



Assignment of bachelor's thesis

Title: Haskell Dynamic Tracing
Student: Ondřej Kvapil
Supervisor: Ing. Filip Křikava, Ph.D.
Study program: Informatics
Branch / specialization: Computer Science
Department: Department of Theoretical Computer Science
Validity: until the end of summer semester 2020/2021

Instructions

Lazy evaluation is a strategy that delays expression evaluation until its value is needed. This allows one to avoid unnecessary computation and use of infinite data structures. Recently, Goel and Vitek looked into the use of laziness in R [1], which is one of the most widely used lazy programming languages. They found little evidence supporting that programmers use laziness to save on computation or use infinite data structures. It would be interesting to compare this to the use of laziness in Haskell. For this, we need a way to trace the execution of real-world Haskell programs. The goal of this thesis is, therefore, to design and implement a dynamic tracing framework for Haskell. It shall be scalable in order to allow us to analyze a large corpus of Haskell code available on GitHub. The dynamic tracer should capture all interesting events such function call and argument order evaluation and present them in an easy to be queried form.

–

[1] DOI: 10.1145/3360579



**FACULTY
OF INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY
CTU IN PRAGUE**

Bachelor's thesis

Haskell Dynamic Tracing

Ondřej Kvapil

Programming Research Laboratory
Supervisor: Ing. Filip Křikava, Ph.D.

April 20, 2021

Acknowledgements

THANKS (remove entirely in case you do not wish to thank anyone)

Declaration

I hereby declare that the presented thesis is my own work and that I have cited all sources of information in accordance with the Guideline for adhering to ethical principles when elaborating an academic final thesis.

I acknowledge that my thesis is subject to the rights and obligations stipulated by the Act No. 121/2000 Coll., the Copyright Act, as amended. In accordance with Article 46 (6) of the Act, I hereby grant a nonexclusive authorization (license) to utilize this thesis, including any and all computer programs incorporated therein or attached thereto and all corresponding documentation (hereinafter collectively referred to as the “Work”), to any and all persons that wish to utilize the Work. Such persons are entitled to use the Work in any way (including for-profit purposes) that does not detract from its value. This authorization is not limited in terms of time, location and quantity. However, all persons that makes use of the above license shall be obliged to grant a license at least in the same scope as defined above with respect to each and every work that is created (wholly or in part) based on the Work, by modifying the Work, by combining the Work with another work, by including the Work in a collection of works or by adapting the Work (including translation), and at the same time make available the source code of such work at least in a way and scope that are comparable to the way and scope in which the source code of the Work is made available.

In Prague on April 20, 2021

.....

Czech Technical University in Prague
Faculty of Information Technology
© 2021 Ondřej Kvapil. All rights reserved.

This thesis is school work as defined by Copyright Act of the Czech Republic. It has been submitted at Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Information Technology. The thesis is protected by the Copyright Act and its usage without author's permission is prohibited (with exceptions defined by the Copyright Act).

Citation of this thesis

Kvapil, Ondřej. *Haskell Dynamic Tracing*. Bachelor's thesis. Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Information Technology, 2021.

Abstrakt

V několika větách shrňte obsah a přínos této práce v českém jazyce.

Klíčová slova Replace with comma-separated list of keywords in Czech.

Abstract

Summarize the contents and contribution of your work in a few sentences in English language.

Keywords Replace with comma-separated list of keywords in English.

Contents

Introduction	1
1 State-of-the-art	3
1.1 Existing solutions for thunk management	4
1.1.1 Hoed	4
1.1.2 nothunks	5
1.1.3 Hat	5
1.1.4 htrace	5
1.1.5 ghc-heap-view	6
1.2 Existing profilers	6
1.2.1 Haskell Program Coverage	7
1.3 The Glasgow Haskell Compiler	7
1.3.1 Compiler plugins	8
2 Analysis and design	9
2.1 Analysis	9
2.1.1 The problem	9
2.1.2 The GHCi approach	10
2.1.2.1 GHCi: a primer	10
2.1.2.2 The life of an interpreted expression	11
2.1.2.3 Bytecode generation	12
2.1.2.4 The bytecode interpreter	12
2.1.2.5 The debugger	12
2.1.2.6 The user interface	13
2.1.3 The compiler plugin approach	13
2.1.3.1 Rewriting argument references	13
3 Realisation	15
3.1 Development environment	15
3.2 Building GHC	15

Conclusion	17
Bibliography	19
A Acronyms	21
B Contents of enclosed CD	23

List of Figures

Introduction

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

This is the second paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

And after the second paragraph follows the third paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

State-of-the-art

Although there are many practical functional languages in the ML family (F#, OCaml, SML), Haskell is the only non-strict language among them. Its most popular compiler[citation needed], the Glasgow Haskell Compiler (GHC), implements Haskell's non-strict features by lazy evaluation facilitated mainly by a runtime data structure called a *thunk*, which represents delayed computations.

