

TUMOR TYPE
Lung non-small cell lung
carcinoma (NOS)
COUNTRY CODE
DE

REPORT DATE 29 Jan 2021

ORD-1000392-01

ABOUT THE TEST FoundationOne®CDx is a next-generation sequencing (NGS) based assay that identifies genomic findings within hundreds of cancer-related genes.

PATIENT

DISEASE Lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NOS)

DATE OF BIRTH 07 August 1949 **SEX** Female

MEDICAL RECORD # Not given

PHYSICIAN

MEDICAL FACILITY Arias Stella ADDITIONAL RECIPIENT None MEDICAL FACILITY ID 317319 PATHOLOGIST Not Provided

SPECIMEN

SPECIMEN ID 20-25576-1 SPECIMEN TYPE Block

DATE OF COLLECTION 18 October 2020 **SPECIMEN RECEIVED** 21 January 2021

Sensitivity for the detection of copy number alterations is reduced due to sample quality.

Biomarker Findings

Microsatellite status - MS-Stable
Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb

Genomic Findings

For a complete list of the genes assayed, please refer to the Appendix.

KRAS amplification, G12D

MYC amplification

CDKN2A/B p16INK4a R58* and p14ARF P72L

O Therapies with Clinical Benefit

16 Clinical Trials

O Therapies with Lack of Response

BIOMARKER FINDINGS		
Microsatellite status - MS-Stable		
Tumor Mutational Burden - 3 Muts/Mb		
GENOMIC FINDINGS		
KRAS - amplification, G12D		
10 Trials see <i>p</i> . 6		
MYC - amplification		
6 Trials see p. 8		

No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section					
No therapies or clinical trials. see Biomarker Findings section					
THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT (IN PATIENT'S TUMOR TYPE)	THERAPIES WITH CLINICAL BENEFIT (IN OTHER TUMOR TYPE)				
none	none				
none	none				

ACTIONABILITY

GENOMIC FINDINGS WITH NO REPORTABLE THERAPEUTIC OR CLINICAL TRIALS OPTIONS

For more information regarding biological and clinical significance, including prognostic, diagnostic, germline, and potential chemosensitivity implications, see the Genomic Findings section.

CDKN2A/B - p16INK4a R58* and p14ARF P72L

... p. 5

NOTE Genomic alterations detected may be associated with activity of certain approved therapies; however, the agents listed in this report may have varied clinical evidence in the patient's tumor type. Therapies and the clinical trials listed in this report may not be complete and exhaustive. Neither the therapeutic agents nor the trials identified are ranked in order of potential or predicted efficacy for this patients tumor type. This report should be regarded and used as upplementary source of information and not as the single basis for the making of a therapy decision. All treatment decisions remain the full and final responsibility of the treating physician and physicians should refer to approved prescribing information for all therapies.

Therapies contained in this report may have been approved by the US FDA.



BIOMARKER FINDINGS

BIOMARKER

Microsatellite status

RESULT MS-Stable

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

On the basis of clinical evidence, MSS tumors are significantly less likely than MSI-H tumors to respond to anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors¹⁻³, including approved therapies nivolumab and pembrolizumab⁴. In a retrospective analysis of 361 patients with solid tumors treated with pembrolizumab, 3% were MSI-H and experienced a significantly higher ORR compared

with non-MSI-H cases (70% vs. 12%, p=0.001)5.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MSI-H is generally infrequent in NSCLC, reported in fewer than 1% of samples across several large studies⁶⁻¹¹, whereas data on the reported incidence of MSI-H in SCLC has been limited and conflicting¹²⁻¹⁵. One study reported MSI-H in lung adenocarcinoma patients with smoking history, and 3 of 4 MSI-H patients examined also had metachronous carcinomas in other organs, although this has not been investigated in large scale studies⁶. The prognostic implications of MSI in NSCLC have not been extensively studied (PubMed, Oct 2020).

FINDING SUMMARY

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is a condition of genetic hypermutability that generates excessive amounts of short insertion/deletion mutations in the genome; it generally occurs at microsatellite DNA sequences and is caused by a deficiency in DNA mismatch repair (MMR) in the tumor¹⁶. Defective MMR and consequent MSI occur as a result of genetic or epigenetic inactivation of one of the MMR pathway proteins, primarily MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, or PMS216-18. This sample is microsatellite-stable (MSS), equivalent to the clinical definition of an MSS tumor: one with mutations in none of the tested microsatellite markers¹⁹⁻²¹. MSS status indicates MMR proficiency and typically correlates with intact expression of all MMR family proteins16,18,20-21.

BIOMARKER

Tumor Mutational Burden

RESULT 3 Muts/Mb

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

On the basis of clinical evidence in solid tumors, increased TMB may be associated with greater sensitivity to immunotherapeutic agents, including anti-PD-L1²²⁻²⁴, anti-PD-1 therapies²²⁻²⁵, and combination nivolumab and ipilimumab $^{26-30}$. Multiple clinical trials of PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors or combination of PD-1 and CTLA-4 inhibitors in NSCLC have reported that patients with tumors harboring TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb derive greater clinical benefit from these therapies than those with TMB <10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others); similarly, higher efficacy of anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy for treatment of patients with NSCLC, compared with the use of chemotherapy, has been observed more significantly in cases of TMB ≥10 Muts/Mb (based on this assay or others);^{22-23,26-28,31-38}. Improved OS of patients with NSCLC treated with pembrolizumab plus

chemotherapy relative to chemotherapy only³⁹, or those treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab also relative to chemotherapy⁴⁰, has been observed across all TMB levels.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

A large-scale genomic analysis found that unspecified lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), lung adenocarcinoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) samples harbored median TMBs between 6.3 and 9 Muts/Mb, and 12% to 17% of cases had an elevated TMB of greater than 20 Muts/Mb41. Lower TMB is observed more commonly in NSCLCs harboring known driver mutations (EGFR, ALK, ROS1, or MET) with the exception of BRAF or KRAS mutations, which are commonly observed in elevated TMB cases⁴². Although some studies have reported a lack of association between smoking and mutational burden in NSCLC43-44, several other large studies did find a strong association with increased TMB⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸. TMB >10 muts/Mb was found to be more frequent in NSCLC metastases compared with primary tumors for both adenocarcinoma (38% vs. 25%) and SCC (41% vs. 35%) subtypes⁴⁹. A large study of Chinese patients with lung adenocarcinoma reported a shorter median OS for tumors with a higher number of mutations in a limited gene set compared with a lower mutation number (48.4 vs. 61.0 months)⁴³.

Another study of patients with NSCLC correlated elevated TMB with poorer prognosis and significantly associated lower TMB in combination with PD-L1 negative status with longer median survival in patients with lung adenocarcinoma⁵⁰. However, no significant prognostic association of TMB and/or PD-L1 status with survival has been reported in patients with lung SCC⁵⁰⁻⁵¹.

FINDING SUMMARY

Tumor mutation burden (TMB, also known as mutation load) is a measure of the number of somatic protein-coding base substitution and insertion/deletion mutations occurring in a tumor specimen. TMB is affected by a variety of causes, including exposure to mutagens such as ultraviolet light in melanoma⁵²⁻⁵³ and cigarette smoke in lung cancer^{31,54}, treatment with temozolomide-based chemotherapy in glioma⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶, mutations in the proofreading domains of DNA polymerases encoded by the POLE and POLD1 genes⁵⁷⁻⁶¹, and microsatellite instability (MSI)^{57,60-61}. This sample harbors a TMB below levels that would be predicted to be associated with sensitivity to PD-1- or PD-L1-targeting immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone or in combination with other agents $^{22-23,26-28,31-38,62}$.



GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

KRAS

ALTERATION amplification, G12D

TRANSCRIPT ID NM_004985

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT 35G>A

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 39.3%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Preclinical evidence suggests that KRAS activation may predict sensitivity to MEK inhibitors, such as trametinib and cobimetinib⁶³⁻⁶⁸. Multiple clinical studies have reported either low response rates or response rates similar to those of chemotherapy in patients with KRAS-mutated NSCLC receiving MEK inhibitors as a monotherapy⁶⁹⁻⁷¹. In a Phase 3 study, the addition of selumetinib to docetaxel did not significantly improve the PFS or OS of patients with KRAS-mutant NSCLC relative to docetaxel alone⁷². In a Phase 1/1b study evaluating trametinib with either docetaxel or pemetrexed, responses were independent of KRAS mutation status⁷³. Combinatorial approaches involving MEK inhibitors and other targeted therapies, including PI3K or EGFR inhibitors, have generally had limited clinical efficacy in patients with NSCLC and have been associated with high toxicity⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶ despite preclinical evidence supporting the effectiveness of combinatorial strategies involving inhibitors of PI₃K⁷⁷⁻⁷⁸, RAF⁷⁹, pan-ERBB80, or BCL281-82. However, a Phase 1 combination trial of the MEK inhibitor PD-0325901 with the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib that included 17 patients with KRASmutant NSCLC reported 1 PR, >50% SD, and 5 patients with PFS >6 months; clinical benefit was seen among patients with tumors harboring KRAS mutation alone or together with inactivation of TP53 or CDKN2A/B, but not among patients with tumors harboring KRAS mutation and STK11 inactivation83. The CDK4/6 inhibitor abemaciclib demonstrated increased activity in KRAS-mutated NSCLC compared to KRAS-wildtype NSCLC (median PFS of 2.8 vs. 1.9 months) in a Phase 1 trial84 but did not prolong median OS compared to erlotinib (7.4 vs. 7.8 months, HR=0.97), in spite of improved PFS (3.6 vs. 1.9 months, HR=0.58) and ORR (8.9% vs. 2.7%) relative to erlotinib, in a Phase 3 study for patients with platinum-refractory KRAS-mutated advanced NSCLC85. Although some studies have

suggested that KRAS mutation status may predict a lack of response to the EGFR inhibitors erlotinib and gefitinib in patients with lung cancer, a retrospective study suggests that there is no statistically significant difference in response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors among KRASwildtype and KRAS-mutated patients⁸⁶⁻⁸⁹. A study assessing the immune checkpoint inhibitor nivolumab for pretreated patients with KRASmutated (n=206) or KRAS-wildtype (n=324) advanced NSCLC observed a similar ORR (20% vs. 17%), median PFS (4 vs. 3 months) and OS (11.2 vs. 10 months) in both cohorts, although the 3-month PFS rate was significantly longer in KRASpositive than KRAS-negative patients (53% vs. 42%)90. Co-occurring KRAS and STK11 alterations are associated with poorer response to immune checkpoint inhibitors for patients with NSCLC. Following anti-PD-1-based regimens, retrospective analyses have reported shorter OS for patients with KRAS- and STK11-mutated tumors than for those whose KRAS-mutated tumors were STK11-wildtype (6.4 vs. 16.1 months, HR=1.99), as well as markedly fewer objective responses for patients with KRAS-/STK11-mutated versus KRAS-/TP53-mutated tumors in the CheckMate-057 (0% [0/6] vs. 57% [4/7])91 and GEMINI (0% [0/6], vs. 53% [9/17])92. Another study observed that patients with NSCLC and KRAS-mutated tumors without STK11 alteration who were treated with second-line immunotherapy experienced similar median PFS (2.8 vs. 2.2 months, HR = 1.64) and numerically longer median OS (7.7 vs. 3.5 months, HR = 2.3; p=0.09) compared to patients harboring mutations in both KRAS and STK1193. Clinical evidence that KRAS amplification in the absence of a concurrent KRAS activating mutation is sensitive to MEK inhibitors is limited. A Phase 2 study of selumetinib plus docetaxel in patients with gastric cancer reported 1/2 patients with KRAS amplification experienced a PR94. A patient with cervical cancer harboring both KRAS and PIK3CA amplification treated with the combination of trametinib and the AKT inhibitor GSK2141795 achieved a SD95. Combination of a RAF-MEK inhibitor CH5126766 and FAK inhibitor defactinib elicited clinical responses for patients with low grade serous ovarian cancer (PR rate 50% [4/8]) and non-small cell lung cancer (PR rate 10% [1/ 10]) with KRAS mutations96. The reovirus Reolysin targets cells with activated RAS signaling 97-99 and is in clinical trials in patients with some tumor types. Reolysin has demonstrated mixed clinical efficacy, with the highest rate of response reported for patients with head and neck cancer¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰⁸. The role of EGFR or

KRAS mutations as biomarkers for response to Reolysin in NSCLC is unclear¹⁰⁹.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

KRAS amplification has been observed in 4-6% of lung adenocarcinoma cases46-47,110 and in 2-4.4% of lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) cases (cBioPortal, Feb 2020)111-113. KRAS amplification has been observed in 4-6% of lung adenocarcinoma cases 46-47,110. Studies have reported KRAS mutations in 10-38% of non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLC), including 27-37% of lung adenocarcinomas46-47,110,114-122, 10.5-33% of lung adenosquamous carcinomas 123-125, 22% of lung large cell carcinoma without neuroendocrine features, and 6% of lung large cell neuroendocrine carcinomas¹²⁶. KRAS amplification associated with increased invasiveness of lung adenocarcinomas in one study¹²⁷. KRAS mutation was associated with shorter PFS (7.0 vs. 8.6 months, p=0.026) and OS (14.2 vs. 21.6 months, p=0.019) with first-line treatment with bevacizumab plus chemotherapy in a retrospective study¹²⁸ and a lower major pathological response rate (0% [0/10] vs. 35.5% [11/31]) after neoadjuvant bevacizumab plus chemotherapy followed by adjuvant bevacizumab in a Phase 2 trial¹²⁹, relative to those patients lacking KRAS mutation. However, addition of atezolizumab to first-line bevacizumab and chemotherapy improved PFS regardless of KRAS status in the Phase 3 IMpower150 study (HR=0.50 for KRAS mutant vs. 0.47 for KRAS wild-type vs. 0.67 for KRAS unknown)130. In one study of 55 patients with lung adenocarcinoma, KRAS mutations, especially in combination with TP53 alterations, correlated with improved clinical outcomes to PD-1 inhibitors pembrolizumab and nivolumab, likely as a consequence of association with some immunogenic features such as tumor mutation burden¹³¹. KRAS mutation in lung adenocarcinoma has been correlated with disease progression, poorly differentiated tumors, and aggressive tumor behavior^{116,122,132}. However, the prognostic value of KRAS mutation in lung adenocarcinoma may differ among ethnic groups and may depend upon the specific allelic variant present¹³³.

FINDING SUMMARY

KRAS encodes a member of the RAS family of small GTPases. Activating mutations in RAS genes can cause uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumor formation^{64,134}. KRAS alterations affecting amino acids G12, G13, Q22, P34, A59, Q61, and A146, as well as mutations G10_A11insG, G10_A11insAG (also reported as G10_A11dup and G12_G13insAG), A18D, L19F, D33E,



GENOMIC FINDINGS

G6o_A66dup/E62_A66dup, E62K, and K117N have been characterized as activating and oncogenic^{64,135-156}. In numerous cancer typespecific studies as well as a large-scale pan-cancer

analysis, KRAS amplification was shown to correlate with increased expression¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁶⁰. Additionally, KRAS amplification correlated with sensitivity of cancer cell lines to KRAS

knockdown, suggesting that amplified KRAS is an oncogenic driver 160 .

