Announcements

- No Lecture this Thursday
  - Study instead
- Midterm this Thursday 10/4 at 7 PM
  - See Piazza for rooms
- Homework 6: Probability and Bayes Nets
  - Due Monday 10/17 at 11:59pm
- Project 3: MDPs and Reinforcement Learning
  - Due Friday 10/14 at 5pm

Our Status in CS188

- We're done with Part I: Search and Planning!
- We've seen how AI methods can solve problems in:
  - Search
  - Constraint Satisfaction Problems
  - Games
  - Markov Decision Problems
  - Reinforcement Learning

Our Status in CS188

Next up:

- Part II: Probabilistic Reasoning
  - Diagnosis
  - Speech recognition
  - Tracking objects
  - Robot mapping
  - Genetics
  - Error correcting codes
  - … lots more!



- Part III: Machine Learning

CS 188: Artificial Intelligence

Probability Review



Instructors: Adam Janin, Josh Hug -- University of California, Berkeley

Recall (?): Inference with Bayes' Rule

- Example: Diagnostic probability from causal probability:

$$P(\text{cause}|\text{effect}) = \frac{P(\text{effect}|\text{cause})P(\text{cause})}{P(\text{effect})}$$

- Example:
  - M: meningitis, S: stiff neck

$$\left.\begin{aligned} P(+m) &= 0.0001 \\ P(+s|+m) &= 0.8 \\ P(+s|-m) &= 0.01 \end{aligned}\right\} \text{Example givens}$$

- From the information above, what is the chance that you have meningitis assuming your neck is stiff, i.e. P(+m | +s)
  - In theory, you learned all this in CS70, and we'll be reviewing it today. Give it a shot and see how you do.

Today

- Probability
  - Random Variables
  - Joint and Marginal Distributions
  - Conditional Distribution
  - Product Rule, Chain Rule, Bayes' Rule
  - Inference
  - Independence

- You'll need all this stuff A LOT for the next few weeks, so make sure you go over it now!



Inference in Ghostbusters

- A ghost is in the grid somewhere
- Sensor readings tell how close a square is to the ghost
  - On the ghost: red
  - 1 or 2 away: orange
  - 3 or 4 away: yellow
  - 5+ away: green



- Sensors are noisy, but we know P(Color | Distance)

P(red | 3)	P(orange | 3)	P(yellow | 3)	P(green | 3)
0.05	0.15	0.5	0.3

[Demo: Ghostbuster – no probability (L12D1)]

Demo Ghostbusters – No probability

Uncertainty

- General situation:
  - **Observed variables (evidence)**: Agent knows certain things about the state of the world (e.g., sensor readings or symptoms)
  - **Unobserved variables**: Agent needs to reason about other aspects (e.g. where an object is or what disease is present)
  - **Model**: Agent knows something about how the known variables relate to the unknown variables
- Probabilistic reasoning gives us a framework for managing our beliefs and knowledge



Random Variables

- A random variable is some aspect of the world about which we (may) have uncertainty
  - R = Is it raining?
  - T = Is it hot or cold?
  - D = How long will it take to drive to work?
  - L = Where is the ghost?
- We denote random variables with capital letters
- Like variables in a CSP, random variables have domains
  - R in {true, false}   (often write as {+r, -r})
  - T in {hot, cold}
  - D in [0, ∞)
  - L in possible locations, maybe {(0,0), (0,1), …}



Probability Distributions

- Associate a probability with each value

  - Temperature:



$P(T)$

T	P
hot	0.5
cold	0.5

  - Weather:



$P(W)$

W	P
sun	0.6
rain	0.1
fog	0.3
meteor	0.0

Probability Distributions

- Unobserved random variables have distributions

$P(T)$

T	P
hot	0.5
cold	0.5

$P(W)$

W	P
sun	0.6
rain	0.1
fog	0.3
meteor	0.0

- A distribution is a TABLE of probabilities of values

- A probability (lower case value) is a single number

$$P(W = rain) = 0.1$$

- Must have: $\forall x \;\; P(X = x) \geq 0$ and $\sum_x P(X = x) = 1$

Shorthand notation:

$$P(hot) = P(T = hot),$$
$$P(cold) = P(T = cold),$$
$$P(rain) = P(W = rain),$$
$$\ldots$$

OK *if* all domain entries are unique

Joint Distributions

- A *joint distribution* over a set of random variables: $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n$ specifies a real number for each assignment (or *outcome*):

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \ldots X_n = x_n)$$

$$P(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n)$$

  - Must obey: $P(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n) \geq 0$

$$\sum_{(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n)} P(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n) = 1$$

$P(T, W)$

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

- Size of distribution if n variables with domain sizes d?
  - For all but the smallest distributions, impractical to write out!

