

2007 FREE AND OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR GEOSPATIAL (FOSS4G) CONFERENCE VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Co	urse Content:				
1.	The Workshop was	s well organized:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2.	The Workshop cor	ntent and scope me	t my expectation	s:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	•
3.	The Workshop not	es/handouts/slides	covered the sub	ject adequately:	
	Excellent	Good	fair	Poor	
4.	How much of the in	nformation covered	in this Workshop	was new to you	?
	100%	80%	60%	40%	(20%)
Нс	w would you r	ate the Worksi	op Instructo	r(s):	
5.	Preparedness for t	the course and know	wledge regarding	the course conte	ent:
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6.	Ability to add value	e/information beyon	d the scope of th	e course:	
	Excellent	(E000)	Fair	Poor	
Ο١	verall:				
7.	Which term best d	escribes your overa	ıll Workshop exp	erience?	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8.	How would you rat	te the number of pa	rticipants in this	Workshop?	
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9.	Technical and equ	ipment facilities:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
10		ost important thing	_		
	understein	ding of	limitation	ns of 1	lapperner,
		J 0			
					=
		<u>.</u>		<u> </u>	
11	What suggestion	ıs do you have for i	mprovina this W/	orkehon?	
	. What suggestion	is uo you nave ioi ii	inproving this vvc	rksnop:	Handords bath



VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:				
1. The Workshop was	well organized:			
Excellent	(Good	Fair	Poor	
2. The Workshop cont	tent and scope me	t my expectation	s:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
3. The Workshop note	es/handouts/slides	covered the sub	ject adequately:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor .	
4. How much of the in	formation covered	in this Worksho	o was new to you?	
100%	80%	60%	40%	20%
How would you ra	ate the Worksh	nop Instructo	or(s):	
5. Preparedness for th	ne course and know	wledge regarding	g the course content	:
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6. Ability to add value/	information beyon	d the scope of th	ne course:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall:				
7. Which term best de	scribes your overa	ıll Workshop exp	erience?	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8. How would you rate	the number of pa	rticipants in this	Workshop?	
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9. Technical and equip	pment facilities:			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
10. What was the mos	st important thing y	ou gained from	this Workshop?	
posi billiey	of Hope	eruer deve	loping and	CONVIENENCE
	··-		<u> </u>	
11. What suggestions	do you have for in	mproving this Wo	orkshop?	
give: a.	attention	about	the Using	pogram



VICTORIA CANADA 🍁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Co	urse Content:				
1.	The Workshop wa	s well organized:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2.	The Workshop co	ntent and scope me	et my expectation	ons:	
	Excellent	Good	(Fair	Poor	
3.	The Workshop no	tes/handouts/slides	covered the su	ıbject adequately:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
4.	How much of the i	information covered	in this Worksh	op was new to you?	
	100%	80%	60%	(40%)	20%
Но	w would you	rate the Worksl	hop Instruc	tor(s):	
5.	Preparedness for	the course and kno	wledge regardi	ng the course conter	nt:
	Excellent	(Good	Fair	Poor	
6.	Ability to add valu	e/information beyon	d the scope of	the course:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Ov	erall:				
7.	Which term best o	lescribes your over	all Workshop e	xperience?	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8.	How would you ra	te the number of pa	articipants in thi	s Workshop?	
	Perfect	Fair enough) Too high	Too low	
9.	Technical and equ	uipment facilities:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
10.	. What was the m	ost important thing	you gained from	n this Workshop?	1 1 1 1 1
	Current Sa	ndands sey	yport in	May Server	and some fips & ficks
	hit OGC 2en	wids.	·		
11.		ns do you have for i			us end clishwater services.



VICTORIA CANADA 🍁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:					
1. The Workshop wa					
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor		
2. The Workshop co					
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor		
3. The Workshop no					
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
4. How much of the	information covered	in this Workshop	was new to you?	?	
100%	80%)	60%	40%	20%	
How would you	rate the Worksh	op Instructor	(s):		
5. Preparedness for	the course and know	wledge regarding	the course conte	ent:	
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor		
6. Ability to add valu	e/information beyond	d the scope of the	course:		
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall:					
7. Which term best o	describes your overa	III Workshop expe	rience?		
Excellent	(Very Good)	Good	Fair	Poor	
8. How would you ra	te the number of par	rticipants in this V	/orkshop?		
Perfect	(Fair enough)	Too high	Too low		
9. Technical and equ	uipment facilities:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
10. What was the m	ost important thing y	ou gained from th	nis Workshop?		
HOW TO CONF	IL MAT A FILE	E TO ENABLI	5 MXZ 4	FINDING OUT	
ABOVY CET	STYLE REQUES	7 4 061	2 support	·-	
·					
11. What suggestion	ns do vou bave for in	morovina this Wor	kshon? aus	VĪ	
		p. 071119 11110 1701	kshop? とほく	DE-	
EAMOUER LIEW O	F WAS CHEN	/T< THAY C		+ ALTERS.	