[[rewrite the following (repetitive use of “although”, laziness is only an implementation technique)]]

Although necessary for non-strictness as required by the Haskell spec[citation needed], laziness leads to many issues with runtime behaviour of Haskell programs. The accumulation of thunks at runtime is a frequent cause of pathological memory behaviour and unpredictable performance. There is a number of libraries and tools which aim to help the Haskell programmer inspect the runtime state of the Haskell heap, force the evaluation of thunks known to be forced by the program at a later point anyway, and avoid their creation altogether for certain expressions.

Among the surveyed approaches to the inspection and management of thunks were the following:

- Hoed
- nothunks
- Hat
- htrace
- ghc-heap-view

1.1 Existing solutions for thunk management

After this fourth paragraph, we start a new paragraph sequence. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

1.1.1 Hoed

Hoed[2] is a tracer and a debugger for Haskell. Unlike the built-in debugger of GHCi, Hoed is implemented as a regular Haskell library. Users of Hoed manually annotate functions of interest to make the tracer capture relevant information during execution. The annotations are simply calls to the provided debugging function `observe` with a signature similar to that of the `trace` function from the `Debug.Trace` module of Haskell’s standard library, hiding unsafe IO. `observe` has type `Observable a => Text -> a -> a`, its `Text` argument has to equal the name of the function being annotated. The `Observable` constraint on `a` is used by Hoed internally, the typeclass has a default implementation. The resulting trace of the debugging session is exposed via a web-based interface, to which the users connect with a regular web browser. Hoed’s traces include information about which functions have been called during the execution of the annotated program and what were their arguments. It only collects information about annotated functions.

Hoed features several tools to help users analyse problems with their code and find the culprits of test failures. One of these is *algorithmic debugging*, an interactive trace browser which uses an algorithm similar to binary search to locate the deepest incorrect function in the recorded call tree. It does so by asking the user questions about whether certain evaluations were correct, working its way gradually deeper into the tree. The “algorithmic debugger” ultimately reports the faults it located.

While Hoed’s approach to debugging is certainly interesting and quite far removed from the concept of debuggers in other languages, it lacks any kind of awareness of the low-level details of non-strictness. This is perhaps due to the fact that it was implemented at a time when it was generally believed that competing implementations of Haskell will emerge[citation needed]. Hoed is thus intended for use with property testers like QuickCheck[citation needed], and not as a tool for the identification and resolution of language implementation -dependent issues, such as memory leaks.

1.1.2 nothunks

nothunks is a recently released Haskell package which helps in writing thunk-free code. It defines a new typeclass, **NoThunks**, along with instances for common Haskell types. Any type with a **NoThunks** instance can be inspected for unexpected thunks. The library also implements a number of alternatives to common functions from the prelude. These reimplementations check for unexpected thunks introduced during execution, throwing an exception whenever a thunk is detected.

The exceptions of **nothunks** contain helpful information about the context of the thunk which the library function detected, guiding the programmer in locating the unexpectedly lazy code or data structure. The library also allows various relaxations to the strictness of its inspection policy, such as the **OnlyCheckWhnf** and **AllowThunk newtypes**. Thanks to GHC Generics[citation needed], **nothunks** also offers the convenient **deriving (Generic, NoThunks)** syntax to add instances of the necessary typeclasses for custom data structures automatically.

1.1.3 Hat

The Haskell Tracer Hat[4] is a source-level tracer. It works by compiling Haskell source files to annotated – but still textual – Haskell source files. After this source-to-source translation, the user compiles the annotated source code and runs it to produce a Hat trace.

The trace is a rich recording which contains high-level information about each reduction the program performed. Hat comes with a number of utilities for exploring the trace files, including some forms of forward and backward debugging, filtering utilities which show all arguments passed to top-level functions, virtual stack traces, and even an interactive tool for locating errors in a program, similar to one of the features of Hoed.

