

**Homework 3 Solutions**  
**Statistics 200B**  
**Due Feb. 14, 2019**

1. Consider again the cloud seeding data from Homework 2. Let  $\theta$  be the difference in the median precipitation from the two groups. Find the plug-in estimate of  $\theta$ . Using the bootstrap, estimate the standard error of the plug-in estimate and produce an approximate 95% Normal confidence interval for  $\theta$ .

**Solution:**

Define  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as the unseeded data, and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  as the seeded data. Assume that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are independent.

Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{IID}{\sim} F_1$ , and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{IID}{\sim} F_2$ . Then  $\theta = \text{median}(F_2) - \text{median}(F_1)$ . The plug-in estimate of  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta} = \text{median}(\hat{F}_2) - \text{median}(\hat{F}_1) = \text{median}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) - \text{median}(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

By using bootstrap to estimate the standard error, we can draw  $B$  pairs of bootstrap samples from  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ , respectively. For the  $i$ th ( $i = 1, \dots, B$ ) pair of bootstrap samples,  $X_{1,i}^*, \dots, X_{n,i}^*$  and  $Y_{1,i}^*, \dots, Y_{n,i}^*$ , the bootstrapped plug-in estimate is

$$\hat{\theta}_i^* = \text{median}(Y_{1,i}^*, \dots, Y_{n,i}^*) - \text{median}(X_{1,i}^*, \dots, X_{n,i}^*).$$

Then the standard error of  $\hat{\theta}$  under  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  can be estimated by the plug-in standard error estimate under  $\hat{F}_1$  and  $\hat{F}_2$ , which can be approximated by the monte carlo integration of the bootstrap estimates

$$\hat{se}(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \left( \hat{\theta}_i^* - \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \hat{\theta}_i^* \right)^2}$$

The approximate 95% Normal confidence interval for  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta} \pm z_{0.025} \hat{se}(\hat{\theta}).$$

The R code is as follows.

```

clouds<- read.table("clouds.dat",header=TRUE)
unseeded<- clouds$Unseeded
seeded<- clouds$Seeded
n <- nrow(clouds)
theta_hat<- median(seeded)- median(unseeded)
# Bootstrap
B <- 1000
bootstrap_unseeded <- sapply(1:B, FUN=function(i)
  sample(unseeded, n, replace=TRUE))
bootstrap_seeded <- sapply(1:B, FUN=function(i)
  sample(seeded, n, replace=TRUE))
bootstrap_theta_hat <- sapply(1:B, FUN=function(i)
  median(bootstrap_seeded[,i]) - median(bootstrap_unseeded[,i]))
se_hat<- sqrt(var(bootstrap_theta_hat)*(B-1)/B)
# or se_hat <- sd(bootstrap_theta_hat) without correction
print(se_hat)
# CI
CI <-c(theta_hat+qnorm(0.025)*se_hat, theta_hat-qnorm(0.025)*se_hat)
print(CI)

```

The plug-in estimate of  $\theta$  is 177.4. The estimated standard error of  $\hat{\theta}$  is 60.86. The 95% Normal CI is [58.12, 296.68].

**Note:** For this problem, the results calculated from the bootstrap may differ from the results presented here due to random variation.

2. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be distinct observations (no ties). Let  $X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*$  denote a bootstrap sample (a sample from the empirical CDF), and let  $\bar{X}_n^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^*$ . Find:  $E(\bar{X}_n^* | X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $V(\bar{X}_n^* | X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $E(\bar{X}_n^*)$ , and  $V(\bar{X}_n^*)$ .

