

**Optimal Sequence of Action**

| Problem 1  | Problem 2   | Problem 3   |
|--|---|---|
| Path length: 6<br><br>Load(C2, P2, JFK)<br>Load(C1, P1, SFO)<br>Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)<br>Unload(C2, P2, SFO)<br>Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)<br>Unload(C1, P1, JFK) | Path length: 9<br><br>Load(C1, P1, SFO)<br>Load(C2, P2, JFK)<br>Load(C3, P3, ATL)<br>Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)<br>Unload(C1, P1, JFK)<br>Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)<br>Unload(C2, P2, SFO)<br>Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)<br>Unload(C3, P3, SFO) | Path length: 12<br><br>Load(C1, P1, SFO)<br>Fly(P1, SFO, ATL)<br>Load(C3, P1, ATL)<br>Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)<br>Load(C2, P1, JFK)<br>Unload(C1, P1, JFK)<br>Unload(C3, P1, JFK)<br>Fly(P1, JFK, ORD)<br>Load(C4, P1, ORD)<br>Fly(P1, ORD, SFO)<br>Unload(C2, P1, SFO)<br>Unload(C4, P1, SFO) |

**Non-heuristic Search****Problem 1**

| Algorithm                      | Plan length | Expansions | Elapse Time    |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Breadth First Search           | <b>6</b>    | 43         | 0.0366s        |
| Breadth First Tree Search      | <b>6</b>    | 1458       | 1.1183s        |
| Depth First Graph Search       | 12          | 12         | 0.0095s        |
| Depth Limited Search           | 50          | 101        | 0.1086s        |
| Uniform Cost Search            | <b>6</b>    | 55         | 0.0440s        |
| Recursive Best First Search    | <b>6</b>    | 4229       | 3.2850s        |
| Greedy Best First Graph Search | <b>6</b>    | <b>7</b>   | <b>0.0058s</b> |

Concerning problem 1, we could see that Greedy Best First Graph Search is the best strategy.

**Problem 2**

| Algorithm                      | Plan length | Expansions | Elapse Time    |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Breadth First Search           | <b>9</b>    | 3346       | 15.3344s       |
| Breadth First Tree Search      | --          | --         | > 10 min       |
| Depth First Graph Search       | 1085        | 1124       | 8.3269s        |
| Depth Limited Search           | --          | --         | > 10 min       |
| Uniform Cost Search            | <b>9</b>    | 4853       | 13.3724s       |
| Recursive Best First Search    | --          | --         | > 10 min       |
| Greedy Best First Graph Search | 17          | <b>998</b> | <b>2.7196s</b> |

Concerning problem 2, only Breadth First Search and Uniform Cost Search give the optimal plan, while Greedy Best First Graph Search is the fastest and use the least memory.

**Problem 3**

| Algorithm                      | Plan length | Expansions  | Elapse Time     |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Breadth First Search           | <b>12</b>   | 14902       | 103.3441s       |
| Breadth First Tree Search      | --          | --          | > 10 min        |
| Depth First Graph Search       | 744         | <b>4185</b> | <b>21.5048s</b> |
| Depth Limited Search           | --          | --          | > 10 min        |
| Uniform Cost Search            | <b>12</b>   | 18541       | 60.2664s        |
| Recursive Best First Search    | --          | --          | > 10 min        |
| Greedy Best First Graph Search | 22          | 8174        | 26.9854s        |

Concerning problem 3, only Breadth First Search and Uniform Cost Search give the optimal plan, while Depth First Graph Search is the fastest and use the least memory.

**Analysis**

In general, only Breadth First Search and Uniform Cost Search give the optimal plan for three problems. Among them, Breadth First Search uses slightly less memory while Uniform Cost Search is slightly faster.

We could see that our observation align with the text book [1]. The time and space usage in uniform cost is highly depend on the cost.

### 3.4.7 Comparing uninformed search strategies

Figure 3.21 compares search strategies in terms of the four evaluation criteria set forth in Section 3.3.2. This comparison is for tree-search versions. For graph searches, the main differences are that depth-first search is complete for finite state spaces and that the space and time complexities are bounded by the size of the state space.

| Criterion | Breadth-First    | Uniform-Cost                            | Depth-First | Depth-Limited | Iterative Deepening | Bidirectional (if applicable) |
|-----------|------------------|---|-------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Complete? | Yes <sup>a</sup> | Yes <sup>a,b</sup>                      | No          | No            | Yes <sup>a</sup>    | Yes <sup>a,d</sup>            |
| Time      | $O(b^d)$         | $O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon \rfloor})$ | $O(b^m)$    | $O(b^l)$      | $O(b^d)$            | $O(b^{d/2})$                  |
| Space     | $O(b^d)$         | $O(b^{1+\lfloor C^*/\epsilon \rfloor})$ | $O(bm)$     | $O(bl)$       | $O(bd)$             | $O(b^{d/2})$                  |
| Optimal?  | Yes <sup>c</sup> | Yes                                     | No          | No            | Yes <sup>c</sup>    | Yes <sup>c,d</sup>            |

**Figure 3.21** Evaluation of tree-search strategies.  $b$  is the branching factor;  $d$  is the depth of the shallowest solution;  $m$  is the maximum depth of the search tree;  $l$  is the depth limit. Superscript caveats are as follows: <sup>a</sup> complete if  $b$  is finite; <sup>b</sup> complete if step costs  $\geq \epsilon$  for positive  $\epsilon$ ; <sup>c</sup> optimal if step costs are all identical; <sup>d</sup> if both directions use breadth-first search.

If the optimality is our goal, both Breadth First Search and Uniform Cost Search are recommended. However, if speed is the critical factor, Greedy Best First Graph Search is recommended. It could still give fairly good plan.

**Heuristic Search****Problem 1**

| Algorithm                             | Plan length | Expansions | Elapse Time    |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| A* with h1 heuristic                  | <b>6</b>    | 55         | 0.0450s        |
| A* with ignore precondition heuristic | <b>6</b>    | 41         | <b>0.0446s</b> |
| A* with level sum heuristic           | <b>6</b>    | <b>11</b>  | 1.1420s        |

**Problem 2**

| Algorithm                             | Plan length | Expansions | Elapse Time    |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| A* with h1 heuristic                  | <b>9</b>    | 4853       | 14.6344s       |
| A* with ignore precondition heuristic | <b>9</b>    | 1450       | <b>5.4664s</b> |
| A* with level sum heuristic           | <b>9</b>    | <b>86</b>  | 196.9359s      |

**Problem 3**

| Algorithm                             | Plan length | Expansions | Elapse Time |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| A* with h1 heuristic                  | <b>12</b>   | 18541      | 61.2895s    |
| A* with ignore precondition heuristic | 13          | 8328       | 30.0528s    |
| A* with level sum heuristic           | 13          | <b>749</b> | > 20 mins   |

**Analysis**

In general, A\* with ignore precondition heuristic is the fastest one and give a pretty good result in term of optimality and memory usage. However, if memory is a critical resource, A\* with level sum heuristic would be the choice.

**Conclusion**

When comparing with the result from non-heuristic algorithm, A\* with ignore precondition heuristic would also be the best algorithm in term of optimality, memory usage and performance.

**Reference**

[1] Stuart Russell, Peter Norvig (Third Edition). "Artificial Intelligence A Modern Approach"