[[Rewrite comment into text, scratch the paragraphs below]]

The architectural decisions of Hat reflect the environment it originated in, which unfortunately differs substantially from the current status quo. Its source-to-source model of operation makes it compatible with various Haskell compilers,

The Glasgow Haskell Compiler is the most widely used Haskell compiler [citation needed] with many language extensions beyond Haskell 2010. In 2009, GHC became the official compiler of the Haskell Platform[3], further cementing its monopoly as the primary implementation of the language.

Hat uses the **haskell-src-externs** package to parse the source language.

1.1.4 htrace

htrace [citation needed] is a simple package which exports a single function: `htrace :: String -> a -> a`. As the name and function signature

suggest, this function mirrors the behaviour of the standard `trace`, except that when displaying the tracing messages, `htrace` shows them hierarchically indented based on the current call depth. It works simply by manipulating a global mutable variable and hiding this fact from the user with `unsafePerformIO`.

Although very simple and oblivious to any laziness implementation details, this approach is still useful for debugging purposes. The indented tracing messages suggest the depth to which various thunks are evaluated at different points of the program's operation.

1.1.5 `ghc-heap-view`

`ghc-heap-view` is a Haskell package which makes advanced introspection of the Haskell heap a possibility from within pure Haskell code. It relies on the `ghc-heap` library which comes bundled with GHC.

The library's notable high-level features include a function which attempts to recreate readable Haskell source code from a runtime value, using `let` bindings to express sharing. There are also tree and graph data structures for heap mapping and a high-level algebraic data type for all Haskell closures, complete with their info tables.

[[To-do]]:

- explain trade-offs with those that need code changes (typeclass-based)
- explain problems with approaches independent of GHC

Despite Haskell users' considerable interest in avoiding the implicit delaying of computations which the language is notorious for, there are no records of a large-scale study of the use of laziness in practice akin to [8]. The tool with a feature set closest to what is necessary for a comprehensive analysis of the practical use of laziness is likely `ghc-heap-view`, which allows the user to interactively inspect the heap objects and look inside thunks using `GHCi`. However, the package primarily provides a rich library interface. It does not implement a tracing mode, which would facilitate collection of laziness-relevant information during the execution of entire programs.

1.2 Existing profilers

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language.

There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

1.2.1 Haskell Program Coverage

Haskell Program Coverage[7] is (unsurprisingly) a code coverage tool for Haskell. Similarly to Hat, HPC has a source-to-source mode of operation but additionally offers tight integration with GHC and comes bundled with modern releases of the compiler. It supports all GHC language extensions.

HPC allows easy instrumentation of arbitrarily complex Haskell programs without source annotations. It wraps subexpressions in the program with an unsafe side-effecting function which records its evaluation by mutating a module-wide array of integer counters. The final state of the per-module arrays forms the HPC trace. This architecture is wired into the GHC compiler pipeline in all the major data structures (the surface syntax, Core language, and STG), which makes it both robust and performant. The tool comes bundled with utilities for displaying the original source code with colourful mark-up, highlighting interesting subexpressions based on the information extracted from the trace. Notably, HPC supports traces of the boolean values of pattern guards, which are added to the visualisation.

HPC's feature set can be of tremendous help to the Haskell programmer, especially when combined with tools like QuickCheck[6]. However, its traces are tuned specifically for code coverage and do not contain enough information to be useful for any kind of dynamic strictness analysis. While the HPC traces are sufficiently granular, the subexpression counters lack necessary information about their execution context and timing.

1.3 The Glasgow Haskell Compiler

[[Explain that several previous approaches had a direct influence on the compiler. GHC now has HPC-specific features, compiler plugins, which supersede source-to-source transformations (strengthening the monopoly but simplifying implementation and streamlining the process), and a codebase that's increasingly amenable to various extensions via the trees that grow pattern, Tickish, etc.]] This is the second paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

1.3.1 Compiler plugins

And after the second paragraph follows the third paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Analysis and design

[[what is analysis?]] After this fourth paragraph, we start a new paragraph sequence. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

2.1 Analysis

- the core question: is laziness worth the hassle?
- original approach by modifying GHCi
- reuse the implementation of breakpoints, effectively implementing fairly clean hooks (bearing a slight resemblance to how the R tracer works)
- build on Tickish

2.1.1 The problem

[[really? “The problem”?]]

