

# Dynamic Programming Recipe

- Define a set of problems, such that
  - ▶ base case - easy to solve
  - ▶ final case - matches (closely) the final problem we want to solve.
- Write it as a recursion: Solve bigger problem in terms of the smaller problems. (Should be a DAG on the problem instances!)
- Compute the problems on the DAG in the linearized order!

# Longest Increasing Subsequence

Given sequence of numbers:  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ .

Find longest increasing sequence of numbers.

7    3    5    6    1    2    9    4    8



Greedy??

(A) Choose first number, delete higher, continue.

(B) Choose lowest number, continue with rest of sequence.

(A) seems bad.

Try method (B): 1 2 4 8

7    3    5    6    8    2    9    4    1

Not good!

What to do?

# Dynamic Programming Solution.

$L(i)$  is length of longest increasing subsequence ending at position  $i$ .

Do I know  $L(1)$ ? Is  $L(n)$  good enough for the answer? ( $\max_j L(j)$ )

Recursion

$$L(j) = \max_{j < i \wedge a[j] < a[i]} \{L(i) + 1\}$$

Think of the DAG? **For**  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$L(i) = 1$$

**For**  $j = 1, \dots, i - 1$

**if**  $a[j] < a[i]$

$$L(i) = \max(L(i), L(j) + 1)$$

$O(n^2)$  time  $O(n)$  space. Find longest subsequence? (maintain pointers)

**For**  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$L(i) = 1, \text{prev}(i) = i$$

**For**  $j = 1, \dots, i - 1$

**if**  $a[j] < a[i]$

**if**  $L(j) + 1 > L(i)$

$$L(i) = L(j) + 1; \text{prev}(i) = j$$

Chase  $\text{prev}(j)$  pointers backwards to construct path! Similar to finding sp tree.

# Dynamic Programming and Recursion.

$L(i)$  - longest increasing subsequence ending at  $i$ .

Only need  $L(j)$  for  $j < i$  to find  $L(i)$ .

Recursion  $\equiv$  dynamic programming?

sub problem solutions...built from smaller subproblems.

Recursive instead of iterative?

def  $L(i)$ :

$val = 1$

**for**  $j = 1, \dots, n$ :

**if**  $a[j] < a[i]$ :

**if**  $L(j) + 1 > val$ :

$val = L(j) + 1$

Enumerates all paths in “DAG”. Exponential time!!

Memoization.

Answer  $L(i)$  same each time, so remember, return.

Only  $n$  different arguments provided to  $L(\cdot)$ .

Each call takes  $O(n)$  time the *first* time.

Same as “iterative”.

# Edit Distance.

Spell Correction.

“THEARFTER”

THEARFTER versus THEREAFTER

Find “closest” real word!

Given two words, how far apart are they?

Best alignment.

THEAR– –FTER

THE–REAFTER

Cost: 3.

Edit distance. “THEARFTER” to “THEREAFTER” uses one deletion, two insertions.

start with..	THE <b>A</b> RFTER
delete position 4.	THERFTER
insert E at position 5	THER <b>E</b> FTER
insert A at position 6	THERE <b>A</b> FTER

3 steps. Read operations off alignment.

# Edit Distance.

Another alignment.

THE~~AR~~-FTER

THE~~RE~~AFTER

Cost 3: Edit sequence: 2 substitutions, one insertion.

start with..

THE~~A~~RFTER

substitute R for A in position 4.

THE~~R~~BFTER

substitute E for R in position 5.

THE~~R~~EFTER

insert A at position 6.

THE~~R~~E~~A~~FTER

Let's see the spell checker in action!

THEARFTER

THEA- -TER

Cost 2: Edit sequence: 2 deletions.

# Dynamic Programming Recipe

- Define a set of problems, such that
  - ▶ base case - easy to solve
  - ▶ final case - matches (closely) the final problem we want to solve.
- Write it as a recursion: Solve bigger problem in terms of the smaller problems. (Should be a DAG on the problem instances!)
- Compute the problems on the DAG in the linearized order!

# Edit Distance.

Given:  $x[1, \dots, m]$  and  $y[1, \dots, n]$ .

Find edit distance between  $x$  and  $y$ .

Subproblems?

How about edit distance between  $x[1, \dots, i]$  and  $y[1, \dots, j]$ ?

Alignment is fixed. There is nothing to do.

