

Report of Referee 1

In this paper the authors present a refit of quarkonium data in pp collisions based on the NRQCD formalism coupled with pQCD production amplitudes. The new aspect is essentially the inclusion of the new LHC data which, in many cases, leads to considerably different values of the NRQCD matrix elements compared to existing literature. By and large this is a reasonable work that can be published in JHEP. However, several points have to be addressed prior to that.

Ans: We thank the referee for his/her comments. We address all the points and modify the manuscript as described below:

1. In the introduction, a more broad representation of the literature should be given, e.g. for the use of quarkonia in heavy-ion collisions. I find it a bit overstated to claim that measuring their ratios for excited over ground states "has become the most important goals of Pb-Pb collisions at LHC".

The discussions of heavy ion collisions are modified and references are updated as follows:

The quarkonia yields are modified in the heavy ion collision due to QGP and cold nuclear matter effects which has been demonstrated for J/ψ and Υ in PbPb collisions [1–3]. The ratios of excited to ground state quarkonia yields are considered as better probes of QGP since the cold matter effects, which are similar for the ground and excited states, are expected to cancel in the ratio. At the LHC, the production of charmonium (J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$) and bottomonium ($\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$, $\Upsilon(3S)$) states has been studied in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV [4–9] showing the importance of quarkonia measurements in heavy ion collisions.

2. I am not sure the authors deliver on the promise made in the introduction of quantifying NLO corrections. The paragraph on top of page 13 is a bit thin in this regard, and seems to be contradictory (factor 3 vs. 2-3 orders of magnitude enhancement of the ψ' cross section).

The NLO corrections increase the total color-singlet J/ψ cross section by a factor of two, although at high p_T the corrections can enhance the production by 2-3 orders of magnitude. [11]. The NLO corrections to J/ψ production via S-wave color octet (CO) states ($^1S_0^{[8]} ^3S_1^{[8]}$) are studied in Ref. [12] and the corrections to p_T distributions of both J/ψ yield and polarization are found to be small. We have given an estimate of uncertainty in the LDMEs due to enhancement of color-singlet J/ψ cross-section by a factor of three expected from NLO corrections.

First paragraph of page 13 is modified as follows:

Here the first error is due to fitting and the second error is obtained by enhancing the CS cross section 3 times. It is due to the fact that NLO corrections enhance the total color-singlet J/ψ production by a factor of 2 [11]. The NLO corrections to J/ψ production via S-wave color octet (CO) states ($^1S_0^{[8]} ^3S_1^{[8]}$) are found to be small Ref. [12].

3. I believe that appendix A referred to in the text is missing altogether. It should be supplied.

Appendix A is included in the new version of paper.

4. I am wondering why the authors cut off their fits at $p_T=5\text{GeV}$. How is that choice motivated?

It is known that the perturbative expansion calculations are not applicable to the regions with small transverse momentum. It is shown by previous NRQCD calculations [13] that the formalism for production works well only for large p_T . If we use a lower p_T cut off it would give a significantly larger χ^2/dof .

5. The χ^2/dof fit values of typical 2.5-3 are not very good. The authors should comment on that. For example, does that imply the breakdown of the NRQCD formalism, or the production cross sections, or else?

The fitting method consist of simultaneous fitting of several (seven-eight) datasets covering different collision energies and different kinematic ranges. Our χ^2 are comparable to other [13, 14] such analysis.

6. The summary is a bit too short. In addition to emphasizing the main discrepancies of this work with existing literature, the authors should provide a more general perspective of the implications of their results.

We have extended the summary in the new manuscript.

7. I could not find some of the collision systems listed in Section 3 in the figures, for example 1.8TeV for χ_{c0} and 7 TeV LHCb result for ψ' . Once the above points are satisfactorily addressed in the ms. it can be published.

We have included these calculations in the new version of manuscript .

Report of Referee 2

The manuscript describes a leading-order fit of χ_{c0} , $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ NRQCD color-octet long distance matrix elements (CO LDMEs) to Tevatron and LHC hadroproduction data. The work is certainly done thoroughly, and, besides some minor misunderstandings, the article is clearly written. The article does however not fulfill JPhysG's criterion of having "new results that substantially advance their relevant field": Leading order fits of this kind have already been published 20 years ago. The only relevant difference to these early works seems to be the inclusion of the χ_{c2}/χ_{c1} ratio in the χ_{c0} CO LDME fit, which have only become available with the LHC. Current CO LDME fits are done at next-to-leading and include a much wider range of observables, including photoproduction data, η_{c0} production rates and polarization observables on top of the observables used in the manuscript assessed here.

