received 2-4 prior chemotherapy treatments and had a progression free interval of greater than 6 months, 65% (17/26) of patients achieved an objective response with rucaparib treatment 110. In a Phase 2 study evaluating rucaparib for patients with advanced breast or ovarian cancer and germline BRCA1/2 mutations, disease control was observed in 92% (12/13) of patients with ovarian cancer treated with oral rucaparib dosed continuously, but no objective responses were reported in breast cancer patients (n=23). However, 39% (9/23) of evaluable patients with breast cancer achieved SD lasting 12 weeks or more<sup>111</sup>. In a Phase 1 study of rucaparib treatment in patients with solid tumors, 3/4 patients with ovarian cancer and 1/1 patient with breast cancer given the recommended Phase 2 dose reported objective responses; all responders harbored BRCA<sub>1</sub>/<sub>2</sub> mutations<sup>112</sup>. A Phase <sub>2</sub> study of rucaparib treatment for patients with relapsed pancreatic cancer reported 1/19 CR, 2/19 PR (one unconfirmed) and 4/19 SD. Of the 19 patients treated in the study, 15 (79%) had a BRCA2 mutation<sup>113</sup>. In a Phase 2 study of intravenous rucaparib in combination with temozolomide for patients with metastatic melanoma, 8/ 46 patients achieved a PR and 8/46 had SD114; a Phase 1 study reported 1 CR, 1 PR, and 4 SD lasting six months or longer in patients with metastatic melanoma<sup>115</sup>. A Phase 1 study of intravenous and oral rucaparib in combination with chemotherapy for the treatment of advanced solid tumors reported a disease control rate of 68.8% (53/77), including 1 CR and 9 PRs116.

### **Talazoparib**

Assay findings association

**ATM** D1099fs\*15

#### AREAS OF THERAPEUTIC USE

The PARP inhibitor talazoparib is FDA approved to treat HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA mutations. Please see the drug label for full prescribing information.

#### GENE ASSOCIATION

ATM inactivation may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors. ATM alterations have been associated with clinical benefit from PARP inhibitors in solid tumors, including prostate cancer<sup>66-68,104</sup>, colorectal cancer<sup>70</sup>, breast cancer<sup>70</sup>, gastric cancer<sup>69</sup>, cholangiocarcinoma<sup>72</sup>, and papillary renal cell carcinoma<sup>71</sup>.

#### **SUPPORTING DATA**

A Phase 2 study of talazoparib in patients with squamous cell lung cancer harboring homologous recombination repair deficiency reported modest activity with an ORR of 11% (5/47), a DCR of 53% (25/47), a median PFS of 2.5

months and a median OS of 5.7 months 117. Talazoparib has been studied primarily in the context of BRCA-mutated, HER2-negative breast cancer, where patients achieved significantly longer median PFS (8.6 vs. 5.6 months, HR=0.54), a higher ORR (62.6% vs. 27.2%), and improved quality of life on talazoparib compared with standard chemotherapy in a Phase 3 study 118-119. In a Phase 2 study of talazoparib for BRCA1/2-wildtype patients with homologous recombination pathway alterations, the best outcome in non-breast tumors was SD  $\geq$  6 months for 2/7patients who had colon cancer with germline ATM alteration or testicular cancer with germline CHEK2 and somatic ATM alteration<sup>70</sup>. Clinical activity of single-agent talazoparib has been observed in numerous other solid tumors, including responses in BRCA-mutated ovarian, pancreatic, prostate, and ampulla of Vater cancers; PALB2-mutated pancreatic and bladder cancers; ATMmutated cholangiocarcinoma; and small cell lung cancer<sup>120-123</sup>.

**NOTE** Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain FDA approved drugs, however, the agents listed in this report may have varied evidence in the patient's tumor type.



**CLINICAL TRIALS** 

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

**GENE** ATM

Loss or inactivation of ATM may increase sensitivity to PARP inhibitors, ATR inhibitors, or DNA-PKcs inhibitors.

