The underestimated power of KeyPaths

Vincent Pradeilles (<u>@v_pradeilles</u>) – Worldline 💶

KeyPaths?

KeyPaths

KeyPaths were introduced with Swift 4

They are a way to defer a call to the getter/setter of a property

```
let countKeyPath: KeyPath<String, Int> = \String.count // or \.count
let string = "Foo"
string[keyPath: countKeyPath] // 3
```

They perform the same job than a closure, but with less \$0 hanging around

Underestimated?

Let's look at some examples!

Data Manipulation

```
people.sorted(by: \.lastName)
    filter(\.isOverEighteen)
    map(\.lastName)
```

```
extension Sequence {
   func map<T>(_ attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>) -> [T] {
      return map { $0[keyPath: attribute] }
   }
}
```

```
extension Sequence {
    func map<T>(_ attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>) -> [T] {
        return map { $0[keyPath: attribute] }
    }
}
```

```
extension Sequence {
    func map<T>(_ attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>) -> [T] {
        return map { $0[keyPath: attribute] }
    }
}
```

```
extension Sequence {
    func map<T>(_ attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>) -> [T] {
        return map { $0[keyPath: attribute] }
    }
}
```

```
extension Sequence {
   func map<T>(_ attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>) -> [T] {
      return map { $0[keyPath: attribute] }
   }
}
```

people map(\ lastName)

```
extension Sequence {
   func sorted<T: Comparable>(by attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>) -> [Element] {
      return sorted(by: { (elm1, elm2) -> Bool in
            return elm1[keyPath: attribute] < elm2[keyPath: attribute]
      })
   }
}</pre>
```

```
extension Sequence {
   func sorted<T: Comparable>(by attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>) -> [Element] {
      return sorted(by: { (elm1, elm2) -> Bool in
            return elm1[keyPath: attribute] < elm2[keyPath: attribute]
      })
   }
}
people.sorted(by: \.age)</pre>
```

Same idea goes for all classic functions, like min, max, sum, average, etc.

And if you don't want to write those wrappers...

You don't even have to!

An operator can even make the syntax shorter!

Defining an operator

It's not always this simple...

```
people.sorted(by: { $0.lastName < $1.lastName })</pre>
```

Just build another helper

Just build another helper

Just build another helper

people.sorted(by: { \$0.lastName < \$1.lastName })</pre>

people.sorted(by: their(\.lastName))

people.sorted(by: their(\.lastName, comparedWith: >))



Let's take it one step further: DSLs

Predicates

NSPredicate is great, but it's a stringly-typed API.

```
NSPredicate(format: "firstName = 'Bob'")
NSPredicate(format: "lastName = %@", "Smith")
```

Predicates

We want to be able to write complex predicates, like this one:

```
people.select(where: { $0.age <= 22 } && { $0.lastName.count > 10 })
```

Predicates

We want to be able to write complex predicates, like this one:

```
people.select(where: \_age <= 22 && \_lastName.count > 10)
```

To do so, we need ways to:

- Define what predicates are
- Construct them
- Combine them
- Evaluate them

Defining Predicates

```
struct Predicate<Element> {
    private let condition: (Element) -> Bool
    func evaluate(for element: Element) -> Bool {
        return condition(element)
    }
}
```

```
contacts select (where: \ age <= 22 && \ lastName count > 10)
func <= <Element, T: Comparable>(_ attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>,
                                constant: T)
                                -> Predicate<Element> {
    return Predicate(condition: { value in value[keyPath: attribute] <= constant })</pre>
func > <Element, T: Comparable>(_ attribute: KeyPath<Element, T>,
                               _ constant: T)
                               -> Predicate<Element> {
    return Predicate(condition: { value in value[keyPath: attribute] > constant })
```

Combining Predicates

Evaluating Predicates

```
extension Sequence {
    func select(where predicate: Predicate<Element>) -> [Element] {
        return filter { element in predicate.evaluate(for: element) }
    }
}
```

That's all you need!

```
people.select(where: \.age <= 22 && \.lastName.count > 10)
people.select(where: 4...18 ~= \.age)
people.first(where: \.age < 18)
people.contains(where: \.lastName.count > 10)
```

You can even go for more fancy stuff...