A LO NRQCD analysis is useful as it is straightforward and unique and once the parameters are obtained by fitting over large datasets it has excellent predictability power for unknown cross sections.

Report of Referee 3 (Adjudication report)

Dear Editors,

Although this work remains below the present technological state of the art, which is next-to-leading order as pointed out correctly by Referee B, it should still be of some interest. In fact, it presents a comprehensive lowest-order analysis of hadroproduction data, including

very recent LHC data. The resulting long-distance matrix elements may be useful as input for LO analysis by other authors.

However, the authors are missing some relevant references that underline the current charmonium crisis of NRQCD factorization which has recently emerged in various studies:

1. The problem of performing a joint analysis of the e^+e^- , photoproduction, hadroproduction and polarization data:: arXiv:1212.2037 [hep-ph].
2. The problem of describing the η_c hadroproduction data on the basis of heavy-quark spin symmetry: arXiv:1411.5287 [hep-ph]
3. The problem of describing double J/psi hadroproduction at large invariant masses and rapidity separations of the J/psi pair: arXiv:1609.02786 [hep-ph] Furthermore, they should add the following paper to Ref. 31 because it presents the global fit: arXiv:1105.0820 [hep-ph]. I recommend that the discussion of the NRQCD crisis be substantiated and that these references be properly cited.

We have included following discussion regarding above points in the manuscript:

Authors in Ref. [14] extracted leading color-octet LDMEs through a global fit to experimental data of unpolarized J/ ψ production in pp, $p\bar{p}$, ep, $\gamma\gamma$, and e^+e^- collisions. The extracted LDMEs give excellent description of the unpolarized J/ ψ yields but fail to reproduce the polarization measured at CDF [15]. In another study [16], it is shown that the measured hadroproduction cross sections and the CDF polarization measurement [15] can be simultaneously described by NRQCD at NLO.

Recently, the LHCb measurements of η_c production [17] is investigated from different points of view by several groups using NRQCD formalism [18–20]. Ref. [18] considered the η_c measurement as a challenge of NRQCD while Ref. [19] shows that the LHCb measurement results in a very strong constraint on the upper bound of the color-octet LDME of J/ ψ . Refs. [20] obtain the color-singlet LDME for η_c by fitting the experiment data to obtain good description of η_c production.

The prompt double heavy quarkonium production is a sensitive testing ground for NRQCD factorization. The experiments at LHC recently published the measurement of double J/ ψ production in proton-proton collision at $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$ and 13 TeV [21–24].

Full NLO calculations including all color singlet and color octet contributions for this process in the NRQCD framework are not fully established yet. Authors in Ref [25] showed that the LO calculations of the prompt double J/ψ production by NRQCD formalism describes the data only qualitatively. Authors in Ref [26] present the NLO calculations for the color-singlet channel which describe the measured LHCb cross section reasonably well, but fail to reproduce the CMS measurements. The complicated situation suggests that, further study and phenomenological test of NRQCD is still an urgent task.

-
- [1] M. Strickland, “Thermal $\Upsilon(1S)$ and χ_{b1} suppression in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 132301 (2011), [arXiv:1106.2571 [hep-ph]].
 - [2] V. Kumar, P. Shukla and R. Vogt, “Quarkonia suppression in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” Phys. Rev. C **92**, 024908 (2015).
 - [3] K. Zhou, Z. Chen, C. Greiner and P. Zhuang, “Thermal Charm and Charmonium Production in Quark Gluon Plasma,” Phys. Lett. B **758**, 434 (2016), [arXiv:1602.01667 [hep-ph]].
 - [4] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], “Observation of sequential Upsilon suppression in PbPb collisions,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 222301 (2012).
 - [5] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], “Measurement of Prompt $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi$ Yield Ratios in Pb-Pb and $p - p$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 26, 262301 (2014).
 - [6] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], “Suppression and azimuthal anisotropy of prompt and nonprompt J/ψ production in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” Eur. Phys. J. C, [arXiv:1610.00613 [nucl-ex]].
 - [7] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], “Relative modification of prompt $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ yields from pp to PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV,” [Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162301 (2017)], [arXiv:1611.01438 [nucl-ex]].
 - [8] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], “Suppression of $\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ production in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” Phys.Lett.B, [arXiv:1611.01510 [nucl-ex]].
 - [9] B. B. Abelev *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], “Centrality, rapidity and transverse momentum