VICTORIA CANADA 🗣 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Co	ourse Content	:						
1.	The Workshop wa	as well organized:						
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
2.	The Workshop co	ontent and scope m	net my expectation	ens:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
3.	The Workshop no	otes/handouts/slide	s covered the su	bject adequately:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
4.	How much of the	information covere	ed in this Worksh	op was new to you'	?			
	100%	80%	60%	(40%)	20%			
Н	ow would you	rate the Works	shop Instruct	or(s):				
5.	Preparedness for	the course and kn	owledge regardi	ng the course conte	ent:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
6.	Ability to add valu	ue/information beyo	ond the scope of	the course:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
0	verall:							
7.	Which term best	describes your ove	erall Workshop ex	perience?				
	Excellent	(Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor			
8.	How would you ra	ate the number of p	oarticipants in thi	s Workshop?				
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low				
9.	Technical and eq	uipment facilities:						
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
10). What was the n	nost important thin	g you gained fron	n this Workshop?				
	Overvien	18/ 15/1	SU		_			
_								
	· <u> </u>				<u> </u>			
1	I. What suggestio	ons, do you have fo	r improving this V	Vorkshop?	1 1-			
	tiscussin	, of where	majserve	s is god a	viff respect to			
_	OGC spacifications							



2007 FREE AND OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR GEOSPATIAL (FOSS4G) CONFERENCE VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

		Workshop-05	: MapServer	OGC Web Servic	es Workshop
Co	ourse Content:				
1.	The Workshop was v	vell organized:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2.	The Workshop conte	ent and scope me	t my expectatio	ns:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
3.	The Workshop notes	/handouts/slides	covered the su	bject adequately:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
4.	How much of the info	ormation covered	in this Worksho	op was new to you?	
	100%	80%	(60%)	40%	20%
Н	ow would you rat	te the Worksh	op Instruct	or(s):	
5.	Preparedness for the	e course and know	wledge regardir	ng the course conter	nt:
	Excellent	Good	(Fair)	Poor	
6.	Ability to add value/in	nformation beyon	d the scope of	the course:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
O	verall:				
7.	Which term best des	cribes your overa	II Workshop ex	perience?	
	Excellent	Very Good	(Good)	Fair	Poor
8.	How would you rate	the number of pa	rticipants in this	Workshop?	
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9.	Technical and equip	ment facilities:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
10). What was the most		-		
	Better underst	udin of 4	jus us c	ups	
		- 0 1			
				<u>.</u>	
11	I. What suggestions	-		-	
_	Digger touts	on \$ 500	en ap	a bit sla	wer.
_					



VICTORIA CANADA 🍁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Cour	se Content:						
1. The Workshop was well organized:							
	Excellent	Good (Fair	Poor			
2. Th	e Workshop conte	ent and scope met	my expectations:				
	Excellent	Good (Fair	Poor			
3. Th	e Workshop notes	s/handouts/slides c	overed the subject	t adequately:	,		
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor - No	Hundou t		
4. Ho	w much of the info	ormation covered in	n this Workshop w	as new to you?			
	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%		
			•				
How	would you rat	te the Worksho	op Instructor(s	s):			
5. Pr	eparedness for the	e course and know	ledge regarding th	e course content:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
6. Ab	ility to add value/i	nformation beyond	the scope of the o	course:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
Over	ali:						
7. W	nich term best des	cribes your overall	l Workshop experie	ence?			
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor		
8. Ho	w would you rate	the number of part	ticipants in this Wo	rkshop?			
	Perfect	Eair enough	Too high	Too low			
9. Te	chnical and equip	ment facilities:					
	Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor			
		t important thing yo	- ·	Workshop?			
	eariling a	bout W	<u>C 2</u>				
11. V	Vhat suggestions	do you have for im	proving this Works	shop?			
1 <u>, Sc</u>	ope No	<u>us 700 1</u>	ruch for	- Time S	lot-make this		
a	n all-d	ay WOVI	ISHOP.				
2 <u>a (</u>	Sumble w	orAshop o	n Witi	conneche	ed personal computers		
	by prov	iding a	attendees	with	lot-make this ed personal computers required software		
	ahead	of Him.	ę				
		-	- •				



2007 FREE AND OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR GEOSPATIAL (FOSS4G) CONFERENCE VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:							
1. The Workshop was well organized:							
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor				
2. The Workshop con	tent and scope met	my expectations:					
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
3. The Workshop note	es/handouts/slides c	overed the subjec	t adequately:				
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor				
4. How much of the in	formation covered in	n this Workshop w	as new to you?				
(100%)	80%	60%	40%	20%			
How would you ra	ate the Worksho	op Instructor(s):				
5. Preparedness for the	he course and know	ledge regarding th	ne course content:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
6. Ability to add value	/information beyond	the scope of the	course:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
Overall:							
7. Which term best de	escribe a your o verall	Workshop experi	ence?				
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor			
8. How would you rate	e the number of part	ticipants in this Wo	orkshop?				
Perfect	Fair enough) ^{Too high}	Too low				
9. Technical and equi	pment facilities:						
Excellent)	Good	Fair	Poor				
10. What was the mo	st important thing yo	ou gained from this	s Workshop?				
- links +	o web r	asource	s for fo	arther study			
							
		<u>.</u>					
11. What suggestions				0 0 1			
More map	05 - te	xt fil	95 + C	onfig files			
are hoca	ssary bu	ut I	want	to see how			
More maps - text files + config files are necessary but I want to see how they affect the map.							



