

CPSC 3720 SPRING 2018

# Code:Blocks Plugin: Implementation File Generator

---



**The Remaining Jerry's  
Project Group E**

**Jace Riehl  
Nathan Tipper  
Vincent Cote**

**February 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2018**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Proposal</b>	<b>3</b>
Use Cases:	3
<b>Project Management</b>	<b>4</b>
Team Organization	4
Risk Management	4
Agile Processes	5
<b>Development Process</b>	<b>5</b>
Coding Conventions	5
Requirements	7
Essential	7
Desirable	7
Optional	7
<b>Procedures for Configuration Management</b>	<b>8</b>
Code Review Process	8
Communication Tools	8
Change Management	8
Design	8
<b>Sprint Reports</b>	<b>9</b>
Sprint #1	9
Sprint #2	10
Sprint #3	12
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>14</b>
Appendix A: Figures and Tables	14
Figure 1.1	14
Figure 1.2	14

Figure 2.1

## INTRODUCTION

Welcome to the Implementation File Generator plugin for CodeBlocks developed by The Remaining Jerry's. This plugin will help the development process through code generation that is required by any developer in any project. The point of creating this project is to help save time for developers by removing the time waste of having to create the .cpp file manually every time that they create a .h file. We decided there was a need for this plug-in to help improve productivity for developers and focus on the more interesting parts of the code. With this plug-in you won't find yourself losing your train of thought while creating the .cpp file. This plug-in is intended for c++ developer using Code::Blocks. In the next sections we will be talking more in depth about how our team will implement this plug-in, our risk management, team management, and talk about our sprints.

## PROPOSAL

The idea behind the Implementation File Generator plugin is that we would like to simplify the class creation process. When a developer is creating a class, we start by making the interface for the class i.e. the header file. Once a header file is made, we have to go through the tedious process of rewriting all the signatures of our prototypes and then implementing the functionality of those functions. What the Implementation File Generator would do for us is the following:

- Create a .cpp file with the same name as the header file and save it to your /src folder or a designated folder given by the user.
- Include the header file from which the code was generated.
- Create all signatures within the header file with braces for the implementation and, if there is a return type or no variables names provided, create a return statement with a default value and default argument names.

Now the user no longer has to create an implementation file again. They simply need to create the implementation and configure their arguments and return statements to match their implementation. See figure 1.1 and 1.2 for visual reference of the idea.

The user will be able to configure what folder which folder to put the implementation file in. They will be able to choose from a .cpp or a .cc file. However, by default it will put the file in their srcs directory.

## USE CASES:

A developer has created a header file and they would like to create a .cpp file. With our plugin he can save time by directly typing a keyboard command or clicking on the plugins button to immediately create the implementation file.

# PROJECT MANAGEMENT

## TEAM ORGANIZATION

All none code related documentation is to be in pdf format in order to keep a set standard for all team members. As this is a school project and is used as a learning tool for all team members, we understand that we are all designers, programmers, leaders and documentation gurus. With that being said the group is still structured with each member having a specific role. These roles are a guideline and will help the team know who to approach with specific issues concerning the different aspects of the project. The specific roles are as follows:

- **Design Lead:** Nathan Tipper

Nathan will be in charge of design the main structure for the project. His main tasks as the design lead are to design the classes and UML diagrams for which our project will be based off of and answer any questions that people may have. He will ensure that everyone is following the design during the daily agile meetings.

- **Repository Admin:** Vincent Cote

Vince will watch the 'health' of the repository to ensure that no one is making any errors when merging into the master branch. He will be in charge of ensuring that everything in the repository is properly maintained, making sure that there are no dead branches, no one is pushing useless files that will clutter the repository, and assigning merge requests to proper milestones.

