Lattice Sinh-Gordon model

Vincenzo Afferrante

February 13, 2019

Chapter 1

Introduction

Our goal is to simulate a lattice regularized version of sinh-Gordon model. New results obtained with thermodynamical Bether ansatz give interesting properties, but also many dilemma. In principle, the duality of the theory doesn't work with the mass formula, and there is not a single UV behaviour of the theory, but there can be many values of the charge infinity.

Chapter 2

Properties of Sinh-Gordon model in the continuum

The sinh-Gordon model has many remarkable properties. It is integrable, has a unique vacuum and the simplest symmetry Z_2: $\phi - > -\phi$. The action that defines it is

$$\mathcal{A} = \int d^2 x \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_\nu (\partial_\nu \phi)^2 + 2\mu \cosh(b\phi) \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

Due to his integrability, the S-matrix is factorizable in 2 particles S-matrix, and it is known analitically

$$S(\theta) = \frac{\sinh(\theta) - i \sin(\pi\beta)}{\sinh(\theta) + i \sin(\pi\beta)} \tag{2.2}$$

Here θ is the rapidity, and it is related to the energy and the momentum by

$$E = m \cosh(\theta) \; ; \; P = m \sinh(\theta) \tag{2.3}$$

It is introduced the function $\beta(b)$ defined by

$$\beta(b) = \frac{b^2}{8\pi} \frac{1}{1 + b^2/8\pi} \, . \tag{2.4}$$

This result is obtained by analytic continuation from an analogous calculation in the sine-Gordon model, valid in the "Coleman bound", namely $b < \sqrt{8\pi}$. It is proven that the theory doesn't develop a mass gap over this bound.

This formula reveals an interesting property, which is not manifest in the Lagrangian, a duality symmetry given by

$$b \to 1/b \; ; \; \beta \to 1 - \beta \, . \tag{2.5}$$

This transformation doesn't change the position of the zeroes in the S-matrix.

2.1 Observables

Calculations of observable quantities have been made for this model. The action used in this calculations is

$$\mathcal{A} = \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{1}{16\pi} \sum_\nu (\partial_\nu \phi)^2 + 2\mu \cosh(b\phi) \right\} \tag{2.6}$$

This action is obtained by $\phi \to 1/\sqrt{8\pi}\phi$, and by rescaling the coupling accordingly. In this way the Coleman bound is at $b = 1$, simplifying the calculations.

A notable example is obtained for the quantity $e^{a\phi}$, namely

$$\langle e^{a\phi} \rangle = \left[\frac{m\Gamma(\frac{1}{2+2b^2})\Gamma(1 + \frac{b^2}{2+2b^2})}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \right]^{-2a^2} \times \tag{2.7}$$

$$\exp \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left[-\frac{\sinh^2(2abt)}{2\sinh(b^2 t)\sinh(t)\cosh((1+b^2)t)} + 2a^2 e^{-2t} \right] \right\},$$

where

$$m = \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2+2b^2})\Gamma(1 + \frac{b^2}{2+2b^2})} \left[-\frac{\mu\pi\Gamma(1+b^2)}{\Gamma(-b^2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2+2b^2}}. \tag{2.8}$$

The last equation gives the renormalized particle mass in terms of the bare parameter μ and the coupling b. It is remarkable that this formula don't satisfy the duality symmetry, and that the mass goes to zero for $b = 1$ (which is equivalent to the Coleman bound, after the rescaling of $1/8\pi$ of the kinetic term). After the redefinition

Chapter 3

Lattice setup

The lattice action (after rescaling the field as $\phi \to 1/\sqrt{8\pi}\phi$) is

$$\mathcal{A} = a^2 \sum_x \left[\frac{N_d}{8\pi}\phi(x)^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi}\sum_\nu \phi(x)\phi(x+a\nu) + 2\mu\cosh(b\phi(x)) \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

We can absorb a^2 in the dimensioned parameter μ defining $\hat{\mu} = a^2\mu$. We simulate the model using the grid code, based on a HMC algorithm.

Chapter 4

Comparing lattice theory with the continuum

Simple dimensional analysis show that both the field and the parameter b are dimensionless, while μ has a dimension 2 in energy. Labelling lattice parameter with an hat, we have $\hat{\mu} = a^2\mu$. Naively the continuum is limit is obtained with $\hat{\mu} \to 0$. To compare lattice results with the continuum analytic results, we need to find the lines of constant physics.

We can do this using lattice perturbation theory. Calculating the perturbative expansion of the two point function and setting the pole position equal to the mass gives a relation between $\hat{\mu}$ and b. This can be checked non-perturbatively doing lattice spectroscopy and checking the value of the physical mass obtained.

The theory has an infinite number of interaction terms

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_x \frac{1}{2} \sum_\nu (\delta_\nu \phi(x))^2 + \frac{\hat{m}_0^2}{g^2} \cosh(g\phi) = \tag{4.1}$$

$$= \sum_x \left[N_d \phi(x)^2 + \sum_\nu \phi(x)\phi(x+\nu) + \frac{\hat{m}_0^2}{2}\phi(x)^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\hat{m}_0^2 g^2}{4!}\phi(x)^4 + \frac{\hat{m}_0^2 g^4}{6!}\phi(x)^6 + \dots \right] \tag{4.2}$$

which leads to an infinite number of Feynman rules for n-point vertex, with n even, namely

$$V_n = -\hat{m}_0^2 g^{n-2} \,. \tag{4.3}$$

We chose this action since it doesn't have a factor of the coupling in the bare mass.