VICTORIA CANADA 🍁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:				
1. The Workshop wa	s well organized:			
Excellent	Good	Eair	Poor	
2. The Workshop co	ntent and scope me	t my expectati	ons:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	•
3. The Workshop no	tes/handouts/slides	covered the s	ubject adequately:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
4. How much of the	information covered	in this Worksh	nop was new to you?	
100%	80%	60%	40%	20%
How would you	rate the Worksh	nop Instruc	tor(s):	
5. Preparedness for	the course and know	wledge regard	ing the course content	:
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6. Ability to add valu	e/information beyon	d the scope of	the course:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall:				
7. Which term best of	lescribes your overa	all Workshop e	xperience?	
Excellent	Very Good	(E000)	Fair	Poor
8. How would you ra	te the number of pa	rticipants in th	is Workshop?	
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9. Technical and equ	uipment facilities:			
Excellent	6009	Fair	Poor	
10. What was the m	ost important thing	you gained fro	m this Workshop?	
Clear defor	1 to gut a	OGC Spec	-S	
		'		
			·	
11. What suggestion	ns do you have for in	mproving this \	Workshop?	
Food the	training to	make	better use	of short (3hr)
worksing	Cornet S	remed a	6:4 Scotte	ved & unorganized
, , , , , , T	14 .			- y



VICTORIA CANADA 🗣 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

C	ourse Content:						
1.	1. The Workshop was well organized:						
	Excellent	Good	<u>Fair</u>	Poor			
2.	The Workshop conter	nt and scope met	my expectations:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	<u>Poor</u>			
3.	The Workshop notes/	handouts/slides c	overed the subjec	t adequately:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
4.	How much of the info	rmation covered in	n this Workshop w	as new to you?			
	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%		
H	ow would you rate	e the Worksho	op Instructor(s):			
5.	Preparedness for the	course and know	ledge regarding th	e course content:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
6.	Ability to add value/in	formation beyond	the scope of the o	course:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
	verall: Which term best desc	cribes vour overall	Workshop experi	ence?			
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor		
8.	How would you rate t	he number of part	icipants in this Wo	orkshop?			
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low			
9.	Technical and equipr	nent facilities:					
	<u>Excellent</u>	Good	Fair	Poor			
10). What was the most	important thing yo	ou gained from this	s Workshop?			
	ieneral knowl	Tuado appor	MapSenven	and how s	- enclosed integrate it		
	with occ sto	wands base	4 vieb-ser	vice 5			
				<u>_</u>			
	11. What suggestions do you have for improving this Workshop? well, the guy that was supposed to do The workshop wasn't Then						
ف	and the Editennificus weren't really much prepared, although						



VICTORIA CANADA 🝁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

a user point of weer

Course Content:								
1. The Workshop was well organized:								
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor					
2. The Workshop conte	ent and scope me	t my expectations:						
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor					
3. The Workshop notes	:/nandouts/slides	covered the subjec	t adequately:					
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor					
4. How much of the info	ormation covered	in this Workshop w	vas new to you?					
100%	80%	60%	40%	20%				
How would you rat	te the Worksh	nop Instructor(s):					
5. Preparedness for the	e course and knov	wledge regarding th	ne course content:					
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor					
6. Ability to add value/in	nformation beyon	d the scope of the	course:					
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor					
Overall:								
7. Which term best des	cribes your overa	ıll Workshop experi	ience?					
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor				
8. How would you rate	the number of pa	rticipants in this We	orkshop?					
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low					
9. Technical and equip	ment facilities:							
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor					
10. What was the mos	t important thing y	ou gained from thi	s Workshop?	0				
anuic a	2/pwz	de of	Wes	Jenos.				
& GAL impormation								
11. What suggestions	11. What suggestions do you have for improving this Workshop?							
	restati	ous of	uel	Semos (flis) with				
	,	<u> </u>	9	9 0				



VICTORIA CANADA 🍁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Co	ourse Content:							
1.	1. The Workshop was well organized:							
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
2.	The Workshop conte	nt and scope met	my expectations:					
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
3.	The Workshop notes/	/handouts/slides ¢	covered the subject	t adequately:				
	Excellent	Good	\Fair /	Poor .				
4.	How much of the info	rmation covered i	n this Workshop w	as new to you?				
	(100%)	80%	60%	40%	20%			
Н	ow would you rate	e the Worksh	op Instructor(s	s):				
5.	Preparedness for the	course and know	ledge regarding th	e course content:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
6.	Ability to add value/in	formation beyond	the scope of the o	course:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
0	verall:							
7.	Which term best desc	cribes your overal	l Workshop experi	ence?				
	Excellent	Very Good (Good	Fair	Poor			
8.	How would you rate t	the number of part	ticipants in this Wo	orkshop?				
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low				
9.	Technical and equipr	ment facilities:						
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
10). What was the most	important thing ye	ou gained from this	s Workshop?				
_	inhoduetran							
11	11. What suggestions do you have for improving this Workshop?							
_	the major	presenter	was miss	ing So	presenter on			
	P00. 15 (1	was miss	L good//s	- V			
	Number	WW WHILE	NE DID	J. Jan	" CONSINERING			



