

# Seminar Review

---

– anonymous –

Names of seminar authors:

Title of reviewed seminar:

---

## **Please read carefully!**

- Review should be created based on the submitted seminar only. Please do not use any additional sources (like reading the original papers, Wikipedia, etc.)
  - Review can be given in full sentences as well as bullet points
  - Be fair and specific in your reviews
  - Make (always!) constructive suggestions for improvements (e.g. *“xxx is not good because yyy. You can improve that by zzz”*)
  - Please keep to the specifications regarding the length of the reviews in each category (numbers in brackets are guidelines for amount of independent(!) comments)
  - Submit the review as .pdf to [av-seminar-projekt@dfki.de](mailto:av-seminar-projekt@dfki.de) (keep the deadline in mind)
  - Discuss/show the reviews with/to your supervisor before submitting
  - A reasonable quality of your review is mandatory for successfully passing the seminar
- 

## **Topic**

*Is the topic of the seminar introduced adequately? Are all the (main) challenges of this topic treated?*  
(3-6)

**Original papers**

*Is the content of the original papers communicated in an understandable way? Is too much/less information on the original papers contained in the seminar?*

(5-10)

---

**Comparison**

*Are the presented approaches compared appropriately? Are all the challenges of the topic included into the comparison?*

(3-6)

### **Seminar structure**

*Is the structure appropriate? Are the sections comprehensible? Are the headlines adequate?*  
(2-5)

---

### **Seminar style**

*Is the seminar formatted according to the guidelines? Do the images have the right size? Is the amount of white space appropriate?*  
(1-4)

---

### **Figures, Tables, Diagrams**

*Is the amount of figures, tables and diagrams appropriate? Do they contain the necessary information?*  
(1-4)

---

### **References**

*Are the important methods cited? Are the main claims of the paper verified with references? Is the amount of references appropriate?*  
(1-4)

### **Language**

*Is the seminar written in proper English? Which sentences should be reformulated?*

(2-6)

---

### **Advantages**

*What are the main advantages of the seminar?*

(3)

---

### **Disadvantages**

*What are the main disadvantages of the seminar?*

(3)

---

### **Grade**

*Which grade would you give for the seminar in its current state?*

*1.0 (very good) to 4.0 (borderline) to 5.0 (failed)*