ALTERATION D1099fs\*15

NCT03742895 PHASE 2

**RATIONALE** 

Efficacy and Safety of Olaparib (MK-7339) in Participants With Previously Treated, Homologous Recombination Repair Mutation (HRRm) or Homologous Recombination Deficiency (HRD) Positive Advanced Cancer (MK-7339-002 / LYNK-002)

**TARGETS PARP** 

LOCATIONS: Lima (Peru), Trujillo (Peru), Cali (Colombia), Bogota (Colombia), Medellin (Colombia), Monteria (Colombia), Valledupar (Colombia), Barranquilla (Colombia), Buenos Aires (Argentina), Ciudad de Buenos Aires (Argentina)

NCT04123366 PHASE 2

Study of Olaparib (MK-7339) in Combination With Pembrolizumab (MK-3475) in the Treatment of Homologous Recombination Repair Mutation (HRRm) and/or Homologous Recombination Deficiency (HRD)-Positive Advanced Cancer (MK-7339-007/KEYLYNK-007)

**TARGETS** PARP, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Lima (Peru), Bellavista (Peru), Cuzco (Peru), Cali (Colombia), Medellin (Colombia), Bucaramanga (Colombia), Barranquilla (Colombia), Buenos Aires (Argentina), Ciudad de Buenos Aires (Argentina), Guatemala (Guatemala)

NCT03976323 PHASE 3

Study of Pembrolizumab With Maintenance Olaparib or Maintenance Pemetrexed in First-line (1L) **TARGETS** Metastatic Nonsquamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) (MK-7339-006, KEYLYNK-006) PARP, PD-1

LOCATIONS: Bogota (Colombia), Medellin (Colombia), San Miguel de Tucuman (Argentina), Cordoba (Argentina), Barranquilla (Colombia), Rio Cuarto (Argentina), Santa Fe (Argentina), Rosario (Argentina), Sao Jose Rio Preto (Brazil), Buenos Aires (Argentina)

NCT02693535 PHASE 2

TAPUR: Testing the Use of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Approved Drugs That Target a Specific Abnormality in a Tumor Gene in People With Advanced Stage Cancer

**TARGETS** 

VEGFRs, ABL, SRC, ALK, AXL, MET, ROS1, TRKA, TRKC, CDK4, CDK6, CSF1R, FLT3, KIT, RET, mTOR, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB3, MEK, BRAF, SMO, DDR2, PARP, PD-1, CTLA-4, ERBB4

LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Georgia, Alabama, North Carolina, Virginia, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Indiana

NCT02498613 PHASE 2

A Phase 2 Study of Cediranib in Combination With Olaparib in Advanced Solid Tumors **TARGETS** PARP, VEGFRs

LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Tennessee, Virginia, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Toronto (Canada), California



**LOCATIONS:** Maryland

CLINICAL TRIALS

NCT03188965	PHASE 1		
First-in-human Study of ATR Inhibitor BAY1895344 in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors and Lymphomas	TARGETS ATR		
LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Georgia, Virginia, Pennsylvania, New York, Ohio, Massachusetts			
NCT02595931	PHASE 1		
ATR Kinase Inhibitor VX-970 and Irinotecan Hydrochloride in Treating Patients With Solid Tumors That Are Metastatic or Cannot Be Removed by Surgery	TARGETS ATR		
LOCATIONS: Florida, North Carolina, Tennessee, Missouri, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts,	California		
NCT03992131	PHASE 1/2		
A Study to Evaluate Rucaparib in Combination With Other Anticancer Agents in Patients With a Solid Tumor (SEASTAR)	TARGETS PARP, FGFRs, VEGFRs, TOP1		
LOCATIONS: Texas, Tennessee, Massachusetts			
NCT03334617	PHASE 2		
Phase II Umbrella Study of Novel Anti-cancer Agents in Patients With NSCLC Who Progressed on an Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Containing Therapy.	TARGETS PD-L1, PARP, mTORC1, mTORC2, ATR, CD73, STAT3		
LOCATIONS: Texas, Tennessee, District of Columbia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Missouri, New York, Mas	sachusetts, Toronto (Canada), Brampton (Canada)		
NCT02769962	PHASE 1/2		
Trial of CRLX101, a Nanoparticle Camptothecin With Olaparib in People With Relapsed/Refractory Small Cell Lung Cancer	TARGETS PARP, TOP1		





