Vinaya Class Questions Series 'B'

https://vinaya-class.github.io

LAST UPDATED ON 8th May 2023

CONTENTS

B. Introduction	1
1.B. Killing and Harming	3
2.B. Stealing	7
3.B. Sexual Conduct	9
4.B. Lustful Conduct	11
5.B. Women 1	13
6.B. Attainments	15
7.B. False Speech	17
8.B. Robes 1	19
9.B. Kiccavaṭṭa	21
10.B. Misc 1	23
11.B. Food 1	25
12.B. Food 2	27
13.B. Money	29
14.B. Arguments 1	31
15.B. Arguments 2	33
16.B. Arguments 3	35
17.B. Dwellings	37
18.B. Bowls	39
19.B. Women 2	41
20.B. Misc 2	43
21.B. Sekhiyas 1	45
22.B. Excuses	47
23.B. Sekhiyas 2	49
24.B. Robes 2	51
25.B. Misc 3	53

A bhikkhu is afflicted with sleepwalking, community members have seen him walk about at night, while he doesn't remember it in the morning. This bhikkhu is disgruntled with another bhikkhu, they have frequent clashes and arguments. One morning, the other bhikkhu is found dead in his kuti in a pool of blood, with a stab wound on his chest. A knife which matches the size of the wound is found in the kuti of the bhikkhu known for sleepwalking, his robes have tears from a struggle and blood stains. Community members have seen him walk about at the previous night, but he doesn't remember anything.

Is the bhikkhu pārājika?

(a) Yes (b) No

Solution: Can he be considered insane while sleepwalking? Psychosis is described as an acute or chronic mental state marked by loss of contact with reality, disorganized speech and behaviour, and often by hallucinations or delusions.

Did he act with the intention to kill? A normally self-controlled person, under the effects of drugs or alcohol, can also act aggressively and violently. In most jurisdictions, intoxication is not a defense to a charge of murder, as the law generally holds individuals responsible for their actions even if they were under the influence of drugs or alcohol at the time.

The situation has known legal precedent:

Homicidal Somnambulism: A Case Report (researchgate.net)

BMC on the definition of being insane:

"The Commentary defines as insane anyone who "goes about in an unseemly way, with deranged perceptions, having cast away all sense of shame and compunction, not knowing whether he has transgressed major or minor training rules." It recognizes this as a medical condition, which it blames on the bile. A bhikkhu under the influence of a severe psychosis-inducing drug would apparently fall under this exemption, but one under the influence of a more common intoxicant would not. As for spirit possession, the Commentary says that this can happen either when spirits frighten one or when, by distracting one with sensory images, they insert their hands into one's heart by way of one's mouth (!). Whatever the cause, it notes that insane and possessed bhikkhus are exempt from penalties they incur only when their perceptions are deranged ("when their mindfulness is entirely forgotten and they don't know what fire, gold, excrement, and sandalwood are") and not from any they incur during their lucid moments. As for a bhikkhu delirious with pain, he is exempt from penalties he incurs only during periods when the pain is so great that he does not know what he is doing."

2.

An elderly relative of a bhikkhu falls into a comatose state and is taken to the hospital. On previous occasions he used to speak against his life being extended by life-support equipment. In the hospital, the doctor informs the bhikkhu that there is not much chance of recovery, and asks the bhikkhu whether they should turn off the life-support. He replies, "Turn if off. That seems to be what he wanted in such a situation". The doctor turns off the equipment and the person dies shortly thereafter.

Is the bhikkhu pārājika?

(a) Yes (b) No

Solution: Discontinuing treatment does not cut off the life faculty, hence the factor of effort is not fulfilled.

better as dead."

3. A bhikkhu is talking to himself in his kuti, "How could that evil man X steal from the Sangha. He would be

Can such indirect statements qualify as commands or recommendations under Pr 3?

(a) Yes (b) No

Solution: "O, if only so-and-so were murdered." According to the Vibhanga, this statement incurs a *dukkaṭa* regardless of whether it is made in public or private, but does not fulfil effort under *Pr 3*.

4. A bhikkhu is sweeping off insects from the porch while lay visitors are standing nearby. He drops a hint, "It might be a good idea to get rid of these ant colonies."

Can such indirect statements qualify as commands or recommendations under Pc 61?

(tel) Yes (b) No

Solution: There is no room for *kappiya-vohāra* in *Pc 61*. Whatever one says in hopes of inciting someone else to kill an animal would fulfil the factor of effort.