The non-strict semantics of the Haskell language were a guiding principle which influenced or directly determined many of the decisions made at its inception[9]. However, the implementation of non-strict features via laziness in GHC brings many pitfalls which Haskell programmers need to deal with. Automatic avoidance of unnecessary thunk allocations is conservative[citation

needed]: if GHC is unable to prove the strictness of a function in an argument by static strictness analysis, the function will remain lazy, often leading to pathological memory behaviour at runtime.

A simple and popular method of dealing with undesired laziness is the language extension `BangPatterns`**[citation needed]**[cit. both for the popularity and for the extension itself]. `BangPatterns` introduce a new syntax for forcing an expression to WHNF when pattern-matching on it**[clarification needed]**.

[[the following paragraph is a plan on what to write]] explain that bang patterns are often only added after something goes wrong and the compiler doesn't help with choosing where to place them (or indeed with any memory "leaks" at all). Haskell keeps making things lazy and the developer keeps running into various bugs due to laziness, in a kind of whack-a-mole game (although that isn't exactly right, fixing one memory leak doesn't introduce another). Note also that Idris, a language inspired by Haskell, chose purity but not laziness.

This fight against the semantics is detrimental to the developer experience of the language. The question arises whether the benefits of laziness outweigh the toll it takes on the programmer. To answer this question, the runtime behaviour of lazy features needs to be understood. As a first step towards that understanding, we **[[how do I refer to myself?]]** design a dynamic tracing tool capable of capturing information about the runtime behaviour of non-strict functions.

2.1.2 The GHCi approach

Taking inspiration from [8], the original implementation plan was to work with GHC interpreter (GHCi). The bytecode compiler and interpreter lack support for certain GHC language extensions, namely unboxed tuples and sums, but the supported subset of the language was considered large enough to contain interesting examples**[[maybe "specimens"?]]**. The relative simplicity of the bytecode compilation pipeline and the fairly straightforward evaluator were considered to provide a foundation amenable to low-level tweaks deemed necessary for the extraction of crucial tracing information.

The framework of the interpreter would ease the implementation of certain features. GHCi already implements breakpoints, which pause the execution of a Haskell program running in a separate thread and pass messages to the controlling Haskell thread.

2.1.2.1 GHCi: a primer

GHCi is an interactive interface built on GHC's bytecode compilation pipeline and the bytecode interpreter of the RTS. GHCi offers a read-eval-print loop popular in other programming languages.

GHCi consists of several key components: the GHCi UI, the GHCi debugger, the bytecode generator, and the bytecode interpreter. The former two are a part of the front end of GHC, while the bytecode-centric parts fit into the back end of the compiler pipeline and the RTS, respectively.

The following sections will introduce each of the building blocks from which GHCi is composed, starting with an overview of how they fit together.

2.1.2.2 The life of an interpreted expression

The Haskell programmer invokes GHCi either via its dedicated executable or by passing the `--interactive` command-line flag to GHC. The user's expression entered at the prompt is fed through a modified GHC pipeline, as GHCi expects Haskell expressions, not top-level definitions. This modified pipeline culminates in bytecode generation, producing a collection of bytecode objects together with high-level information about breakpoints, pointers to allocated string literals, and other data.

The bytecode objects form, together with other information, a compiled module`[[is this correct? don't modules exist right after renaming?]]`. That module is loaded by the compiler instance and `[[uhhh...]]`

When evaluating an expression, the UI forks a new thread to perform evaluation independently of the interface. This ensures that exceptions raised during evaluation of an expression don't crash GHCi. The UI forwards exception handlers appropriately to ensure this is the case. The two threads communicate via *mutable variables*, or `MVars`. These are concurrency primitives from the `Control.Concurrent.MVar` module which effectively implement concurrent, mutable `Maybes`[10]. A mutable variable of type `MVar a` contains either no values or a single value of type `a`. It can be safely shared across threads and supports operations `takeMVar` and `putMVar`. The former operation extracts the value stored in an `MVar`, leaving the variable empty if a value is present. If the variable is empty, the operation blocks. The converse`[[is this the right word?]]` operation `putMVar` blocks on a full variable and fills it with a value as soon as it is empty.

Two `MVars` play an important role in the design of GHCi, `statusMVar` and `breakMVar`. These variables form a communication channel between the UI thread and the thread responsible for the evaluation of an expression.

`[[either reuse for the UI section or get rid of this]]` They are greeted with the interpreter's UI`[[is it necessary to include this in the acronyms?]]` and can begin writing Haskell expressions directly or first invoking various GHCi commands to load modules, print types, kinds, and documentation, browse the contents of modules and perform other tasks.