TUMOR TYPE Lung large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma REPORT DATE 19 Jan 2021

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991420-01

**NOTE** One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

 BCL6
 DDR1
 FGF14
 NOTCH1

 loss
 splice site 1998-2A>T
 Q12R
 P2320L

**PIK3C2G PTCH1** W1022\* R942Q



APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991420-01

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

# DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						

#### DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

<sup>\*</sup>TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

#### **ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX**

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

#### **INTENDED USE**

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

#### **TEST PRINCIPLES**

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes. Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid

capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

#### THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

#### **Diagnostic Significance**

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

# Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Alterations and Therapies *Biomarker and Genomic Findings*Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit in patient's tumor type (ranked alphabetically within each NCCN category) followed by therapies with clinical benefit in other tumor type (ranked alphabetically within each NCCN category).

#### Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

# NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK\* (NCCN\*) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2020. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

#### Limitations

- 1. The MSI-H/MSS designation by FMI F1CDx test is based on genome wide analysis of 95 microsatellite loci and not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using uterine, cecum and colorectal cancer FFPE tissue. The clinical validity of the qualitative MSI designation has not been established. For Microsatellite Instability (MSI) results, confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal method should be considered.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit. Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics



**APPENDIX** 

About FoundationOne®CDx

of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been

3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.

established for TMB as a quantitative score.

#### VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31
INDELS	%CV*
INDELS Repeatability	%CV*

<sup>\*</sup>Interquartile Range =  $1^{st}$  Quartile to  $3^{rd}$  Quartile

#### LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

#### **NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT**

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

#### NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

#### TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE **RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN**

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable MR Suite Version 2.1.0 for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such

as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

#### **SELECT ABBREVIATIONS**

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
ТКІ	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

The median exon coverage for this sample is 853x

carcinoma

References



#### ORDERED TEST # ORD-0991420-01

(2014) pmid: 25392179

- 1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Warth A, et al. Virchows Arch. (2016) pmid: 26637197
- 7. Ninomiya H, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2006) pmid: 16641899
- 8. Vanderwalde A, et al. Cancer Med (2018) pmid: 29436178
- 9. Zang YS, et al. Cancer Med (2019) pmid: 31270941
- 10. Dudley JC, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 26880610
- 11. Takamochi K, et al. Lung Cancer (2017) pmid: 28676214 Pylkkänen L, et al. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. (1997) pmid: 9329646
- 13. Gonzalez R, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2000) pmid: 11061602
- 14. Chen XO, et al. Nat. Med. (1996) pmid: 8782463
- 15. Merlo A, et al. Cancer Res. (1994) pmid: 8174113
- 16. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 17. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 18. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 19. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 20. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 21. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 22. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 23. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 24. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 25. Cristescu R. et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 26. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 27. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid:
- 28. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 29. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 30. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 31. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070
- 32. Colli LM, et al. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27197178
- 33. Wang VE, et al. J Immunother Cancer (2017) pmid: 28923100
- 34. Carbone DP, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2017) pmid:
- 35. Rizvi H. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29337640
- 36. Forde PM, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658848
- 37. Miao D, et al. Nat. Genet. (2018) pmid: 30150660
- 38. Chae YK, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2019) pmid: 30425022
- 39. Paz-Ares et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract LBA80
- 40. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) pmid: 31562796
- 41. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid:

