Aristotle

Nicomachean Ethics

translated by

Terence Irwin

X X 7. F

GROUPE ESSEC - BIBLIOTHÈQUE 8.P. 105 95021 CERGY PONTOISE CEDEX FRANCE 761: 30.38.38.00 - Télex 697.789 F Hackett Publishing Company

Contents

Aristotle: 384-322 B.C.	
	-
•	
Copyright © 1985 by Terence Irwin	
All Rights Reserved	
Designed by Mark E. Van Halsema	•
Cover design by Richard L. Listenberger	
Printed in the United States of America	•
Fourth Printing 1988	

For further information address the publisher Hackett Publishing Company, Inc. P. O. Box 44937 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Aristotle.	• •		
Micomachean ethics.		-	
Bibliography: p.			
Includes index.			
1. Ethics. I. Irwin, Terence. II. Title.	, E	Title.	
B430.A5179 1985 171'.3	ù.	84-15772	77
ISBN 0-915145-65-0			
ISBN 0-915145-66-9 (pbk.)			

Preface	
Introduction	
Note on the Text	
Abbreviations	,

Nicomachean Ethics

BOOK ONE

BOOK TWO	33
2. Virtues of Character in General, 33 2.1. How	
a virtue of character is acquired, 33 2.2. A virtue	
of character is a state intermediate between two ex-	
tremes and involving decision, 41 2.3. The defini-	
tion of virtue as a mean applies to the individual vir-	
tues, 46	

3. The Preconditions of Virtue, 53 3.1. Voluntary	action, 53 3.2. Decision, 59 3.3. Rational wish	for the end, 64 3.4. Virtue and vice are in our		4. The individual virtues of character, 71 4.1. Brav-	
3.1	3. RE	vice		, 71	
, 53		and		racter	0,
/irtue	n, 59	Virtue		of cha	,
s of \	ecisic	3.4.		rtues (2000
dition	3.2. L	2		ual vi	Ter
Precor	53	end,	99	ndivid	71 / Temperance 70
The I	tion,	r the	power, 66	The i	7
ε.	ည်	<u>.</u>	8	٠.	ī

53

BOOK THREE

Contents

BOOK FOUR

۲.

82

4.8 4.9 Truth-4.6. The virtue concerned with 4.4. Magnificence, 93 4.7. Mildness, 105 fulness in social life, 109 4.10. Wit, 112 Friendliness in social intercourse, 107 small honours, 104 4.3. Generosity, 85 Magnanimity, 97 Shame, 114

BOOK FIVE

116

The relation of voluntary action to just action and to 5.1. The definition of justice, 116 5.3. Special justice con-5.4. Justice in distribufustice in exchange, 128 5.7. Political justice, 131 justice, 136 5.10. Puzzles about justice and injustice, trasted with general, 120 5.4. Justice in distion, 122 5.5. Justice in rectification, 125 5.8. The relation of justice to just action, 134 5.2. General justice, 118 5. Justice, 116

BOOK SIX

BOOK EIGHT

148

mean, correct reason and virtues of thought, 148 6.2. Scientific knowledge, 151 6.3. Craft knowl-6.7. Intelligence com-6.12. Puzzles about intelligence 6.1. The doctrine of the edge, 152 6.4. Intelligence, 153 6.5. Understand-Good deliberation, 161 6.9. Comprehension, 164 Intelligence and the other virtues of thought concerned 6.13. The relation between inpared with the other virtues of thought, 158 6.10. Consideration and considerateness, 165 telligence and virtue of character, 168 6.6. Wisdom, 156 Virtues of thought, 148 with particulars, 165 and wisdom, 166 ing, 156

BOOK SEVEN

172

tinence and incontinence, 173 7.3. Puzzles about 7.4. The scope of incon-7. Conditions Connected with Virtue and Vice: Con-7.1. Conditions superior to virtue, inferior to vice, and between vir-7.2. Common beliefs about continence and Incontinence, 172 the common beliefs, 174 tue and vice, 172

Contents

incontinent?, 182 7.7. Simple incontinence is worse 7.5. What sort of knowledge does the 7.6. Who is simply 7.8. Incontinence compared with intemperance, 189 7.9. Why in-7.11. Temtemperance is worse than incontinence, 192 7.10. 7.12. Incontinence The continent person's decision, 194 than incontinence in emotion, 187 perance and continence, 196 incontinent have or lack?, 178 and intelligence, 196 tinence, 177

8.4. Pleasure 8. A Further Condition connected with Virtue and Vice: Pleasure, 198 8.1. Problems about pleasure, 8.2. Reply to the arguments, 199 8.3. Plea-Are bodily pleasures bad?, 204 8.7. Why people may still be the best good, 202 8.5. Since pleasure is a part of happiness, it must be a good, 204 8.6. hold mistaken views about bodily pleasures, 205 sure still appears to be the good, 202 198

207

9.2. General account of friendship, 209 9.3. The three types of friendship, 211 9.4. Dif-9.1. The probferences and similarities between complete and incomplete friendship, 214 9.5. Friendship between unequals, 220 9.6. Friendship in communities, 224 9.7. Friendship within families, 229 9. The Varieties of Friendship, 207 lems, 207

10.1. Disputes in friendships between equals, 232 10. Problems and Difficulties in Friendships, 232 10.2. Answers to the disputes, 234 10.3. Disputes in friendships between unequals, 235

BOOK NINE

10.4. Disputes between friends with dissimilar aims, 238 10.5. Conflicts between different types of friendships, 240 10.6. When should friendships be dissolved?, 243

II. The Sources and Justification of Friendship, 245 11.1. Friendship may be understood by reference to self-love, 245 11.2. Goodwill, 248 11.3. Con-251 11.5. Self-love, 253 11.6. The justification cord, 249 11.4. Active and unselfish benevolence,

Contents

Ϋ́

friends for a happy life, 261 11.8. The role of friends in living together, in good fortune and in ill 11.7. The proper number of fortune, 263 11.9. The importance of living together in friendship, 265 of friendship, 257

266

pleasure is the good, 267 12.3. No sound argument 12.2. No sound argument proves that sure is an activity, not a process, 273 12.5. Plea-12.6. Pleasures dif-12.1. The right approach to pleafer in kind, 277 12.7. Which pleasures are goods? proves that pleasure is not a good, 269 sure completes an activity, 275 Pleasure, 266 sure, 266

capitulation, 281 13.2. Happiness is virtuous acion, not amusement, 282 13.3. Theoretical study 13.4 13. Happiness: Further Discussion, 281 13.1. Re-The relation of study to the other virtues in happis the supreme element of happiness, 284