Probabilistic Models

- A probabilistic model is a joint distribution over a set of random variables

- Probabilistic models:
  - (Random) variables with domains
  - Assignments are called *outcomes*
  - Joint distributions: say whether assignments (outcomes) are likely
  - *Normalized:* sum to 1.0
  - Ideally: only certain variables directly interact

- Constraint satisfaction problems:
  - Variables with domains
  - Constraints: state whether assignments are possible
  - Ideally: only certain variables directly interact

Distribution over T,W

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3



Constraint over T,W

T	W	P
hot	sun	T
hot	rain	F
cold	sun	F
cold	rain	T



Events

- An *event* is a set E of outcomes

$$P(E) = \sum_{(x_1 \ldots x_n) \in E} P(x_1 \ldots x_n)$$

- From a joint distribution, we can calculate the probability of any event
  - Probability that it's hot AND sunny? P(hot, sun)
  - Probability that it's hot? P(hot)
  - Probability that it's hot OR sunny? P(hot or sun)
- Typically, the events we care about are *partial assignments*, like P(T=hot)

$$P(T, W)$$

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

Events

- P(+dog, +cat) ?
- P(+dog) ?
- P(-cat OR +dog) ?

$P(D, C)$

D	C	P
+dog	+cat	0.2
+dog	-cat	0.3
-dog	+cat	0.4
-dog	-cat	0.1

Marginal Distributions



- Marginal distributions are sub-tables which eliminate variables
- Marginalization (summing out): Combine collapsed rows by adding

$P(T,W)$

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

$$P(t) = \sum_s P(t, s)$$

$$P(s) = \sum_t P(t, s)$$

$P(T)$

T	P
hot	0.5
cold	0.5

$P(W)$

W	P
sun	0.6
rain	0.4

$$P(X_1 = x_1) = \sum_{x_2} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2)$$

Marginal Distributions

$P(D, C)$

D	C	P
+dog	+cat	0.2
+dog	-cat	0.3
-dog	+cat	0.4
-dog	-cat	0.1

$$P(d) = \sum_c P(d, c)$$

$$P(c) = \sum_d P(d, c)$$

$P(D)$

D	P
+dog	
-dog	

$P(C)$

C	P
+cat	
-cat	



Conditional Probabilities

- A simple relation between joint and conditional probabilities
  - In fact, this is taken as the *definition* of a conditional probability

$$P(a|b) = \frac{P(a,b)}{P(b)}$$



$$P(T, W)$$

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

$$P(W = s|T = c) = \frac{P(W = s, T = c)}{P(T = c)} = \frac{0.2}{0.5} = 0.4$$

$$= P(W = s, T = c) + P(W = r, T = c)$$
$$= 0.2 + 0.3 \quad = 0.5$$

Conditional Probabilities

$P(D, C)$

D	C	P
+dog	+cat	0.2
+dog	-cat	0.3
-dog	+cat	0.4
-dog	-cat	0.1

- P(+dog | +cat) ?
- P(-dog | +cat) ?
- P(-cat | +dog) ?

Conditional Distributions

- Conditional distributions are probability distributions over some variables given fixed values of others

Conditional Distributions

$$P(W|T)$$

$$P(W|T = hot)$$

W	P
sun	0.8
rain	0.2

$$P(W|T = cold)$$

W	P
sun	0.4
rain	0.6

Joint Distribution

$$P(T, W)$$

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

Conditional Distributions (Tedious)

$$P(T, W)$$

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

$$\begin{aligned} P(W=s|T=c) &= \frac{P(W=s,T=c)}{P(T=c)} \\ &= \frac{P(W=s,T=c)}{P(W=s,T=c)+P(W=r,T=c)} \\ &= \frac{0.2}{0.2+0.3} = 0.4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\longrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(W=r|T=c) &= \frac{P(W=r,T=c)}{P(T=c)} \\ &= \frac{P(W=r,T=c)}{P(W=s,T=c)+P(W=r,T=c)} \\ &= \frac{0.3}{0.2+0.3} = 0.6 \end{aligned}$$

$$P(W|T=c)$$

W	P
sun	0.4
rain	0.6

Conditional Distributions via Normalization Trick

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(W=s|T=c) &= \frac{P(W=s,T=c)}{P(T=c)} \\
&= \frac{P(W=s,T=c)}{P(W=s,T=c)+P(W=r,T=c)} \\
&= \frac{0.2}{0.2+0.3} = 0.4
\end{aligned}
$$