MYC

ALTERATION amplification

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

There are no available therapies that directly target MYC. However, preclinical data indicate that MYC overexpression may predict sensitivity to investigational agents targeting CDK1¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶², CDK2¹⁶³, Aurora kinase A¹⁶⁴⁻¹⁷¹, Aurora kinase B¹⁷²⁻¹⁷⁵, glutaminase¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁹, or BET bromodomain-containing proteins¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸³, as well as agents targeting both HDAC and PI₃K¹⁸⁴⁻¹⁸⁶. A Phase 2 study reported a PFS benefit associated with a combination of the Aurora A kinase inhibitor alisertib and paclitaxel as second-line therapy for patients with MYC-overexpressed small cell lung

cancer but not for patients without MYC overexpression¹⁸⁷. A patient with MYC-amplified invasive ductal breast carcinoma experienced a PR to an Aurora kinase inhibitor¹⁸⁸. The glutaminase inhibitor CB-839, in combination with either everolimus or cabozantinib, has demonstrated encouraging efficacy in Phase 1 and 2 studies enrolling patients with pretreated advanced renal cell carcinoma¹⁸⁹⁻¹⁹⁰. MYC amplification has also been suggested to predict response to chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer in some studies¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹². Preclinical evidence suggests that colon cancer cells with MYC amplification may be more sensitive to 5-fluorouracil and paclitaxel¹⁹³⁻¹⁹⁴.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

MYC amplification has been reported in 10-50% of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) samples, including adenocarcinoma and/or squamous cell carcinoma subtypes¹⁹⁵⁻¹⁹⁹. In the Lung

Adenocarcinoma TCGA and Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma TCGA datasets, putative MYC amplification has been reported in 9% and 4.5% of cases, respectively¹¹⁰⁻¹¹¹. MYC amplification has been associated with metastasis in NSCLC, as well as with poor prognosis in early stage lung adenocarcinoma specifically¹⁹⁵⁻¹⁹⁸.

FINDING SUMMARY

MYC (c-MYC) encodes a transcription factor that regulates many genes related to cell cycle regulation and cell growth. It is an oncogene and may be activated in as many as 20% of cancers²⁰⁰. MYC dysregulation (amplification, overexpression, translocation) has been identified in a number of different cancer types²⁰¹. MYC amplification has been significantly linked with increased mRNA and protein levels and results in the dysregulation of a large number of target genes^{200,202-203}.

GENOMIC FINDINGS

GENE

CDKN2A/B

ALTERATION p16INK4a R58* and p14ARF P72L

TRANSCRIPT ID

CODING SEQUENCE EFFECT

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY (% VAF) 16.4%

POTENTIAL TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Preclinical data suggest that tumors with loss of p16INK4a function may be sensitive to CDK4/6 inhibitors, such as abemaciclib, ribociclib, and palbociclib²⁰⁴⁻²⁰⁷. Although case studies have reported that patients with breast cancer or uterine leiomyosarcoma harboring CDKN2A loss responded to palbociclib treatment²⁰⁸⁻²⁰⁹, multiple other clinical studies have shown no significant correlation between p16INK4a loss or inactivation and therapeutic benefit of these agents²¹⁰⁻²¹⁶; it is not known whether CDK4/6 inhibitors would be beneficial in this case. Although preclinical studies have suggested that loss of p14ARF function may be associated with reduced sensitivity to MDM2 inhibitors²¹⁷⁻²¹⁸, the clinical relevance of p14ARF

as a predictive biomarker is not clear.

FREQUENCY & PROGNOSIS

CDKN2A/B loss and CDKN2A mutation have been reported in approximately 19% and 4% of lung adenocarcinomas, respectively110. CDKN2A/B loss and CDKN2A mutation have been reported in 26% and 17% of lung squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) samples analyzed in the TCGA dataset, respectively¹¹¹. Loss of p16INK4a protein expression, through CDKN2A mutation, homozygous deletion, or promoter methylation, has been described in 49-68% of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) samples, whereas low p14ARF protein expression has been detected in 21-72% of NSCLC samples 111,219-224. Loss of p16INK4a protein as well as CDKN2A promoter hypermethylation correlate with poor survival in patients with NSCLC^{221,225-227}.

FINDING SUMMARY

CDKN2A encodes two different, unrelated tumor suppressor proteins, p16INK4a and p14ARF, whereas CDKN2B encodes the tumor suppressor p15INK4b²²⁸⁻²²⁹. Both p15INK4b and p16INK4a bind to and inhibit CDK4 and CDK6, thereby maintaining the growth-suppressive activity of the Rb tumor suppressor; loss or inactivation of either p15INK4b or p16INK4a contributes to dysregulation of the CDK4/6-cyclin-Rb pathway

and loss of cell cycle control^{220,230}. The tumor suppressive functions of p14ARF involve stabilization and activation of p53, via a mechanism of MDM2 inhibition²³¹⁻²³². One or more alterations observed here are predicted to result in p16INK4a loss of function²³³⁻²⁵⁴. One or more alterations seen here have been observed in the context of cancer but have not been characterized and their effect on p14ARF function is unclear.

POTENTIAL GERMLINE IMPLICATIONS

Germline CDKN2A mutation is associated with melanoma-pancreatic cancer syndrome, a condition marked by increased risk of developing malignant melanoma and/or pancreatic cancer²⁵⁵. Mutation carriers within families may develop either or both types of cancer, and melanoma cases may be referred to as familial or hereditary melanoma²⁵⁶⁻²⁵⁷. CDKN₂A is the most implicated gene in familial melanoma, with germline mutations present in 16% to 20% of familial melanoma cases²⁵⁸⁻²⁶⁰. CDKN₂A alteration has also been implicated in familial melanomaastrocytoma syndrome, an extremely rare tumor association characterized by dual predisposition to melanoma and nervous system tumors²⁶¹⁻²⁶³. In the appropriate clinical context, germline testing of CDKN2A is recommended.



CLINICAL TRIALS

NOTE Clinical trials are ordered by gene and prioritized by: age range inclusion criteria for pediatric patients, proximity to ordering medical facility, later trial phase, and verification of trial information within the last two months. While every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained below, the information available in the public domain is continually updated and

should be investigated by the physician or research staff. This is not a comprehensive list of all available clinical trials. Foundation Medicine displays a subset of trial options and ranks them in this order of descending priority: Qualification for pediatric trial \rightarrow Geographical proximity \rightarrow Later trial phase. Clinical trials listed here may have additional enrollment criteria that may require

medical screening to determine final eligibility. For additional information about listed clinical trials or to conduct a search for additional trials, please see clinicaltrials.gov. Or visit https://www.foundationmedicine.com/genomictesting#support-services.

KRAS

ALTERATION amplification, G12D

RATIONALE

KRAS activating mutations or amplification may predict sensitivity to inhibitors of MAPK pathway components, including MEK inhibitors. KRAS alterations are not predictive biomarkers for MEK inhibitor monotherapy in NSCLC and combinatorial approaches may yield improved efficacy. Clinical evidence suggests that patients with KRAS-mutant NSCLC may be sensitive to the CDK4/6 inhibitor abemaciclib.

NCT03600701

TARGETS
PD-L1, MEK

PHASE 2

LOCATIONS: Florida, Alabama, Virginia, District of Columbia, Oklahoma, Ohio, Michigan, California

NCT03989115

Dose-Escalation and Dose-Expansion of RMC-4630 and Cobimetinib in Relapsed/Refractory Solid

PHASE 1/2

TARGETS SHP2, MEK

LOCATIONS: Florida, Georgia, Texas, North Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Oklahoma, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Ohio

NCT03099174

PHASE 1

This Study in Patients With Different Types of Cancer (Solid Tumours) Aims to Find a Safe Dose of Xentuzumab in Combination With Abemaciclib With or Without Hormonal Therapies. The Study Also Tests How Effective These Medicines Are in Patients With Lung and Breast Cancer.