We can expanding the two point function in perturbation theory over powers of the couple g^2. In the continuum both the coupling and the field don't renormalize. There is only a multiplicative renormalization of the mass parameter, given by (2.8). Setting the two point function

$$D(p^2) = \frac{1}{\hat{p^2} + m^2} + O(\hat{p^2} + m^2) \tag{4.4}$$

for $p^2 \to -m^2$ one obtains

$$m^2 = m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_0^2 g^2 T(m^2) + \frac{1}{8}m_0^2 g^4 T(m^2)^2 + O(g^6) \tag{4.5}$$

$$= m_0^2(1 + \frac{1}{2}g^2 T(m^2) + \frac{1}{8}g^4 T(m^2)^2) + O(g^6) \tag{4.6}$$

We have the tadpole integral

$$T(m^2) = \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\hat{k}^2 + m^2} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\hat{k}^2 = \hat{k}_x^2 + \hat{k}_y^2$ and $\hat{k} = 2/a \sin(ka/2)$. The symmetry factors $1/2$ and $1/8$ are obtained by multiplying the factors $1/4!$ and $1/6!$ of the action by the number of possible connection between vertices and external legs when constructing the tadpole and double tadpole integral. It immediately appears that there must be a logarithmic divergence when one substitutes $l_\mu = ak_\mu$ and takes the limit $a \to 0$. We can substitute $\hat{m}_0^2$ with $\hat{m}^2$ in the integrals with an error of order b^6. We invert the series

$$m_0^2 = m^2(1 - \frac{1}{2}g^2 T(m^2) - \frac{1}{8}g^4 T(m^2)^2) + O(g^6). \tag{4.8}$$

This formula gives us, given a physical value of the mass m, the bare parameter m_0 for a fixed value of the lattice spacing a.

We can do a similar operation for the coupling, by setting the full four point function with zero external momentum equal to the renormalized coupling constant. We obtain

$$g_R^2 = g^2 + \frac{m^2 g^4}{2} T(m^2) + \frac{3m^4 g^4}{2} V(m^2) + O(g^6) \tag{4.9}$$

We invert this relation as well, obtaining

$$g^2 = g_R^2(1 - (\frac{m^2}{2}I(m^2) - \frac{3m^4}{2}V(m^2))g_R^2) + O(g_R^4) \tag{4.10}$$

We need to evluate the tadpole and the vertex correction integrals.

4.1 Evaluation of the integrals

We substitute $l_\mu = ak_\mu$ in (4.7) obtaining

$$T(m^2) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\hat{l}^2 + a^2 m^2} = I_1(a^2 m^2) \,. \tag{4.11}$$

The integral has infrared divergencies for $a \to 0$. We define

$$I_n(\xi^2) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(\hat{l}^2 + \xi^2)^n} \tag{4.12}$$

We can evaluate numerically the value of I_1 for $a \to 0$ only dividing the integral in two parts, one within a small radius ρ, and the other outside. We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1(\xi^2) &= \int_{|l|<\rho} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{l^2 + \xi^2} + \int_{|l|>\rho} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\hat{l}^2 + \xi^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\xi^2}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\xi^2}{\rho^2}\right) + \int_{|l|>\rho} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\hat{l}^2} - \xi^2 \int_{|l|>\rho} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(\hat{l}^2)^2} + O(\xi^4) \\
&= Z_0 - \xi^2 C_0 - \frac{1}{4\pi} \ln(\xi^2), \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.13}
$$

We have expanded both parts in powers of a^2. We defined $\xi^2 = a^2 m^2$ and we introduced the quantities

$$Z_0 = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \int_{|l|>\rho} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\hat{l}^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \log(\rho^2) = 0.275794 \,, \tag{4.14}$$

$$C_0 = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \int_{|l|>\rho} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(\hat{l}^2)^2} - \frac{1}{4\pi\rho^2} \,. \tag{4.15}$$

Actually the quantities C_0 is ininfluent for $a \to 0$, so we have the result

$$I(a^2 m^2) = Z_0 - \frac{1}{4\pi} \ln(a^2 m^2) \,. \tag{4.16}$$

For the evaluation of the vertex corrections, we note that

$$V(m^2) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^2l}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(\hat{l}^2 + a^2 m^2)^2} = a^2 I_2(a^2 m^2) \,. \tag{4.17}$$

We use the relation

$$I_2(\xi^2) = -\frac{d}{d\xi^2} I_1(\xi^2) = C_0 + \frac{1}{4\pi\xi^2} + O(\xi^2) \,. \tag{4.18}$$

We have the final result:

$$m_0^2 = m^2(1 - \frac{1}{2}g^2 I_1(a^2 m^2) - \frac{1}{8}g^4 I_1(a^2 m^2)^2) + O(g^6) \tag{4.19}$$

$$g^2 = g_R^2(1 - (\frac{m^2}{2}I_1(a^2 m^2) - \frac{3m^4 a^2}{2}I_2(a^2 m^2))g_R^2) + O(g_R^6) \,. \tag{4.20}$$