

The Task of Text Classification by Naïve Bayes Algorithm

Positive or negative movie review?



- unbelievably disappointing



- Full of zany characters and richly applied satire, and some great plot twists



- this is the greatest screwball comedy ever filmed



- It was pathetic. The worst part about it was the boxing scenes.

Text Classification: definition

- *Input:*
 - a document d
 - a fixed set of classes $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_J\}$
- *Output:* a predicted class $c \in C$

Classification Methods: Supervised Machine Learning

- *Input:*
 - a document d
 - a fixed set of classes $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_J\}$
 - A training set of m hand-labeled documents $(d_1, c_1), \dots, (d_m, c_m)$
- *Output:*
 - a learned classifier $\gamma: d \rightarrow c$

Classification Methods:

Supervised Machine Learning

- Any kind of classifier
 - Naïve Bayes
 - Logistic regression
 - Support-vector machines
 - k-Nearest Neighbors
- ...

Naïve Bayes Intuition

- Simple (“naïve”) classification method based on Bayes rule
- Relies on very simple representation of document
 - Bag of words

The bag of words representation

Y (

I love this movie! It's sweet, but with satirical humor. The dialogue is great and the adventure scenes are fun... It manages to be whimsical and romantic while laughing at the conventions of the fairy tale genre. I would recommend it to just about anyone. I've seen it several times, and I'm always happy to see it again whenever I have a friend who hasn't seen it yet.

) = C



The bag of words representation

Y (

I **love** this movie! It's **sweet**, but with **satirical** humor. The dialogue is **great** and the adventure scenes are **fun**... It manages to be **whimsical** and **romantic** while **laughing** at the conventions of the fairy tale genre. I would **recommend** it to just about anyone. I've seen it **several** times, and I'm always **happy** to see it **again** whenever I have a friend who hasn't seen it yet.

) = C



The bag of words representation: using a subset of words

Y (

```
x love xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx sweet
xxxxxxxx satirical xxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxx great xxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx fun xxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx whimsical xxxx
romantic xxxx laughing
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx recommend xxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xx several xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxx happy xxxxxxxxxxxx again
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
```

)

= C





The bag of words representation

Y (

great	2
love	2
recommend	1
laugh	1
happy	1
...	...

) = C

The diagram illustrates the bag of words representation. A large 'Y' is followed by an opening parenthesis '('. To the right of this is a table with two columns. The first column lists words: 'great', 'love', 'recommend', 'laugh', 'happy', and an ellipsis '...'. The second column lists their counts: '2', '2', '1', '1', '1', and an ellipsis '...'. To the right of the table is a closing parenthesis ')', followed by an equals sign '=', and then a large 'C'. Below the equals sign and 'C' are two icons: a thumbs up and a thumbs down.

Bayes' Rule Applied to Documents and Classes

- For a document d and a class c

$$P(c | d) = \frac{P(d | c)P(c)}{P(d)}$$

Naïve Bayes Classifier (I)

$$c_{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{c \in C} P(c | d)$$

MAP is “maximum a posteriori” = most likely class

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{c \in C} \frac{P(d | c)P(c)}{P(d)}$$

Bayes Rule

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{c \in C} P(d | c)P(c)$$

Dropping the denominator

Naïve Bayes Classifier (II)

$$c_{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{c \in C} P(d \mid c)P(c)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{c \in C} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid c)P(c)$$

Document d
represented as
features
x1..xn

Multinomial Naïve Bayes Independence Assumptions

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid c)$$

- **Bag of Words assumption:** Assume position doesn't matter
- **Conditional Independence:** Assume the feature probabilities $P(x_i \mid c_j)$ are independent given the class c .

