
CS 189: INTRODUCTION TO
MACHINE LEARNING

Fall 2017



DISCUSSION 1

DUE ON FRIDAY, AUGUST 25TH, 2017 AT 4 P.M.



Solutions by

NINH DO

25949105

Problem 1: Unitary Invariance

Prove that the regular Euclidean norm (also called the 2-norm) is unitary invariant; in other words, the 2-norm of a vector is the same, regardless of how you apply a rigid transformation to the vector (i.e., rotate or reflect). Note that rigid transformation of a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ means multiplying by an orthogonal $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

Let $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \mathbf{u}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{u}_n]$ orthogonal, i.e. $\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_i = 1$ and $\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

We prove $\|\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{U}\| = \|\mathbf{a}\|$ for all $\mathbf{a} = [a_1 \ a_2 \ \cdots \ a_n]^T$, or $\|\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{U}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{a}\|^2$ where $\|\cdot\|$ is 2-norm.

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \tag{1}$$

$$\text{LHS} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{u}_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{u}_i \right) \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j=i}^n a_i a_j \underbrace{\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_j}_{=1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n a_i a_j \underbrace{\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_j}_{=0} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, LHS = RHS

Problem 2: Eigenvalues

- Let \mathbf{A} be an invertible matrix. Show that if \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} with eigenvalue λ , then it is also an eigenvector of \mathbf{A}^{-1} with eigenvalue λ^{-1} .

\mathbf{A} has eigenvalue λ associated with eigenvector \mathbf{v} :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} &= \lambda\mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{A}^{-1}\lambda\mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{I}\mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{A}^{-1}\lambda\mathbf{v} \\ \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{v} &= \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\lambda\mathbf{v} \\ \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{v}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, λ^{-1} is the eigenvalue of the matrix \mathbf{A}^{-1} corresponding to the eigenvector \mathbf{v}

- A square and symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} is said to be positive semidefinite (PSD) ($\mathbf{A} \succeq 0$) if $\forall \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} \geq 0$. Show that \mathbf{A} is PSD if and only if all of its eigenvalues are nonnegative.

Hint: Use the eigendecomposition of the matrix \mathbf{A} .

\mathbf{A} is symmetric so it can be decomposed into $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{Q}^T$, where:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of } \mathbf{A} \text{ and}$$

$\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \mathbf{u}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{u}_n]$ is the orthogonal matrix formed by the eigenvectors \mathbf{u}_i 's of \mathbf{A} .

For any $\mathbf{v} = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \dots \ v_n]^T$, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{v} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \cdot \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{u}_2^T \cdot \mathbf{v} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_n^T \cdot \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) \lambda_i (\mathbf{u}_i^T \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_i)^2 \lambda_i\end{aligned}$$

If \mathbf{A} has nonnegative eigenvalues, i.e. λ_i 's > 0 , then $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_i)^2 \lambda_i > 0, \forall \mathbf{v}$, so \mathbf{A} is PSD.

If \mathbf{A} is PSD, i.e. $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_i)^2 \lambda_i > 0, \forall \mathbf{v}$, assuming that there is at least one eigenvalue is negative, say λ_1 , we can choose \mathbf{v} so that $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 > 0$ and $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_i = 0, \forall i \neq 1$. That is to solve the linear equation $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{b} = [c \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]^T$ with $c \neq 0$. Since \mathbf{Q} is orthogonal and has full rank so this linear equation is always solvable and has unique solution, i.e. we can always find \mathbf{v} such that $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_i)^2 \lambda_i = (\mathbf{v}^T \cdot \mathbf{u}_1)^2 \lambda_1 < 0$. Contradiction.

Problem 3: Least Squares (using vector calculus)

1. In ordinary least-squares linear regression, there is typically no \mathbf{x} such that $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{y}$ (these are typically overdetermined systems — too many equations given the number of unknowns). Hence, we need to find an approximate solution to this problem. The residual vector will be $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y}$ and we want to make it as small as possible. The most common case is to measure the residual error with the standard Euclidean 2-norm. So the problem becomes:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \quad (3)$$

Where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Derive using vector calculus an expression for an optimal estimate for \mathbf{x} for this problem assuming \mathbf{A} is full rank.

We take the derivative of $\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$ and set it to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}} &= \frac{\partial (\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial (2\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \cancel{\frac{\partial (\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}}^0 \\ &= 2\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{Ax} - 2\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} &= (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

2. What should we do if \mathbf{A} is not full rank?

If \mathbf{A} is not full rank, the equation 4 is underdetermined, as some columns of $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ depend on the others. In such a case, we cannot take the inverse of $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$ and the equation has infinite solutions. What we should do is we will take the pseudo-inverse of $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$.