Hello,
We thank you for submitting to the Beyond Fairness workshop and are releasing your reviews.
Beyond Fairness organizers
SUBMISSION: 21 TITLE: If saliency cropping is the answer, what is the question?
REVIEW 1
Overall evaluation
Overall evaluation SCORE: 3 (strong accept) TEXT: Summary:

This paper expands on recently noticed biases with the Twitter saliency cropping algorithm, showing ethnicity/race and gender biases, in addition pointing our the presence of the male gaze in saliency algorithms. It then complicates the notion of saliency, and provides an overview of different definitions and schools of development of saliency. The paper ends by challenging the nessecity of saliency cropping in appplications like Twitter.

Pros:

This paper is a thorough and contextual exmination of biases of saliency algorithms, and poses thoughful challenges to the notion of saliency itself.

- -Includes extensive examples and code
- -Detailed, multidiscislenary background and discussion
- -Evaluation of Twitter saliency algorithm on hundreds of cropping tests

Cons:

Other:

Have the authors considered which images/videos are labelled as potentially sensative content on Twitter? In my own experince I've noticed that media from or depecting Black people are disproportionately labelled as such.