**Solution:** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are IID,  $E(X_i) = \mu$ , and  $V(X_i) = \sigma^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\bar{X}_n^* | X_1, \dots, X_n) &= E \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* | X_1, \dots, X_n \right] \\
&= E[X_1^* | X_1, \dots, X_n] = E_{\hat{F}_n}[X_1^*] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i P(X_1^* = X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}_n.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V(\bar{X}_n^*|X_1, \dots, X_n) &= V\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^*|X_1, \dots, X_n\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} V[X_1^*|X_1, \dots, X_n] = \frac{1}{n} V_{\hat{F}_n}[X_1^*] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [X_i - E(X_1^*)]^2 P(X_1^* = X_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$E(\bar{X}_n^*) = E(E(\bar{X}_n^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)) = E(\bar{X}_n) = \mu.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V(\bar{X}_n^*) &= V(E(\bar{X}_n^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)) + E(V(\bar{X}_n^*|X_1, \dots, X_n)) \\
&= V(\bar{X}_n) + E\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) - nE[(\bar{X}_n)^2]\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} (n(\mu^2 + \sigma^2) - n(\mu^2 + \sigma^2/n)) \\
&= \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^2.
\end{aligned}$$

3. The file `bigcity.dat` on bCourse contains populations in thousands for  $n = 49$  U.S. cities in 1920 (labeled  $u$ ) and 1930 (labeled  $x$ ). Demographers are interested in estimating  $\theta = E_F[X]/E_F[U]$ , where  $F$  represents the joint distribution of  $X$  and  $U$ . Calculate the plug-in estimate of  $\theta$  and use the bootstrap to estimate the standard error and construct a 95% bootstrap pivotal interval. Hint: To sample once from  $\hat{F}$ , use something like

```

index <- sample(1:n, n, replace = TRUE)
u.star <- cities$u[index]
x.star <- cities$x[index]

```

### Solutions:

$E_F[X] = \int \int x dF(u, x)$ , so  $E_{\hat{F}}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i P(U = U_i, X = X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , and likewise for  $E_F[U]$ .

The plug-in estimate of  $\theta = E_F[X]/E_F[U]$  is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{E_{\hat{F}}[X]}{E_{\hat{F}}[U]} = \frac{\bar{X}_n}{\bar{U}_n}.$$

Since  $X_i$  and  $U_i$  are about the same city,  $X$  and  $U$  are paired data:  $(X_1, U_1), \dots, (X_n, U_n)$ . Therefore, the bootstrap sampling should be on the paired data. Denote the  $i$ th ( $i = 1, \dots, B$ ) bootstrap sample as

$$(X_{1,i}^*, U_{1,i}^*), \dots, (X_{n,i}^*, U_{n,i}^*).$$

The plug-in estimate for the  $i$ th bootstrap sample is

$$\hat{\theta}_i^* = \frac{\bar{X}_{n,i}^*}{\bar{U}_{n,i}^*}.$$

The standard error of  $\hat{\theta}$  can be estimated by

$$se(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \left( \hat{\theta}_i^* - \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \hat{\theta}_i^* \right)^2}$$

Let  $\hat{\theta}_{(0.025)}^*$  and  $\hat{\theta}_{(0.975)}^*$  be the 2.5%th and 97.5%th quantiles of  $\hat{\theta}_1^*, \dots, \hat{\theta}_B^*$ . The 95% bootstrap pivotal interval is

$$[2\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_{(0.975)}^*, 2\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_{(0.025)}^*].$$

The R code is as follows.

```
cities <- read.table("bigcity.dat", header=TRUE)
n <- 49
theta_hat <- mean(cities$x)/mean(cities$u)
print(theta_hat)
# Bootstrap
B <- 1000
indices <- sapply(1:B, FUN=function(i) sample(1:n, n, replace = TRUE))
u.star <- apply(indices, MARGIN=2, FUN=function(col) cities$u[col])
x.star <- apply(indices, MARGIN=2, FUN=function(col) cities$x[col])
bootstrap_theta_hat <- colMeans(x.star)/colMeans(u.star)
```

```

se_hat<- sqrt(var(bootstrap_theta_hat)*(B-1)/B)
print(se_hat)
# CI
CI <- 2*theta_hat - rev(quantile(bootstrap_theta_hat, probs=c(0.025, 0.975)))
names(CI) <- c("2.5%", "97.5%")
print(CI)

```

The plug-in estimate of  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta} = 1.24$ . The estimated standard error of  $\hat{\theta}$  is 0.036. The 95% bootstrap pivotal interval is [1.161, 1.299].

4. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Unif(a, b)$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are unknown parameters and  $a < b$ .

- (a) Find the method of moments estimators for  $a$  and  $b$ .
- (b) Find the MLE  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$ .

**Solutions:**

- (a) The PDF of  $X \sim Unif(a, b)$  is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & a \leq x \leq b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The first and second moments are

$$E[X] = \int_a^b x f(x) dx = \int_a^b x \frac{1}{b-a} dx = \frac{a+b}{2}. \quad (1)$$

$$E[X^2] = \int_a^b x^2 f(x) dx = \int_a^b x^2 \frac{1}{b-a} dx = \frac{a^2 + ab + b^2}{3}. \quad (2)$$

By solving (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= E[X] - \sqrt{3(E[X^2] - (E[X])^2)}; \\ b &= E[X] + \sqrt{3(E[X^2] - (E[X])^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the method of moments estimators for  $a$  and  $b$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a} &= \bar{X}_n - \sqrt{3(\bar{X}_n^2 - (\bar{X}_n)^2)}; \\ \hat{b} &= \bar{X}_n + \sqrt{3(\bar{X}_n^2 - (\bar{X}_n)^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Order  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as  $X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$ . Note that when  $X_{(1)} < a$  or  $X_{(n)} > b$ , the likelihood is 0.

When  $a \leq X_{(1)} \leq X_{(n)} \leq b$ , the likelihood is

$$L_n(a, b) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i) = \frac{1}{(b-a)^n},$$

which is a strictly decreasing function for  $b$ , and a strictly increasing function for  $a$ .

Therefore,  $L_n(a, b)$  is maximized by  $a = X_{(1)}$  and  $b = X_{(n)}$ . The MLEs are

$$\hat{a} = X_{(1)}, \quad \hat{b} = X_{(n)}.$$

5. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ . Find the MLE for  $\lambda$  and an estimated standard error.

**Solutions:**

Since  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , the mass function of  $X$  is

$$f(k) = P(X = k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The likelihood is

$$L_n(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i) = \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{X_1! \dots X_n!}.$$

The log-likelihood is

$$\ell_n(\lambda) = \log L_n(\lambda) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \log \lambda - n\lambda - \log(X_1! \dots X_n!).$$

By taking the first derivative of  $\ell_n(\lambda)$  and set it as 0, we have

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ell_n(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\lambda} - n = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \bar{X}_n.$$

Since  $\lambda > 0$  and

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \ell_n(\lambda) = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\lambda^2} < 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0,$$

$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}_n$  maximizes  $\ell_n(\lambda)$  and is the MLE for  $\lambda$ .

Also,

$$V(\hat{\lambda}) = V(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{V(X_1)}{n} = \frac{\lambda}{n} \Rightarrow se(\hat{\lambda}) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{n}}.$$

The estimated standard error of  $\hat{\lambda}$  is

$$\hat{se}(\hat{\lambda}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_n}{n}}.$$

6. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be *iid* with PDF  $f(x; \theta) = 1/\theta$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \theta$  and  $\theta > 0$ . Estimate  $\theta$  using both the method of moments and maximum likelihood. Calculate the mean squared error for each estimator. Which one should be preferred and why?

**Solutions:**

The first moment of  $X$  is

$$E[X] = \int_0^\theta x(1/\theta)dx = \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta = 2E[X].$$

So the method of moments estimator for  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta}_{MoM} = 2\bar{X}_n.$$

Order  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as  $X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$ . Note that when  $X_{(n)} > \theta$ , the likelihood is 0.

When  $X_{(n)} \leq \theta$ , the likelihood is

$$L_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^n},$$

which is a strictly decreasing function for  $\theta$ .

