# MATH 108B - Study Guide

Vincent La

January 8, 2018

## MATH 8 Review

FIII	bianks	with	iogicai	symbols	

- 1. If  $P \to Q$ , then the converse is \_\_\_\_\_\_.
- 2. If  $P \to Q$ , then the contrapositive is \_\_\_\_\_\_.
- 3. The converse of  $P \to Q$  is true if P\_\_\_\_\_Q.

# 5. Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors, and Invariant Subspaces

#### Fill in the Blank

1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a \_\_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_.

- 2. We say a subspace U is **invariant** under some linear operator T, if T maps U back to itself. More formally, for a  $T \in \mathcal{L}$ , U is invariant under T if for any  $u \in U$ ,  $Tu \in \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ .
- 3. Eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are \_\_\_\_\_\_.
- 4. Suppose we have an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $v_1, ..., v_n$  is a basis for V. Now, suppose

$$Tv_1 \in \operatorname{span}(v_1)$$
  
 $Tv_2 \in \operatorname{span}(v_1, v_2)$   
...  
 $Tv_n \in \operatorname{span}(v_1, v_2, ..., v_n)$ 

Thus, the matrix of T with respect to  $v_1, ..., v_n$  is \_\_\_\_\_

- 5. Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Then  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  has at most \_\_\_\_\_\_ eigenvalues.
- 6. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then  $T^0 = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ .
- 7. Let p(z) be a polynomial over the complex numbers. If  $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^3$  then,

$$p(T) =$$

#### True or False

- 1. \_\_\_\_\_ Some operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is invertible if and only if some matrix of T has distinct values on its diagonal.
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_ Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  has an upper triangular matrix with respect to some basis of V. Then, T is diagonalizable if and only all the entries on the diagonal are nonzero.
- 3. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  with V finite-dimensional. Then,

$$E(\lambda_1, T) \cap ... \cap E(\lambda_n, T) = 0$$

# 6. Inner Product Spaces

Fill in the Blank

- 1. Suppose  $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ . Then,  $v = \underline{0}$ .
- 2. Suppose  $U \subset V$ . The \_\_\_\_\_ of U, denoted  $U^{\perp}$  is the set

$$\{v \in V | \langle v, u \rangle = 0 \forall u \in U\}$$

3. Let  $v_1, ..., v_n$  be a linearly independent list of vectors where each  $||v_i|| = 1$  for i = 1, ...n. Then,  $v_1, ..., v_n$  is a(n) \_\_\_\_\_\_.

### 6A. Inner Products and Norms

Inner Products An inner product on V is a function which maps \_\_\_\_\_\_ to a number in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality Suppose  $u, v \in V$ . Then

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| \le ||u|| \, ||v||$$

where

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| = ||u|| \, ||v||$$

if and only if u is a scalar multiple of v.

#### 6B. Orthonormal Bases

**Orthonormal** A **list of vectors** is orthonormal if each vector in the list has length 1 (normal) and is orthogonal to every other vector in the list.

**Example** Consider the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1). Turn this basis into an orthonormal basis.

**Proof - An orthonormal list is linearly independent** From Theorem 6.25, we know that

$$||a_1e_1 + \dots + a_me_m||^2 = |a_1|^2 + \dots + |a_m|^2$$

Use this to prove that orthonormal lists are linearly independent.

Theorem 6.30 – Writing a vector as linear combination of orthonormal basis Suppose  $e_1, ..., e_n$  is an orthonormal basis of V and  $v \in V$ . Then

$$v = \langle v, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle v, e_n \rangle e_n$$

and

$$||v||^2 = |\langle v, e_1 \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle v, e \rangle|^2$$

This is a rewrite of a proof in the book

*Proof.* Let  $e_1, ..., e_n$  be an orthonormal basis of V and  $v \in V$  be arbitrary. First, because  $e_1, ..., e_n$  is a basis for V there are some scalars  $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v = a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n$$

