June 15, 2021 Course: MScFE 620 Discrete-time Stochastic Processes Professors: Sergio Garcia Ivan Blanko Class: C21-S1 Group 2, with members: Juan Antonio Vargas Lopez; juanvarl@hotmail.com Kanittha Setthapitayakul; kanittha.se@hotmail.com Loc Nguyen; vinhloc30796 import numpy as np np.set\_printoptions(precision=4, suppress=True) # prettify numpy import pandas as pd pd.set option('precision', 4) # prettify pandas from binomial import binomial tree, binomial freq, terminal coef 1. Write code to price a European Call option: a. The underlying stock that is currently trading at US95. The option has a strike price of US105 and 1 year maturity. Use the Binomial model with the parameters r=0 and 3 steps in the pricing process. Additionally, set the upper movement of the price (u) to an expression that will depend on the number on your group.  $u = (1.10 + \frac{GroupNumber}{100}),$ Group 1, u = 1.11. Group 2 = 1.12. Group 3 = 1.13, ..., Group 50 = 1.60 You can define  $d = \frac{1}{a}$ . # Set starting price at \$95 S0 = 95# Set strike price at \$105 strike\_price = 105 # Calculate u, d, P\_star group\_number = 2  $u = 1.10 + group_number/100; d = 1/u$ p = (1 - d) / (u - d)Out[2]: 0.4716981132075471 stock\_prices\_3steps = binomial\_tree( N=3, # 3 steps S0=S0, # underlying stock starts at \$95, )['stock\_prices'] df stock prices 3steps = pd.DataFrame( stock prices 3steps df\_stock\_prices\_3steps.index.name = "Time Step" df\_stock\_prices\_3steps 1 2 Time Step **0** 95.0000 0.0000 0.000 0.0000 **1** 84.8214 106.4000 0.000 0.0000 **2** 75.7334 95.0000 119.168 0.0000 **3** 67.6191 84.8214 106.400 133.4682 In [4]: terminal coef 3steps = terminal coef( N=3, u=u terminal coef 3steps Out[4]: array([0.1475, 0.395 , 0.3526, 0.105 ]) option payoff 3steps = np.where( stock\_prices\_3steps > strike\_price, # if underlying stock is priced higher than s stock\_prices\_3steps - strike\_price, # then value = difference (buy low) 0 # else 0 (leaving option unexercised) df\_option\_payoff\_3steps = pd.DataFrame( option\_payoff\_3steps df\_option\_payoff\_3steps.index.name = "Time Step" df\_option\_payoff\_3steps 3 Time Step **0**.0 0.0 0.000 0.0000 **1** 0.0 1.4 0.000 0.0000 **2** 0.0 0.0 14.168 0.0000 **3** 0.0 0.0 1.400 28.4682 # Value of the option at T0 = Sigma(probability for each path \* value for each path) terminal coef 3steps \ \* option\_payoff\_3steps[-1,:] Out[6]: 3.4814981494791057 **ANSWER:** The value of the European call option, as described by the prompt, is therefore, \$\approx 3.4815\$. b. Using the information from (a), show the value of the derivative,  $H(\omega)$ , for each of the paths. df option payoff 3steps.iloc[3,:] 0.0000 0 0.0000 1.4000 28.4682 Name: 3, dtype: float64 **ANSWER:** The ending payoff  $H(\omega)$  of the call option for each of the path is therefore: 0.00 with 0 ups • 0.00 with 1 ups • 1.40 with 2 ups •  $\approx 28.47$  with 3 ups 2. Write code to price a European Put Option: a. Consider the same parameters as in section (a) in part (1) above but now with N=2. What is the price of the option? stock\_prices\_2steps = binomial\_tree( N=2, # 3 steps S0=S0, # underlying stock starts at \$95, )['stock prices'] df stock prices 2steps = pd.DataFrame( stock prices 2steps df\_stock\_prices\_2steps.index.name = "Time Step" df stock prices 2steps **Time Step 0** 95.0000 0.0 0.000 **1** 84.8214 106.4 0.000 **2** 75.7334 95.0 119.168 terminal coef 2steps = terminal\_coef( N=2u=u, terminal\_coef\_2steps Out[9]: array([0.2791, 0.4984, 0.2225]) option\_payoff\_2steps = np.where( np.logical and( stock\_prices\_2steps < strike\_price, # if underlying stock is priced lower than</pre> stock prices 2steps != 0 # and underlying stock is not 0.00 (technical limita strike\_price - stock\_prices\_2steps, # then value = difference (sell