

## proof by contradiction.

### Greedy Algorithm for scheduling.

say 2 jobs  $i, j$  with priority/weights  $w_i, w_j$  and time taken  $t_i$  &  $t_j$  respectively. so based on Greedy algorithm, we define a ratio  $w/t$  for every job. Higher the ratio, higher/earlier the job will be executed.

say  $w_i/t_i > w_j/t_j$  so job  $i$  would be executed first.

first.

$$\frac{w_i}{t_i} > \frac{w_j}{t_j} \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

(we call it  $\sigma^*$  - Algo soln).

now let's arbitrarily say a solution with  $j$  being executed first and  $i$  later is what we now call optimal or  $\sigma^*$ . If we prove  $\sigma^*$  is not optimal, then we can say Algo soln -  $\sigma$  was better, and no better solution is available.

so by switching  $j$  &  $i$ .

the benefit is for job  $i$ .

$$\text{Benefit} = w_j \times t_i$$

cost is for job  $j$

$$\text{cost} = w_i \times t_j$$

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \frac{w_i}{t_i} > \frac{w_j}{t_j} \Rightarrow$$

$$w_i t_j > w_j t_i$$

$$\text{cost} > \text{Benefit}$$

so the soln  $\sigma^*$  has more cost than Benefit so we contradict  $\sigma^*$ , and conclude that  $\sigma$  is the better solution.

QED.