14.4. Who 14.2. Moral 14.3. Moral 14. Ethics, Moral Education and Politics, 291 14.1. should teach legislative science, and how?, 296 education needs legislative science, 294 education requires legislation, 293 We must study moral education, 291

Notes	299
Annotated Glossary	385
Aristotle's Literary References	433
Further Reading	437

ment proceeds, in general and in detail, and at the same centrated Greek fairly intelligible to those who read him in more complex sentences, and tried to make explicit the points ually says, and therefore have distinguished elucidations and supplements from the actual rendering of the Greek words (see Introduction, sec. 'This Edition'). I hope the translation time literal enough to show what grounds the text offers for from the admirably scholarly and fluent version by Sir David terpretations, sometimes by indicating the inadequacy of an English term for capturing the Greek completely. At the same English. I have, for example, divided Aristotle's longer and hat he conveys by hints or allusions. However, I have wanted to avoid making Aristotle appear to say more than he acis clear and explicit enough to show how Aristotle's arguited from the work of previous translators, and above all Ross. The notes and glossary are meant to make the translaon the Nicomachean Ethics, I have thought it useful to inbut provide some information that may be helpful to readers This translation attempts to make Aristotle's terse and condifferent lines of interpretation. At each stage I have beneion more intelligible, sometimes by defending particular inime, since there is no full and recent English commentary puzzled (as they should be) about the exact drift of the arguwho are beginning the study of the Ethics, and are often clude some exegetical notes. These are not a commentary

2

Abbreviations

Nicomachean Ethics

In the Introduction, Notes, and Glossary, passages from the EN are cited by Bekker pages and lines. Other works of Aristotle are cited by Bekker pages and lines and abbreviated titles. (The Bekker pages are continuous throughout the Aristotelian corpus.) The abbreviations should be intelligible from the list of the traditional Latin or English titles below. Square brackets indicate dubious or spurious works. Some of the less obvious abbreviations are indicated in parentheses.

Historia Animalium Ethica Nicomachea [Magna Moralia] Parya Naturalia Metaphysics **Problems**] Shetoric Physics **Politics Poetics** Topics De Generatione et Corruptione (GC) De Generatione Animalium (GA) De Partibus Animalium (PA) De Motu Animalium (MA) Analytica Posteriora De Interpretatione Analytica Priora Ethica Eudemia Categories De Anima De Caelo

The dialogues of Plato are cited by abbreviated title and the standard Stephanus pages.

Fragments of some Greek authors are cited from standard collections as

DK = Diels, H., and Kranz, W., eds., Die Fragmente der Vorsokratiker (6th ed., Berlin, 1951).

Diehl = Diehl, E., ed., Anthologia Lyrica Graeca (3rd ed., Leipzig,

Kaibel = Kaibel, G., ed., Comicorum Graecorum Fragmenta (Berlin, 1899).

Kock = Kock, T., ed., Comicorum Atticorum Fragmenta (Leipzig, 1880-8).

TGF = Nauck, A., ed., Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta (2nd ed., Leipzig, 1899).

1. The Highest Good: Happiness

1.1 The Highest Good is Supreme in the Hierarchy of Goods

Goods correspond to ends

Every craft and every investigation, and likewise every action and decision, seems to aim at some good; hence the good has been well described as that at which everything aims.

However, there is an apparent difference among the ends aimed at. For the end is sometimes an activity, sometimes a product beyond the activity; and when there is an end beyond the action, the product is by nature better than the activity.

Ŋ

The hierarchy of goods corresponds to the hierarchy of ends

Since there are many actions, crafts and sciences, the ends turn out to be many as well; for health is the énd of medicine, a boat of boatbuilding, victory of generalship, and wealth of household management.

But whenever any of these sciences are subordinate to some one capacity—as e.g. bridlemaking and every other science producing equipment for horses are subordinate to horseman-

XXX

Chapter 2

are subordinate to further ones - in each of these the end of also pursued. And here it does not matter whether the ends ordinate to generalship, and in the same way other sciences the ruling science is more choiceworthy than all the ends subordinate to it, since it is the end for which those ends are of the actions are the activities themselves, or some product ship, while this and every action in warfare are in turn subbeyond them, as in the sciences we have mentioned.

5

The highest good

Suppose, then, that (a) there is some end of the things we since (c) if we do, it will go on without limit, making desire pursue in our actions which we wish for because of itself, we do not choose everything because of something else, empty and futile; then clearly (d) this end will be the good, and because of which we wish for the other things; and (b) i.e. the best good.

1.2 The Ruling Science Studying the Highest Good is Political Science

The importance of finding the science of the highest good

we have a target to aim at, we are more likely to hit the right what the good is, and which science or capacity is concerned portance for the conduct of our lives, and if, like archers, mark. If so, we should try to grasp, in outline at any rate, Then surely knowledge of this good is also of great im-

25

The relevant science is political science

It seems to concern the most controlling science, the one that, more than any other, is the ruling science. And political science apparently has this character.

(1) For it is the one that prescribes which of the sciences

ought to be studied in cities, and which ones each class in the city should learn, and how far.

1094b15

e.g. generalship, household management and rhetoric, are (2) Again, we see that even the most honoured capacities, subordinate to it.

action, and moreover legislates what must be done and what (3) Further, it uses the other sciences concerned with

LΩ

Hence its end will include the ends of the other sciences, and so will be the human good.

vidual, it is finer and more divine to acquire and preserve still the good of the city is apparently a greater and more factory to acquire and preserve the good even for an indimittedly the good is the same for a city as for an individual, complete good to acquire and preserve. For while it is satisit for a people and for cities. And so, since our investigation aims at these [goods, for an individual and for a city], it is [This is properly called political science;] for though ada sort of political science.

9

The Method of Political Inquiry

The demand for exactness must be limited by the nature of ethics

Our discussion will be adequate if its degree of clarity fits the subject-matter; for we should not seek the same degree of exactness in all sorts of arguments alike, any more than in the products of different crafts.

Moreover, what is fine and what is just, the topics of inharm to many people; for it has happened that some people however, also vary in the same sort of way, since they cause have been destroyed because of their wealth, others because quiry in political science, differ and vary so much that they seem to rest on convention only, not on nature. Goods, of their bravery

1095a25

The proper aim of ethical theory

8

and about what holds good usually [but not universally], it and about, it will be satisfactory if we can indicate the truth roughly and in outline; since [that is to say] we argue from will be satisfactory if we can draw conclusions of the same Since these, then, are the sorts of things we argue from sort.