THERAFTER

THEREAFTER

Cost: 6.

Have to search over different alignments!

THEAR—FTER

THE—REAFTER

Subsolution: aligned 5 characters of  $x$  to 4 characters of  $y$ .

Should choose best such mapping!

Subproblem: “Edit Distance:  $x[1, \dots, i]$  with  $y[1, \dots, j]$ .”



# Edit Distance Dynamic Program.

Given:  $x[1, \dots, m]$  and  $y[1, \dots, n]$ .

Subproblem:

$E(i, j)$  = “Edit Distance:  $x[1, \dots, i]$  with  $y[1, \dots, j]$ .”

Compute  $E(i, j)$ ?

insertion	deletion	substitution
–	$x[i]$	$x[i]$
$y[j]$	–	$y[j]$

Example:

$x$  = THEARF –FTER

$y$  = THE–REAFTER

Look at any aligned positions.

$E(6, 4) = \min(1 + E(6, 3), 1 + E(5, 4), 1 + E(5, 3)).$

since  $x[6] \neq x[4]$

$E(3, 3) = \min(1 + E(3, 2), 1 + E(2, 3), E(2, 2)).$  since  $x[3] = y[3]$ .

# Edit Distance: Dynamic Program.

Subproblems:

$E(i,j)$  = "Edit Distance:  $x[1, \dots, i]$  with  $y[1, \dots, j]$ ."

Reccurrence:

if  $x[i] \neq y[j]$ ,  $E(i,j) = \min(1 + E(i-1,j), 1 + E(i,j-1), 1 + E(i-1,j-1))$

if  $x[i] = y[j]$ ,  $E(i,j) = \min(1 + E(i-1,j), 1 + E(i,j-1), E(i-1,j-1))$

$E(0,j) =$

(A) 0

(B) 1

(C)  $j$

(C). Insert  $j$  characters.

$E(i,0) =$

(A)  $i$

(B) 1

(C) 0

(A). Delete  $i$  characters.

# Dynamic Programming Program.

Make a table to store subproblem solutions:  $E(i,j)$

**for**  $i=0, \dots, m$ :  $E(i,0) = i$  Add  $i$  characters.

**for**  $j=0, \dots, n$ :  $E(0,j) = j$  Delete  $j$  characters.

**for**  $i=0, \dots, m$ :

**for**  $j=0, \dots, n$ :

$$E(i,j) = \min \{ \begin{aligned} &E(i-1,j) + 1, \\ &E(i-1,j-1) + 1, \\ &E(i-1,j-1) + \text{diff}(i,j) \end{aligned} \}$$

Time:

(A)  $\Theta(n + m)$

(B)  $\Theta(nm)$

(C)  $\Theta(n^m)$

(B) Nested loops:  $m$  outer iterations times  $n$  inner.

# Example

(T−,TH) cost 1

(T − −, THE) cost 2.

(TH,TH) cost 0

(T H −, THE) cost 1.

(THE,THE) cost 0

(THE−, THEA) cost 1.

(THE−,THER) cost 1

(THER, THEA) cost 1.

		T	H	E	A	R	F
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
T	1	0	1	2	3	4	5
H	2	1	0	1	2	3	4
E	3	2	1	0	1	2	3
R	4	3	2	1	1	2	3
E	5	4	3	2	2	2	3
A	6	5	4	3	3	3	3

# Dag View

What is the “dag of subproblems”?

Node for each subproblem  $E(i, j)$ .

Edges

across  $(E(i-1, j), E(i, j))$

down  $(E(i, j-1), E(i, j))$

diagonal  $(E(i-1, j-1), E(i, j))$

$O(nm)$  nodes.

$O(1)$  edges/node ..  $O(nm)$  edges.

# The Knapsack Problem

Want Knapsack of weight at most 29,

item	weight	value
1	15	43
2	6	18
3	7	21
4	8	23

Max ratio heuristic? Item 2 , 1 , 3.

Weight:  $15+6+7 = 28$ .

Value:  $43+18+21 = 82$ .

Better Solution??

Switch item 4 for item 3.

Value:  $43+18+23 = 84$ .

Can you make greedy lose by (almost) a factor of two?

Size: 2,000,000

item	weight	value
1	2,000,000	1,999,999
2	1,000,001	1,000,001
3	1,000,001	1,000,001

Off by almost a factor of two!

Later: NP-complete...

