## Homework 7 Statistics 200B Due Mar 21, 2019

1. Consider a Bayesian model in which, conditional on unknown parameter  $\lambda$ ,  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are iid with exponential PDF

$$f(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}e^{-x/\lambda}$$

for x > 0, and the prior distribution is InverseGamma(a, b), with PDF

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{-a-1} e^{-b/\lambda}$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ .

- (a) Find the posterior distribution for  $\lambda$ , conditioning on  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ . It is fine to write the family and specify its parameters; you do not need to write out the CDF or PDF.
- (b) Show that the posterior mean can be written as a weighted average of the prior mean and the MLE for  $\lambda$ . You may use the fact that the mean of an Inverse Gamma distribution with parameters a and b is  $\frac{b}{a-1}$ . What happens as  $n \to \infty$ ?
- 2. Here is an example for which we can use the model in problem 1. Let  $\lambda$  represent the average time (in units of days) between earthquakes in the Berkeley area. To make this more precise, let's consider only earthquakes with magnitude 3 or greater on the Richter scale, and whose epicenter is within a 10 mile radius of downtown Berkeley, whose coordinates I have as  $37^{\circ}52'18''N$  and  $122^{\circ}16'22''W$ .
  - (a) Consider using an Inverse Gamma prior for  $\lambda$ . We need to choose the parameters a and b. You may have some prior knowledge about  $\lambda$ , but it may be difficult to translate this into a choice of a and b. To facilitate this, write expressions for a and b in terms of the prior mean m and the prior variance v, using that  $m = \frac{b}{a-1}$  and  $v = \frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)}$  when a > 2.

- (b) Based on your current knowledge, choose parameters a and b, and make a plot of the prior PDF. You may find it useful here and in the rest of the problem to modify the R code in the file BetaBinomial.R, which is on bCourse. (There is a dinvgamma function in the R package MCMCpack, which you can install and load using install.packages("MCMCpack") and then library(MCMCpack), or you can just code the mathematical form of the prior PDF directly.) Turn in a sentence of explanation with your plot regarding how your prior knowledge (or lack of it) informed your choice of prior distribution.
- (c) The file BerkeleyEarthquakes.RData on bCourse contains a data frame called earthquakes with information about earthquakes within a 10 mile radius of Berkeley, from 1969-2008. Load it in and take a look at the first few lines, then extract the waiting time between each earthquake using

load("BerkeleyEarthquakes.RData")
head(earthquakes)
x <- earthquakes\$Lag[-1] # First element is NA</pre>

Using the results you found in problem 1, calculate the posterior distribution for  $\lambda$ , conditional on the observed waiting times. Make a plot comparing your posterior PDF to your prior PDF. Turn in a sentence of explanation with your plot regarding any changes in your knowledge about  $\lambda$  after seeing the data.

3. Suppose  $X_1, \ldots, X_n | \theta \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Unif(0, \theta)$ . Show that the Pareto distribution is the conjugate prior distribution for  $\theta$ . The PDF for a random variable  $Y \sim Pareto(\psi, \alpha)$  is

$$f(y; \psi, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha \psi^{\alpha}}{y^{\alpha+1}} I\{y > \psi\}.$$

- 4. Consider rejection sampling when the target density  $h(\theta) = f(\theta|x^n)$ . In class we considered taking the proposal density  $g(\theta) = f(\theta)$ , i.e., the prior PDF. We set  $M = \mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}_n)$ , where  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the MLE for  $\theta$ . Explain why we should not take M to be any larger than this. Explain why we should not take it to be any smaller.
- 5. Suppose  $\theta$  has truncated normal distribution with parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$ . That is, when  $\alpha < \theta < \beta$ ,

$$f(\theta; \mu, \sigma^2, \alpha, \beta) \propto (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\theta - \mu)^2\right\}$$

and  $f(\theta; \mu, \sigma^2, \alpha, \beta) = 0$  otherwise.

- (a) Consider using rejection sampling to sample from this distribution, using the PDF of a  $Normal(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution as your proposal density. What are the steps of the algorithm in obtaining B iid samples?
- (b) Write down an expression for the probability of acceptance in any iteration of the algorithm. When will the algorithm be efficient (i.e., have high probability of acceptance)?
- (c) Write R code to generate 1000 iid samples from the truncated normal distribution with  $\mu = 10$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 4$ , a = 9, and  $\beta = 13$ . Run it and make a histogram of the samples. (Turn in both your code and your plot.)
- 6. Suppose you are in the following setting, which is a simple but realistic clinical trial. 100 people in a control group receive a placebo (or standard treatment), and 100 receive a new experimental treatment. In the control group,  $x_1 = 33$  survive at least one year, while in the experimental group,  $x_2 = 38$  survive at least one year. Let  $p_1$  be the probability of one-year survival under control and  $p_2$  under treatment in the hypothetical population from which these patients were drawn.
  - (a) Find the posterior distribution for  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , assuming the two groups of patients are independent and that we use prior distribution  $p_1, p_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Unif(0,1)$ . You may use the result in Example 11.1 of Wasserman, but make sure you understand the steps in obtaining it.
  - (b) Using the method suggested on page 406 of Wasserman, sample from the posterior distribution of  $\delta = p_2 p_1$ . Make a histogram of the sampled values.
  - (c) Suppose a doctor tells you that a value of  $\delta$  greater than 0.04 would represent a breakthrough in treatment. Use your sample to approximate the posterior probability that this drug represents a breakthrough.