CDK4, CDK6, IGF-1, IGF-2, Aromatase,

LOCATIONS: Florida, North Carolina, Connecticut, Minnesota, Nevada, California, Malaga (Spain), Pozuelo de Alarcón (Spain), Madrid (Spain), Plerin Sur Mer (France)

NCT03225664

PHASE 1/2

BATTLE-2 Program - A Biomarker-Integrated Targeted Therapy in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)

TARGETS PD-1, MEK

LOCATIONS: Texas

NCT03581487

PHASE 1/2

Durvalumab, Tremelimumab, and Selumetinib in Treating Participants With Recurrent or Stage IV Non-small Cell Lung Cancer

TARGETS

MEK, PD-L1, CTLA-4

LOCATIONS: Texas



CLINICAL TRIALS

TARGETS MEK, CDK4, CDK6 PHASE 2 TARGETS FGFRS, mTORC1, mTORC2, CDK4, CDK6, ALK, AXL, MET, ROS1, TRKA, TRKC, MEK, AKTS, EGFR, PD-L1, DDR2, FLT3, KIT, PDGFRA, RET, TRKB, VEGFRS United Kingdom), Wirral (United Kingdom), ester (United Kingdom), Oxford (United Kingdom)		
TARGETS FGFRs, mTORC1, mTORC2, CDK4, CDK6, ALK, AXL, MET, ROS1, TRKA, TRKC, MEK, AKTs, EGFR, PD-L1, DDR2, FLT3, KIT, PDGFRA, RET, TRKB, VEGFRS United Kingdom), Wirral (United Kingdom),		
TARGETS FGFRs, mTORC1, mTORC2, CDK4, CDK6, ALK, AXL, MET, ROS1, TRKA, TRKC, MEK, AKTs, EGFR, PD-L1, DDR2, FLT3, KIT, PDGFRA, RET, TRKB, VEGFRS United Kingdom), Wirral (United Kingdom),		
FGFRs, mTORC1, mTORC2, CDK4, CDK6, ALK, AXL, MET, ROS1, TRKA, TRKC, MEK, AKTs, EGFR, PD-L1, DDR2, FLT3, KIT, PDGFRA, RET, TRKB, VEGFRs United Kingdom), Wirral (United Kingdom),		
PHASE 1		
TARGETS mTOR, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, CDK4, CDK6, MEK		
PHASE 1/2		
TARGETS RAFs, EGFR, MEK		
Australia)		
PHASE 1		
TARGETS CDK6, CDK4, ERK1, ERK2, ARAF, BRAF, MEK		



CLINICAL TRIALS

GEN	ΙE
Μ	YC

ALTERATION amplification

RATIONALE

MYC amplification may predict sensitivity to inhibition of CDKs, especially CDK1 and CDK2, of Aurora kinases, including Aurora kinase A and B,

and of BET domain proteins, which are reported to downregulate MYC expression and MYC-dependent transcriptional programs.

NCT03297424	PHASE 1/2
A Study of PLX2853 in Advanced Malignancies.	TARGETS BRD4
LOCATIONS: Florida, Texas, Virginia, New York, Arizona	

NCT02516553	PHASE 1
BI 894999 First in Human Dose Finding Study in Advanced Malignancies	TARGETS BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, BRDT

LOCATIONS: Texas, New York, Ohio, Massachusetts, California, Madrid (Spain), Nantes (France), Barcelona (Spain), Villejuif (France), Paris (France)

NCT02419417	PHASE 1/2
Study of BMS-986158 in Subjects With Select Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, BRDT

LOCATIONS: South Carolina, Massachusetts, Villejuif (France), Lyon Cedex 08 (France), Melbourne (Australia)

NCT01434316	PHASE 1
Veliparib and Dinaciclib in Treating Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors	TARGETS PARP, CDK1, CDK2, CDK5, CDK9

LOCATIONS: Massachusetts

NCT03654547	PHASE 1
Safety of TT-00420 Monotherapy in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors and Triple Negative Breast Cancer	TARGETS Aurora kinase A, Aurora kinase B
LOCATIONS: Texas	

NCT03220347	PHASE 1
A Study to Assess the Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics and Preliminary Efficacy of CC-90010 in Subjects With Advanced Solid Tumors and Relapsed/Refractory Non-Hodgkin's Lymphomas	TARGETS BRD2, BRD3, BRD4, BRDT

LOCATIONS: Madrid (Spain), Bordeaux (France), Barcelona (Spain), Villejuif (France), Rozzano (MI) (Italy), Meldola (Italy), Napoli, Campania (Italy), Kashiwa (Japan)





TUMOR TYPE Lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NOS) REPORT DATE 29 Jan 2021

APPENDIX

Variants of Unknown Significance

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1000392-01

NOTE One or more variants of unknown significance (VUS) were detected in this patient's tumor. These variants may not have been adequately characterized in the scientific literature at the time this report was issued, and/or the genomic context of these alterations makes their significance unclear. We choose to include them here in the event that they become clinically meaningful in the future.

 BRD4
 CALR
 DDR1
 KMT2A (MLL)

 R1280H
 D404N
 F345L
 A53V

TP53 TSC1 P222_E224del K587R



APPENDIX

Genes Assayed in FoundationOne®CDx

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1000392-01

FoundationOne CDx is designed to include genes known to be somatically altered in human solid tumors that are validated targets for therapy, either approved or in clinical trials, and/or that are unambiguous drivers of oncogenesis based on current knowledge. The current assay interrogates 324 genes as well as introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements. The assay will be updated periodically to reflect new knowledge about cancer biology.

DNA GENE LIST: ENTIRE CODING SEQUENCE FOR THE DETECTION OF BASE SUBSTITUTIONS, INSERTION/DELETIONS, AND COPY NUMBER ALTERATIONS

ABL1	ACVR1B	AKT1	AKT2	AKT3	ALK	ALOX12B	AMER1 (FAM123B)	APC
AR	ARAF	ARFRP1	ARID1A	ASXL1	ATM	ATR	ATRX	AURKA
AURKB	AXIN1	AXL	BAP1	BARD1	BCL2	BCL2L1	BCL2L2	BCL6
BCOR	BCORL1	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	BRD4	BRIP1	BTG1	BTG2
BTK	C11orf30 (EMSY)	C17orf39 (GID4)	CALR	CARD11	CASP8	CBFB	CBL	CCND1
CCND2	CCND3	CCNE1	CD22	CD274 (PD-L1)	CD70	CD79A	CD79B	CDC73
CDH1	CDK12	CDK4	CDK6	CDK8	CDKN1A	CDKN1B	CDKN2A	CDKN2B
CDKN2C	CEBPA	CHEK1	CHEK2	CIC	CREBBP	CRKL	CSF1R	CSF3R
CTCF	CTNNA1	CTNNB1	CUL3	CUL4A	CXCR4	CYP17A1	DAXX	DDR1
DDR2	DIS3	DNMT3A	DOT1L	EED	EGFR	EP300	EPHA3	EPHB1
EPHB4	ERBB2	ERBB3	ERBB4	ERCC4	ERG	ERRFI1	ESR1	EZH2
FAM46C	FANCA	FANCC	FANCG	FANCL	FAS	FBXW7	FGF10	FGF12
FGF14	FGF19	FGF23	FGF3	FGF4	FGF6	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3
FGFR4	FH	FLCN	FLT1	FLT3	FOXL2	FUBP1	GABRA6	GATA3
GATA4	GATA6	GNA11	GNA13	GNAQ	GNAS	GRM3	GSK3B	H3F3A
HDAC1	HGF	HNF1A	HRAS	HSD3B1	ID3	IDH1	IDH2	IGF1R
IKBKE	IKZF1	INPP4B	IRF2	IRF4	IRS2	JAK1	JAK2	JAK3
JUN	KDM5A	KDM5C	KDM6A	KDR	KEAP1	KEL	KIT	KLHL6
KMT2A (MLL)	KMT2D (MLL2)	KRAS	LTK	LYN	MAF	MAP2K1 (MEK1)	MAP2K2 (MEK2)	MAP2K4
MAP3K1	MAP3K13	MAPK1	MCL1	MDM2	MDM4	MED12	MEF2B	MEN1
MERTK	MET	MITF	MKNK1	MLH1	MPL	MRE11A	MSH2	MSH3
MSH6	MST1R	MTAP	MTOR	MUTYH	MYC	MYCL (MYCL1)	MYCN	MYD88
NBN	NF1	NF2	NFE2L2	NFKBIA	NKX2-1	NOTCH1	NOTCH2	NOTCH3
NPM1	NRAS	NSD3 (WHSC1L1)	NT5C2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	P2RY8	PALB2
PARK2	PARP1	PARP2	PARP3	PAX5	PBRM1	PDCD1 (PD-1)	PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2)	PDGFRA
PDGFRB	PDK1	PIK3C2B	PIK3C2G	PIK3CA	PIK3CB	PIK3R1	PIM1	PMS2
POLD1	POLE	PPARG	PPP2R1A	PPP2R2A	PRDM1	PRKAR1A	PRKCI	PTCH1
PTEN	PTPN11	PTPRO	QKI	RAC1	RAD21	RAD51	RAD51B	RAD51C
RAD51D	RAD52	RAD54L	RAF1	RARA	RB1	RBM10	REL	RET
RICTOR	RNF43	ROS1	RPTOR	SDHA	SDHB	SDHC	SDHD	SETD2
SF3B1	SGK1	SMAD2	SMAD4	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SNCAIP	SOCS1
SOX2	SOX9	SPEN	SPOP	SRC	STAG2	STAT3	STK11	SUFU
SYK	TBX3	TEK	TET2	TGFBR2	TIPARP	TNFAIP3	TNFRSF14	TP53
TSC1	TSC2	TYRO3	U2AF1	VEGFA	VHL	WHSC1	WT1	XPO1
XRCC2	ZNF217	ZNF703						