2007 FREE AND OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR GEOSPATIAL (FOSS4G) CONFERENCE VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

	`				
		Workshop-05:	MapServer	OGC Web Servi	ces Workshop
Cc	ourse Content:				
1.	The Workshop was	s well organized:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2.	The Workshop con	ntent and scope met	my expectation	ons:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
3.	The Workshop note	es/handouts/slides o	overed the su	ubject adequately:	
	Excellent	(G000)	Fair	Poor	
4.	How much of the in	nformation covered i	n this Worksh	op was new to you?	?
	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%
Нс	ow would you r	ate the Worksh	op Instruc	tor(s):	
5.	Preparedness for t	he course and know	rledge regardi	ing the course conte	ent:
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6.	Ability to add value	e/information beyond	I the scope of	the course:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Ο۱	verall:				
7.	Which term best de	escribes your overal	l Workshop e	xperience?	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8.	How would you rat	te the number of par	ticipants in th	is Workshop?	
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9.	Technical and equ	ipment facilities:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
10	. What was the mo	ost important thing y	ou gained fro	m this Workshop?	,
	Excellent	bia a	icture	nto.	w/ enough
	debuil	to gain	n an	idea	fo/
	Score	of esca	•		onfriend,
					-
11	. What suggestion	s do you have for in	norovina this \	Norkshop?	0.11
• •	Ha	ainte to	-	sources	for Lilks to
	downo			time.	1 Man
_	Marys	\ \ \	tu.5 -		



VICTORIA CANADA 🍁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:				
1. The Workshop was w	well organized:			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2. The Workshop conte	ent and scope met	t my expectations:		
(Excellent)	Good	Fair	Poor	
3. The Workshop notes	s/handouts/slides	covered the subje	ct adequately:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor .	
4. How much of the info	ormation covered	in this Workshop	was new to you?	
100%	(80%)	60%	40%	20%
How would you rat	te the Worksh	op Instructor	(s):	
5. Preparedness for the	e course and know	vledge regarding t	he course content:	
(Excellent)	Good	Fair	Poor	
6. Ability to add value/ii	nformation beyon	d the scope of the	course:	
(Excellent)	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall:				
Overall: 7. Which term best des	cribes your overa	ll Workshop expe	rience?	
	scribes your overa Very Good	ll Workshop expe Good	rience? Fair	Poor
7. Which term best des	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
7. Which term best des	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate	Very Good the number of pa Fair enough	Good rticipants in this W	Fair /orkshop?	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate Perfect	Very Good the number of pa Fair enough	Good rticipants in this W	Fair /orkshop?	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate Perfect 9. Technical and equip	Very Good the number of par Fair enough ment facilities: Good	Good rticipants in this W Too high Fair	Fair /orkshop? Too low Poor	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate Perfect 9. Technical and equip Excellent	Very Good the number of par Fair enough ment facilities: Good	Good rticipants in this W Too high Fair rou gained from th	Fair /orkshop? Too low Poor	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate Perfect 9. Technical and equip Excellent 10. What was the most	Very Good the number of particles Fair enough ment facilities: Good t important thing y	Good rticipants in this W Too high Fair rou gained from th	Fair /orkshop? Too low Poor nis Workshop?	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate Perfect 9. Technical and equip Excellent 10. What was the most	Very Good the number of particles Fair enough ment facilities: Good t important thing y	Good rticipants in this W Too high Fair rou gained from th	Fair /orkshop? Too low Poor nis Workshop?	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate Perfect 9. Technical and equip Excellent 10. What was the most	Very Good the number of particles Fair enough ment facilities: Good t important thing y	Good rticipants in this W Too high Fair rou gained from th	Fair /orkshop? Too low Poor	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate Perfect 9. Technical and equip Excellent 10. What was the mos How to hide Setting up	Very Good the number of particles: Good t important thing y maps les	Good rticipants in this W Too high Fair rou gained from the SECUES 1	Fair Torkshop? Too low Poor his Workshop?	Poor
7. Which term best des Excellent 8. How would you rate Perfect 9. Technical and equip Excellent 10. What was the most blow to hide	Very Good the number of par Fair enough ment facilities: Good t important thing y MAPSILES WMS CITE Some WFS	Good rticipants in this W Too high Fair rou gained from the Servers re nproving this Wor	Fair Yorkshop? Too low Poor his Workshop? working kshop?	Poor



VICTORIA CANADA 🛊 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

U	Juise Content.					
1.	The Workshop was	well organized:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
2.	The Workshop cont	ent and scope m	et my expectatio	ns:		
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
3.	The Workshop note	s/handouts/slides	covered the su	bject adequately:		
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
4.	How much of the inf	ormation covered	in this Worksho	op was new to you?	•	
	(100%)	80%	60%	40%	20%	
Н	ow would you ra	te the Works	hop Instruct	or(s):		
5.	Preparedness for th	e course and kno	wledge regardir	ng the course conte	ent:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
6.	Ability to add value/	information beyor	nd the scope of t	he course:		
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
٥١	verall:					
7.	Which term best des	scribes your over	all Workshop ex	perience?		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	
8.	How would you rate	the number of pa	articipants in this	Workshop?		
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low		
9.	Technical and equip	ment facilities:	\sim			
	Excellent	Good	(Fair)	Poor		
10). What was the mos	st important thing	you gained from	this Workshop?		
	Grounding	in various	OGC Sta	ndards		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	·					
11	. What suggestions	do you have for i	mproving this W	orkshop?		
	Have people	presenting	be familie	ar with sli	des.	
		<i></i>				
	 -					