Pc 61 thus differs from Pr 3, under which commanding covers only clear imperatives.

5.

A bhikkhu is cleaning up on the monastery grounds after a festival. A paper plate with leftover food is swarming with ants, he picks it up and throws it all in a rubbish bag, knowing that with no way out, the ants will undoubtedly die in the bag.

Did the bhikkhu commit an offense?

- (a) Yes, because he acts intentionally.
- (b) No, because he is not directly aiming at killing them.
- (c) Yes, because intentionally or unintentionally taking the life of any living being is immoral.
- (d) No, because his intention is to clean up.

6.

A bhikkhu is attacked by an aggressive dog.

- (a) He hits it on the head with a stick to ward it off, and the dog retreats with bleeding wounds. Later, the owner complains to the community that the dog died. Did the bhikkhu commit an offense?
 - (A) thullaccaya (B) pācittiya (C) dukkaṭa (♥) no offenses

Solution: If an action that results in an animal's death is motivated by a purpose other than causing death, it is not an offense.

- (b) When the bhikkhu is walking again in the same area, another enraged dog attacks him, bites his leg and holds on. The bhikkhu grabs a stone and keeps hitting the animal until it drops dead. Are there offenses?
 - (A) thullaccaya (B) pācittiya (C) dukkata (D) no offenses

Solution: He might not be aiming to kill it with the first blow, but if the dog doesn't let go, he is probably scared enough to hit it until it dies.

′	•
	Data basis to be often at the the total consent the biss of the manner of the biss of the biss of the manner of the biss of the manner of the biss
	Rats begin to be attracted to the trash around the bins at the monastery.

- (a) A lay manager buys some traps and kills several of them. He asks the work monk if he should continue, who raises and eyebrow and shrugs, but says nothing. The manager is encouraged by the lack of criticism and continues exterminating the rats. Are there offenses?
 - (A) thullaccaya (B) pācittiya (C) dukkaṭa (D) no offenses

Solution: If the non-committed shrug is a form of *kappiya-vohāra* (hoping to encourage the manager to kill the rats), this is not permitted under Pc 61 and the offense is pācittiya.

No offenses if the bhikkhu was expressing his doubt. The community may provide clear instructions for the lay manager on what is and is not a proper course of action for him.

- (b) The bhikkhus tell the lay manager to stop putting out traps, and instead, get a cat from a farm. The cat is very effective: it leaves dead rats, birds, lizards, etc. on the porch. Are there offenses?
 - (A) thullaccaya (B) pācittiya (C) dukkaṭa (D) no offenses

Solution: Whatever one says in the hope that an animal will die is *kappiya-vohāra*. The instruction to get the cat is not an instruction to kill, but has the anticipated result that it will kill the rats.

A bhikkhu sees a shiny new phone sitting on a bench in a park. He assumes that it has been left behind by its owner and perceives it as ownerless. Without making any effort to find the owner, he puts the phone in his yarm with the intention of keeping it for himself. The owner returns to the bench a few minutes later, looking for their phone. The bhikkhu pretends he hasn't seen anything. The owner becomes distressed as he keeps searching the area around the bench. After a few minutes, the bhikkhu tosses the phone on the bench and scolds him, "Here, that should teach you a lesson, be more mindful next time."

Did the bhikkhu commit an offense?

- (a) Pācittiya, because he deceived the owner.
- (b) Thullaccaya, because he returned the item.
- (v) Pārājika, because he knows it was not abandoned, and intends to keep it.
- (d) No offenses, because the owner has already left when the bhikkhu found the phone.

Solution: Object: Taking any object that belongs to someone else and is guarded, protected, claimed, or possessed by them is considered stealing. A phone is usually valuable enough to qualify for pārājika. The bhikkhu knows the phone must belong to somebody, and the owner retains a sense of ownership of it.

Perception: He perceives it as not given, and not abandoned.

Intention: He intends to keep it, not to borrow it or take it on trust.

Effort: He puts it in his yarm.

At this point the factors for pārājika are fulfilled.

2.

How does perception play a role in stealing? Mark all correct answers.

- (a) Stealing is always an offense regardless of one's perceptions, which may be unreliable.
- (b) If a bhikkhu believes that the object is ownerless or thrown away, taking it is not an offense.
- (c) If a bhikkhu takes māla-beads which were hanging from a Stupa, there is no offense.
- (x) If a bhikkhu takes an object thinking that the owner will not mind, but he is later displeased, there is no offense if he returns the item.

3.