2.1.2.3 Bytecode generation

The bytecode facilities of GHC involve a detour from the typical sequence of steps performed to transform Haskell sources all the way to a form suitable for linking or execution. After desugaring, the program is transformed directly into bytecode instructions¹. Optimisations implemented in the simplifier are not performed. GHCi is intended for interactive evaluation and favours fast, iterative development over runtime performance, making the naive code generation approach a reasonable choice.

Every top-level definition, every scrutinee of a `case` expression, and every right-hand side of a non-trivial `let` expression are compiled to a ByteCode Object (BCO). Such an object contains an array of bytecode instructions together with **[[finish this]]**

The bytecode format comprises 67 instructions **[[describe the instructions in a table]]** **[[stack/heap checks prevent (uh, or maybe react to?) respective overflows]]**

2.1.2.4 The bytecode interpreter

The interpreter which GHCi relies on is a part of the RTS. Its primary workhorse is the `interpretBCO` function which handles closure evaluation, unboxed returns, function application, and interpretation of bytecode instructions. For tasks it is unable to deal with, such as application of machine-code functions, it returns to the scheduler.

Interpretation works simply by case analysis on the current instruction.

2.1.2.5 The debugger

A notable feature of the tool is the GHCi debugger, which allows the programmer to place breakpoints on certain expressions in their code. The interpreter then pauses execution when it is about to evaluate an expression marked by a breakpoint.

Due to laziness, the order in which breakpoints are hit depends on the order in which their respective thunks are forced to WHNF, not directly on the order in which functions are called. Breakpoints thus equip the Haskell programmer with a powerful tool for debugging order of evaluation issues caused by the language's non-strict semantics.

Internally, breakpoints rely on a special bytecode instruction called `BRK_FUN`. Upon encountering this instruction, the interpreter first checks whether it is already returning from a breakpoint (via a flag in the TSO). If it is not returning from a breakpoint and the associated breakpoint is enabled, the interpreter pauses execution at this point.

¹Note that this approach will soon be replaced by a new bytecode pipeline which follows the usual compilation process all the way to STG**[citation needed]**.

Pausing on a breakpoint is quite an involved action. The interpreter prepares to call an “IO action,” which is a Haskell function invoked to resume GHCi’s UI thread by filling the shared mutable variable. This preparation saves the top stack frame to a new closure, a pointer to which is passed to the IO action. The stack is then set up to call the IO action, and the interpreter returns to the scheduler in order to perform the call.

At no point is the instruction pointer persisted – the progress of evaluation of the current BCO is lost whenever the interpreter stops at a breakpoint. This is acceptable, as the bytecode generator makes sure to only put `BRK_FUN` instructions at the very start of bytecode objects and the TSO flag ensures that a just-visited breakpoint is not stopped at again.

2.1.2.6 The user interface

...

2.1.3 The compiler plugin approach

The second approach to dynamic tracing, which we [\[?\]](#) eventually settled on, involves a relatively recently introduced feature of GHC: source plugins[\[11\]](#). Compiler source plugins are Haskell packages which invoke the GHC API [\[same as UI, I suppose?\]](#) to hook into the compiler pipeline and modify the compiled program at various stages of the front-end. Unlike Core plugins, which operate on the internal language, source plugins deal with the entirety of Haskell’s surface syntax.

To produce useful tracing output, a dynamic tracing framework must capture interesting events during a program’s evaluation and relate them to one another. In particular, the evaluation of function arguments must be clearly related to the respective function call to enable reasoning about the strictness of a function on a case-by-case basis. While retaining the order of evaluation is trivial in a call-by-value language, laziness introduces interleaving. This can only be dealt with by the introduction of state into the program (or into the tracing framework) in order to recover the dependencies between function calls and argument evaluations, which are no longer implicit in the order of the trace events.

It is this function-call-specific state that becomes difficult to express without high-level information about the program structure at hand, as was the case with the previous approach.

2.1.3.1 Rewriting argument references

Fortunately, function-call-specific state can be easily introduced into the source program, simply as local variables. It suffices to keep a unique identifier of the particular function call that the argument evaluation traces can refer to. Such a unique identifier necessarily needs to change with every function call.

2. ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

In clean Haskell code without unsafe features, this is impossible in general, as the language requires the use of the `IO` monad in order to perform side-effecting computations.

to-dos:

- describe the general idea of the source plugin
- explain the use of SYB

Realisation

...

3.1 Development environment

...

3.2 Building GHC

The GHC codebase is a large and complicated collection of source files written in a number of programming languages, primarily Haskell and C[5]. The ever-evolving project is supported by a custom build system called Hadrian[citation needed], itself written in Haskell, which bootstraps the self-hosting compiler in several steps. To build GHC, an appropriate version of GHC has to be installed already. The installed compiler is referred to as the **stage 0** compiler [[fix the formatting of stages]]. Hadrian uses the **stage 0** compiler to build first the Hadrian build system and with it the **stage 1** compiler, which is a freshly built GHC linked against the **stage 0 base** library. The **stage 1** compiler is subsequently used to build the core libraries from scratch. It is then utilised again to build the **stage 2** compiler, which is linked against the freshly built **base**. The **stage 2** compiler constitutes a complete build of GHC from source code. There is an optional follow-up step, where the **stage 2** compiler builds a **stage 3** compiler, which is useful for profiling GHC while building GHC.

The first step to working on the project after obtaining the source code is setting up the build system. Since specific releases of GHC require specific **stage 0** compilers as the project quickly adapts to use new language extensions, the management of GHC versions on a Unix-like system with a system-wide package manager can be difficult. To ease the management of installed versions and enable quick switching between them, the `ghcup` tool[1]

has been developed. **[[this will need some more citations]]** `ghcup` lets the GHC developer quickly install and switch between the releases of not only GHC itself, but also Cabal, the Haskell build system and dependency manager, and the Haskell Language Server (HLS), an LSP-compliant language server providing Haskell-specific editor integration features.

There are several supported approaches to building GHC, as the compiler previously used a build system based on GNU Make (before switching to Hadrian) and the old Make build system is still being phased out. Additionally, the build tool of the programmer's choice can be combined with a Docker or Nix -assisted set-up, simplifying the installation of other dependencies required for the build process.

[[how do we say “let’s not pick Make tho?”]] **[[ways and flavours!]]**

After the initial build, the **stage 1** compiler can be *frozen* by passing a flag to the build system on subsequent invocations. This prevents rebuilding the **stage 1** compiler every time a source file changes, which speeds up the edit-compile-run cycle tremendously.

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

This is the second paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

And after the second paragraph follows the third paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Conclusion

After this fourth paragraph, we start a new paragraph sequence. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like “Huardest gefburn”? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Bibliography

- [1] ghcup - the haskell (ghc) toolchain installer.
- [2] Github - maartenfaddegon/hoed: Hoed - a lightweight haskell tracer and debugger.
- [3] Haskell platform.
- [4] The haskell tracer hat.
- [5] Amy Brown and Greg Wilson. volume II, chapter The Glasgow Haskell Compiler.
- [6] Koen Claessen and John Hughes. Quickcheck: a lightweight tool for random testing of haskell programs. *Acm sigplan notices*, 46(4):53–64, 2011.
- [7] Andy Gill and Colin Runciman. Haskell program coverage. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN workshop on Haskell workshop*, pages 1–12, 2007.
- [8] Aviral Goel and Jan Vitek. On the design, implementation, and use of laziness in r. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 3(OOPSLA), October 2019.
- [9] Paul Hudak, John Hughes, Simon Peyton Jones, and Philip Wadler. A history of haskell: being lazy with class. In *Proceedings of the third ACM SIGPLAN conference on History of programming languages*, pages 12–1, 2007.
- [10] Simon Peyton Jones, Andrew Gordon, and Sigbjorn Finne. Concurrent haskell. In *POPL*, volume 96, pages 295–308, 1996.
- [11] Matthew Pickering, Nicolas Wu, and Boldizsár Németh. Working with source plugins. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGPLAN International Symposium on Haskell*, pages 85–97, 2019.

Acronyms

[[fix acronym glossary]]

Contents of enclosed CD

[[figure out what to do about this]]

```
| readme.txt ..... the file with CD contents description
|_ exe ..... the directory with executables
|_ src ..... the directory of source codes
|   |_ wbdcm ..... implementation sources
|   |_ thesis ..... the directory of LATEX source codes of the thesis
|_ text ..... the thesis text directory
|   |_ thesis.pdf ..... the thesis text in PDF format
|   |_ thesis.ps ..... the thesis text in PS format
```