- **Kanban Sensei:** Jace Riehl

Jace will be in charge of maintaining the Kanban board on GitLab, along with the issues section of the repository. He will ensure that everyone is keeping up with the tasks that are labeled on the board and that everyone is on track to complete the sprint. His duty is to bring these up during the scrum daily meetings to ensure the progress on the project is on track.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

With any project, we need to assess the risks involved in creating the project. The Remaining Jerry's have identified the following risks and the solutions to those risks if they arise:

- **Feature creep** - With a project that is so openly designed with no limitations other than time, it is easy to design a plug in that cannot be made within the allocated time. Therefore, throughout the development process, we will build modular code which can be built upon if time is permitted. We will reserve the right to extend the Implementation File Generator, allowing for other modules which add functionality for users to explore for the projects.
- **Lack of experience** - Throughout our school experience our assignments have been focused on small programs that process a relatively simple task. Also, these assignments only required our knowledge of C++ to complete. Integrating functionality to an already existing application is a task we have not looked at yet. Therefore, a great deal of research is needed to complete this

project. We will need to assess what the design constraints are early so we don't run into any implementation problems later in the project. If any team members are not knowledgeable about any tools that we're using for this project it is their responsibility to bring it up in the daily meetings. From there a team member who is knowledgeable on that tool will guide the developer become familiar with it.

- **Busy schedules** - All members of the team are taking classes which require a lot of attention. Therefore, coordination will be key to success throughout the development process. Slack, and weekly meetings will need to be well structured in order to keep workflow positive and moving in the right direction.
- **Changes in implementation** - If it is required that we change the implementation, we will talk about it in a daily scrum meeting or hold a new meeting if necessary, and agree on a plan to create new implementation. These plans may include simply modifying the UML diagrams and the classes or in the worst case reverting back to a previous version of the project.

## AGILE PROCESSES

Our team will be using the agile processes scrum and kanban to implement this plug-in. The GitLab board will be our main use of kanban to keep track of where we are in the sprint. Each sprint's board will be split into four sections; backlog, to-do, doing, and completed. The backlog will be used to store ideas that will be dealt with when all of the other to-do's are done. If they aren't completed in that sprint they will be moved to the next sprint, this also relates to the scrum process we're using. We will be incorporating scrum by splitting our project into three two week sprints. Each of the sprints will take from the backlog of the previous sprint and the team members will put it into action. When possible we have quick daily meetings saying where we are in the process, explain and problems we're having, and assign new tasks for the team member.

## DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

### CODING CONVENTIONS

Throughout the lifetime of this project, our team has decided to follow the following coding conventions:

- **Starting blocks on the same line of any scope.**

- `le :`

```
Class Class1 {
    ...
};
```

- **Using camelCase for both local variables (attributes) and functions (members). For member variables that are private, we will use put a underscore before the variable to indicate it is private data.**

- le:

```
int thisIsAVariable = 1;
void thisIsAFunction() {
    ...
}

int _thisIsAPrivateVariable;
```

- **Class names are to be capitalized and then use camelCase**

- le:

```
Class ThisIsAClassThatDoesNotFollowExistingConventions { ... };
```

- **Variables, functions, and class names should be clear and concise and be named with respect to their function.**

- Example:

```
int numberOfJerrys = 3;
```

- **Comments should be short and give a broad overview, leaving the variable, function, and class names to speak to the functionality of the code.**

- **All nested scopes should be indented a level in for a readability.**

- **Each header file should start with a ifndef, def statement to ensure header files are not duplicated throughout the code. The defined statement should be read as the name of the class in all capital letter followed by “\_H.”**

- Example:

```
#ifndef SOMECLASS_H
#define SOMECLASS_H
```

```
class SomeClass { ... };

#endif // SOMECLASS_H
```

- **Use accessor methods for users to use instead of public attributes.**
- **Documentation of all members in header files is recorded and is required to have all of the following:**
  - ❖ What the function does.
  - ❖ Description of parameters and what the return value is.
  - ❖ How the function modifies the object.
  - ❖ The pre-condition and post-conditions of the function.
  - ❖ Any restrictions the function may have.

## REQUIREMENTS

### ESSENTIAL

- There is a button which will look at a header and generate the .cpp and all signatures are generated with scope operators and the header file included.
- The functions auto-created to the .cpp files have the correct return type with default values.
- An abstract method is not generated in the .cpp file.

### DESIRABLE

- New functions will be added to the .cpp file automatically after a each function is added to the .h file (without hitting a “run” button).
- If the button is pressed after the .cpp file is created it will generate the new functions and delete the old not listed functions.
- Add a wizard to set up where to locate the .h and and where to put .cpp files for different file structure in a project.

### OPTIONAL

- Add refactor tool to rename functions across the entire project .
- As private variables are typed, ask user if they would like to add a getter and a setter for the variable.
- Ask if the user wants to add initialization list for their private.