VICTORIA CANADA 🝁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

_					
Co	ourse Content:				
1.	The Workshop was	well organized:			
	Excellent	2000	Fair	Poor	
2.	The Workshop cont	ent and scope m	et my expectatior	ns:	
	Excellent	Good	Fatt	Poor	
3.	The Workshop note	s/handouts/slides	s covered the sub	ject adequately:	
	Excellent	G008	Fair	Poor	
4.	How much of the inf	formation covered	d in this Worksho	p was new to you	?
	100%	89%	60%	40%	20%
Н	ow would you ra	te the Works	hop Instructo	or(s):	
5.	Preparedness for th	e course and kno	owledge regardin	g the course conte	ent:
	Excellent	8600P	Fair	Poor	
6.	Ability to add value/	information beyo	nd the scope of tl	ne course:	
	Excellent	G008	Fair	Poor	
	verall: Which term best de:	scribes your ovel	rall Workshop exp	perience?	
	Excellent	Very Good	G	Fair	Poor
8.	How would you rate	the number of p	articipants in this	Workshop?	
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9.	Technical and equip	ment facilities:			
	Excellent	8000	Fair	Poor	
10). What was the mos				
_	overview of	all the Ob	C webservice	es, and go	mend sense of how to
	use them /	confi in	MapServer	- '	
_	<u></u>				
11	What suggestions	do you have for	improving this W	orkshop?	



VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content	:			
1. The Workshop wa	as well organized:			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2. The Workshop co	ontent and scope me	t my expectatio	ns;	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
3. The Workshop no	otes/handouts/slides	covered the sub	oject adequately:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor .	
4. How much of the	information covered	in this Worksho	p was new to you'	?
100%	(80%)	60%	40%	20%
How would you	rate the Worksh	nop Instructo	or(s):	
5. Preparedness for		<u>-</u>	•	ent:
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6. Ability to add valu	ue/information beyon	d the scope of t	he course:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall:				
7. Which term best	describes your overa	ıll Workshop ex	perience?	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8. How would you ra	ate the number of pa	rticipants in this	Workshop?	
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9. Technical and eq	uipment facilities:			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
10. What was the m	nost important thing y	ou gained from	this Workshop?	
Knowl	ege of p	20551b1lit	ias a loc	ation of Samples /traini
	0 !			
11. What suggestio	ns do you have for ir	nproving this W	orkshop?	
	•	. 3	'	



VICTORIA CANADA 🝁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Co	ourse Content:					
1.	The Workshop was	well organized:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
2.	The Workshop con	tent and scope me	t my expectation	ns:		
	Excellent	G 000	Fair	Poor		
3.	The Workshop note	es/handouts/slides	covered the sul	oject adequately:		
	Excellent	<u>€000</u>	Fair	Poor		
4.	How much of the in	formation covered	in this Worksho	p was new to you?	•	
	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%	
Н	ow would you ra	ate the Worksh	op Instruct	or(s):		
5.	Preparedness for the	he course and know	wledge regardin	g the course conte	ent:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
6.	Ability to add value	/information beyon	d the scope of t	he course:		
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
0	verall:					
7.	Which term best de		·			
	Excellent	∀ery Good	Good	Fair	Poor	
8.	How would you rate		•			
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low		
9.	Technical and equi	ipment facilities:				
	Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor		
10). What was the mo			this Workshop?		
_	WCS. WM	C 3-4 22 PN	<u>-</u>			
_						
_		·		<u> </u>		
1.	What suggestion	s do you have for i	-			



VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

well organized:				
Good	Fair	Poor		
itent and scope n	net my expectation	ns:		
Good	Fair	Poor		
es/handouts/slide	es covered the sub	ject adequately:		
Good	Fair	Poor		
nformation covere	ed in this Worksho	p was new to you	?	
80%	60%	40%	20%	
ate the Work	shop Instructo	or(s):		
he course and kr	owledge regardin	g the course cont	ent:	
Good	Fair	Poor		
/information beyo	ond the scope of t	he course:	•	
Good	Fair	Poor		
escribes your ove	erall Workshop exp	perience?		
Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	
e the number of t	particinants in this	Workshop?		
c the number of	outhorparite in this	Tromonop.		
Fair enough	· ·	Too low		
	•	•		
Fair enough	•	•		
Fair enough ipment facilities:	Too high	Too low		
Fair enough ipment facilities:	Too high	Too low	(USOUTCES	<u>, </u>
Fair enough ipment facilities:	Too high	Too low Poor this Workshop?	(USOUTCES	·-
Fair enough ipment facilities:	Too high	Too low Poor this Workshop?	(USOUTCES	
	Good atent and scope in Good es/handouts/slide Good aformation covere 80% ate the Works the course and kn Good Vinformation beyout Good very Good	tent and scope met my expectation Good Fair es/handouts/slides covered the substitution covered in this Workshop 80% 60% ate the Workshop Instructor the course and knowledge regarding Good Fair Good Fair Good Fair Fair Fair escribes your overall Workshop expectation Good Good Fair	tent and scope met my expectations: Good Fair Poor es/handouts/slides covered the subject adequately: Good Fair Poor es/more and knowledge in this Workshop was new to you attent the Workshop Instructor(s): the course and knowledge regarding the course content of the course in the course of the course in the course of the course in the course in the course in the course in the course of the course in t	tent and scope met my expectations: Good Fair Poor es/handouts/slides covered the subject adequately: Good Fair Poor information covered in this Workshop was new to you? 80% 60% 40% 20% ate the Workshop Instructor(s): the course and knowledge regarding the course content: Good Fair Poor Vinformation beyond the scope of the course: Good Fair Poor Vinformation beyond the scope of the course: Good Fair Poor