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid c) = P(x_1 \mid c) \bullet P(x_2 \mid c) \bullet P(x_3 \mid c) \bullet \dots \bullet P(x_n \mid c)$$

Multinomial Naïve Bayes Classifier

$$c_{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{c \in C} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid c) P(c)$$

$$c_{NB} = \operatorname{argmax}_{c \in C} P(c_j) \prod_{x \in X} P(x \mid c)$$

Applying Multinomial Naive Bayes Classifiers to Text Classification

positions \leftarrow all word positions in test document

$$c_{NB} = \operatorname{argmax}_{c_j \in C} P(c_j) \prod_{i \in \text{positions}} P(x_i | c_j)$$

Learning the Multinomial Naïve Bayes Model

- First attempt: maximum likelihood estimates
 - simply use the frequencies in the data

$$\hat{P}(c_j) = \frac{\text{doccount}(C = c_j)}{N_{\text{doc}}}$$

$$\hat{P}(w_i | c_j) = \frac{\text{count}(w_i, c_j)}{\sum_{w \in V} \text{count}(w, c_j)}$$

For the document prior $P(c)$ we ask what percentage of the documents in our training set are in each class c . Let N_c be the number of documents in our training data with class c and N_{doc} be the total number of documents.

Parameter estimation

$$\hat{P}(w_i | c_j) = \frac{\text{count}(w_i, c_j)}{\sum_{w \in V} \text{count}(w, c_j)}$$

fraction of times word w_i appears
among all words in documents of topic c_j

- Create mega-document for topic j by concatenating all docs in this topic
 - Use frequency of w in mega-document

Problem with Maximum Likelihood

- What if we have seen no training documents with the word ***fantastic*** and classified in the topic **positive** (***thumbs-up***)?

$$\hat{P}(\text{"fantastic"} \mid \text{positive}) = \frac{\text{count}(\text{"fantastic"}, \text{positive})}{\sum_{w \in V} \text{count}(w, \text{positive})} = 0$$

- Zero probabilities cannot be conditioned away, no matter the other evidence!

$$c_{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_c \hat{P}(c) \prod_i \hat{P}(x_i \mid c)$$

Laplace (add-1) smoothing for Naïve Bayes

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{P}(w_i | c) &= \frac{\text{count}(w_i, c) + 1}{\sum_{w \in V} (\text{count}(w, c) + 1)} \\ &= \frac{\text{count}(w_i, c) + 1}{\left(\sum_{w \in V} \text{count}(w, c) \right) + |V|}\end{aligned}$$

Multinomial Naïve Bayes: Learning

- From training corpus, extract *Vocabulary*

- Calculate $P(c_j)$ terms

- For each c_j in C do

$docs_j \leftarrow$ all docs with class = c_j

$$P(c_j) \leftarrow \frac{|docs_j|}{|\text{total \# documents}|}$$

- Calculate $P(w_k | c_j)$ terms

- $Text_j \leftarrow$ single doc containing all $docs_j$

- For each word w_k in *Vocabulary*

$n_k \leftarrow$ # of occurrences of w_k in $Text_j$

$$P(w_k | c_j) \leftarrow \frac{n_k + \alpha}{n + \alpha |Vocabulary|}$$

$$\hat{P}(c) = \frac{N_c}{N}$$

$$\hat{P}(w | c) = \frac{\text{count}(w, c) + 1}{\text{count}(c) + |V|}$$

	Doc	Words	Class
Training	1	Chinese Beijing Chinese	c
	2	Chinese Chinese Shanghai	c
	3	Chinese Macao	c
	4	Tokyo Japan Chinese	j
Test	5	Chinese Chinese Chinese Tokyo Japan	?

Priors:

$$P(c) = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$P(j) = \frac{1}{4}$$

Choosing a class:

$$P(c | d_5) \propto \frac{3}{4} * \left(\frac{3}{7}\right)^3 * \frac{1}{14} * \frac{1}{14} \approx 0.0003$$

Conditional Probabilities:

$$P(\text{Chinese} | c) = \frac{5+1}{8+6} = \frac{6}{14} = \frac{3}{7}$$

$$P(\text{Tokyo} | c) = \frac{0+1}{8+6} = \frac{1}{14}$$

$$P(\text{Japan} | c) = \frac{0+1}{8+6} = \frac{1}{14}$$

$$P(\text{Chinese} | j) = \frac{1+1}{3+6} = \frac{2}{9}$$

$$P(\text{Tokyo} | j) = \frac{1+1}{3+6} = \frac{2}{9}$$

$$P(\text{Japan} | j) = \frac{1+1}{3+6} = \frac{2}{9}$$

$$P(j | d_5) \propto \frac{1}{4} * \left(\frac{2}{9}\right)^3 * \frac{2}{9} * \frac{2}{9} \approx 0.0001$$