- 28420421
- 42. Spigel et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 9017
- 43. Rekhtman N, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 26960398
- 44. Kim HS, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2018) pmid: 29378266
- 45. Xiao D. et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 27009843
- 46. Shim HS, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 26200269
- 47. Govindan R. et al. Cell (2012) pmid: 22980976 48. Ding L, et al. Nature (2008) pmid: 18948947
- 49. Imielinski M. et al. Cell (2012) pmid: 22980975
- 50. Kim Y, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24323028
- 51. Stein et al., 2019: DOI: 10.1200/P0.18.00376
- Chen Y, et al. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31088500
- 53. Yu H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2019) pmid: 30253973
- 54. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635
- 55. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 56. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 57. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 58. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419
- 59. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 60. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 61. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 62. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- 63. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- 64. Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32919526
- 65. Michels J, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 24037533
- 66. Mateo J, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26510020
- 67. Mateo J. et al. Lancet Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31806540
- 68. Abida W, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2020) pmid: 32086346
- 69. Bang YJ, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 26282658
- 70. Gruber et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 3006
- 71. Olson D, et al. Clin Genitourin Cancer (2016) pmid: 27079472
- 72. Piha-Paul et al., 2018; AACR-NCI-EORTC Abstract A096
- 73. Weston VJ, et al. Blood (2010) pmid: 20739657
- 74. Williamson CT, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2010) pmid: 20124459
- 75. Gilardini Montani MS, et al. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. (2013) pmid: 24252502
- 76. Bryant HE, et al. Nucleic Acids Res. (2006) pmid: 16556909
- 77. Ihnen M, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2013) pmid: 23729402
- 78. Williamson CT, et al. EMBO Mol Med (2012) pmid: 22416035
- 79. Kubota E, et al. Cell Cycle (2014) pmid: 24841718
- 80. Huehls AM, et al. Mol. Pharmacol. (2012) pmid:

- 81. O'Carrigan et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 2504
- 82. De Bono et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 3007
- 83. Menezes DL, et al. Mol. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 25232030
- 84. Vendetti FP, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 26517239

**APPENDIX** 

- 85. Min A. et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28138034
- 86. Kwok M, et al. Blood (2016) pmid: 26563132
- 87. Riabinska A. et al. Sci Transl Med (2013) pmid: 23761041
- 88. Vollbrecht C, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2015) pmid: 26645239
- 89. Jaiswal S. et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426837
- 90. Genovese G, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2014) pmid: 25426838
- 91. Xie M, et al. Nat. Med. (2014) pmid: 25326804
- 92. Acuna-Hidalgo R, et al. Am. J. Hum. Genet. (2017) pmid: 28669404
- 93. Severson EA, et al. Blood (2018) pmid: 29678827
- 94. Fuster JJ, et al. Circ. Res. (2018) pmid: 29420212
- 95. Chabon JJ, et al. Nature (2020) pmid: 32269342
- 96. Razavi P, et al. Nat. Med. (2019) pmid: 31768066
- 97. Shiloh Y, et al. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. (2013) pmid:
- 23847781 **98.** Cremona CA, et al. Oncogene (2014) pmid: 23851492
- 99. Jiang X, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2006) pmid: 16603769
- 100. Fernandes N, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2005) pmid: 15713674
- 101. Scott SP, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2002) pmid:
- 102. van Os NJ, et al. Clin Genet (2016) pmid: 26662178
- 103. Rothblum-Oviatt C, et al. Orphanet J Rare Dis (2016) pmid: 27884168
- 104. de Bono et al., 2020; ASCO GU Abstract 119
- 105. Sandhu SK, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23810788
- 106. Mirza MR, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2016) pmid: 27717299
- 107. Mirza et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5555
- 108. Campelo et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 9012 109. Swisher EM, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2017) pmid: 27908594
- 110. Shapira-Frommer et al., 2015; ASCO Abstract 5513
- 111. Drew Y, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2016) pmid: 27002934
- 112. Kristeleit et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 2573
- 113. Domcheck et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 4110
- 114. Plummer R, et al. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. (2013) pmid: 23423489
- 115. Plummer R, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid:
- 116. Wilson RH, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2017) pmid: 28222073
- 117. Owonikoko et al., 2019; ASCO Abstract 9022
- 118. Litton JK, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 30110579
- 119. Ettl J, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 30124753 120. de Bono J, et al. Cancer Discov (2017) pmid: 28242752 121. Lu E, et al. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2018) pmid:
- 30099369 122. Piha-Paul et al., 2017; EORTC-NCI-AACR Abstract A096
- 123. Meehan et al., 2017: AACR Abstract 4687