2007 FREE AND OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR GEOSPATIAL (FOSS4G) CONFERENCE VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

I. ≥.	ourse Content: The Workshop was		: MapServer (OGC Web Service	ces Worksho
1. 2.	The Workshop was	•			
2.	Exection	•			
		Good			
		3000	Fair	Poor	
3.	The Workshop con	tent and scope me	t my expectation	is:	
3.	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
	The Workshop note	es/handouts/slides	covered the sub	ject adequately:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
4.	How much of the in	formation covered	in this Worksho	p was new to you?	1
	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%
Ho	w would you ra	ate the Worksl	nop Instructo	or(s):	
5.	Preparedness for the	ne course and kno	wledge regarding	g the course conte	nt:
	Exeellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6.	Ability to add value,	/information beyon	d the scope of th	ne course:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Οv	erall:				
7.	Which term best de	escribes your over	all Workshop exp	erience?	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8.	How would you rate	e the number of pa	rticipants in this	Workshop?	
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9.	Technical and equi	pment facilities:			
	Excellent	~G000	Fair	Poor	
10	. What was the mo	st important thing	you gained from	this Workshop?	
	Tras /Tra		le mapfel		Seg
	7 / 1 / 7		7		1
	-			<u> </u>	



VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Cont	ent:				
1. The Worksho	p was well organized:	:			
Excelle	nt Good	Fair	Poor		
2. The Worksho	p content and scope	met my expectatio	ns:		
Excelle	nt Good	Fair	Poor		
3. The Worksho	p notes/handouts/slid	es covered the su	bject adequately:		
Excelle	nt Good	Fair	Poor		
4. How much of	the information cover	ed in this Worksho	op was new to you?	?	
100%	80%	60%	40%	20%	
How would y	ou rate the Work	shop Instruct	or(s):		
5. Preparednes	s for the course and k	nowledge regardir	ig the course conte	ent:	
Excelle	nt Good	Fair	Poor		
6. Ability to add	value/information bey	ond the scope of t	he course:		
<excelle< td=""><td>nt Good</td><td>Fair</td><td>Poor</td><td></td><td></td></excelle<>	nt Good	Fair	Poor		
Overail: 7. Which term b	est describes yo <u>ur o</u> v	erall Workshop ex	perience?		
Excelle	nt Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	
8. How would y	ou rate the number of	participants in this	Workshop?		
Perfect	Eair-enough	Too high	Too low		
9. Technical an	d equipment facilities:				
Excelle	Good Good	Fair	Poor		
_	he most important thir		this Workshop?		
Users	agrirences	and Qs			
<u></u>		.			
					.
11. What sugg	estions do you have fo	or improving this W	orkshop?		



VICTORIA CANADA 🗭 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:				
1. The Workshop was	well organized:			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2. The Workshop cont	ent and scope met	my expectation	s:	
Excellen	Good	Fair	Poor	
3. The Workshop note	s/handouts/slides	covered the sub	ject adequately:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
4. How much of the inf	formation covered	in this Workshop	was new to you?	
100%	80%	60%	40%	20%
How would you ra	te the Worksh	op Instructo	or(s):	
5. Preparedness for th	e course and know	vledge regarding	the course content:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6. Ability to add value/	information beyond	d the scope of th	e course:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall:				
7. Which term best de	scribes your overal	ll Workshop exp	erience?	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
B. How would you rate	the number of par	ticipants in this	Workshop?	
Perfect (Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9. Technical and equip	oment facilities:			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
10. What was the mos	st important thing y	ou gained from	this Workshop?	INDEPENDENTLY
11. What suggestions	do you have for in	nproving this Wo	orkshop?	
- -				
·				



VICTORIA CANADA 🌞 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

		WORKSHOD-05	i. Mapserver C	JGC WED SELVE	ces workshop
Co	ourse Content:				
1.	The Workshop was v	well organized:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2.	The Workshop conte	ent and scope me	t my expectation	ns:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
3.	The Workshop notes	s/handouts/slides	covered the sub	ject adequately:	
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor .	
4.	How much of the infe	ormation covered	in this Worksho	p was new to you'	?
	100%	80%	60%	40%	(20%)
Н	ow would you ra	te the Works	hop Instructo	or(s):	
5.	Preparedness for the	e course and kno	wledge regardin	g the course conte	ent:
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6.	Ability to add value/i	nformation beyor	nd the scope of the	ne course:	
	Excellent	Good)	Fair	Poor	
O	verall:				
7.	Which term best des	scribes your over	all Workshop exp	perience?	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8.	How would you rate	the number of pa	articipants in this	Workshop?	
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9.	Technical and equip	\sim			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
). What was the mos	, .	you gained from	this Workshop?	
	SCD Defail	<u> </u>	 _		
_					
_	 .	· -		.	
11	What suggestions	do you have for i	mproving this W	orkshop?	
_				_	<u> </u>
_	<u> </u>				