DNA GENE LIST: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT REARRANGEMENTS

ALK	BCL2	BCR	BRAF	BRCA1	BRCA2	CD74	EGFR	ETV4
ETV5	ETV6	EWSR1	EZR	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	KIT	KMT2A (MLL)
MSH2	MYB	MYC	NOTCH2	NTRK1	NTRK2	NUTM1	PDGFRA	RAF1
RARA	RET	ROS1	RSPO2	SDC4	SLC34A2	TERC*	TERT**	TMPRSS2

^{*}TERC is an NCRNA

ADDITIONAL ASSAYS: FOR THE DETECTION OF SELECT CANCER BIOMARKERS

Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH) score Microsatellite (MS) status Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB)

^{**}Promoter region of TERT is interrogated



APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

FoundationOne CDx fulfills the requirements of the European Directive 98/79 EC for in vitro diagnostic medical devices and is registered as a CE-IVD product by Foundation Medicine's EU Authorized Representative, Qarad b.v.b.a, Cipalstraat 3, 2440 Geel, Belgium.

ABOUT FOUNDATIONONE CDX

FoundationOne CDx was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Foundation Medicine, Inc. (Foundation Medicine). FoundationOne CDx may be used for clinical purposes and should not be regarded as purely investigational or for research only. Foundation Medicine's clinical reference laboratories are qualified to perform high-complexity clinical testing.

Please refer to technical information for performance specification details: www.rochefoundationmedicine.com/f1cdxtech.

INTENDED USE

FoundationOne®CDx (F1CDx) is a next generation sequencing based in vitro diagnostic device for detection of substitutions, insertion and deletion alterations (indels), and copy number alterations (CNAs) in 324 genes and select gene rearrangements, as well as genomic signatures including microsatellite instability (MSI), tumor mutational burden (TMB), and for selected forms of ovarian cancer, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) score, using DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded (FFPE) tumor tissue specimens. The test is intended as a companion diagnostic to identify patients who may benefit from treatment with therapies in accordance with approved therapeutic product labeling. Additionally, F1CDx is intended to provide tumor mutation profiling to be used by qualified health care professionals in accordance with professional guidelines in oncology for patients with solid malignant neoplasms.

TEST PRINCIPLES

FoundationOne CDx will be performed exclusively as a laboratory service using DNA extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples. The proposed assay will employ a single DNA extraction method from routine FFPE biopsy or surgical resection specimens, 50-1000 ng of which will undergo whole-genome shotgun library construction and hybridization-based capture of all coding exons from 309 cancer-related genes, one promoter region, one non-coding (ncRNA), and select intronic regions from 34 commonly rearranged genes, 21 of which also include the coding exons. The assay therefore includes detection of alterations in a total of 324 genes. Using an Illumina® HiSeq platform, hybrid

capture–selected libraries will be sequenced to high uniform depth (targeting >500X median coverage with >99% of exons at coverage >100X). Sequence data will be processed using a customized analysis pipeline designed to accurately detect all classes of genomic alterations, including base substitutions, indels, focal copy number amplifications, homozygous gene deletions, and selected genomic rearrangements (e.g.,gene fusions). Additionally, genomic signatures including loss of heterozygosity (LOH), microsatellite instability (MSI) and tumor mutational burden (TMB) will be reported.

THE REPORT

Incorporates analyses of peer-reviewed studies and other publicly available information identified by Foundation Medicine; these analyses and information may include associations between a molecular alteration (or lack of alteration) and one or more drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit), including drug candidates that are being studied in clinical research. The F1CDx report may be used as an aid to inform molecular eligibility for clinical trials. Note: A finding of biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate pharmacologic effectiveness (or lack thereof) of any drug or treatment regimen; a finding of no biomarker alteration does not necessarily indicate lack of pharmacologic effectiveness (or effectiveness) of any drug or treatment regimen.

Diagnostic Significance

FoundationOne CDx identifies alterations to select cancer-associated genes or portions of genes (biomarkers). In some cases, the Report also highlights selected negative test results regarding biomarkers of clinical significance.

Qualified Alteration Calls (Equivocal and Subclonal)

An alteration denoted as "amplification - equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence that the copy number of a gene exceeds the threshold for identifying copy number amplification. The threshold used in FoundationOne CDx for identifying a copy number amplification is four (4) for ERBB2 and six (6) for all other genes. Conversely, an alteration denoted as "loss equivocal" implies that the FoundationOne CDx assay data provide some, but not unambiguous, evidence for homozygous deletion of the gene in question. An alteration denoted as "subclonal" is one that the FoundationOne CDx analytical methodology has identified as being present in <10% of the assayed tumor DNA.

Ranking of Alterations and Therapies Biomarker and Genomic Findings
Therapies are ranked based on the following criteria: Therapies with clinical benefit in patient's tumor type (ranked alphabetically within each NCCN category) followed by therapies with clinical benefit in other tumor type (ranked alphabetically within each NCCN category).

Clinical Trials

Pediatric trial qualification → Geographical proximity → Later trial phase.

NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK* (NCCN*) CATEGORIZATION

Biomarker and genomic findings detected may be associated with certain entries within the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium® (NCCN Compendium®) (www.nccn.org). The NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus indicated reflect the highest possible category for a given therapy in association with each biomarker or genomic finding. Please note, however, that the accuracy and applicability of these NCCN categories within a report may be impacted by the patient's clinical history, additional biomarker information, age, and/or co-occurring alterations. For additional information on the NCCN categories please refer to the NCCN Compendium®. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2020. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Limitations

- 1. The MSI-H/MSS designation by FMI F1CDx test is based on genome wide analysis of 95 microsatellite loci and not based on the 5 or 7 MSI loci described in current clinical practice guidelines. The threshold for MSI-H/MSS was determined by analytical concordance to comparator assays (IHC and PCR) using uterine, cecum and colorectal cancer FFPE tissue. The clinical validity of the qualitative MSI designation has not been established. For Microsatellite Instability (MSI) results, confirmatory testing using a validated orthogonal method should be considered.
- 2. TMB by F1CDx is determined by counting all synonymous and non-synonymous variants present at 5% allele frequency or greater (after filtering) and the total number is reported as mutations per megabase (mut/Mb) unit.

