

The logarithmic negativity in random spin chains

Authors

(Dated: January 17, 2016)

I. INTRODUCTION

II. THE DISORDERED XX CHAIN

The disordered XX chain is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{XX} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} J_i (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y) + h \sum_{i=1}^L S_i^z, \quad (1)$$

with $S_i^{x,y,z} \equiv \sigma_i^{x,y,z}/2$, σ_i^α being the Pauli matrices acting on site i . For periodic boundary conditions one has an extra term in Eq. (1) connecting site L with site 1. Hereafter we fix $h = 0$ and choose J_i uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$. After the Jordan-Wigner transformation

$$c_i = \left(\prod_{m=1}^{i-1} \sigma_m^z \right) \frac{\sigma_i^x - i \sigma_i^y}{2}, \quad (2)$$

(1) is recast in the free-fermionic form

$$\mathcal{H}_{XX} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} J_i (c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + c_{i+1}^\dagger c_i) + \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} c_i^\dagger c_i, \quad (3)$$

with c_i spinless fermionic operators satisfying the canonical anticommutation relations $\{c_m, c_n^\dagger\} = \delta_{m,n}$. The mapping between Eq. (1) and Eq. (3) is exact apart from boundary terms (that we neglect here) giving a vanishing contribution (as $1/L$) to physical quantities in the large chain limit.

By imposing that the single-particle eigenstates $|\Psi_q\rangle$ (with q an integer labelling the different eigenstates) of (3) are of

the form

$$\eta_q^\dagger |0\rangle \equiv |\Psi_q\rangle = \sum_i \Phi_q(i) c_i^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad (4)$$

with $|0\rangle$ the vacuum and $\Phi_q(i)$ the eigenstate amplitudes. The Schrödinger equation gives the equation for $\Phi_q(i)$ as

$$(J_i \Phi_q(i+1) + J_{i-1} \Phi_q(i-1))/2 = \epsilon_q \Phi_q(i), \quad i = 1, \dots, L, \quad (5)$$

and $J_L = 0$. Eq. (5) corresponds to finding the eigenvectors of the banded matrix $T = (J_j \delta_{i,j+1} + J_{j-1} \delta_{i,j-1})/2$. The eigenvalues corresponds to the single-particle eigenenergies ϵ_q .

The ground state $|GS\rangle$ of (1) is obtained by filling all the negative modes ϵ_q as

$$|GS\rangle = \eta_{q_M}^\dagger \eta_{q_{M-1}}^\dagger \cdots \eta_{q_1}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (6)$$

One has the anticommutation relations

$$\{\eta_q^\dagger, c_j^\dagger\} = \{\eta_q, c_j\} = 0 \quad (7)$$

and

$$\{\eta_q^\dagger, c_j\} = \Phi_q(j) \delta_{k,j}, \quad \{\eta_q, c_j^\dagger\} = \Phi_q^*(j) \delta_{k,j} \quad (8)$$

These imply that the expectation value of the two-point function in a generic eigenstate of (1) is given as

$$\langle c_i^\dagger c_j \rangle = \sum_q \Phi_q^*(i) \Phi_q(j), \quad (9)$$

where the sum is over the q single-particle excitations forming the eigenstate.

One can show that the eigenvalues of the matrix T are organized in pairs with opposite sign. Given the components $\Phi_1(i)$ of the eigenvector with eigenvalue ϵ_q , the components of the eigenvector with $-\epsilon_q$ are given as $(-1)^{i+1} \Phi_q(i)$. This also implies that the ground state of (1) is in the sector with $M = L/2$ fermions.

¹ F. Iglói, R. Juhász, and H. Rieger, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 11552 (2000).