Now, notice for the inner product  $\langle v, e_i \rangle$  for  $i \in 1, ...n$ 

$$\begin{split} \langle v,e_i\rangle &= \langle a_1e_1+\ldots+a_ie_i+\ldots+a_ne_n,e_i\rangle \\ &= \langle a_1e_1,e_i\rangle+\ldots+\langle a_ie_i,e_i\rangle+\ldots+\langle a_ne_n,e_i\rangle & \text{By additivity} \\ &= a_1\,\langle e_1,e_i\rangle+\ldots+a_i\,\langle e_i,e_i\rangle+\ldots+a_n\,\langle e_n,e_i\rangle & \text{By homogeneity in the first slot} \\ &= 0+\ldots+a_i\,\langle e_i,e_i\rangle+\ldots+0 & \text{By orthogonality} \\ &= a_i & \text{Because } e_i \text{ is normal} \end{split}$$

Therefore, it is true that  $a_i e_i = \langle v, e_i \rangle e_i$  for  $i \in 1, ..., n$  and we can write

$$v = \langle v, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle v, e_n \rangle e_n$$

as desired. Furthermore, we can get the second equation by applying Theorem 6.25 to the previous equation.  $\Box$ 

## 6C. Orthogonal Complements

**Prove or give a counterexample** Suppose  $U \subset V$ . Then,  $U^{\perp}$  is a subset of V.

**Fill in the Blank** Suppose U is a finite-dimensional subspace of V, and  $v \in V$ . Suppose  $w \in U$  is such that

$$||v - w|| \le ||v - u||$$

for any  $u \in U$ . Then, it must be that  $w = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ .

# 7. Operators on Inner Product Spaces

## 7A. Self-Adjoint and Normal Operators

**Adjoint** Given  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , the adjoint is the function  $T^*: W \to V$  such that

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, T^*w \rangle$$

True or False Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then,  $T^*(0) = 0$ .

Matrix of the Adjoint Suppose

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} i & 1-i \\ 2-3i & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

then,

$$\mathcal{M}(T^*) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Fill in the Blank

- 1. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is called \_\_\_\_\_\_ if  $T = T^*$ .
- 2. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint with some eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . The following equation

$$\lambda \|v\|^2 = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \overline{\lambda} \|v\|^2$$

shows that the eigenvalues of T

3. Whenever we are discussing the adjoint of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ , V, W are presumed to be

#### True or False

- 1. \_\_\_\_\_ All self-adjoint operators are normal.
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_ An operator can be normal but not self-adjoint.

## 7B. The Spectral Theorem

The Real Spectral Theorem Suppose V is a vector space over the reals and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then, TFAE:

- a. T is self-adjoint
- b. V has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of T
- c. T has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthonormal basis of V

The following is a proof of  $(a) \implies (b)$ 

*Proof.* We will prove this using induction. For the base case, let n = 1. Clearly, if T is an operator on a one-dimensional subspace V, then it maps vectors to scalar multiples of themselves, i.e. V has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T as desired.

Now, for the inductive step assume that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is self-adjoint and that (a) implies (b) for all  $n < \dim V$ . By Theorem 7.27, we know that there is some  $u \in U$  such that u is an eigenvector of T. Specifically, choose u such that ||u|| = 1. As a result,  $T|_U$  is a one-dimensional invariant subspace of V. Furthermore, this implies that  $T|_U^{\perp} \in \mathcal{L}(U^{\perp})$  is self-adjoint. Furthermore, by the inductive hypothesis.

Recalling that Theorem 6.47 states that  $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$ , this implies that adding u to the \_\_\_\_\_ of  $U^{\perp}$  gives an orthonormal basis for V as desired.

# 8. Operators on Complex Vector Spaces

## 8A. Generalized Eigenvectors and Nilpotent Operators

Why do we care about generalized eigenspaces? As you may recall, we can already decompose some  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  into the direct sum of one-dimensional invariant subspaces, i.e.

$$V = U_1 \oplus ... \oplus U_n$$

Specifically, each of these  $U_i$  is an eigenspace of V. So why do we care about generalized eigenspaces, and how do they extend this idea?

#### True or False

- 1. If v is an eigenvector of T, then it is also an generalized eigenvector of T.
- 2. It's \_\_\_\_\_ that the differentiation operator is nilpotent because \_\_\_\_\_

## 8B. Decomposition of an Operator

True or False Each generalized eigenspace  $(T - \lambda_j I)|_{G(\lambda_J, T)}$  is nilpotent.

## 8D. Jordan Form

True or False A matrix is said to be in Jordan Form if it is zero everywhere for square matrices along its diagonal, where all of these square matrices are of the same dimension.