

# 1 Algorithms for coloring circle graphs

**WARNING:** intervals  $h_1, h_2$  overlap if  $h_1 \cap h_2 \neq \emptyset$   $h_1 \not\subseteq h_2$  and  $h_2 \not\subseteq h_1$ .

We look at the class of circle graphs as the class of overlap graphs of intervals on a line. Without loss of generality, **we only consider the interval models containing open intervals** in which no two intervals share an endpoint.

For any such family of intervals  $F$  and any point  $c$  on a line, we set  $F^-(c) := \{(a, b) \in F \mid b < c\}$ ,  $F^0(c) := \{(a, b) \mid a < c < b\}$ ,  $F^+(c) := \{(a, b) \mid c < a\}$ . If  $\omega(F) = 2$ , for all  $(a, b) \in F$ , we have that  $F^0(a) \setminus F^0(b)$  and  $F^0(b) \setminus F^0(a)$  only contain nested intervals. Otherwise, if we had two overlapping intervals  $h_1, h_2 \in F^0(a) \setminus F^0(b)$ , we would have  $h_1, h_2, (a, b)$  forming a triangle.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $F$  be the interval model of a circle graph. Suppose that  $\omega(F) = 2$  and that for no two  $h_1, h_2 \in F$ , there exists  $h_3 \in F$  with  $h_3 \in h_1 \cap h_2$ . Then, there exists a 3-coloring of  $F$  such that **for all  $(a, b) \in F$ , the intervals in  $F^0(a) \setminus F^0(b)$  have the same color.**

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, suppose that the statement is incorrect and let  $F = \{h_i\}_{i=1}^n = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be the counterexample to this lemma with the least cardinality. Clearly,  **$G(F)$  is connected.** Let  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_t, b_t)$ , with  $a_1 < \dots < a_t$ , be the intervals of  $F$  which are not contained in any other intervals. Notice that we have  $F^0(a_1) = F^-(a_1) = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $|F^0(b_1)| \leq 1$ . By the minimality of  $F$ , we get that the interval model  $F' := F \setminus \{h_1\}$  is 3-colorable. It is clear that coloring  $h_1$  with a different color from  $h_2$ , we obtain a coloring for  $F$ . Which contradicts the fact that  $F$  is a counterexample to this lemma. Therefore, we conclude that  $|F^0(b_1)| \geq 2$  and  $t \geq 2$ .

By the connectedness of  $G(F)$ , we have  $h_i \cap h_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ . Let us show that **for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$  we have  $F^-(b_i) = \emptyset$  or  $F^+(a_{i+1}) = \emptyset$ .** Suppose that this does not hold for some  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ . Let  $F_1 = F \setminus F^+(a_{i+1})$  and  $F_2 = F \setminus F^-(b_i)$ .

We claim that  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \{h_i, h_{i+1}\}$ . Indeed,  $h_j \in F_1 \cap F_2$ , iff  $a_j \leq a_{i+1}$  and  $b_j \geq b_{i+1}$ . Clearly  $h_i$  and  $h_{i+1}$  have this property. Now, suppose that there exists  $h_j \in F_1 \cap F_2$  such that  $a_j < a_{i+1}$  and  $b_j > b_{i+1}$ . We cannot have  $a_j < a_i$ , because otherwise  $h_i \subseteq h_j$ . Similarly, we cannot have  $b_j > b_{i+1}$ , because otherwise, we would have  $h_{i+1} \subseteq h_j$ . Therefore we have  $a_i < a_j$  and  $b_j < b_{i+1}$ . The only remaining case is that of  $a_i < a_j < a_{i+1}$  and  $b_i < b_j < b_{i+1}$ . But if this is the case,  $\{h_i, h_j, h_{i+1}\}$  would form a triangle, which contradicts our assumptions.

In view of the minimality of  $F$ , there exist 3-colorings  $f_1, f_2$  of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  as required by the statement of this lemma with colors 1, 2 and 3 (here we use our assumption that  $F^-(b_i)$  and  $F^+(a_{i+1})$  are non-empty and thus  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are strictly smaller than  $F$ ). Since  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  overlap, they have different colors both in  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that  $f_1(h_i) = f_2(h_i) = 1$  and  $f_1(h_{i+1}) = f_2(h_{i+1}) = 2$ . Let

$$f(h) = \begin{cases} f_1(h) & \text{if } h \in F_1, \\ f_2(h) & \text{if } h \in F_2. \end{cases}$$