A bhikkhu on *tudong* stops under the shade of some eucalyptus trees. He boils some water for a drink with a camping stove. The stove falls over, ignites the dry leaves and twigs on the ground, and the eucalyptus plantation starts to burn. The owner expects compensation from the Sangha for the damage caused by the bhikkhu.

Did the bhikkhu commit an offense? Mark all correct answers.

- (a) Yes, the bhikkhu committed a pārājika offense, because burning is a form of taking what is not given.
- (b) Yes, the bhikkhu committed a *dukkaṭa* offense, because he caused damage to someone else's property.
- (c) No, because the bhikkhu did not intend to cause the fire.
- (d) No, if the compensation is paid.

2.B. STEALING 8

4.

A bhikkhu is on alms-round, standing at the market place. A lay person walks up to him, glances at the bhikkhu and puts a bag of fruit on the ground next to him, then walks off without a word. The bhikkhu knows the fruit is not formally offered, but places them in his yarm and eats them later.

Are there offenses?

(a) pārājika

(b) thullaccaya

(c) pācittiya

(d) dukkața

(no offenses

Solution: The fruit is clearly intended and given to the bhikkhu, although not in the usual manner.

5.

Mark the following items as either L (lahubhanda) or G (garubhanda).

- (a) L A lacquered ornamental water bowl for blessings.
- (b) <u>G</u> A garden-shed on the monastery land.
- (c) G A motorized wheel-barrow.
- (d) <u>G</u> A plastic chair.
- (e) <u>L</u> An office computer.
- (f) G An electric golf-cart.
- (g) L An arctic-rated sleeping bag.
- (h) <u>G</u> A tree on the monastery land.
- (i) G A stack of wooden beams for construction.
- (j) L A silk robe for the abbot.

6.

One of the bhikkhus has left for a time, visiting another monastery. He locked his kuṭi and left the key in a safe place, but accessible to the community. Another bhikkhu wants to use the iPad tablet of the bhikkhu who is away. He reasons to himself "I can take it on trust, he won't mind, we live in the same monastery after all", although he hasn't spoken much to him in the recent months apart from routine greetings. He gets the key to his kuṭi and takes the iPad. While walking back to his kuṭi, he trips up on a branch and drops the iPad, which breaks. When the other bhikkhu returns he finds out and is upset about someone accessing the iPad without asking him.

Has the bhikkhu who took the iPad committed an offense? Mark all correct answers.

- (a) Yes, the bhikkhu committed a $p\bar{a}r\bar{a}jika$ offense, because the knew the object is valuable and took it without permission to take it on trust.
- (b) Yes, the bhikkhu committed a *dukkaṭa* offense, because he caused damage to someone else's property.
- (c) No offenses, because the bhikkhu did not have any ill intentions or malice towards the owner, and the damage to the iPad was accidental.
- (d) No offenses, because the bhikkhu took the iPad on trust, with the intention of returning it.

· ·			nd has sex with a woman, but he		
can't remember whet	her he disrobed or not befor	e it happened.			
The lay friend who hosted the party realizes that the bhikkhu is distressed and informs his witness for disrobing before he took the woman to bed. The bhikkhu, having been dr remember a thing.					
Is the disrobing valid	?				
(a) Yes		(b) No			
	s consciously and knowingly k as to be considered insane	•	what intoxicated.		
	is thus captivated, she kisses	•	e sweets in his bowl, looks him in		
(a) pārājika	(b) saṅghādisesa	(c) thullaccaya	(d) no offenses		
Pārājika, if the bhikkl	on for not consenting or not hu's tongue enters her mouth quick kiss which he is not re	h.	e difficult to invoke here.		
3. A bhikkhu wakes up a	in bed from the excitement onses?	of a sexual dream during	an emission of semen.		
(A) pārājika	(D) saṅghādisesa	(C) thullaccaya	(D) no offenses		
Solution: Saṅghādi	sesa, if he makes any effort t	o continue causing an en	nission.		
(b) What are the next	steps he must follow accord	ing to Vinaya?			
(A) He wows to ne	ver consume any sugar ever	again.			
(P) Confess the off	ense to a bhikkhu sometime	before the next uposatha	a.		
(⊘) Find a bhikkhu	and confess the offense imp	mediately.			
-	re necessary other than restratession before the uposatha		he future. Even if he is incorrect		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	has an emission of semen.	eets. While lying in bed, h	ne gets completely wrapped up in		
(A) pārājika	(₽) saṅghādisesa	(⊘) thullaccaya	(D) no offenses		

3.B. SEXUAL CONDUCT 10

Solution: Saṅghādisesa, if he was aware that he is causing an emission. Thullaccaya otherwise.