$P(T,W)$

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

SELECT the joint probabilities matching the evidence →

$P(c,W)$

T	W	P
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

NORMALIZE the selection (make it sum to one) →

$P(W|T=c)$

W	P
sun	0.4
rain	0.6

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(W=r|T=c) &= \frac{P(W=r,T=c)}{P(T=c)} \\
&= \frac{P(W=r,T=c)}{P(W=s,T=c)+P(W=r,T=c)} \\
&= \frac{0.3}{0.2+0.3} = 0.6
\end{aligned}
$$

Normalization Trick

$P(T, W)$

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

SELECT the joint probabilities matching the evidence

$P(c, W)$

T	W	P
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

NORMALIZE the selection (make it sum to one)

$P(W|T = c)$

W	P
sun	0.4
rain	0.6

- Why does this work? Sum of selection is P(evidence)! (P(T=c), here)

$$P(x_1|x_2) = \frac{P(x_1, x_2)}{P(x_2)} = \frac{P(x_1, x_2)}{\sum_{x_1} P(x_1, x_2)}$$

Normalization Trick

- P(D | C=-cat) ?

$P(D, C)$

D	Y	P
+dog	+cat	0.2
+dog	-cat	0.3
-dog	+cat	0.4
-dog	-cat	0.1

SELECT the joint probabilities matching the evidence

NORMALIZE the selection (make it sum to one)

To Normalize

- (Dictionary) To bring or restore to a normal condition

All entries sum to ONE

- Procedure:
  - Step 1: Compute Z = sum over all entries
  - Step 2: Divide every entry by Z

- Example 1

W	P
sun	0.2
rain	0.3

Normalize

Z = 0.5

W	P
sun	0.4
rain	0.6

- Example 2

T	W	P
hot	sun	20
hot	rain	5
cold	sun	10
cold	rain	15

Normalize

Z = 50

T	W	P
hot	sun	0.4
hot	rain	0.1
cold	sun	0.2
cold	rain	0.3

Probabilistic Inference

- Probabilistic inference: compute a desired probability from other known probabilities (e.g. conditional from joint)

- We generally compute conditional probabilities
  - P(on time | no reported accidents) = 0.90
  - These represent the agent's *beliefs* given the evidence

- Probabilities change with new evidence:
  - P(on time | no accidents, 5 a.m.) = 0.95
  - P(on time | no accidents, 5 a.m., raining) = 0.80
  - Observing new evidence causes *beliefs to be updated*

Inference by Enumeration

- General case:
  - Evidence variables: $E_1 \dots E_k = e_1 \dots e_k$
  - Query* variable: Q
  - Hidden variables: $H_1 \dots H_r$

$$\left.\begin{matrix} E_1 \dots E_k = e_1 \dots e_k \\ Q \\ H_1 \dots H_r \end{matrix}\right\} X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$$

All variables

- We want:

** Works fine with multiple query variables, too*

$$P(Q|e_1 \dots e_k)$$

- Step 1: Select the entries consistent with the evidence



- Step 2: Sum out H to get joint of Query and evidence



$$P(Q, e_1 \dots e_k) = \sum_{h_1 \dots h_r} P(\underbrace{Q, h_1 \dots h_r, e_1 \dots e_k}_{X_1, X_2, \dots X_n})$$

- Step 3: Normalize

$$\times \frac{1}{Z}$$

$$Z = \sum_q P(Q, e_1 \cdots e_k)$$

$$P(Q|e_1 \cdots e_k) = \frac{1}{Z} P(Q, e_1 \cdots e_k)$$

Inference by Enumeration

- P(W)?

- P(W | winter)?

- P(W | winter, hot)?