How to judge an ethical theory

Each of our claims, then, ought to be accepted in the same person seeks exactness in each area to the extent that the nature of the subject allows; for apparently it is just as mistaken to demand demonstrations from a rhetorician as to acway [as claiming to hold good usually], since the educated cept [merely] persuasive arguments from a mathematician.

25

Further, each person judges well what he knows, and is a good judge about that; hence the good judge in a particular area is the person educated in that area, and the unconditionally good judge is the person educated in every area.

1095a

Qualifications of the student of ethics

This is why a youth is not a suitable student of political science; for he lacks experience of the actions in life which political science argues from and about.

Moreover, since he tends to be guided by his feelings, his study will be futile and useless; for its end is action, not knowledge. And here it does not matter whether he is young in years or immature in character, since the deficiency does not depend on age, but results from being guided in his life and in each of his pursuits by his feelings; for an immature person, like an incontinent person, gets no benefit from his knowledge.

S

sires and in acting, then this knowledge will be of great If, however, we are guided by reason in forming our debenefit.

9

Chapter 4

These are the preliminary points about the student, about the way our claims are to be accepted, and about what we intend to do

1.4 Common Beliefs About the Highest Good are Inadequate

with happiness, but disagree about the nature 1.41 Most people identify the good of happiness Let us, then, begin again. Since every sort of knowledge and decision pursues some good, what is that good which we say is the aim of political science? What [in other words] is the highest of all the goods pursued in action?

4

As far as its name goes, most people virtually agree [about what the good is], since both the many and the cultivated call it happiness, and suppose that living well and doing well are the same as being happy. But they disagree about what happiness is, and the many do not give the same answer as the wise.

8

For the many think it is something obvious and evident, others another; and indeed the same person keeps changing e.g. pleasure, wealth or honour, some thinking one thing, his mind, since in sickness he thinks it is health, in poverty wealth. And when they are conscious of their own ignorance, they admire anyone who speaks of something grand and beyond them.

25

[Among the wise,] however, some used to think that besides these many goods there is some other good that is something in itself, and also causes all these goods to be

Book

1.42 Ethical method

principles, since we are arguing towards them, but we must not take for granted our first We must examine these common beliefs; not from them

Presumably, then, it is rather futile to examine all these beliefs, and it is enough to examine those that are most current or seem to have some argument for them.

30

to ask if [the argument] set out from the origins or led towards We must notice, however, the difference between arguments from origins and arguments towards origins. For indeed Plato was right to be puzzled about this, when he used them-just as on a race course the path may go from the starting-line to the far end, or back again.

1095b

To argue towards first principles we must begin from common beliefs that are familiar to us

For while we should certainly begin from origins that are known, things are known in two ways; for some are known to us, some known unconditionally [but not necessarily known to us]. Presumably, then, the origin we should begin from is what is known to us.

To become familiar with common beliefs we need a good upbringing

ıΩ

This is why we need to have been brought up in fine habits and of political questions generally. For the origin we begin ing, we have the origins to begin from, or can easily acquire should listen to Hesiod: 'He who understands everything if we are to be adequate students of what is fine and just, parent enough to us, we will not, at this stage, need the reason why it is true in addition; and if we have this good upbringthem. Someone who neither has them nor can acquire them from is the belief that something is true, and if this is ap-

Chapter 5

1095b25

himself is best of all; he is noble also who listens to one who has spoken well; but he who neither understands it himself nor takes to heart what he hears from another is a useless

reflect common beliefs about the good, but face criticism from other common beliefs 1.43 Three conceptions of the best life

But let us begin again from [the common beliefs] from from the lives [they lead]; for there are roughly three most favoured lives-the lives of gratification, of political activwhich we digressed. For, it would seem, people quite reasonably reach their conception of the good, i.e. of happiness, ty, and, third, of study.

The life of gratification: pleasure

good and happiness as pleasure, and hence they also like the The many, the most vulgar, would seem to conceive the life of gratification. Here they appear completely slavish, since the life they decide on is a life for grazing animals; and yet they have some argument in their defence, since many in positions of power feel the same way as Sardanapallus and also choose this life.

20

The life of action: honour or virtue

seems to depend more on those who honour than on the one The cultivated people, those active [in politics], conceive mally pursued] in the political life. This, however, appears to be too superficial to be what we are seeking, since it honoured, whereas we intuitively believe that the good is the good as honour, since this is more or less the end [norsomething of our own and hard to take from us.

25

Further, it would seem, they pursue honour to convince themselves that they are good; at any rate, they seek to be honoured by intelligent people, among people who know

9

Chapter 6

1096a30

them, and for virtue. It is clear, then, that in the view of active people at least, virtue is superior [to honour].

30

Perhaps, indeed, one might conceive virtue more than honour to be the end of the political life. However, this also is apparently too incomplete [to be the good]. For, it seems, someone might possess virtue but be asleep or inactive throughout his life; or, further, he might suffer the worst evils and misfortunes; and if this is the sort of life he leads, no one would count him happy, except to defend a philosopher's paradox. Enough about this, since it has been adequately discussed in the popular works also.

1096a

The life of study

S

The third life is the life of study, which we will examine in what follows.

The life of money-making may be safely ignored

chosen for itself]; and clearly wealth is not the good we are seeking, since it is [merely] useful, [choiceworthy only] for since they are liked for themselves. But apparently they are The money-maker's life is in a way forced on him [not some other end. Hence one would be more inclined to suppose that [any of] the goods mentioned earlier is the end, not [the end] either; and many arguments have been presented against them. Let us, then, dismiss them.

9

I.44 A philosophical conception of the good: Plato's theory of Forms' or Ideas'

9

good, and puzzle out what is meant in speaking of it. This who introduced the Forms were friends of ours; still, it Presumably, though, we had better examine the universal sort of inquiry is, to be sure, unwelcome to us, when those presumably seems better, indeed only right, to destroy even what is close to us if that is the way to preserve the truth. And we must especially do this when we are philosophers,

ਨ

[lovers of wisdom]; for though we love both the truth and

our friends, piety requires us to honour the truth first.

1.441 Objections to the Form as a universal

Those who introduced this view did not mean to produce an Idea for any [series] in which they spoke of prior and But the good is spoken of both in the [category of] what-it-is posterior [members]; that was why they did not mean to establish an Idea [of number] for [the series of] numbers. is relative, since a relative would seem to be an appendage [i.e. substance], and in [the categories of] quality and relative; and what is in itself, i.e. substance, is by nature prior to what and coincident of being. And so there is no common Idea (1) There are no universals for ordered series over these.