Therefore,  $L_n(\theta)$  is maximized by  $\theta = X_{(n)}$ . The MLE for  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = X_{(n)}.$$

From Problem 1 in Homework 2, we have calculated that

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MoM}) = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}; \quad MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \frac{2\theta^2}{(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

Since  $MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MoM}) = MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$  for  $n = 1, 2$ , and  $MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MoM}) > MSE(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$  for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$  is preferred.

7. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be *iid* with common distribution

$$P(X_i \leq x | \alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ (x/\beta)^\alpha & 0 \leq x \leq \beta \\ 1 & x > \beta \end{cases}$$

- (a) Find the MLEs for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .
- (b) The length (in millimeters) of cuckoo's eggs found in hedge sparrow nests can be modeled with this distribution. For the data

22.0, 23.9, 20.9, 23.8, 25.0, 24.0, 21.7, 23.8, 22.8, 23.1, 23.1, 23.5, 23.0, 23.0

find the MLEs of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) The PDF of  $X_i$  is

$$f(x) = \frac{d}{dx}P(X_i \leq x) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^\alpha}x^{\alpha-1}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \beta.$$

Since  $f(x) > 0$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq \beta$ , we know that  $\alpha > 0$ .

The likelihood of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is

$$L_n(\alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i) = \frac{\alpha^n}{\beta^{\alpha n}} (X_1 \dots X_n)^{\alpha-1}.$$

The log-likelihood is

$$\ell_n(\alpha, \beta) = n \log \alpha - \alpha n \log \beta + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i.$$



Order  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as  $X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$ . Note that when  $\beta < X_{(n)}$ ,  $L_n(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ .

When  $\beta \geq X_{(n)}$ ,  $\ell_n(\alpha, \beta)$  is a strictly decreasing function in  $\beta$ . Therefore, the MLE for  $\beta$  is

$$\hat{\beta} = X_{(n)}.$$

The first-order partial derivative with regard to  $\alpha$  is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \ell_n(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{n}{\alpha} - n \log \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i.$$

Plug in  $\hat{\beta} = X_{(n)}$  and solve  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \ell_n(\alpha, \hat{\beta}) = 0$ , we have

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{n}{n \log X_{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i} > 0.$$

For all  $\alpha > 0$ , the second-order partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \ell_n(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{n}{\alpha^2} < 0.$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\alpha}$  maximizes  $\ell_n(\alpha, \hat{\beta})$

(b) By using the following R code, we can find the MLEs of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

```
X <- c(22.0, 23.9, 20.9, 23.8, 25.0, 24.0, 21.7, 23.8, 22.8, 23.1,
      23.1, 23.5, 23.0, 23.0)
n <- length(X)
beta_hat <- max(X)
print(beta_hat)
alpha_hat <- n/(n*log(max(X)) - sum(log(X)))
print(alpha_hat)
```

The results are  $\hat{\alpha} = 12.59$ , and  $\hat{\beta} = 25$ .

8. Consider the Normal linear regression model

$$Y_i \overset{indep}{\sim} N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

Find the MLEs for  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\sigma^2$ .

**Solutions:**

In this Normal linear regression model, we may assume that  $X_i$ 's are fixed values, and write the PDF of  $Y_i$  as

$$f(y_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

Then the likelihood is

$$L_n(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(Y_i) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma)^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

The log-likelihood is

$$\ell_n(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) = -n \log(\sqrt{2\pi}) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

By taking the first-order partial derivatives with regard to  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\sigma^2$  and setting them as 0, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} \ell_n(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)}{\sigma^2} = 0; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_1} \ell_n(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)}{\sigma^2} = 0; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} \ell_n(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) &= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{2\sigma^4} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By solving the first two equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_1 &= \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i - (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i)}{n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i - \bar{X}_n \bar{Y}_n}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - (\bar{X}_n)^2}, \\ \hat{\beta}_0 &= \bar{Y}_n - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}_n. \end{aligned}$$

By solving the third equation, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i)^2}{n}.$$

By checking the second-order partial derivatives of  $\ell_n(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2)$ , we can show that the above are MLEs of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\sigma^2$ .