- (b) What are the next steps he must follow according to Vinaya?
 - (A) He wows to never consume any sugar ever again.
 - (**2**) Confess the offense to a bhikkhu sometime before the next uposatha.
 - (2) Find a bhikkhu and confess the offense immediately.
 - (D) No next steps are necessary other than restraint and mindfulness in the future. Even if he is incorrect, the blanket confession before the uposatha will clear the offense.

5	. Mark the following statements as True or False under Sg 1 .
	(a) An effort motivated by a purpose other than causing an emission is a valid non-offense.
	(b) F Consent without physical effort is a valid non-offense.
	(c) F Three factors are required for an offense (result, intention, effort).
	(d) Physical effort made with one's eyes (e.g. staring) count as bodily effort. Solution: Ven. Udāyin's case of staring at the private parts of his ex-wife.
	(e)F Providing a semen sample for medical examination is not an offense.
	(f) Intention without effort and result is not an offense.
	(g)F Fantasizing while looking at sexual objects is not an offense.
	(h) A bhikkhu under the influence of intoxicants would not be exempt from penalties.
	(i)F The probation and penance process may be undertaken without an offense to purify one's mind.

(j) __F __ In reasonable cases the community may decide to skip the probation and penance process.

1. A bhikkhu is approached by a woman on alms-round. She puts bread and fruit in his alms-bowl, then clasps his hands, smiling warmly. Are there any offenses?				
(a) saṅghādisesa	(b) thullaccaya	(c) pācittiya	(d) dukkaṭa	(v) no offenses
everything about wh	ere the monastery is leave, but the wom	s, how the monks liv	e, and how to practice	him. She wants to know meditation. After a while ey arrive at the monastery
(a) saṅghādisesa	(b) thullaccaya	(🗷) pācittiya	(d) dukkaṭa	(v) no offenses
engage in teaching of Possible pācittiya un In the spirit of Ay 2 empty roads betwee	nder Pc 67 (Travellin and Pc 45, it is not			ne with a woman on the
sexually provocative the same advertisem Are there any offens	e poses. The bhikkhu nent. es?	ı closes the app. Late	er, he keeps opening a	displayed are women in nd closing it until he sees
(a) saṅghādisesa	(b) thullaccaya	(c) pācittiya	(🗷) dukkaṭa	(e) no offenses
Solution: Sg 2, touch	ing a doll with lustf	ul intention is a duk	kața offense.	
4. A bhikkhu download chatbot. He later ret (a) Did the bhikkhu	turns to the app and	keeps up the roman		arts erotic topics with the
(A) saṅghādisesa	(B) thullaccaya	(C) pācittiya	(≥) dukkaṭa	(E) no offenses
Notes: Replika A chatbot, earlier for (b) What if the app's	I: Your Money or Yo eaturing erotic rolep	our Wife (2013 Marc olay. Users wail and	lament as if they lost	lult filter on their popular
(≰) saṅghādisesa	(B) thullaccaya	(C) pācittiya	(D) dukkaṭa	(E) no offenses
Solution: The obje	ect now qualifies for	lewd speech under	Sg 3.	

5	A bhikkhu is working on a cutting a wooden board in the workshop. A visiting lay woman comes in for a tool, and while leaving, accidentally bumps into the bhikkhu, who drops the board, which breaks and splits. He is annoyed and curses in a muffled voice, 'F*** it!' Then she is annoyed, and talks back. When she leaves, he shakes his fist and shows her the finger. Are there any offenses?
	(a) saṅghādisesa (b) thullaccaya (c) pācittiya (d) dukkaṭa (e) no offenses
	Solution: Although statements which would involve Sg 3 does not have to involve a desire for sex, it also does not refer to statements made in anger, which come under Pc 2 (Insult).
6	Mark the following statements as True or False .
	(a) Complimenting a woman on her clothing or appearance without any lustful connotations is not an offense.
	(b) Helping a woman to get up from the ground by offering a supporting hand is not an offense.
	(c) F Sg 3 (lewd speech) only applies to women who are married.
	(d) <u>F</u> Telling a man that certain religions describe sexual intercourse as part of the spiritual journey is an offense under Sg 3.
	(e) <u>F</u> Insulting language used towards a woman is always a pācittiya offense.
	(f) Frivolous speech and unbecoming associations with lay people are grounds for censure or banishment.