20

(2) There is no universal good across the categories

Further, good is spoken of in as many ways as being is spoken of. For it is spoken of in [the category of] what it is, as god and mind; in quality, as the virtues; in quantity, as the measured amount; in relative, as the useful; in time, as the opportune moment; in place, as the [right] situation; and mon [nature of good things] that is universal and single; for so on. Hence it is clear that the good cannot be some comif it were, it would be spoken of in only one of the categories, not in them all.

25

(3) There is no single Idea across different sciences

Further, if a number of things have a single Idea, there is also a single science of them; hence [if there were an Idea of Good] there would also be some single science of all goods. But in fact there are many sciences even of the goods under

39

1096a30

Chapter 6

Book i

1096b25

in war is generalship, in disease medicine. And similarly the science of the measured amount in food is medicine, in exertion gymnastics. [Hence there is no single science of the one category; for the science of the opportune moment, e.g. good, and so no Idea.]

I.442 Objections to the Form as separated

Separation is pointless for understanding goodness

in so far as each is man, they will not differ at all. If that is so, then [Good Itself and good have the same account of good]; hence they also will not differ at all in so far as each really mean in speaking of The So-and-So Itself, since Man Itself and man have one and the same account of man; for One might be puzzled about what [the believers in Ideas] good, [hence there is no point in appealing to Good Itself].

1096b

The eternity of the Form is irrelevant

Moreover, Good Itself will be no more of a good by being eternal; for a white thing is no whiter if it lasts a long time than if it lasts a day.

(6) The Pythagorean view

about the good, since they place the One in the column of The Pythagoreans seemingly have a more plausible view goods. Indeed, Speusippus seems to have followed them. But let us leave this for another discussion.

1.443 Further objections to the Form, arising from the diversity of goods (7) There is no Form even for intrinsic goods

A dispute emerges about what we have said: "The arguments [in favour of the Idea] are not concerned with every

sort of good. Goods pursued and liked in themselves are spoken of as one species of goods, while those that in some their contraries, are spoken of as goods because of these and in a different way; clearly, then, goods are spoken of in two ways, and some are goods in themselves, others goods because of these. [And it is claimed only that there is a single way tend to produce or preserve these goods, or to prevent Form for all goods in themselves.]'

Let us, then, separate the goods in themselves from the merely] useful goods, and consider whether goods in themselves are spoken of in correspondence to a single Idea.

5

sures, and honours; for even if we also pursue these because themselves. (b) Or perhaps nothing except the Idea is good Well, what sorts of goods may be regarded as goods in themselves? (a) Perhaps they are those that are pursued even on their own, e.g. intelligence, seeing, some types of pleaof something else, they may still be regarded as goods in in itself.

8

since these other things are also goods in themselves, the have different and dissimilar accounts, precisely in so far [If (b) is true], then the Form will be futile, [since it will not explain the goodness of anything. But if (a) is true], then, same account of good will have to turn up in all of them, just as the same account of whiteness turns up in snow and in chalk. In fact, however, honour, intelligence and pleasure as they are goods. Hence the good is not something comnon which corresponds to a single Idea.

8

chance, the connection between their definitions does not imply the existence of a single Form (8) Though goods are not homonymous by

But how after all, then, is good spoken of? For [these goods have different accounts, i.e. are homonymous, and yet] are seemingly not homonymous by mere chance. Perhaps they are homonymous by all being derived from a single source, 1

1096b25

Book i

Chapter 7

9

1097a25

is to body, so understanding is to soul, and so on for or by all referring to a single focus. Or perhaps instead they are homonymous by analogy; for example, as sight other cases

1.444 The irrelevance of the Form to ethics

(9) It is irrelevant to action

8

or possess; but that is just the sort we are looking for in our another [branch of] philosophy. And the same is true about the Idea. For even if the good predicated in common is some single thing, or something separated, itself in itself, clearly it is not the sort of good a human being can pursue in action Presumably, though, we should leave these questions for now, since their exact treatment is more appropriate for present inquiry.

(10) The sciences pay no attention to the Form

35

But,' it might seem to some, 'it is better to get to know the Idea with a view to the goods that we can possess and pursue in action; for if we have this as a sort of pattern, we shall also know better about the goods that are goods for us, and if we know about them, we shall hit on them.'

1097a

This argument does indeed have some plausibility, but though it aims at some good and seeks to supply what is lacking, proceeds without concern for knowledge of the Idea; and if the Idea were such an important aid, surely it would not be reasonable for all craftsmen to be ignorant and not it would seem to clash with the sciences. For each of these, even to look for it.

Ŋ

(11) And they are right, for the Form is useless

Moreover, it is a puzzle to know what the weaver or carpenter will gain for his own craft from knowing this Good

Itself, or how anyone will be better at medicine or generalship appears to consider is not even health [universally, let alone good universally], but human beings' health, and even more han that, presumably, this human being's health, since it is from having gazed on the Idea Itself. For what the doctor particular patients he treats.

So much, then, for these questions.

1.5 Our Own View of the Good Takes Account of These Objections to Common Beliefs

1.51 Characteristics of the good

(1) The good is the end of action

But let us return once again to the good we are looking for, and consider just what it could be, since it is apparently for it is one thing in medicine, another in generalship, and one thing in one action or craft, and another thing in another; so on for the rest.

5

in medicine this is health, in generalship victory, in houseaction and decision it is the end, since it is for the sake of What, then, is the good in each of these cases? Surely it is that for the sake of which the other things are done; and building a house, in another case something else, but in every the end that everyone does the other things.

20

And so, if there is some end of everything that is pursued in action, this will be the good pursued in action; and if there are more ends than one, these will be the goods pursued in action.

sion [as before, that the highest end is the good]; but we must Our argument has progressed, then, to the same conclury to clarify this still more.

25

(2) The good is complete

Though apparently there are many ends, we choose some of them, e.g. wealth, flutes and, in general, instruments,

1097b25

Chapter 7

Book i

1097a25

because of something else; hence it is clear that not all ends are complete. But the best good is apparently something complete. Hence, if only one end is complete, this will be what we are looking for; and if more than one are complete, the most complete of these will be what we are look-

Criteria for completeness

30

An end pursued in itself, we say, is more complete than an end pursued because of something else; and an end that is never choiceworthy because of something else is more complete than ends that are choiceworthy both in themselves and because of this end; and hence an end that is always [choiceworthy, and also] choiceworthy in itself, never because of something else, is unconditionally complete.

completeness, but other goods do not (3) Happiness meets the criteria for

Now happiness more than anything else seems unconditionally complete, since we always [choose it, and also] choose it because of itself, never because of something else.