S	T	W	P
summer	hot	sun	0.30
summer	hot	rain	0.05
summer	cold	sun	0.10
summer	cold	rain	0.05
winter	hot	sun	0.10
winter	hot	rain	0.05
winter	cold	sun	0.15
winter	cold	rain	0.20

Inference by Enumeration

- Obvious problems:
  - Worst-case time complexity $O(d^n)$
  - Space complexity $O(d^n)$ to store the joint distribution

The Product Rule

- Sometimes have conditional distributions but want the joint

$$P(y)P(x|y) = P(x, y) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad P(x|y) = \frac{P(x, y)}{P(y)}$$



The Product Rule

$$P(y)P(x|y) = P(x,y)$$

- Example:

$P(W)$

R	P
sun	0.8
rain	0.2

$P(D|W)$

D	W	P
wet	sun	0.1
dry	sun	0.9
wet	rain	0.7
dry	rain	0.3

$\Leftrightarrow$

$P(D,W)$

D	W	P
wet	sun	
dry	sun	
wet	rain	
dry	rain	

The Chain Rule

- More generally, can always write any joint distribution as an incremental product of conditional distributions

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3) = P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_3|x_1, x_2)$$

- By induction, we can chain this along infinitely many times.

$$P(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n) = \prod_i P(x_i|x_1 \ldots x_{i-1})$$

Bayes Rule



Bayes' Rule

- Two ways to factor a joint distribution over two variables:

$$P(x,y) = P(x|y)P(y) = P(y|x)P(x)$$

- Dividing, we get:

$$P(x|y) = \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)}P(x)$$

- Why is this at all helpful?
  - Lets us build one conditional from its reverse
  - Often one conditional is tricky but the other one is simple
  - Foundation of many systems we'll see later (e.g. ASR, MT)

- In the running for most important AI equation!



Bayes Rule Example

$P(S|A)$

- Given:

$P(A)$

A	P
wolf	0.01
sister	0.99

S	A	P
howl	sister	0.05
hello	sister	0.95
howl	wolf	$1 - 10^{-6}$
hello	Wolf	10^{-6}

$$P(x|y) = \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)} P(x)$$

- What is P(sister | howl) ?

Bayes Rule

- Given:

$P(W)$

R	P
sun	0.8
rain	0.2

$P(D|W)$

D	W	P
wet	sun	0.1
dry	sun	0.9
wet	rain	0.7
dry	rain	0.3

$$P(x|y) = \frac{P(y|x)}{P(y)}P(x)$$

- What is P(W | dry) ?

Recall: Inference with Bayes' Rule

- Example: Diagnostic probability from causal probability:

$$P(\text{cause}|\text{effect}) = \frac{P(\text{effect}|\text{cause})P(\text{cause})}{P(\text{effect})}$$

- Example:
  - M: meningitis, S: stiff neck

$$\left.\begin{aligned} P(+m) &= 0.0001 \\ P(+s|+m) &= 0.8 \\ P(+s|-m) &= 0.01 \end{aligned}\right\} \text{Example givens}$$

- From the information above, what is the chance that you have meningitis assuming your neck is stiff, i.e. P(+m | +s)
  - Will probably still be tricky!

Inference with Bayes' Rule

- Example: Diagnostic probability from causal probability:

$$P(\text{cause}|\text{effect}) = \frac{P(\text{effect}|\text{cause})P(\text{cause})}{P(\text{effect})}$$

- Example:
  - M: meningitis, S: stiff neck

$$\left.\begin{aligned} P(+m) &= 0.0001 \\ P(+s|+m) &= 0.8 \\ P(+s|-m) &= 0.01 \end{aligned}\right\} \text{Example givens}$$

$$P(+m|+s) = \frac{P(+s|+m)P(+m)}{P(+s)} = \frac{P(+s|+m)P(+m)}{P(+s|+m)P(+m) + P(+s|-m)P(-m)} = \frac{0.8 \times 0.0001}{0.8 \times 0.0001 + 0.01 \times 0.999}$$

  - Note: posterior probability of meningitis still very small
  - Note: you should still get stiff necks checked out! Why?

Ghostbusters, Revisited

- Let's say we have two distributions:
  - Prior distribution over ghost location: P(G)
    - Let's say this is uniform
  - Sensor reading model: P(R | G)
    - Given: we know what our sensors do
    - R = reading color measured at (1,1)
    - E.g. P(R = yellow | G=(1,1)) = 0.1

- We can calculate the posterior distribution P(G|r) over ghost locations given a reading using Bayes' rule:

$$P(g|r) \propto P(r|g)P(g)$$

0.11	0.11	0.11
0.11	0.11	0.11
0.11	0.11	0.11

0.17	0.10	0.10
0.09	0.17	0.10
<0.01	0.09	0.17

[Demo: Ghostbuster – with probability (L12D2)]

Demo Ghostbusters with Probability

Next Time: Bayes' Nets