 Observed TMB is dependent on characteristics

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1000392-01

of the specific tumor focus tested for a patient (e.g., primary vs. metastatic, tumor content) and the testing platform used for the detection; therefore, observed TMB results may vary between different specimens for the same patient and between detection methodologies employed on the same sample. The TMB calculation may differ from TMB calculations used by other assays depending on variables such as the amount of genome interrogated, percentage of tumor, assay limit of detection (LoD), filtering of alterations included in the score, and the read depth and other bioinformatic test specifications. Refer to the SSED for a detailed description of these variables in FMI's TMB calculation https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/ pdf17/P170019B.pdf. The clinical validity of TMB defined by this panel has been established for TMB as a qualitative output for a cut-off of 10 mutations per megabase but has not been established for TMB as a quantitative score.

3. The LOH score is determined by analyzing SNPs spaced at 1Mb intervals across the genome on the FoundationOne CDx test and extrapolating an LOH profile, excluding armand chromosome-wide LOH segments. Detection of LOH has been verified only for ovarian cancer patients, and the LOH score result may be reported for epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, or Fallopian tube carcinomas. The LOH score will be reported as "Cannot Be Determined" if the sample is not of sufficient quality to confidently determine LOH. Performance of the LOH classification has not been established for samples below 35% tumor content. There may be potential interference of ethanol with LOH detection. The interfering effects of xylene, hemoglobin, and triglycerides on the LOH score have not been demonstrated.

VARIANT ALLELE FREQUENCY

Variant Allele Frequency (VAF) represents the fraction of sequencing reads in which the variant is observed. This attribute is not taken into account for therapy inclusion, clinical trial matching, or interpretive content. Caution is recommended in interpreting VAF to indicate the potential germline or somatic origin of an alteration, recognizing that tumor fraction and tumor ploidy of samples may vary.

Precision of VAF for base substitutions and indels

BASE SUBSTITUTIONS	%CV*
Repeatability	5.11 - 10.40
Reproducibility	5.95 - 12.31

INDELS	%CV*
Repeatability	6.29 - 10.00
Reproducibility	7.33 - 11.71

^{*}Interquartile Range = 1st Quartile to 3rd Quartile

VARIANTS TO CONSIDER FOR FOLLOW-UP GERMLINE TESTING

The variants indicated for consideration of followup germline testing are 1) limited to reportable short variants with a protein effect listed in the ClinVar genomic database (Landrum et al., 2018; 29165669) as Pathogenic, Pathogenic/Likely Pathogenic, or Likely Pathogenic (by an expert panel or multiple submitters with no conflicts), 2) associated with hereditary cancer-predisposing disorder(s), 3) detected at an allele frequency of >10%, and 4) in select genes reported by the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group (Mandelker et al., 2019; 31050713) to have a greater than 10% probability of germline origin if identified during tumor sequencing. The selected genes are BAP1, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, FH, FLCN, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, MUTYH, PALB2, PMS2, POLE, RAD51C, RAD51D, RET, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, TSC2, and VHL, and are not inclusive of all cancer susceptibility genes. The content in this report should not substitute for genetic counseling or follow-up germline testing, which is needed to distinguish whether a finding in this patient's tumor sequencing is germline or somatic. Interpretation should be based on clinical context.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE NOT PROVIDED

Drugs with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) are not evaluated for source or level of published evidence.

NO GUARANTEE OF CLINICAL BENEFIT

This Report makes no promises or guarantees that a particular drug will be effective in the treatment of disease in any patient. This Report also makes no promises or guarantees that a drug with potential lack of clinical benefit will in fact provide no clinical benefit.

NO GUARANTEE OF REIMBURSEMENT

Foundation Medicine makes no promises or guarantees that a healthcare provider, insurer or other third party payor, whether private or governmental, will reimburse a patient for the cost of FoundationOne CDx.

TREATMENT DECISIONS ARE RESPONSIBILITY OF PHYSICIAN

Drugs referenced in this Report may not be suitable for a particular patient. The selection of any, all or

none of the drugs associated with potential clinical benefit (or potential lack of clinical benefit) resides entirely within the discretion of the treating physician. Indeed, the information in this Report must be considered in conjunction with all other relevant information regarding a particular patient, before the patient's treating physician recommends a course of treatment. Decisions on patient care and treatment must be based on the independent medical judgment of the treating physician, taking into consideration all applicable information concerning the patient's condition, such as patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests, and patient preferences, in accordance with the standard of care in a given community. A treating physician's decisions should not be based on a single test, such as this Test, or the information contained in this Report. Certain sample or variant characteristics may result in reduced sensitivity. FoundationOne CDx is performed using DNA derived from tumor, and as such germline events may not be reported.

SELECT ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CR	Complete response
DCR	Disease control rate
DNMT	DNA methyltransferase
HR	Hazard ratio
ITD	Internal tandem duplication
MMR	Mismatch repair
muts/Mb	Mutations per megabase
NOS	Not otherwise specified
ORR	Objective response rate
os	Overall survival
PD	Progressive disease
PFS	Progression-free survival
PR	Partial response
SD	Stable disease
ткі	Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

MR Suite Version 2.2.0



FOUNDATIONONE®CDx

TUMOR TYPE Lung non-small cell lung carcinoma (NOS) REPORT DATE 29 Jan 2021

APPENDIX

About FoundationOne®CDx

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1000392-01

The median exon coverage for this sample is 774x

APPENDIX

References

ORDERED TEST # ORD-1000392-01

- 1. Gatalica Z, et al. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. (2014) pmid: 25392179
- 2. Kroemer G, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 26140250
- 3. Lal N, et al. Oncoimmunology (2015) pmid: 25949894
- 4. Le DT, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2015) pmid: 26028255
- 5. Ayers et al., 2016; ASCO-SITC Abstract P60
- 6. Warth A, et al. Virchows Arch. (2016) pmid: 26637197
- 7. Ninomiya H, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2006) pmid: 16641899
- 8. Vanderwalde A, et al. Cancer Med (2018) pmid: 29436178
- 9. Zang YS, et al. Cancer Med (2019) pmid: 31270941
- 10. Dudley JC, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 26880610
- 11. Takamochi K, et al. Lung Cancer (2017) pmid: 28676214
- Pylkkänen L, et al. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. (1997) pmid: 9329646
- 13. Gonzalez R, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2000) pmid: 11061602
- 14. Chen XQ, et al. Nat. Med. (1996) pmid: 8782463
- 15. Merlo A, et al. Cancer Res. (1994) pmid: 8174113
- 16. Kocarnik JM, et al. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) (2015) pmid: 26337942
- 17. You JF, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 21081928
- 18. Bairwa NK, et al. Methods Mol. Biol. (2014) pmid: 24623249
- 19. Boland CR, et al. Cancer Res. (1998) pmid: 9823339
- 20. Pawlik TM, et al. Dis. Markers (2004) pmid: 15528785
- 21. Boland CR, et al. Gastroenterology (2010) pmid: 20420947
- 22. Samstein RM, et al. Nat. Genet. (2019) pmid: 30643254
- 23. Goodman AM, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2017) pmid: 28835386
- 24. Goodman AM, et al. Cancer Immunol Res (2019) pmid: 31405947
- 25. Cristescu R. et al. Science (2018) pmid: 30309915
- 26. Ready N, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2019) pmid: 30785829
- 27. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid:
- 28. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29657128
- 29. Hellmann MD, et al. Cancer Cell (2018) pmid: 29731394
- 30. Sharma P, et al. Cancer Cell (2020) pmid: 32916128
- 31. Rizvi NA, et al. Science (2015) pmid: 25765070 32. Colli LM, et al. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27197178
- 33. Wang VE, et al. J Immunother Cancer (2017) pmid: 28923100
- 34. Carbone DP, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2017) pmid: 28636851
- 35. Rizvi H. et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2018) pmid: 29337640
- 36. Forde PM, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29658848
- 37. Miao D. et al. Nat. Genet. (2018) pmid: 30150660
- 38. Chae YK, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2019) pmid: 30425022
- 39. Paz-Ares et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract LBA80
- 40. Hellmann MD, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2019) pmid:
- 31562796 41. Chalmers ZR, et al. Genome Med (2017) pmid:
- 28420421
- 42. Spigel et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 9017
- **43.** Xiao D, et al. Oncotarget (2016) pmid: 27009843
- 44. Shim HS, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2015) pmid: 26200269
- 45. Govindan R, et al. Cell (2012) pmid: 22980976
- 46. Ding L, et al. Nature (2008) pmid: 18948947
- 47. Imielinski M, et al. Cell (2012) pmid: 22980975
- 48. Kim Y, et al. J. Clin. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 24323028
- **49.** Stein et al., 2019; DOI: 10.1200/PO.18.00376
- 50. Chen Y, et al. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. (2019) pmid: 31088500
- 51. Yu H, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2019) pmid: 30253973
- 52. Pfeifer GP, et al. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15748635