Honour, pleasure, understanding and every virtue we certainly choose because of themselves, since we would choose each of them even if it had no further result, but we also choose them for the sake of happiness, supposing that through them we shall be happy. Happiness, by contrast, no one ever chooses for their sake, or for the sake of anything else at all.

Ŋ

(4) The good is self-sufficient; so is happiness

pears to follow from self-sufficiency, since the complete good The same conclusion [that happiness is complete] also apseems to be self-sufficient.

Now what we count as self-sufficient is not what suffices for a solitary person by himself, living an isolated life, but 10 | what suffices also for parents, children, wife and in general

for friends and fellow-citizens, since a human being is a naturally political [animal]. Here, however, we must impose some limit; for if we extend the good to parents' parents and children's children and to friends of friends, we shall go on without limit; but we must examine this another time.

by itself it makes a life choiceworthy and lacking nothing; Anyhow, we regard something as self-sufficient when all and that is what we think happiness does.

5

What is self-sufficient is most choiceworthy; so is happiness 3

make happiness more choiceworthy; hence it is most good], and the larger of two goods is always more choiceworthy. [But we do not think any addition can goods would make it more choiceworthy; for [the smallest good] that is added becomes an extra quantity Moreover, we think happiness is most choiceworthy of all goods, since it is not counted as one good among many. If it were counted as one among many, then, clearly, we think that the addition of the smallest of of goods [so creating a good larger than the original choiceworthy.]

Happiness, then, is apparently something complete and self-sufficient, since it is the end of the things pursued in action.

1.52 A clearer account of the good:

the human soul's activity expressing virtue

is apparently something [generally] agreed, and what we miss But presumably the remark that the best good is happiness is a clearer statement of what the best good is.

(I) If something has a function, its good depends on its function

the function of a human being. For just as the good, i.e. [do-Well, perhaps we shall find the best good if we first find

Chapter 7

1098a20

action, seems to depend on its function, the same seems to ing] well, for a flautist, a sculptor, and every craftsman, and, in general, for whatever has a function and [characteristic] be true for a human being, if a human being has some

(2) What sorts of things have functions?

Then do the carpenter and the leatherworker have their foot and, in general, every [bodily] part apparently has its functions, may we likewise ascribe to a human being some functions and actions, while a human being has none, and is by nature idle, without any function? Or, just as eye, hand, function besides all of theirs?

8

The human function

with horse, ox and every animal. The remaining possibilwith plants, but what we are looking for is the special function of a human being; hence we should set aside the life of nutrition and growth. The life next in order is some sort ity, then, is some sort of life of action of the [part of the What, then, could this be? For living is apparently shared of life of sense-perception; but this too is apparently shared, soul] that has reason.

1098a

Clarification of has reason' and life'

Now this [part has two parts, which have reason in different ways], one as obeying the reason [in the other part], the other as itself having reason and thinking. [We intend both.] Moreover, life is also spoken of in two ways [as capacity and as activity], and we must take [a human being's special function to bel life as activity, since this seems to be called life to a fuller extent.

The human good is activity expressing virtue 4

The same is true unconditionally in every case, when we (a) We have found, then, that the human function is the of F, e.g. of a harpist, is the same in kind, so we say, as and a good harpist's is to do it well. (d) Now we take the this finely and well. (f) Each function is completed well when soul's activity that expresses reason [as itself having reason] or requires reason [as obeying reason]. (b) Now the function the function of an excellent F, e.g. an excellent harpist. (c) add to the function the superior achievement that expresses the virtue; for a harpist's function, e.g. is to play the harp, human function to be a certain kind of life, and take this life to be the soul's activity and actions that express reason. (e) [Hence by (c) and (d)] the excellent man's function is to do (d), (e) and (f)] the human good turns out to be the soul's its completion expresses the proper virtue. (g) Therefore [by activity that expresses virtue.

5

10

The good must also be complete (\mathcal{S})

And if there are more virtues than one, the good will express the best and most complete virtue. Moreover, it will be in a complete life. For one swallow does not make a spring, nor does one day; nor, similarly, does one day or a short time make us blessed and happy

1.6 Defence of the Account of the Good, from Principles of Ethical Method

1.61 It is reasonable that our account is only a sketch

is good, then anyone, it seems, can advance and articulate it, and in such cases time is a good discoverer or [at least] This, then, is a sketch of the good; for, presumably, the outline must come first, to be filled in later. If the sketch

17

... 10 10

a good co-worker. That is also how the crafts have improved, since anyone can add what is lacking [in the outline].

25

 I.62 The inexactness of our account suits the subject-matter

However, we must also remember our previous remarks, so that we do not look for the same degree of exactness in all areas, but the degree that fits the subject-matter in each area and is proper to the investigation. For the carpenter's and the geometer's inquiries about the right angle are different also; the carpenter's is confined to the right angle's usefulness for his work, whereas the geometer's concerns what, or what sort of thing, the right angle is, since he studies the truth. We must do the same, then, in other areas too, [seeking the proper degree of exactness], so that digressions do not overwhelm our main task.

1.63 Having found a first principle, we should not demand a further principle beyond it

1098b

Nor should we make the same demand for an explanation in all cases. Rather, in some cases it is enough to prove that something is true without explaining why it is true. This is so, e.g. with origins, where the fact that something is true is the first principle, i.e. the origin.

Some origins are studied by means of induction, some by means of perception, some by means of some sort of habituation, and others by other means. In each case we should try to find them out by means suited to their nature, and work hard to define them well. For they have a great influence on what follows; for the origin seems to be more than half the whole, and makes evident the answer to many of our questions.

S

Chapter 8

1098b25

1.7 Defence of the Account of the Good, from Common Beliefs

However, we should examine the origin not only from the conclusion and premises [of a deductive argument], but also from what is said about it; for all the facts harmonize with a true account, whereas the truth soon clashes with a

1.71 A common classification of goods

Goods are divided, then, into three types, some called external, some goods of the soul, others goods of the body; and the goods of the soul are said to be goods to the fullest extent and most of all, and the soul's actions and activities are ascribed to the soul. Hence the account [of the good] is sound, to judge by this belief anyhow—and it is an ancient belief agreed on by philosophers.

5

Our account is also correct in saying that some sort of actions and activities are the end; for then the end turns out to be a good of the soul, not an external good.