VICTORIA CANADA ♥ SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content	i:			
1. The Workshop w	as well organized:			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
2. The Workshop co	ontent and scope m	et my expectatio	ns:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
3. The Workshop no	otes/handouts/slide	s covered the su	bject adequately:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
4. How much of the	information covere	d in this Worksho	op was new to you	1?
100%	(80%)	60%	40%	20%
How would you	rate the Works	shop Instruct	or(s):	
5. Preparedness for	r the course and kn	owledge regardir	ng the course cont	tent:
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6. Ability to add value	ue/information beyo	nd the scope of	the course:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	(-00p)	
Overall:				
7. Which term best	describes your ove	rall Workshop ex	perience?	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	(Fair)	Poor
8. How would you r	ate the number of p	articipants in this	s Workshop?	
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9. Technical and ed	quipment facilities:			Screen in front of room was hard to read
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	was hard to read
10. What was the r	nost important thing	you gained fron	n this Workshop?	
			-	
11. What suggestion	ons do you have for	improving this W	/orkshop?	
Tustruto	rs -Psneubli	1. Non- 180	wed more in	terrected in Marriage than Tom's
aldes than	A really concer	NW HAD	in atenta	I. They didn't soon are naved
grada - Const	D OXAMULDA	Bod & work	Un CXACA	el Difficulties with front
	- The plant	/ / , A	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	the the
Should have	been Wirl	ted out	1 NO VOIM	it-railed I van Using close
time. NA	-enough t	me for	- hards-o	terrected in planing thru Tom's l. They didn't seem prepared self Difficulties with triveton ce - rather than Using clan a exercises.



2007 FREE AND OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR GEOSPATIAL (FOSS4G) CONFERENCE VICTORIA CANADA ★ SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content	:			
1. The Workshop wa	as well organized:			
Excellent	Good	(Fair	Poor	
2. The Workshop co	ontent and scope met	my expectation	s:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
3. The Workshop no	otes/handouts/slides	covered the sub	ject adequately:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor .	
4. How much of the	information covered	in this Workshop	was new to you?	
100%	80%	60%	(40%)	20%
How would you	rate the Worksh	op Instructo	r(s):	
5. Preparedness for	the course and know	vledge regarding	the course conte	nt:
Excellent	Good	(Fair)	Poor	
6. Ability to add value	ue/information beyond	the scope of the	e course:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall:				
7. Which term best	describes your overa	ll Workshop exp	erience?	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
8. How would you ra	ate the number of par	ticipants in this	Workshop?	
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9. Technical and eq	uipment facilities:			
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor	
10. What was the n	nost important thing y	ou gained from	this Workshop?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	ns do you have for in			N
- Exerc	1583 BE	225-66 G	1KRAN1E	rev .
- IRON	OUT TEC	MNICA	LALITE	HES IN DEMOS
$\rho \rightarrow c \rightarrow c$	o Graci	•		



VICTORIA CANADA 🍁 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:					
1. The Workshop was	well organized:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
2. The Workshop conto	ent and scope met	my expectations	:		
Excellent	Good	(Fair)	Poor		
3. The Workshop notes	s/handouts/slides	covered the subje	ect adequately:		
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor		
4. How much of the inf	ormation covered i	in this Workshop	was new to you?		
100%	80%	60%	40%	20%	
				\bigcirc	
How would you ra	te the Worksh	op Instructor	r(s):		
5. Preparedness for the	e course and know	vledge regarding	the course conte	nt:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
6. Ability to add value/i	information beyond	the scope of the	course:		
(Excellent)	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall:					
7. Which term best des	scribes your overal	ll Workshop expe	rience?		
Excellent	Very Good	(Good)	Fair	Poor	
8. How would you rate	the number of par	ticipants in this V	Vorkshop?		
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low		
9. Technical and equip	ment facilities:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
10. What was the mos	st important thing y	ou gained from the	nis Workshop?		
					
11./What suggestions	do you have for in	nproving this Wor			+ 1
Vlayoe S	epanalem	h The	tonb 1	ogsed on	1 he
el pering	E MOU	10 be	good	Soitu	vould be
MOG AND	a ot:		\cup		



2007 FREE AND OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE FOR GEOSPATIAL (FOSS4G) CONFERENCE VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

		Workshop-05:	MapServer OG	C Web Service	es Workshop		
Со	urse Content:						
1.	The Workshop was	s well organized:					
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
2.	The Workshop cor	ntent and scope met i	my expectations:				
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
3.	The Workshop not	es/handouts/slides co	overed the subject	t adequately:			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
4.	How much of the in	nformation covered in	n this Workshop w	as new to you?			
	100%	80% (60%	40%	20%		
Но	w would you r	ate the Worksho	op Instructor(s	s):			
5.	Preparedness for t	he course and knowl	edge regarding th	e course content	t:	rit en	
	Excellent	Good	Fair ¹	Poor 1	ut they	were Thron the last	on in
6.	Ability to add value	e/information beyond	the scope of the c	course: 🔑	his at	the last	mome
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
Ov	erall:						
7.	Which term best de	escribes your overall	Workshop experie	ence?			
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor		
8.	How would you rat	te the number of part	icipants in this Wo	orkshop?			
	Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low			
9.	Technical and equ	ipment facilities:					
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
10	. What was the mo	ost important thing yo	ou gained from this	s Workshop?			
11	. What suggestion	s do you have for im	proving this Works	shop?			
			 .				