A bhikkhu arrives at Phoenix (Arizona, USA) airport. A self-driving Waymo ride has been arranged to take him to Wat Pa Thai Buddhist temple. The car however gets into a junction it doesn't know how to handle, and pulls off to the side, waiting for a manual driver from Waymo. A woman arrives, gets into the car and drives the bhikkhu to his destination.

Are there any offenses?

(a) saṅghādisesa

(b) thullaccaya

(pācittiya

(d) dukkaţa

(e) no offenses

Solution: A car qualifies for Pc 44 (Private secluded place).

Pc 67 (Travelling by arrangement with a woman) is relevant, although in this case it was not by arrangement. Waymo expands its rider-only territories (2022, Dec)

"I took a Waymo in Phoenix the other night. Works like magic. I'm honestly fine waiting four times as long for one because it's fun, and it's cheaper. Even when they had to send out a manual driver, the experience was smooth and fast."

- 2. Among the following, mark all which are not part of the eight garudhammas.
 - (a) Any bhikkhunī must pay homage to any bhikkhu.
 - (b) A bhikkhunī must not spend the night in the same dwelling as a bhikkhu.
 - (c) Every half month a bhikkhunī should expect permission to (1) ask the date of the Pāṭimokkha recitation and (2) approach for instructions from the bhikkhus.
 - (1) A bhikkhunī must not invite the bhikkhus to give instructions at the bhikkhunī-vihāra.
 - (e) A bhikkhunī who has broken any of the *garudhammas* must undergo penance for half a month under both the bhikkhu and bhikkhunī communities.
 - (f) A woman may become ordained as a bhikkhunī only after observing the first six of the ten precepts without lapse for two full years.
 - (g) A bhikkhunī must not insult a bhikkhu with any of the ten akkosa-vatthu (defined in Pc 2).
 - (M) A bhikkhunī must accept an invitation by the bhikkhus to teach.

Solution: AN 8.51

On the uposatha day, four bhikkhus are staying at the monastery, but one of them is sick and cannot get up from his bed.

Mark all correct procedures for the uposatha.

- (a) They avoid all contact with the sick one to prevent infections. The other three meet and one recites the *pāṭimokkha*, since there are four bhikkhus in the monastery.
- (b) A bhikkhu visits the sick one for confessions and conveys his *pārisuddhi* and *chanda* to the gathering of three bhikkhus. After this, one of them recites the *pāṭimokkha*.
- (a) A bhikkhu visits the sick one for confessions and conveys his *pārisuddhi* and *chanda* to the gathering of three bhikkhus. After this, they do *pārisuddhi-uposatha*.
- (a) All the bhikkhus go to the sick one's kuti, and do sangha-kamma with pāṭimokkha recitation there.
- (v) They bring the sick bhikkhu on a bed to the uposatha-hall, and do saṅgha-kamma with pāṭimokkha recitation there.
- (*) They move the sick bhikkhu outside the monastery sīma (as previously determined, e.g. the property, local county area, etc.), and the three bhikkhus do *pārisuddhi-uposatha*.

Solution: "The Observance should not be carried out by an incomplete Order. Whoever should (so) carry it out, there is an offense of wrong-doing."

Uposathakkhandhaka Kd 2 PTS 1.101-1.136

Four bhikkhus are required for a *pāṭimokkha* recitation. If one bhikkhu is left out, the other three should do *pārisuddhi-uposatha*.

10.B. MISC 1

Kim nāmo si:

DISCUSSION

A bhikkhu makes arrangements for his residence for the Vassa at the house of three different lay supporters. He spends one month at each residence.

Is this a suitable arrangement for him?

Does this break his determination made at the beginning of the Vassa?

What would be the minimum procedure he should carry out at each residence?

A bhikkhu wishes to spend the Vassa outside in a tent, but still within the monastery sīma.

What would be required to make this a suitable Vassa residence for him?

Solution: During the Vassa one needs to be in an accommodation that has a door that can be 'opened and closed' (see BMC 2, chapter Rains-residence).

A tent doesn't fall under this definition, but if the bhikkhu is allocated an accommodation in the monastery with a proper door, which he has access to any time, he may spend the days and nights somewhere else, if it is still within the $s\bar{\imath}ma$.

The community may discuss the possible locations of the tent, in order for the bhikkhu not be disturbed by lay visitors or country-walkers passing by.

One may also determine a *sattaha* and go for a short tudong, camping outside the *sīma*, if the conditions are suitable.

20.B. MISC 2