20

1.72 A common conception of happiness

The belief that the happy person lives well and does well in action also agrees with our account, since we have virtually said that the end is a sort of living well and doing well in action.

1.73 Commonly accepted features of happiness

Further, all the features that people look for in happiness appear to be true of the end described in our account. For to some people it seems to be virtue; to others intelligence; to others some sort of wisdom; to others again it seems to be these, or one of these, involving pleasure or requiring its addition; and others add in external prosperity as well. Some of these views are traditional, held by many, while

Chapter 8

1099b5

others are held by a few reputable men; and it is reasonable for each group to be not entirely in error, but correct on one point at least, or even on most points.

Virtue

9

First, our account agrees with those who say happiness is virtue [in general] or some [particular] virtue; for activity expressing virtue is proper to virtue. Presumably, though, it matters quite a bit whether we suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using, i.e. in a state or in an activity [that actualizes the state]. For while someone may be in a state that achieves no good, if, e.g., he is asleep or inactive in some other way, this cannot be true of the activity; for it will necessarily do actions and do well in them. And just as Olympic prizes are not for the finest and strongest, but for contestants, since it is only these who win; so also in life [only] the fine and good people who act correctly win the prize.

1099a

Pleasure

Moreover, the life of these [active] people is also pleasant in itself. For being pleased is a condition of the soul, [hence included in the activity of the soul]. Further, each type of person finds pleasure in whatever he is called a lover of, so that a horse, e.g. pleases the horse-lover, a spectacle the lover of spectacles, and similarly what is just pleases the lover of justice, and in general what expresses virtue pleases the lover of virtue. Hence the things that please most people conflict, because they are not pleasant by nature, whereas the things that please lovers of what is fine are things pleasant by nature; and actions expressing virtue are pleasant in this way; and so they both please lovers of what is fine and are pleasant in themselves.

10

Hence their life does not need pleasure to be added [to virtuous activity] as some sort of ornament; rather, it has its

15

pleasure within itself. For besides the reasons already given, someone who does not enjoy fine actions is not good; for no one would call him just, e.g., if he did not enjoy doing just actions, or generous if he did not enjoy generous actions, and similarly for the other virtues. If this is so, then actions expressing the virtues are pleasant in themselves.

Hence our account satisfies traditional ideals

Moreover, these actions are good and fine as well as pleasant; indeed, they are good, fine and pleasant more than anything else, since on this question the excellent person has good judgement, and his judgement agrees with our conclusions.

Happiness, then, is best, finest and most pleasant, and these three features are not distinguished in the way suggested by the Delian inscription: What is most just is finest; being healthy is most beneficial; but it is most pleasant to win our heart's desire.' For all three features are found in the best activities, and happiness we say is these activities, or [rather] one of them, the best one.

25

8

External goods

Nonetheless, happiness evidently also needs external goods to be added [to the activity], as we said, since we cannot, or cannot easily, do fine actions if we lack the resources.

For, first of all, in many actions we use friends, wealth and political power just as we use instruments. Further, deprivation of certain [externals]—e.g. good birth, good children, beauty—mars our blessedness; for we do not altogether have the character of happiness if we look utterly repulsive or are ill-born, solitary or childless, and have it even less, presumably, if our children or friends are totally bad, or were good but have died.

And so, as we have said, happiness would seem to need this sort of prosperity added also; that is why some people

S

1099b5

Book i

Chapter 10

1100a10

25

identify happiness with good fortune, while others [reacting from one extreme to the other] identify it with virtue.

1.8 The Place of Virtue and of External Goods in Happiness

1.81 How is happiness acquired?

6

9

This [question about the role of fortune] raises a puzzle: Is happiness acquired by learning, or habituation, or by some other form of cultivation? Or is it the result of some divine fate, or even of fortune?

Is happiness a gift of the gods?

First, then, if the gods give any gift at all to human beings, it is reasonable for them to give happiness also; indeed, it is reasonable to give happiness more than any other human [good], in so far as it is the best of human [goods]. Presumably, however, this question is more suitable for a different inquiry.

Happiness is acquired by virtue, and hence by our own actions, not by fortune

5

But even if it is not sent by the gods, but instead results from virtue and some sort of learning or cultivation, happiness appears to be one of the most divine things, since the prize and goal of virtue appears to be the best good, something divine and blessed.

Moreover [if happiness comes in this way] it will be widely shared; for anyone who is not deformed [in his capacity] for virtue will be able to achieve happiness through some sort of learning and attention.

20

And since it is better to be happy in this way than because of fortune, it is reasonable for this to be the way [we become] happy. For whatever is natural is naturally in the finest state possible, and so are the products of crafts and of every other

cause, especially the best cause; and it would be seriously inappropriate to entrust what is greatest and finest to fortune.

The answer to our question is also evident from our account [of happiness]. For we have said it is a certain sort of activity of the soul expressing virtue, [and hence not a product of fortune]; and some of the other goods are necessary conditions [of happiness], others are naturally useful and cooperative as instruments [but are not parts of it].

Further, this conclusion agrees with our opening remarks. For we took the goal of political science to be the best good; and most of its attention is devoted to the character of the citizens, to make them good people who do fine actions, [which is reasonable if happiness depends on virtue, not on fortune].

30

It is not surprising, then, that we regard neither ox nor horse nor any other kind of animal as happy, since none of them can share in this sort of activity. And for the same reason a child is not happy either, since his age prevents him from doing these sorts of actions; and if he is called happy, he is being congratulated because of anticipated blessedness, since, as we have said, happiness requires both complete virtue and a complete life.

1100a

But fortune still affects happiness

[Happiness needs a complete life.] For life includes many reversals of fortune, good and bad, and the most prosperous person may fall into a terrible disaster in old age, as the Trojan stories tell us about Priam; but if someone has suffered these sorts of misfortunes and comes to a miserable end, no one counts him happy.

1.82 Is it correct to call someone happy only when he is dead?

110

Then should we count no human being happy during his lifetime, but follow Solon's advice to wait to see the end?

Chapter 10

And if we should hold that, can he really be happy during the time after he has died? Surely that is completely absurd, Book i especially when we say happiness is an activity. 1100a10

Is his happiness assured even then?

5

but rather that when a human being has died, we can safely pronounce [that he was] blessed [before he died], on the as-We do not say, then, that someone is happy during the time he is dead, and Solon's point is not this [absurd one], sumption that he is now finally beyond evils and misfortunes.

honours or dishonours, and his children, and descendants in general, do well or suffer misfortune. [Hence, apparently, what happens after his death can affect whether or not he son also, it seems, has good or evil when, e.g., he receives Still, even this claim is disputable. For if a living person has good or evil of which he is not aware, then a dead perwas happy before his death.]