- 53. Hill VK, et al. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet (2013) pmid: 23875803
- 54. Pfeifer GP, et al. Oncogene (2002) pmid: 12379884
- 55. Johnson BE, et al. Science (2014) pmid: 24336570
- 56. Choi S, et al. Neuro-oncology (2018) pmid: 29452419 57. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Nature (2013) pmid: 23636398
- 58. Briggs S, et al. J. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23447401
- 59. Heitzer E, et al. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. (2014) pmid: 24583393
- 60. Nature (2012) pmid: 22810696
- 61. Roberts SA, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2014) pmid: 25568919
- 62. Marabelle A, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2020) pmid: 32919526
- 63. Nakano H, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1984) pmid: 6320174
- 64. Pylayeva-Gupta Y, et al. Nat. Rev. Cancer (2011) pmid: 21993244
- 65. Yamaguchi T, et al. Int. J. Oncol. (2011) pmid: 21523318
- 66. Watanabe M, et al. Cancer Sci. (2013) pmid: 23438367
- 67. Gilmartin AG, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid:
- 68. Yeh JJ, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2009) pmid: 19372556
- 69. Blumenschein GR, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25722381
- 70. Leijen S, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22767668
- 71. Zimmer L, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 24947927
- 72. Jänne PA, et al. JAMA (2017) pmid: 28492898
- 73. Gandara DR, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2017) pmid:
- 74. Carter CA, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2016) pmid: 26802155
- 75. Bedard PL, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 25500057
- 76. Tolcher AW, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25344362
- 77. Castellano E, et al. Cancer Cell (2013) pmid: 24229709
- 78. Ku BM, et al. Invest New Drugs (2015) pmid: 25342139
- 79. Lamba S, et al. Cell Rep (2014) pmid: 25199829
- 80. Sun C, et al. Cell Rep (2014) pmid: 24685132
- 81. Hata AN, et al. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 24675361 82. Tan N, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2013) pmid: 23475955
- 83. Shapiro et al., 2017; AACR Abstract CT046
- 84. Patnaik A, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27217383
- 85. Goldman et al., 2018: ASCO Abstract 9025
- 86. Mao C, et al. Lung Cancer (2010) pmid: 20022659
- 87. Sun JM, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23724098
- 88. Pao W, et al. PLoS Med. (2005) pmid: 15696205
- 89. Ludovini V, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2011) pmid: 21258250
- 90. Passiglia F, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2019) pmid: 30377342
- 91. Skoulidis F, et al. Cancer Discov (2018) pmid: 29773717
- 92. Skoulidis et al., 2017; IASLC 17th World Congress on Lung Cancer Abstract MA04.07
- 93. Marmarelis et al., 2018, IASLC WCLC Abstract P1.01-64
- 94. Lee et al., 2018; ASCO Abstract 4061
- 95. Liu JF, et al. Gynecol, Oncol. (2019) pmid: 31118140
- 96. Shinde et al., 2020; AACR Abstract CT143
- 97. Strong JE, et al. EMBO J. (1998) pmid: 9628872 98. Coffey MC, et al. Science (1998) pmid: 9812900
- 99. Gong J, et al. Front Oncol (2014) pmid: 25019061
- 100. Forsyth P, et al. Mol. Ther. (2008) pmid: 18253152
- 101. Vidal L. et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2008) pmid: 18981012
- 102. Gollamudi R, et al. Invest New Drugs (2010) pmid: 19572105
- 103. Harrington KJ, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20484020
- 104. Comins C, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20926400

- 105. Lolkema MP, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21106728
- 106. Galanis E, et al. Mol. Ther. (2012) pmid: 22871663
- 107. Karapanagiotou EM, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22316603
- 108. Morris DG, et al. Invest New Drugs (2013) pmid: 22886613
- Villalona-Calero MA, et al. Cancer (2016) pmid: 26709987
- 110. Nature (2014) pmid: 25079552
- 111. Nature (2012) pmid: 22960745
- 112. Cerami E, et al. Cancer Discov (2012) pmid: 22588877
- 113. Gao J. et al. Sci Signal (2013) pmid: 23550210
- 114. Aviel-Ronen S, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2006) pmid: 16870043
- 115. Villaruz LC, et al. Cancer (2013) pmid: 23526491
- 116. Rekhtman N, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23619604
- 117. Ragusa M, et al. Am. J. Clin. Oncol. (2014) pmid: 23357969
- 118. Kim ST, et al. Med. Oncol. (2013) pmid: 23307237
- 119. Russell PA, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2013) pmid: 23486266
- 120. Stella GM, et al. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. (2013) pmid:
- 121. Cai G, et al. Cancer Cytopathol (2013) pmid: 23495083
- 122. Yip PY, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2013) pmid: 23392229
- 123. Tochigi N, et al. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. (2011) pmid:
- 124. Shu C, et al. Mod. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 22996376
- 125. Wang R, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2014) pmid: 24481316
- 126. Karlsson A, et al. Oncotarget (2015) pmid: 26124082
- 127. Wagner PL, et al. Lung Cancer (2011) pmid: 21477882
- 128. Ghimessy AK, et al. Cancers (Basel) (2019) pmid: 31600989
- 129. Chaft JE, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2013) pmid: 23857398
- 130. Socinski MA, et al. N. Engl. J. Med. (2018) pmid: 29863955
- 131. Dong ZY, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2017) pmid: 28039262
- 132. Scoccianti C, et al. Eur. Respir. J. (2012) pmid: 22267755
- 133. Curr Opin Oncol (2014) pmid: 24463346
- 134. Kahn S, et al. Anticancer Res. () pmid: 3310850
- 135. Akagi K, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2007) pmid: 17150185
- 136. Bollag G, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (1996) pmid: 8955068
- 137. Buhrman G, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2010) pmid: 20194776
- 138. Sci. STKE (2004) pmid: 15367757
- 139. Edkins S, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2006) pmid: 16969076
- 140. Feig LA, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1988) pmid: 3043178
- 141. Gremer L. et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) pmid: 20949621
- 142. Janakiraman M, et al. Cancer Res. (2010) pmid: 20570890
- 143. Kim E, et al. Cancer Discov (2016) pmid: 27147599
- 144. Lukman S, et al. PLoS Comput. Biol. (2010) pmid:
- 145. Naguib A, et al. J Mol Signal (2011) pmid: 21371307 146. Prior IA, et al. Cancer Res. (2012) pmid: 22589270
- 147. Privé GG, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1992) pmid: 1565661
- 148. Scheffzek K, et al. Science (1997) pmid: 9219684
- 149. Scholl C, et al. Cell (2009) pmid: 19490892
- 150. Smith G, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20147967 151. Tyner JW, et al. Blood (2009) pmid: 19075190
- 152. Valencia A, et al. Biochemistry (1991) pmid: 2029511
- 153. White Y. et al. Nat Commun (2016) pmid: 26854029 154. Wiest JS, et al. Oncogene (1994) pmid: 8058307
- 155. Angeles AKJ, et al. Oncol Lett (2019) pmid: 31289513