- dependence of J/ψ suppression in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}=2.76$ TeV,” Phys. Lett. B **734**, 314 (2014), [arXiv:1311.0214 [nucl-ex]].
- [10] J. M. Campbell, F. Maltoni, and F. Tramontano, “QCD corrections to J/ψ and Υ production at hadron colliders,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** 252002 (2007).
 - [11] B. Gong and J. X. Wang, “Next-to-leading-order QCD corrections to J/ψ polarization at Tevatron and Large-Hadron-Collider energies,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 232001 (2008).
 - [12] B. Gong, X. Q. Li and J. X. Wang, “QCD corrections to J/ψ production via color octet states at Tevatron and LHC,” Phys. Lett. B **673**, 197 (2009), [Phys. Lett. **693**, 612 (2010)].
 - [13] R. Sharma and I. Vitev, “High transverse momentum quarkonium production and dissociation in heavy ion collisions,” Phys. Rev. C **87**, no. 4, 044905 (2013), [arXiv:1203.0329 [hep-ph]].
 - [14] M. Butenschoen and B. A. Kniehl, “Next-to-leading-order tests of NRQCD factorization with J/ψ yield and polarization,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **28**, 1350027 (2013), [arXiv:1212.2037 [hep-ph]].
 - [15] A. Abulencia *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], “Polarization of J/ψ and ψ_{2S} mesons produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ -TeV,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 132001 (2007), [arXiv:0704.0638 [hep-ex]].
 - [16] K. T. Chao, Y. Q. Ma, H. S. Shao, K. Wang and Y. J. Zhang, “ J/ψ Polarization at Hadron Colliders in Nonrelativistic QCD,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 242004 (2012), [arXiv:1201.2675 [hep-ph]].
 - [17] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], “Measurement of the $\eta_c(1S)$ production cross-section in proton-proton collisions via the decay $\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}$,” Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 7, 311 (2015), [arXiv:1409.3612 [hep-ex]].
 - [18] M. Butenschoen, Z. G. He and B. A. Kniehl, “ η_c production at the LHC challenges nonrelativistic-QCD factorization,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, no. 9, 092004 (2015), [arXiv:1411.5287 [hep-ph]].
 - [19] H. Han, Y. Q. Ma, C. Meng, H. S. Shao and K. T. Chao, “ η_c production at LHC and indications on the understanding of J/ψ production,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, no. 9, 092005 (2015), [arXiv:1411.7350 [hep-ph]].
 - [20] H. F. Zhang, Z. Sun, W. L. Sang and R. Li, “Impact of η_c hadroproduction data on charmonium production and polarization within NRQCD framework,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 092006 (2015), [arXiv:1412.0508 [hep-ph]].
 - [21] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], “Observation of J/ψ pair production in pp collisions at

- $\sqrt{s} = 7\text{TeV}$,” Phys. Lett. B **707**, 52 (2012), [arXiv:1109.0963 [hep-ex]].
- [22] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], “Measurement of prompt J/ψ pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7\text{ TeV}$,” JHEP **1409**, 094 (2014), [arXiv:1406.0484 [hep-ex]].
- [23] M. Aaboud *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], “Measurement of the prompt J/ψ pair production cross-section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector,” Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, no. 2, 76 (2017), [arXiv:1612.02950 [hep-ex]].
- [24] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], “Measurement of the J/ψ pair production cross-section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$,” arXiv:1612.07451 [hep-ex].
- [25] Z. G. He and B. A. Kniehl, “Complete Nonrelativistic-QCD Prediction for Prompt Double J/ψ Hadroproduction,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 022002 (2015), [arXiv:1609.02786 [hep-ph]].
- [26] L. P. Sun, H. Han and K. T. Chao, “Impact of J/ψ pair production at the LHC and predictions in nonrelativistic QCD,” Phys. Rev. D **94**, 074033 (2016), [arXiv:1404.4042 [hep-ph]].