50

happy [in his lifetime] and at another time miserable. But it would also be absurd if the condition of descendants did result if the dead person's condition changed along with the fortunes of his descendants, so that at one time he became get the life they deserve, while the contrary may be true of others, and clearly they may be as distantly related to their ancestor as you please. Surely, then, it would be an absurd not affect their ancestors at all or for any length of time. may still happen to him; for some may be good people and propriately, many fluctuations of his descendants' fortunes However, this view also raises a puzzle. For even if someone has lived in blessedness until old age, and has died ap-9

25

These puzzles reflect the belief that happiness

If, then, we must wait to see the end, and must then count perhaps also show us the answer to our present question. But we must return to the previous puzzle, since that will must be stable

someone blessed, not as being blessed [during the time he is dead] but because he previously was blessed, surely it is absurd if at the time when he is happy we will not truly ascribe to him the happiness he has.

1100b

35

fro. For clearly, if we are guided by his fortunes, so that we often call him happy and then miserable again, we will We hesitate] out of reluctance to call him happy during pose happiness is enduring and definitely not prone to fluctuate, whereas the same person's fortunes often turn to and his lifetime, because of the variations, and because we supbe representing the happy person as a kind of chameleon, insecurely based.

Ŋ

Virtue is a stable and controlling element in happiness

activities expressing virtue that control happiness, and the though a human life, as we said, needs these added, it is the tunes. For his doing well or badly does not rest on them; But surely it is quite wrong to be guided by someone's forcontrary activities that control its contrary.

2

count [of happiness]. For no human achievement has the and the most honourable of the virtues themselves are more enduring [than the others] because blessed people devote their lives to them more fully and more continually than to anything else-for this [continual activity] would seem to be the reason Indeed, the present puzzle is further evidence for our acstability of activities that express virtue, since these seem to be more enduring even than our knowledge of the sciences; we do not forget them.

5

and study the actions expressing virtue, and will bear forwe are looking for and keeps the character he has throughout his life. For always, or more than anything else, he will do propriately, since he is truly 'good, foursquare and blameless'. It follows, then, that the happy person has the [stability] tunes most finely, in every way and in all conditions ap-

2

Book:

Chapter 11

ťΩ

1101b

Still, the virtuous person can lose happiness because of misfortune

However, many events are matters of fortune, and some or ill fortune clearly will not influence his life, many great strokes of good fortune will make it more blessed, since in themselves they naturally add adornment to it, and his use are smaller, some greater. Hence, while small strokes of good of them proves to be fine and excellent. Conversely, if they are great misfortunes, they oppress and spoil his blessedness, since they involve pain and impede many activities.

23

someone bears many severe misfortunes with good temper, not because he feels no distress, but because he is noble and And yet, even here what is fine shines through, whenever magnanimous.

ದ್ವ

intelligent person, we suppose, will bear strokes of fortune est actions, just as a good general will make the best use of his forces in war, and a good shoemaker will produce the finest shoe from the hides given him, and similarly for all And since it is activities that control life, as we said, no blessed person could ever become miserable, since he will never do hateful and base actions. For a truly good and suitably, and from his resources at any time will do the finother craftsmen.

1101a

S

35

tunes. He will be shaken from it, though, by many serious If this is so, then the happy person could never become miserable. Still, he will not be blessed either, if he falls into misfortunes as bad as Priam's. Nor, however, will he be inconstant and prone to fluctuate, since he will neither be easily shaken from his happiness nor shaken by just any misformisfortunes, and from these a return to happiness will take no short time; at best, it will take a long and complete length of time that includes great and fine successes.

9

Then why not say that the happy person is the one who This, then, is the partial truth in Solon's remark

expresses complete virtue in his activities, with an adequate supply of external goods, not for just any time but for a complete life? Or should we add that he will also go on living this way and will come to an appropriate end?

we will say that a living person who has, and will keep, the to be the end, and altogether complete in every way; hence The future is not apparent to us, and we take happiness goods we mentioned is blessed, but blessed as a human being is. So much for a determination of this question.

20

What happens after our deaths can affect the happiness we had in our lives

Still, it is apparently rather unfriendly and contrary to the can find themselves in many and various circumstances, some of which affect us more, some less, it is apparently a long, dants and all our friends contribute nothing. But since they common] beliefs to claim that the fortunes of our descenindeed endless, task to differentiate all the particular cases, and perhaps a general outline will be enough of an answer.

3

some have a certain weight and influence on his life, while others would seem to be lighter. The same is true for the pen to living or to dead people-much more than it matters whether lawless and terrible crimes are committed before a tragic drama begins or in the course of it. In our reasonand even more, presumably, of the puzzle about whether Misfortunes, then, even to the person himself, differ, and ing, then, we should also take account of this difference, misfortunes of his friends; and it matters whether they hapthe dead share in any good or evil.

8

But neither gains nor losses are decisive for the presence or absence of happiness

1101b

35

For if we consider this, anything good or evil penetrating to the dead would seem to be weak and unimportant, either unconditionally or for them; and even if it is not, still its

Book i Chapter 13

1102a10

size and character are not enough to make people happy who are not happy, or to take away the blessedness of those who are happy. And so, when friends do well, and likewise when they do badly, it appears to contribute something to the dead, but of a character and size that neither makes happy people not happy nor anything else of this sort.

S

1.83 The insufficiency of virtue for happiness is supported by other common beliefs, showing that happiness is honoured, not praised

172

Now that we have determined these points, let us consider whether happiness is something praiseworthy, or instead something honourable; for clearly it is not a capacity [which is neither praiseworthy nor honourable].

Whatever is praiseworthy appears to be praised for its character and its state in relation to something. We praise, e.g., the just and the brave person, and in general the good person and virtue, for their actions and achievements; and we praise the strong person, the good runner and each of the others because he naturally has a certain character and is in a certain state in relation to something good and excellent. This is clear also from praises of the gods; for these praises appear ridiculous because they are referred to us, but they are referred to us because, as we said, praise depends on such a reference.

15

20

If praise is for these sorts of things, then clearly for the best things there is no praise, but something greater and better. And indeed this is how it appears. For the gods and the most godlike of men are [not praised, but] congratulated for their blessedness and happiness. And the same is true of goods; for we never praise happiness, as we praise justice, but count it blessed, as something better and more godlike [than anything that is praised].