Switching with Predicates

```
func ~= <Element>(_ lhs: KeyPathPredicate<Element>, rhs: Element) -> Bool {
   return lhs.evaluate(for: rhs)
}
```

Switching with Predicates

```
func ~= <Element>(_ lhs: KeyPathPredicate<Element>, rhs: Element) -> Bool {
    return lhs.evaluate(for: rhs)
switch person {
case \.firstName == "Charlie":
    print("I'm Charlie!")
    fallthrough
case \ age < 18:
    print("I'm not an adult...")
    fallthrough
default:
    break
```



Now, let's look at other possible applications

Provides separation between building and using an object

```
let label = UILabel()
label.textColor = .red
label.text = "Hello iOS Conf SG!"
label.textAlignment = .center
label.layer.cornerRadius = 5

// do something with label
view.addSubview(label)
```

protocol Buildable {}

```
let label = UILabel()
    .with(\.textColor, setTo: .red)
    .with(\.text, setTo: "Hello iOS Conf SG!")
    .with(\.textAlignment, setTo: .center)
    .with(\.layer.cornerRadius, setTo: 5)
// do something with label
view.addSubview(label)
```

Enables equality based on an ID

```
protocol Identifiable: Equatable {
    associatedtype ID: Equatable
    static var idKeyPath: KeyPath<Self, ID> { get }
}
```

```
protocol Identifiable: Equatable {
    associatedtype ID: Equatable
    static var idKeyPath: KeyPath<Self, ID> { get }
}

extension Identifiable {
    static func == (lhs: Self, rhs: Self) -> Bool {
        return lhs[keyPath: Self.idKeyPath] == rhs[keyPath: Self.idKeyPath]
    }
}
```

```
struct User: Identifiable {
    static let idKeyPath = \User.id
    let id: String

let firstName: String
    let lastName: String
}
```

```
let user1 = User(id: "1", firstName: "John", lastName: "Lennon")
let user2 = User(id: "2", firstName: "Ringo", lastName: "Starr")

user1 == user2 // false
let user3 = User(id: "2", firstName: "Paul", lastName: "McCartney")

user2 == user3 // true
```

Performs property-wise checks

```
struct Validator<T> {
    let validee: T
    let validator: (T) -> Bool

func validate() -> Bool {
    return validator(validee)
    }
}
```

```
protocol Validable { }
```

```
let user1 = User(id: "1", firstName: "John", lastName: "Lennon")
let user2 = User(id: "2", firstName: "Ringo", lastName: "Starr")
```

```
let user1 = User(id: "1", firstName: "John", lastName: "Lennon")
let user2 = User(id: "2", firstName: "Ringo", lastName: "Starr")
extension User: Validable { }
```

```
let user1 = User(id: "1", firstName: "John", lastName: "Lennon")
let user2 = User(id: "2", firstName: "Ringo", lastName: "Starr")
extension User: Validable { }
user1.add(validation: { !$0.isEmpty }, for: \.id)
     add(validation: { $0 == "Lennon" }, for: \.lastName)
     validate() // true
user2.add(validation: { !$0.isEmpty }, for: \.id)
     add(validation: { $0 == "Lennon" }, for: \.lastName)
     validate() // false
```

(Of course, you could also use predicates instead of closures (G)



Recap

Recap

KeyPaths offer deferred reading/writing to a property

They allow for a very clean and expressive syntax

They work very well with generic algorithms

They are a great tool to build DSLs

They offer nice sugar syntax to many patterns

Conclusion

Conclusion

KeyPaths are great, use them!

Big thank you to Marion Curtil and Jérôme Alves for their valuable inputs.

You liked what you saw, and you want it in your app? https://github.com/vincent-pradeilles/KeyPathKit