VICTORIA CANADA 🌞 SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:				
1. The Workshop was	well organized:			
Excellent	900d)	Fair	Poor	
2. The Workshop cont	ent and scope me	t my expectations	s:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
3. The Workshop note	s/handouts/slides	covered the subj	ect adequately:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
4. How much of the inf	formation covered	in this Workshop	was new to you?	
100%	(80%)	60%	40%	20%
How would you ra	te the Worksh	op Instructo	r(s):	
5. Preparedness for th	e course and know	wledge regarding	the course conten	t:
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
6. Ability to add value/	information beyon	d the scope of the	e course:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall:				
7. Which term best des	scribes your overa	ıll Workshop expe	erience?	
Excellent	(Very Good)	Good	Fair	Poor
8. How would you rate	the number of pa	rticipants in this \	Vorkshop?	
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low	
9. Technical and equip	oment facilities:			
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor	
10. What was the mos	st important thing	you gained from t	his Workshop?	
		· — · · · ·		
11. What suggestions	do you have for it	mproving this Wo	rkshop?	
		,		
				



VICTORIA CANADA ♣ SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:	1				
1. The Workshop wa	as well organized:	_			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
2. The Workshop co	ntent and scope met	my expectation	ons:		
Excellent	(ഒരും	Fair	Poor		
3. The Workshop no	tes/handouts/slides c	overed the su	ıbject adequately:		
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
4. How much of the	information covered in	n this Worksh	op was new to you?		
100%	80%	60%	40%	20%	
How would you	rate the Worksho	op Instruct	tor(s):		
5. Preparedness for		•		nt:	
Excellent	Good	(Fai)	Poor		
6. Ability to add valu	e/information bevond	the scope of	the course:		
Excellent	6000	Fair	Poor		
Overall:					
7. Which term best of	describes your overall	Workshop ex	kperience?		
Excellent	Very Good	Good	(Fair)	Poor	
8. How would you ra	ite the number of part	icipants in thi	s Workshop?		
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low		
9. Technical and equ	uipment facilities:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
10. What was the m	ost important thing yo	ou gained from	n this Workshop?		
			•		
				- 	
11. What suggestion	ns do you have for im	proving this V	Vorkshop?		



VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content	t:				
1. The Workshop	as well organized:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
2. The Workshop c	ontent and scope me	t my expectatior	ns:		
(Excellent)	Good	Fair	Poor		
3. The Workehop n	otes/handouts/slides	covered the sub	ject adequately:		
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor		
4. How much of the	information covered	in this Worksho	p was new to you?		
100%	80%	60%	40%	20%	
How would you	rate the Worksh	nop Instructo	or(s):		
5. Preparedness fo	r the co urse a nd know	wledge regardin	g the course conter	nt:	
Excellent	Good)	Fair	Poor		
6. Ability to add val	ue/information beyon	d the scope of t	he course:		
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall:					
7. Which term best	describes your overa	ıll Workshop ex	perience?		
· Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	
8. How would you r	rate the number of pa	rticipants in this	Workshop?		
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low		
9. Technical and ed	quipment facilities:				
Excellent	(Good)	Fair	Poor		
10. What was the r	most important thing	ou gained from	this Workshop?		
			,		
					- -
11. What suggestic	ons do you have for i	mproving this W	orkshop?		
11. What suggestion	ons do you have for i	mproving this W	orkshop?		
11. What suggestion	ons do you have for i	mproving this W	orkshop?		



VICTORIA CANADA * SEPTEMBER 24 TO 27, 2007

Course Content:					
1. The Workshop was	s well organized:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
2. The Workshop cor	ntent and scope met	my expectation	ons:		
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
3. The Workshop not	es/handouts/slides	covered the su	bject adequately:		
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
4. How much of the i	nformation covered	in this Worksh	op was new to you?		
100%	80%	60%	40%)	20%	
How would you r	ate the Worksh	op Instruci	tor(s):		
5. Preparedness for t	the course and know	/ledge regardi	ng the course conte	nt:	
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
6. Ability to add value	e/information beyond	the scope of	the course:		
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall:					
7. Which term best d	escribes your overa	Workshop ex	cperience?		
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	
8. How would you rat	te the number of par	ticipants in thi	s Workshop?		
Perfect	Fair enough	Too high	Too low		
9. Technical and equ	ipment facilities:				
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
10. What was the mo	ost important thing y	ou gained fron	n this Workshop?		
11. What suggestion	is do you have for in	nproving this V	Vorkshop?		