We verify that  $f$  is a coloring of  $F$ . Let  $h' = (a', b') \in F$ ,  $h'' = (a'', b'') \in F$  with  $a' < a'' < b' < b''$ . If  $\{h', h''\} \subseteq F_1$  or  $\{h', h''\} \subseteq F_2$ , then  $f(h') \neq f(h'')$ . If  $h' \in F^-(b_i)$  and  $h'' \in F^+(a_{i+1})$  then, by assumption we have  $h', h'' \not\subseteq (a_{i+1}, b_i)$ , and so we must have  $a' < a_{i+1} < a'' < b' < b_i < b''$ . Since  $f_2$  is a coloring, we have  $f(h') = f_2(h') = f_2(h_{i+1}) = 2$ . Since  $h'$  overlaps with  $h_{i+1}$  and  $h', h_{i+1} \in F_1$ , then  $f(h') \neq 2 = f(h'')$ . Similarly, we can verify that for all  $(a, b) \in F$  all intervals in  $F(b) \setminus F(a)$  have the same color. Thus  $f$  is a 3-coloring of  $F$ , which contradicts our choice of  $F$ . Thus, we have that  $F^-(b_i) = \emptyset$  and  $F^+(a_{i+1}) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ .

We have  $|F^+(a_2)| \geq |F^0(b_1)| \geq 2$ , and, since  $F^-(b_1) = \emptyset$ , we have  $F^0(a_2) = \{h_1\}$ .

If  $F^0(b_2) = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $t = 2$ , then  $h_2$  only overlaps with  $h_1$ . A coloring  $h_2$  with the color of the elements of  $F^0(b_1) \setminus \{h_2\}$ , we obtain a 3-coloring of  $F$  with the conditions required by the present lemma, which is a contradiction.

If  $F^0(b_2) \neq \emptyset$  and  $t \geq 3$ , we obtain (since  $h_1 \in F^-(b_2)$ ) that  $F^+(a_3) = \emptyset$  and thus  $t = 3$  and  $F^0(b_2) = \{h_3\}$ . Consider  $F \setminus h_2$ . Since  $F$  is minimal, we find that there exists a coloring  $f$  of  $F \setminus \{h_2\}$  which follows the requirement of the present lemma. Let  $h'_2 = (a'_2, b'_2)$  be the longest interval of  $F^0(b_1) \setminus \{h_2\}$  (which exists since  $|F^0(b_1)| \geq 2$ ). This way  $h'_2$  contains all the intervals in  $F^0(b_1)$ . Now, assume that  $f(h_1) = 1$  and  $f(h'_2) = 2$ . If  $f(h_3) \neq 2$ , then we have a coloring of  $F$  with the properties required by the present lemma. Which yields a contradiction. If  $f(h_3) = 2$ , then  $h_3$  and  $h'_2$  do not overlap. Thus  $h_3 \in F^+(b'_2)$ . By assumption, all intervals of  $F^0(b'_2)$  are colored with the same color  $\gamma \in \{1, 3\}$ . By recoloring all elements of  $F^+(b'_2)$  of color 2 to color  $\delta \in \{1, 3\} \setminus \gamma$  and all elements of  $F^+(b'_2)$  of color  $\delta$  with color 2, we obtain a new coloring  $f'$  of  $F \setminus \{h_2\}$ . Since  $h_3 \in F^+(b'_2)$ , we get  $f'(h'_2) = 2$  and  $f'(h_3) \neq 2$ . By coloring  $h_2$  with color 2, we obtain a coloring of  $F$  with the properties required by the present lemma.  $\square$

For each pair of overlapping intervals  $h_1, h_2 \in F$ , let  $p(h_1, h_2) = h_1 \cap h_2$ . We denote by  $P(F)$  the family of such intersections. Let  $P^0(F) \subseteq P(F)$  be the inclusion-wise maximal family of  $P(F)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $F$  be a family of intervals with  $\omega(F) = 2$ . Then, the intervals of  $P^0(F)$  do not intersect.

*Proof.* Let  $p_1 = h_1 \cap h_2 \in P^0(F)$  and  $p_2 = h_3 \cap h_4 \in P^0(F)$ . Therefore,  $(p_1 \cap p_2) = (a_4, b_1)$ . So  $a_1 < a_2 < a_4 < b_1 < b_3 < b_4$ . If  $b_2 < b_4$ , then  $h_1, h_2, h_4$  pairwise overlap. Thus we have a triangle, which is a contradiction. Thus  $b_4 < b_2$ . By a symmetric argument we get  $a_1 < a_3$ . But then  $p_2 = (a_4, b_3) \subsetneq (a_2, b_1) = p_1$  which contradicts the maximality of  $p_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $F$  be a family of intervals with  $\omega(F) = 2$ .  $\chi(F) \leq 5$ .

