Worked Example 1.3

Metric	Description	Y/M/N	Comments
Code and explanations?	Does each step contain both code and a written explanation, and are these well connected?	Y	
Clear explanations?	Is each part of the code explained, and does the worked example flow in a clear and linear manner?	M	The return statement in step 3 should be explained.
Code compiles?	Is the code able to compile and run?	Y	
Correct code?	Does the code generate the correct output?	Y	
Readable?	Does the code make sense, and does it use meaningful variable names?	Y	
Well commented code?	Are there valuable and meaningful comments in the code?	M	There are many valuable and meaningful comments in the 'find_steps' function that contain most of the code. However, there are no comments in the final step.
Meaningful steps?	Is each step an appropriate length, and tackles a discrete portion of the question? Are there between 3-10 steps?	M	3 steps. Step 2 could be divided into two smaller steps: one to explain the base cases and one to explain the recursive cases
Understandable?	Is the explanation understandable for a CS2 student, i.e. does the explanation only rely on CS1 and CS2 concepts?	Y	
Other comments			

Evaluation according to metric descriptions, where $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Yes}$, $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Moderately}$, and $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{No}$