But we will give a weakly polynomial time dynamic program!

## ...with Repetition.

Weight 29

item	weight	value
1	15	43
2	6	18
3	7	21
4	8	23

Item 1 and Item 1 again!

86 versus 85!

3 Items 3 and one item 4.

Also 86.



# Knapsack with Repetition

Given:  $W, (v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2), \dots, (v_n, w_n)$ .

Find: highest value **multiset** of items of weight  $\leq W$ .

Dynamic Program.

Subproblems?

$K(w)$  = “Best value of knapsack of weight  $w$ ”

Solve subproblem  $K(w)$  ...using smaller subproblems?

Consider solution.  $\{i, j, k, \dots\}$ .

Take out one item, say  $i$ , weight is  $w - w_i$ .

Rest should be best knapsack of weight  $w - w_i$ .

$K(w - w_i)$  and add value of  $v_i$ .

$K(w) = \max_i (K(w - w_i) + v_i)$  **for**  $w - w_i \geq 0$ .

$K(0) = 0$

# Example

## Weight 29

item	weight	value
1	15	43
2	6	18
3	7	21
4	8	23

$K(0) = 0$ .

Recurrence:  $K(w) = \max_i (K(w - w_i) + v_i)$ ,  $K(w - w_i)$  is defined.

$K(1), \dots, K(5)$  undefined

$K(6) = \max_i (K(6 - w_i) + v_i) = K(0) + v_2 = 0 + 18 = 18$ .

$K(7) = 21$ ,  $K(8) = 23$ ,  $K(9)$ ,  $K(10)$ ,  $K(11)$  undefined.

$K(12) = K(12 - 6) + 18 = K(6) + 18 = 36$ .

$K(13) = K(6) + 21 = K(7) + 18 = 39$ ,

$K(14) = K(7) + 21 = 42$ ,

$K(15) = K(8) + 21 = 44$

$K(16) = K(8) + 23 = 46$ .  $K(17)$  undefined,  $K(18) = K(12) + 18 = 54$ , ....

Read off highest valued  $K(w)$  for value of solution.

# Complexity: Knapsack with Repetition.

$$K(w) = \max_i (K(w - w_i) + v_i) \text{ for } w - w_i \geq 0.$$

$$K(0) = 0$$

$W$  entries,  $O(n)$  time per entry.  
(Scan over all  $n$  items in  $\max_i$ .)

Total:  $O(nW)$  time.

# Knapsack without Repetition

Given: Weight:  $W$ , Items:  $(v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2), \dots, (v_n, w_n)$ .

Find: highest value *set* of items of weight  $\leq W$ .

Previous Dynamic Program.

$K(w)$  = “Best value of knapsack of weight  $w$ ”

$K(w) = \max_i K(w - w_i) + v_i$  for  $w - w_i \geq 0$ .

$K(0) = 0$

No way to control for using items over and over again!

# Knapsack without Repetition

Given: Weight:  $W$ , Items:  $(v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2), \dots, (v_n, w_n)$ .

Find: highest value **subset** of items of weight  $\leq W$ .

Subproblem?

Idea? Subset! In subset or not! Sequential.

Best knapsack using first  $i$  items?

Best depends on how much space is left.

Best knapsack of weight  $w$  using first  $i$  items.

$K(w, i)$  = "Best weight  $w$  Knapsack with subset of first  $i$  items."

Either add item or not!

$$K(w, i) = \max\{K(w - w_i, i - 1) + v_i, K(w, i - 1)\}$$

$$K(0, 0) = 0$$

## Example no Repetition

	item	weight	value
Weight 30	1	15	43
	2	6	18
	3	7	21
	4	8	23

$$K(0,0) = 0.$$

$$\text{Recurrence: } K(w,i) = \max(K(w,i-1), K(w-w_i,i-1) + v_i).$$

$$K(0,1) = 0, K(15,1) = 43, \text{ All other } K(w,1) \text{ undefined.}$$

$$K(0,2) = 0, K(6,2) = 18, K(15,2) = 43, K(21,2) = 61, \\ \text{All other } K(w,i) \text{ undefined.}$$

$$K(0,3) = 0, K(6,3) = 18, K(7,3) = 21, K(13,3) = 39, K(15,3) = 43, \\ K(22,3) = 64 \dots$$

....

Read off highest value of  $K(w,n)$  for answer.