## PROCEDURES FOR CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT

### CODE REVIEW PROCESS

Every member of the team is involved in the Code Review process. Each member will have a branch for the work that has been assigned to them. When a member has completed the work, they will create a merge request to merge their work back into the master branch. All other members will be notified and any other member is responsible for the review of the code and the merging process. The philosophy behind this is that the member who created the merge request is not able to merge it back into the master. It must be reviewed by another member and that member can choose to either merge back if they think the work is complete and all guidelines are followed, or they may choose to leave a comment that it should be fixed in some way or ask for another member to review it as well.

### COMMUNICATION TOOLS

The primary methods of communication for the duration of the project will be face to face meeting as well as using slack for text based communication regarding general information, random information, design documentations, structure and repository documentation; all of which are subdivided into their own channels. If face to face meetings are not feasible due to scheduling conflicts the team is to arrange a video conference call on Discord at the earliest opportunity.

### CHANGE MANAGEMENT

Any group member will be able to issue bug reports that they encounter from the program through GitLab. When a bug is found, the reviewer who identified the bug(s) will assign them to the developer who wrote the function/class. Only the reviewer who identified the specific bug is allowed to close it. Once the bug has been resolved, the developer is to report back to the reviewer who will test it again until it is agreed that it is fixed or that it is more of a feature than a bug; at which point the issue will be closed through GitLab.

## DESIGN

You can find our UML diagrams for the design of our project along with what our final product will look like in the appendix section of this document, figure 2.1, 1.1, and 1.2. We decided to split our class into a couple classes that will allow us to follow the SOLID+Dry principles.



## SPRINT REPORTS

### SPRINT #1

We decided to focus our sprint around learning the Codeblocks API and having our deliverable be a generated file. We used the Scrum and Kanban agile processes to keep ourselves up to date with everything going on with the project. Since most of the project was dedicated to scraping the Code::Blocks documentation for relevant information, Vincent and Jace had the job of reading through the documentation and testing the classes while Nathan did a majority of the coding that would be pushed to the repository. This worked exceptionally well for us. While Nathan coded, Vincent and Jace could find and test relevant information for him to use.

This sprint had its challenges but overall it ended well. As expected a majority of this sprint was spent reading and learning the documentation of the Code::Blocks API. Scraping the API for key information was difficult at first. Most of the documentation does not explain what each class does which lead to frustrations among the group. However, these were soon solved when we found the different manager classes and their key attributes and functions. Using the manager classes ended up being the bulk of what our project would depend on. In the end of this sprint we managed to meet and exceed our expectations. It was expected from the beginning of pitching this project to Dr. Anvik that we would only be able to create a new file. However, in this sprint we've managed to create a new .cpp file from a .h file which has an include for the .h file. This new .cpp file opens in a new window, adds it to the project, and reloads the UI so that the the file will show up in the project.

One issue we ran into was with creating the Makefile. We quickly realized that we needed to find all the dependencies on the system and where not able to locate all of them. The lack of a Makefile ended up also affecting continuous integration. We talked with Dr. Anvik about this issue and chose to look into making a Makefile for the class. We were required to push continuous integration implementations to sprint 2. However, we continued with our system level testing to ensure it works as expected. Since this sprint was mainly about learning the API and creating a blank file, so it won't be a big hindrance to our sprint. The work we did in this sprint was mostly prototyping to make sure we understand the calls we are making using the Code::Blocks API. Now that we have a proof of concept, sprint #2 will include properly implementing our prototype including documentation and unit testing.

We initially had a problem with getting our example plugin to install into Code::Blocks and it didn't give us any hint as to why. After reviewing our code we had to resort to asking Dr. Anvik about this problem due to our lack of experience with API's. He found the problem and it was a quick fix where we only had to change a few lines. There was one another major problem that we had. The only way we could get the new file to show up in Code::Blocks was to reload Code::Blocks but this would be a hindrance to the user as it would prompt them to save the project because Code::Blocks had changed and would close the file structure tree. We found another class that we could use that reloaded just the UI instead of the whole IDE and this fixed every problem that we had associated with it.

