

DATE: 27 10 2019

Logistic Regression in Bayes theorem Pov:

D - given data constraints.

H - Hypothesis.

Bayes theorem

$$P(H|D) = \frac{P(D|H) \cdot P(H)}{P(D)}$$

$P(H)$ - prior probability.

$P(D|H)$ - Likelihood.

$P(H|D)$ - posterior probability.

posterior probability \propto likelihood \times prior prob.

$P(D)$ is something challenging to compute

$P(\bar{H}|D)$ = probability of H not happening given D.

$$\therefore \frac{P(H|D)}{P(\bar{H}|D)} = \frac{P(D|H) \cdot P(H)}{P(D|\bar{H}) \cdot P(\bar{H})}$$

DATE: 27 10 2019

Sum of posterior odds

$$P(H|D) + P(\bar{H}|D) = 1$$

the same can be written as

$$P(H_1|D) + P(\bar{H}_1|D) = 1$$

where H_1 is one of the hypothesis

$$\bar{H}_1 = H_2 + H_3 + \dots + H_k$$

$$\therefore P(H_1|D) + P(H_2|D) + P(H_3|D) \dots P(H_k|D) = 1$$

$$\frac{P(H|D)}{P(\bar{H}|D)} = \text{odds} = o(H|D)$$

intuition: if odds = 10 \Rightarrow 10 times likely
for our H to be success compared to
 \bar{H} being a failure.

can we explain odds ratio?

By machine learning?

when $P(H|D) \rightarrow 0$, $o(H|D) = 0$

when $P(H|D) \rightarrow 1$, $o(H|D) = \infty$

DATE: 27 10 2019

so applying log transformation
on both sides.

$$\log \left[\frac{P(H|D)}{P(\bar{H}|D)} \right] = \log \left[\frac{P(D|H)}{P(D|\bar{H})} \right] + \log \left[\frac{P(H)}{P(\bar{H})} \right]$$

$$\log(o(H|D)) = \log \left(\frac{P(D|H)}{P(D|\bar{H})} \right) + \log(o(H))$$

$\log(o(H)) = \log$ of odds of prior

It doesn't depend on Data (D or X)

$\therefore \log(o(H))$ can be learned as β_0

$$\log \left[\frac{P(D|H)}{P(D|\bar{H})} \right] = \log \text{ of likelihood ratio.}$$

which can be approximated

as a function of D = βD .

$$\therefore \log(o(H|D)) = \beta D + \beta_0$$

taking exponent on both sides

$$o(H|D) = \exp(\beta D + \beta_0)$$

DATE: 27 10 2019

$$\frac{p(H|D)}{[1-p(H|D)]} = \exp(\beta D + \beta_0)$$

$$\frac{p(H|D)}{[1-p(H|D)]} = \exp(\beta D + \beta_0)$$

$$p(H|D) = \exp(\beta D + \beta_0) - p(H|D) \exp(\beta D + \beta_0)$$

$$p(H|D) + p(H|D) \exp(\beta D + \beta_0) = \exp(\beta D + \beta_0)$$

$$p(H|D) = \frac{\exp(\beta D + \beta_0)}{1 + \exp(\beta D + \beta_0)}$$

this is analogous to the below

$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)}$$

$$\frac{p(x)}{1-p(x)} = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)$$