Open Source Geospatial '05 – MUM3/EOGEO Conference Workshop Evaluation Results

OGC Workshop – Total = 15

How would you rate the course content:

	Absolutely Fabulous	It Rocked	Good	Fair	Poor
The workshop was well organized	0	5	10	0	0
The content and scope met my expectations	0	3	10	1	0
The workshop notes covered the subject adequately	0	9	6	0	0
Technical and equipment facilities	3	7	5	0	0

How would you rate the presenter:

	Absolutely Fabulous	It Rocked	Good	Fair	Poor
Preparedness for the course and knowledge regarding the course content	5	6	4	0	0
Ability to add value/information beyond the scope of the course	4	6	5	0	0

	Absolutely Fabulous	It Rocked	Goo d	Fair	Poor
How much of the information covered in this workshop was new to you?	0	7	4	2	2
	(100%)	(80%)	(60%)	(40%)	(20%)
Which term best describes your overall workshop experience?	0	8	7	0	0
	(Excellent)	(VeryGood	(Good)	(Fair)	(Poor)

What was the most important thing you gained from this workshop?

WFS = 3
WMS use with MapServer and publishing = 1
Will be able to set up and serve OGC web services = 1
SLD, I wasn't aware of the capabilities = 1

Configuration, web client, OGC, WMS = 1 WMS and WFS is easy = 1

What suggestions do you have for improving this workshop?

Too much time spent on WMS = 1
Not enough time spent on WFS and WCS = 1
Too much theory = 1
Less ppt and more hands-on guided help = 1
Move the location closer to home base = 1
Ran out of time = 1

I was not as conversant with mapfile format as I needed to be for this course = 1 More clear exercise instructions = 1

There should be an easier way to see GetCapabilitie response, maybe a Mime handler or command line tool = 1

This is pretty dense material and needs to be made more concrete in terms of, what is it, why do I care, how does it work overview, how do I make it work for me. It was very hard to follow along with what Tom was doing, number the items and tell people which item/url/page, specifically the workshop index.html = 1