25

Indeed, Eudoxus seems to have used the correct argument for the victory of pleasure. By not praising pleasure though

it is a good, we indicate, so he thought, that it is superior to everything praiseworthy; and [only] the god and the good have this superiority since the other goods are [praised] by reference to them.

30

[Here he seems to have argued correctly.] For praise is given to virtue, since it makes us do fine actions; but celebrations are for [successful] achievements, either of body or of soul. But an exact treatment of this is presumably more proper for specialists in celebrations. For us, anyhow, it is clear from what has been said that happiness is something honourable and complete.

Our conclusions are supported by other claims about happiness

A further reason why this would seem to be correct is that happiness is an origin; for the origin is what we all aim at in all our other actions; and we take the origin and cause of goods to be something honourable and divine.

1.9 Introduction to the Account of Virtue

1.91 An account of happiness requires an account of virtue

Since happiness is an activity of the soul expressing complete virtue, we must examine virtue; for that will perhaps also be a way to study happiness better.

Moreover, the true politician seems to have spent more effort on virtue than on anything else, since he wants to make the citizens good and law-abiding. We find an example of this in the Spartan and Cretan legislators and in any others with their concerns. Since, then, the examination of virtue is proper for political science, the inquiry clearly suits our original decision [to pursue political science].

Chapter 13

1102b20

A discussion of virtue requires a discussion of the soul

someone setting out to heal the eyes must acquire knowledge about the whole body as well. This is all the more true to the extent that political science is better and more honourable than medicine—and even among doctors the cultivated ones tivity of the soul. If this is so, then it is clear that the politician must acquire some knowledge about the soul, just as It is clear that the virtue we must examine is human virtue, since we are also seeking the human good and human happiness. And by human virtue we mean virtue of the soul, devote a lot of effort to acquiring knowledge about the body. Hence the politician as well [as the student of nature] must not of the body, since we also say that happiness is an acstudy the soul.

20

π

tue], as far as suffices for what he seeks; for a more exact pose requires. [We] have discussed the soul sufficiently [for our purposes] in [our] popular works as well [as our less treatment would presumably take more effort than his pur-But he must study it for the purpose [of inquiring into virpopular], and we should use this discussion.

3

1.93 The rational and nonrational parts

We have said, e.g., that one [part] of the soul is nonrational, while one has reason. Are these distinguished as parts of a body and everything divisible into parts are? Or are they two only in account, and inseparable by nature, as the convex and the concave are in a surface? It does not matter for present purposes. of the soul

30

The nonrational part: (a) One part of it is unresponsive to reason

Consider the nonrational [part]. One [part] of it, i.e. the cause of nutrition and growth, is seemingly plant-like and

shared [with other living things]: for we can ascribe this capacity of the soul to everything that is nourished, including embryos, and the same one to complete living things, since this is more reasonable than to ascribe another capacity to

others seem to be active in sleep, and here the good and the Hence the virtue of this capacity is apparently shared, not [specifically] human. For this part and capacity more than bad person are least distinct, which is why happy people are said to be no better off than miserable people for half their

'n

base, unless to some small extent some movements penetrate [to our awareness], and in this way the decent person comes son has. Enough about this, however, and let us leave aside the nutritive part, since by nature it has no share in human And this lack of distinction is not surprising, since sleep is inactivity of the soul in so far as it is called excellent or to have better images [in dreams] than just any random per-

2

(b) Another part is also nonrational

Another nature in the soul would also seem to be nonrational, though in a way it shares in reason.

continent person we praise their reason, i.e. the [part] of the [Clearly it is nonrational.] For in the continent and the insoul that has reason, because it exhorts them correctly and towards what is best; but they evidently also have in them some other [part] that is by nature something besides reason, conflicting and struggling with reason.

रि

move them to the right, do the contrary and move off to the For just as paralysed parts of a body, when we decide to see the part go astray, whereas we do not see it in the soul; left, the same is true of the soul; for incontinent people have impulses in contrary directions. In bodies, admittedly, we nonetheless, presumably, we should suppose that the soul

of character; wisdom, comprehension and intelligence are

called virtues of thought, generosity and temperance virtues

Chapter 13

1103a20

Book i

1102b25

also has a [part] besides reason, contrary to and countering reason. The [precise] way it is different does not matter.

25

But it is responsive to reason

person it obeys reason; and in the temperate and the brave person it presumably listens still better to reason, since there as we said, to share in reason. At any rate, in the continent However, this [part] as well [as the rational part] appears, it agrees with reason in everything.

Hence it differs both from the wholly

unresponsive part.

desires shares in reason in a way, in so far as it both listens apparently has two parts. For while the plant-like [part] shares in reason not at all, the [part] with appetites and in general The nonrational [part], then, as well [as the whole soul] to reason and obeys it.

It listens in the way in which we are said to 'listen to reason' from father or friends, not in the way in which we ['give the reason'] in mathematics.

The nonrational part also [obeys and] is persuaded in some way by reason, as is shown by chastening, and by every sort of reproof and exhortation.

Hence it is also clear that none of the virtues of character

arises in us naturally

but by habituation

Virtue comes about, not by a process of nature,

And from the wholly rational part

part] will have two parts, one that has reason to the full ex-If we ought to say, then, that this [part] also has reason, then the [part] that has reason, as well [as the nonrational tent by having it within itself, and another [that has it] by listening to reason as to a father.

8

For if something is by nature [in one condition], habitua-

(1), What is natural cannot be changed

by habituation

by nature moves downwards, and habituation could not make it move upwards, not even if you threw it up ten thousand times to habituate it; nor could habituation make fire move

tion cannot bring it into another condition. A stone, e.g.,

1.94 The division of the virtues corresponds

The distinction between virtues also reflects this difference. Ŋ

9

and the states that are praiseworthy are the ones we call vir-

tues. [Hence wisdom is also a virtue.]

Virtues of Character in General

d

that he is wise or has good comprehension, but that he is gentle or temperate. [Hence these are the virtues of character.] And yet, we also praise the wise person for his state,

For when we speak of someone's character we do not say

of character.

5

2.1 How a Virtue of Character is Acquired

Virtue, then, is of two sorts, virtue of thought and virtue

of character. Virtue of thought arises and grows mostly from

teaching, and hence needs experience and time. Virtue of

character [i.e. of ethos] results from habit [ethos]; hence its

name 'ethical', slightly varied from 'ethos'.

1103a

to the parts of the soul

For some virtues are called virtues of thought, other virtues

33.

Thus the virtues arise in us neither by nature nor against

dition into another condition.

downwards, or bring anything that is by nature in one con-