*Proof.* We construct the desired coloring by induction on  $k$ . Let  $k = 1$ . Let  $F_1$  be the subset of intervals of  $F$  that do not lie in the intersection of overlapping intervals of  $F$ . By Lemma 1, there exists a coloring  $f_1$  of  $F_1$  with colors  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  such that for every  $(a, b) \in F_1$ , the intervals of the family  $F_1^0(b) \setminus F^0(a)$  are colored with the same color. By Lemma 2, the intervals of the family  $P^0(F_1)$  do not overlap. By definition of  $F_1$ , each interval in  $F \setminus F_1$  is contained in some (maximal) intersection  $p \in P^0(F_1)$ . Consider that the following construction has been done for  $k - 1$  with  $k \geq 2$ . We want the following properties to be true.

1. The intervals in  $F' := \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} F_i$  (where the  $F_i$ s might not be disjoint) are colored with colors  $\{1, \dots, 5\}$ .
2. The intervals in  $F \setminus F'$  do not overlap with intervals in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-2} F_i \setminus F_{k-1}$ .
3. All intervals in  $F \setminus F'$  are contained in some interval  $p \in P^0(F^{k-1})$ .
4. For each  $p = (c, d) \in P^0(F_{k-1})$  either only one color is used to color all intervals in  $F_{k-1}^0(c) \setminus F_{k-1}^0(d)$ , and no more than two colors are used to color intervals of  $F_{k-1}^0(d) \setminus F_{k-1}^0(c)$  or viceversa.

Let us show how to carry out the  $k$ -th step of this construction. If  $F \setminus F' = \emptyset$ , then we are done and we have the desired coloring. Consider any interval  $p = (c, d) \in P^0(F_{k-1})$ , which contains at least one interval of  $F \setminus F'$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k-1}^0(c) \setminus F_{k-1}^0(d) &= \{h_j\}_{j=1}^t = \{(c_j, d_j)\}_{j=1}^t \\ F_{k-1}^0(d) \setminus F_{k-1}^0(c) &= \{h_j\}_{j=t+1}^s = \{(c_j, d_j)\}_{j=t+1}^s, \end{aligned}$$

and  $p = (c_s, d_s) \cap (c_t, d_t) = (c_s, d_t)$ . As already noted, each family consists of nested intervals. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\gamma_1 \in \{1, 3\}$  is the color used to color the intervals  $h_{t+1}, \dots, h_s$  and let  $\gamma_2, \gamma_3$  be the colors used to color  $\{h_1, \dots, h_t\}$ .

Let  $I_p$  be the set of intervals in  $F$  contained in  $p$ , the intervals  $h_{t+1}, \dots, h_s$  and the interval  $(d, d_s + 1)$ . Let  $F_{k,p}$  be the set of intervals in  $I_p$  which are not contained in the overlap of any two intervals in  $I_p$ .

Let us show that the intervals from  $I_p \setminus F_{k,p}$  do not overlap with any of the intervals of  $F' \setminus \{h_{t+1}, \dots, h_s\}$ . By condition 2 of our induction hypothesis, intervals from  $F' \setminus \{h_{t+1}, \dots, h_s\}$  that can overlap with elements in  $I_p \setminus F_{k,p}$  are only intervals in  $\{h_1, \dots, h_t\}$ . Let  $(a_1, b_1) \in I_p$  be an interval contained in the overlapping of  $(a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3) \in I_p$  and thus overlapping with some  $h_j = (c_j, d_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq t-1$ . Then  $a_i > c_s$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . We also get  $c_j < c_s, a_1 < d_j < b_1$ . Hence  $h_j, (a_2, b_2)$  and  $(a_3, b_3)$  pairwise overlap. Which is a contradiction.

If  $p$  contains at least one interval, then  $F_{k,p} \setminus (h_{t+1}, \dots, h_s) \cup \{(d, d_s + 1)\} \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 1 (replacing  $F^0(b) \setminus F^0(a)$  with  $F^0(a) \setminus F^0(b)$ ), we can color  $F_{k,p}$  with colors from  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \setminus \{\gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$  such that for each  $(a, b) \in F_{k,p}$ , the interval from  $F_{k,p}^0(a) \setminus F_{k,p}^0(b)$  have the same color. At the same time, since  $\{h_{t+1}, \dots, h_s\} = F_{k,p}^0(d) \setminus F_{k,p}^0(d_s + 1)$  we can assume that  $h_{t+1}, \dots, h_s$  is colored with (only)  $\gamma_1$ .

Let us denote  $F'_{k,p} = F_{k,p} \setminus \{(d, d_s + 1)\}$ . It is easy to see that the coloring of  $F'_{k,p}$  is compatible with that of  $F'$ . Carrying out similar constructions for each  $p \in P^0(F_{k-1})$  containing at least one uncolored interval of  $F$ , let  $F_k := \bigcup_{p \in P^0(F_{k-1})} F'_{k,p}$ .

One can check that the induction hypotheses hold for  $k$ . Also, the number of uncolored vertices strictly decreases at each step. Therefore the coloring will be completed in a finite number of iterations.  $\square$