156. Tong JH, et al. Cancer Biol. Ther. (2014) pmid: 24642870



APPENDIX R

References

- **157.** McIntyre A, et al. Neoplasia (2005) pmid: 16354586
- **158.** Mita H, et al. BMC Cancer (2009) pmid: 19545448
- **159.** Birkeland E, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2012) pmid: 23099803
- 160. Chen Y, et al. PLoS ONE (2014) pmid: 24874471
- 161. Horiuchi D, et al. J. Exp. Med. (2012) pmid: 22430491
- 162. Goga A, et al. Nat. Med. (2007) pmid: 17589519
- Molenaar JJ, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2009) pmid: 19525400
- **164.** Dammert MA, et al. Nat Commun (2019) pmid: 31375684
- 165. Mollaoglu G, et al. Cancer Cell (2017) pmid: 28089889
- 166. Cardnell RJ, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 29088717
- 167. Wang L, et al. Mol Oncol (2017) pmid: 28417568
- 168. Takahashi Y, et al. Ann. Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25632068
- 169. Li Y, et al. Thyroid (2018) pmid: 30226440
- 170. Mahadevan D, et al. PLoS ONE (2014) pmid: 24893165
- **171.** Park SI, et al. Target Oncol (2019) pmid: 31429028
- 172. Helfrich BA, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2016) pmid: 27496133
- 173. Hook KE, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2012) pmid: 22222631
- 174. Yang D, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2010) pmid: 20643922
- 175. He J, et al. Anticancer Drugs (2019) pmid: 30540594
- 176. Shroff EH, et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (2015) pmid: 25964345
- 177. Effenberger M, et al. Oncotarget (2017) pmid: 29156762
- Qu X, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2018) pmid: 30103944
- 179. Xiang Y, et al. J. Clin. Invest. (2015) pmid: 25915584
- 180. Delmore JE, et al. Cell (2011) pmid: 21889194
- Bandopadhayay P, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2014) pmid: 24297863
- 182. Lovén J, et al. Cell (2013) pmid: 23582323
- 183. Otto C, et al. Neoplasia (2019) pmid: 31734632
- 184. Dong LH, et al. J Hematol Oncol (2013) pmid: 23866964
- 185. Pei Y, et al. Cancer Cell (2016) pmid: 26977882
- **186.** Fu XH, et al. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. (2019) pmid: 30224636
- 187. Owonikoko TK, et al. J Thorac Oncol (2020) pmid: 31655296188. Ganesan P, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2014) pmid:
- 25253784
- 189. Tannir et al., 2018; ASCO GU Abstract 603
- 190. Motzer et al., 2019; ESMO Abstract LBA54191. Pereira CB. et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23555992
- **192.** Yasojima H, et al. Eur. J. Cancer (2011) pmid: 21741827

- 193. Arango D, et al. Cancer Res. (2001) pmid: 11406570
- **194.** Bottone MG, et al. Exp. Cell Res. (2003) pmid: 14516787
- 195. Lockwood WW, et al. Oncogene (2008) pmid: 18391978
- 196. Kubokura H, et al. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg (2001) pmid: 11578259
- Iwakawa R, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21148746
- 198. Boelens MC, et al. Lung Cancer (2009) pmid: 19324446
- 199. Yokota J, et al. Oncogene (1988) pmid: 2838790
- Dang CV, et al. Semin. Cancer Biol. (2006) pmid: 16904903
- **201.** Nesbit CE, et al. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 10378696
- 202. Blancato J, et al. Br. J. Cancer (2004) pmid: 15083194
- **203.** Fromont G, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2013) pmid: 23574779
- **204.** Konecny GE, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2011) pmid: 21278246
- 205. Katsumi Y, et al. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. (2011) pmid: 21871868
- **206.** Cen L, et al. Neuro-oncology (2012) pmid: 22711607
- 207. Logan JE, et al. Anticancer Res. (2013) pmid: 23898052
- 208. Elvin JA, et al. Oncologist (2017) pmid: 28283584
- 209. Gao J, et al. Curr Oncol (2015) pmid: 26715889
- 210. Gopalan et al., 2014; ASCO Abstract 8077
- 211. Peguero et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 2528
- 212. Konecny et al., 2016; ASCO Abstract 5557
- 213. DeMichele A, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2015) pmid: 25501126
- 214. Finn RS, et al. Lancet Oncol. (2015) pmid: 25524798
- 215. Infante JR, et al. Clin. Cancer Res. (2016) pmid: 27542767
- 216. Johnson DB, et al. Oncologist (2014) pmid: 24797823
- 217. Van Maerken T, et al. Mol. Cancer Ther. (2011) pmid: 21460101
- 218. Gamble LD, et al. Oncogene (2012) pmid: 21725357
- 219. Doxtader EE, et al. Hum. Pathol. (2012) pmid: 21840041
- 220. Gazzeri S, et al. Oncogene (1998) pmid: 9484839
- 221. Kratzke RA, et al. Cancer Res. (1996) pmid: 8758904
- 222. Lee JU, et al. Tuberc Respir Dis (Seoul) (2012) pmid: 23101020
- 223. Cortot AB, et al. Clin Lung Cancer (2014) pmid: 24169260
- **224.** Mounawar M, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17575133
- **225.** Kawabuchi B. et al. Int. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 9988232
- **226.** Xing XB, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23805242 **227.** Lou-Oian Z, et al. PLoS ONE (2013) pmid: 23372805
- **228.** Quelle DE, et al. Cell (1995) pmid: 8521522

- 229. Mutat. Res. (2005) pmid: 15878778
- 230. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 10498883
- 231. Sherr CJ, et al. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. (2005) pmid: 16869746
- 232. Ozenne P, et al. Int. J. Cancer (2010) pmid: 20549699
- 233. Ruas M. et al. Oncogene (1999) pmid: 10498896
- 234. Jones R, et al. Cancer Res. (2007) pmid: 17909018
- 235. Haferkamp S, et al. Aging Cell (2008) pmid: 18843795
- 236. Huot TJ, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (2002) pmid: 12417717
- **237.** Rizos H, et al. J. Biol. Chem. (2001) pmid: 11518711
- 238. Gombart AF, et al. Leukemia (1997) pmid: 9324288
- **239.** Yang R, et al. Cancer Res. (1995) pmid: 7780957
- **240.** Parry D, et al. Mol. Cell. Biol. (1996) pmid: 8668202
- **241.** Greenblatt MS, et al. Oncogene (2003) pmid: 12606942
- 242. Yarbrough WG, et al. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (1999) pmid: 10491434
- **243.** Poi MJ, et al. Mol. Carcinog. (2001) pmid: 11255261
- 244. Byeon IJ, et al. Mol. Cell (1998) pmid: 9660926
- **245.** Kannengiesser C, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2009) pmid: 19260062
- **246.** Lal G, et al. Genes Chromosomes Cancer (2000) pmid: 10719365
- **247.** Koh J, et al. Nature (1995) pmid: 7777061
- 248. McKenzie HA, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2010) pmid: 20340136
- 249. Miller PJ, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2011) pmid: 21462282
- 250. Kutscher CL, et al. Physiol. Behav. (1977) pmid: 905385
- 251. Scaini MC, et al. Hum. Mutat. (2014) pmid: 24659262
- 252. Jenkins NC, et al. J. Invest. Dermatol. (2013) pmid: 23190892
- 253. Walker GJ, et al. Int. J. Cancer (1999) pmid: 10389768
- **254.** Rutter JL, et al. Oncogene (2003) pmid: 12853981
- **255.** Whelan AJ, et al. N Engl J Med (1995) pmid: 7666917
- **256.** Adv Exp Med Biol (2010) pmid: 20687502
- **257.** Hogg D, et al. J Cutan Med Surg (1998) pmid: 9479083
- 258. De Unamuno B, et al. Melanoma Res (2018) pmid: 29543703
- **259.** Soura E, et al. J Am Acad Dermatol (2016) pmid: 26892650
- 260. Huerta C, et al. Acta Derm Venereol (2018) pmid: 29405243
- 261. Kaufman DK, et al. Neurology (1993) pmid: 8414022
- 262. Bahuau M, et al. Cancer Res (1998) pmid: 9622062263. Chan AK, et al. Clin Neuropathol () pmid: 28699883