

Worked Example 2.5

| Metric | Description | Y / M / N | Comments |
|------------------------|--|-----------|--|
| Code and explanations? | Does each step contain both code and a written explanation, and are these well connected? | Y | |
| Clear explanations? | Is each part of the code explained, and does the worked example flow in a clear and linear manner? | M | It is not explained why the code is $O(n)$ which it is required to be. |
| Code compiles? | Is the code able to compile and run? | Y | |
| Correct code? | Does the code generate the correct output? | Y | |
| Readable? | Does the code make sense, and does it use meaningful variable names? | Y | |
| Well commented code? | Are there valuable and meaningful comments in the code? | N | No comments are provided in the code. |
| Meaningful steps? | Is each step an appropriate length, and tackles a discrete portion of the question? Are there between 3-10 steps? | Y | <u>3 steps</u> . Step 2 has the majority of the code and could potentially be divided into 2 steps. However, it is not clear if this would improve the clarity of the worked example |
| Understandable? | Is the explanation understandable for a CS2 student, i.e. does the explanation only rely on CS1 and CS2 concepts? | Y | |
| Other comments | | | |

Evaluation according to metric descriptions, where **Y** = Yes, **M** = Moderately, and **N** = No