

Seminar Review

– anonymous –

Names of seminar authors:

Title of reviewed seminar:

Please read carefully!

- Review should be created based on the submitted seminar only. Please do not use any additional sources (like reading the original papers, Wikipedia, etc.)
 - Review can be given in full sentences as well as bullet points
 - Be fair and specific in your reviews
 - Make (always!) constructive suggestions for improvements (e.g. *“xxx is not good because yyy. You can improve that by zzz”*)
 - Please keep to the specifications regarding the length of the reviews in each category (numbers in brackets are guidelines for amount of independent(!) comments)
 - Submit the review as .pdf to av-seminar-projekt@dfki.de (keep the deadline in mind)
 - Discuss/show the reviews with/to your supervisor before submitting
 - A reasonable quality of your review is mandatory for successfully passing the seminar
-

Topic

Is the topic of the seminar introduced adequately? Are all the (main) challenges of this topic treated?
(3-6)

Original papers

Is the content of the original papers communicated in an understandable way? Is too much/less information on the original papers contained in the seminar?

(5-10)

Comparison

Are the presented approaches compared appropriately? Are all the challenges of the topic included into the comparison?

(3-6)

Seminar structure

Is the structure appropriate? Are the sections comprehensible? Are the headlines adequate?
(2-5)

Seminar style

Is the seminar formatted according to the guidelines? Do the images have the right size? Is the amount of white space appropriate?
(1-4)

Figures, Tables, Diagrams

Is the amount of figures, tables and diagrams appropriate? Do they contain the necessary information?
(1-4)

References

Are the important methods cited? Are the main claims of the paper verified with references? Is the amount of references appropriate?
(1-4)

Language

Is the seminar written in proper English? Which sentences should be reformulated?

(2-6)

Advantages

What are the main advantages of the seminar?

(3)

Disadvantages

What are the main disadvantages of the seminar?

(3)

Grade

Which grade would you give for the seminar in its current state?

1.0 (very good) to 4.0 (borderline) to 5.0 (failed)