Our main agile practices were scrum, the kanban boards contained on the GitLab repository, and we used slack as our main communication tool. Whenever progress had been made to the project we did a scrum stand up meeting the next day. These agile processes worked well for our team. Having the daily

meetings helped us stay on top of our duties, and increased our productivity. The scrum meetings combined with slack was a immensely productive way of improving our communication.

The three of us have worked together on most class projects for the last year. With that being said we know what to expect from each other and have found good ways to work together. Each of us stayed to our individual roles that were assigned in the team organization section , the remaining responsibilities that we're specific to each of our roles were split how we saw fit in the scrum meetings. An example of these tasks would be who was going to write this report.

As mentioned by Dr. Anvik, 80% of programming as a software developer is focused on working on existing code. This sprint showed us the importance of learning how to go through documentation efficiently in order to find the information needed to accomplish a task. We also learned the importance of writing effective documentation when writing code. The Code::Blocks documentation is a good example of how insufficient documentation can be frustrating for other developers trying to use the code.

Our plan worked very well for us this time and since we've scraped most of the relevant information from the documentation, we won't have to do the same approach in the next sprint. In the next sprint Nathan will continue to be the lead programmer while Vincent and Jace provide programming support. These duties may include but are not limited to: writing unit tests, refactoring code, finding memory leaks, setting up continuous integration, and coding some implementation. Holding the daily scrum meetings was highly valuable to the success of our team. It helped us communicate our issues effectively and find a solution to them before they became a big problem. Kanban was also an effective agile strategy that helped us stay on track by having a visual representation of what had to be done. There are a couple things that we will integrate into our next sprint. Now that we have a working prototype, we'll spend more time designing the system so that problems won't arise later on in the sprint.

## SPRINT #2

The focus of Sprint #2 was on refactoring our prototype code and breaking it out into multiple classes. At the beginning of this sprint we had all of our functions in one file and we wanted to move them into separate classes to follow software engineering principles. Upon completing this goal we wanted to integrate unit testing into those classes. In addition to the refactoring we also planned to create a GUI that would allow people to change the filepath of their directories. In following best practices we planned to create a working Makefile and set up continuous integration into our project. In following agile practices we completed more frequent scrum meetings so that we could all stay up to date with what was happening with our project. Our use of Kanban stayed consistent and continued to help us stay organized. We also continued to use Slack to discuss the details of the project. Having GitLab integrated into Slack helped us keep up to date and notified when a group member was working on the project.

Most of the tasks in this sprint were contingent on completing the refactoring. We weren't able to meet all of our goals because the refactoring was a lot more difficult than we expected. The GUI has been designed and built. We will now work on integrating it into our main project. We set up the Makefile and continuous integration but it is currently failing, which we expected. We had CI running properly until we pushed the refactoring. It seems the Makefile does not account for the new files and therefore does not currently pass. We suspect this is because every file has to be converted into a .o file while it's running. We have not yet come up with a solution to get this process working. The next sprint will address this issue.

As mentioned above, we have an ongoing problem with the Makefile. We continually attempted to fix the Makefile throughout the sprint by modifying and incorporating new targets for unit testing. The problem seemed minor so we did not approach Dr. Anvik about it, thinking we could fix it on our own. After the completion of the presentation for this sprint we will ask Dr. Anvik's advice on the problem we are experiencing. We felt the pressure of multiple assignments due for this class and others during both Sprint #1 and #2. Because of this we were not able to focus all of our time and attention on this project. We ended up having to spend a lot of time in the final week working on this project after our last assignment for this class was completed. Although this was a solution, it wasn't an effective strategy that we would use next sprint.

Our group plans on using our time more effectively when we are together. We will schedule times that we can work on the project together during the last sprint. The scrum meetings will be held formally in a standup fashion in a study room rather than in the lab. This will allow us to be more thorough and give us experience in what scrum meetings in the real world will be like. All of our previous scrum meetings were held in the lab where we were seated so we did not disturb people's work. We found the use of Slack and KANBAN very effective and we will continue to use them in the same way in the next sprint.

In order to finish the project we will need to start the last sprint right away. Since we will have no software engineering assignments for the next sprint we will start early and be able to spend more time on the project. The completion of the project in this final sprint will require a significant time commitment. In order to guarantee we stay on track with our plans we will spread the work out evenly throughout the next three weeks. Unlike our last sprints we will not wait to finish the majority of the sprint in the last week. In terms of our agile practices we will have formal scrum meetings. In addition to the scrum meetings we will continue to meet frequently to collaborate and communicate regarding the project.

## SPRINT #3

During the final sprint of the project the goal was to finish the essential requirements of the plugin. The work done on the prototype during Sprint #1 and refactoring the code during Sprint #2 created a solid base to add the remaining functionality to the plugin. Following completion of the project essentials further work would be required to complete the desirable and optional items that were identified in the proposal. The main goal in completing the project was to add functionality to work with multiple signature types including default return values and default parameters. We did not want abstract functions to be included in the implementation file.

In finishing the project we considered using regular expressions in order to check for certain strings combinations. We intended to spend a portion of this sprint researching this approach. As mentioned at the end of Sprint #2 we had planned to have more frequent Scrum Meetings to stay on top of the project. Scrum Meetings were infrequent but when a component of the project was completed we held a Scrum Meeting in study room. We continued to use Slack throughout the final sprint but also communicated through text messages and Discord.

The essential requirements included three goals: creating a .cpp file with all signatures, adding default parameters names and returning default values, and abstract classes not being generated. We managed to accomplish these goals. Much of the final sprint was spent researching and testing a variety of ways to validate the different parts of the header files and print out the proper information to the implementation file. Once we determined a consistent way to accomplish this process it was relatively easy to complete the remaining tasks. Due to time constraints the more advanced features were not completed.

Struggling with the Makefile prevented the continuous integration process from functioning successfully for the majority of the project duration. After refactoring and breaking out into multiple classes the Makefile no longer compiled. Considerable time was spent addressing this issue. Completion of the essential requirements took precedence at this point and work continued on other aspects of the project. After the bulk of the work had been completed, the Makefile issue was revisited and eventually managed to compile and bundle the program. This allowed Gitlab's Pipeline to successfully bundle the plugin and provide a "pass". With that being said CI is not being fully utilize as all it is doing is bundling up the plugin. After unsuccessfully attempting to use regular expressions to generate the implementation file it was decided that hard coding if statements would be used to achieve the goal of creating a functioning plug in.

Time management was challenging due to the workload demands of other classes this semester. It was difficult to coordinate time to meet and work together as a group or plan Scrum Meetings. This issue was addressed by using Discord's voice services allowing us to collaborate from home in the evenings.

If we were complete another sprint in this project, our Sprint plan would be slightly different. After three sprints have been completed on this project, we seem to understand what works well. Our use of collaboration tools and Scrum meetings would remain the same, due to the effectiveness of our use of them. We would need to have more scheduled small blocks of time that we can work on the project, instead of fewer large blocks of time. Everything else in our sprint plan would stay consistent. Our use of

KANBAN had dropped this sprint and it would be more effective to use the board more frequently to divide and keep track of tasks

We would need to spend a significant amount of time to implement the desirable features into this project. To complete another sprint it would be crucial to have specific times to work on the project. All of us had many other projects due during the time of this sprint so we all worked on it separately. When we were together we had to spend large blocks of time working on the project, this would lead us to all be burnt out by the end of the project. By having small designated times of working on the project together, it would give us an opportunity to make our plug-in ready for the commercial market. Using KANBAN more effectively for the next sprint would be useful. KANBAN would be a lot more effective in Sprint #4 to complete the desirable aspects of the project. Unlike sprint three that only had one major goal, Sprint #4 would be many smaller tasks which would make the board more effective.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX A: FIGURES AND TABLES

FIGURE 1.1

```
#ifndef CALCULATOR_H
#define CALCULATOR_H

class Calculator
{
public:
    Calculator();
    virtual ~Calculator();

    int sum(const int, const int) const;
    int mult(const int, const int) const;
    int sub(const int, const int) const;
    int div(const int, const int) const;

protected:

private:
};

#endif // CALCULATOR_H
```

FIGURE 1.2

```
Calculator::Calculator()
{
    //ctor
}

Calculator::~~Calculator()
{
    //dtor
}

int Calculator::sum(const int a, const int b) const {
    return -1;
}

int Calculator::mult(const int a, const int b) const {
    return -1;
}

int Calculator::div(const int a, const int b) const {
    return -1;
}

int Calculator::sub(const int a, const int b) const {
    return -1;
}
```

FIGURE 2.1