high) 0 # else 0 (leaving option unexercised) df\_option\_payoff\_2steps = pd.DataFrame( option\_payoff\_2steps df\_option\_payoff\_2steps.index.name = "Time Step" df\_option\_payoff\_2steps 2 **Time Step 0** 10.0000 0.0 0.0 **1** 20.1786 0.0 0.0 **2** 29.2666 10.0 0.0 # Value of the option at T0 = Sigma(probability for each path \* value for each path) sum( terminal coef 2steps \ \* option\_payoff\_2steps[-1,:] Out[11]: 13.152367390530443 option payoff 2steps[2,:] Out[12]: array([29.2666, 10. , 0. ]) **ANSWER:** The value of the European call option, as described by the prompt, is therefore, \$\approx 12.3166\$. The ending payoff  $H(\omega)$  for each path is: • pprox 29.27 with 0 ups (i.e. 2 downs) • 10.00 with 1 up (i.e. 1 downs) • 0.00 with 2 ups (i.e. 0 downs) b. Construct a Table (alike the ones you have in the notes) that includes, for each price path and each t when it corresponds: • the information on stock price evolution,  $X_t(\omega)$ , • the value of the option,  $V_t^H(\omega)$ , • the payoff of the option,  $H(\omega)$ , • and the hedging strategy,  $\varphi_t^H$ . ANSWER The stock price evolution and corresponding option payoff can be seen below:  $X_0(\omega)$   $X_1(\omega)$   $X_2(\omega)$   $H(\omega)$ (u,u)95.0 106.4 119.168 0 (u,d)106.4 95.0 95.0 10.0 95.0 84.8214 (d,u)95.0 (d,d)95.0 84.8214 75.7334 29.2667 We also have  $P^*=rac{1-d}{u-d}=rac{1-rac{1}{1.12}}{1.12-rac{1}{1.12}}pprox 0.4717$  and  $1-P^*pprox 0.5283$ Using that, we can calculate: •  $V_1^H(\{u,.\}) = V_1^H(\{u,u\}) = V_1^H(\{u,d\}) \approx 0.4717 \cdot 0 + 0.5283 \cdot 10 \approx 5.283$  $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad V_1^H(\{d,.\}) = V_1^H(\{d,u\}) = V_1^H(\{d,d\}) \approx 0.4717 \cdot 10 + 0.5283 \cdot 29.2666 \approx 20.1794 \\ \bullet \quad V_0^H \approx 0.4717^2 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 0.4717 \cdot 0.5283 \cdot 10 + 0.5283^2 \cdot 29.2666 \approx 13.1523 \end{array}$ which is then tabulated as follows:  $V_0^H \qquad V_1^H \qquad V_2^H$ (u; u) 13.1523 5.283 (u;d) 13.1523 5.283 (d; u) 13.1523 20.1794 10 (d;d) 13.1523 20.1794 29.2667 The hedging strategy is calculated by:  $arphi_{t}^{H} = rac{(V_{t}^{H} - V_{t-1}^{H})}{(X_{t}^{H} - X_{t-1}^{H})}$ We have the hedging strategy tabulated as follows:  $arphi_1^H \qquad arphi_2^H$ (u;u) -0.6903 -0.4138 (u;d) -0.6903 -0.4138 (d;u) -0.6903 -1.0000 (d;d) -0.6903 -1.0000 3. Market Completeness Revisited a. Form a matrix with 2 rows and 2 columns. Each row contains a state of the world (up and down). Each column contains a security (stock and bond). ANSWER: Matrix A State of the world Stock Bond  $B_{u}$ uр  $B_d$ down b. Pick a specific node. Write down the values for the A matrix **ANSWER:** # Create base variables S u = S0\*uS d = S0\*d $X_su = S_u-S0$ X sd = S d-S0B = 100 # Bonds # Create np arrays s arr = np.array([S u,S d]) b arr = B\*np.ones(2)A = np.concatenate((s arr.reshape(2,1),b arr.reshape(2,1)),axis=1)# Show Out[13]: array([[106.4 , 100. [ 84.8214, 100. c. From that node, the stock price may go up or down. Write down the b matrix (which is a column matrix). The first value in b contains the option value if the stock price went up. The second value in b contains the option value if the stock price went down. ANSWER: Matrix B **Option Value**  $V_{u}$ up down V<sub>d</sub> In [14]: option type = 'Call' if option type == 'Call': V u = max(0, S u - strike price) # Long Call V\_d = max(0, S\_d - strike\_price) # Long Call elif option type =='Put': V u = max(0, strike price - S u) # Long Put V d = max(0, strike price - S d) # Long Put B = np.array([V u, V d])d. The no-arbitrage equation can be written as Ax=b. How do you solve this equation for x? ANSWER: Assuming that A is invertible:  $Ax = B \Rightarrow x = A^{-1}B$ e. Using matrix algebra, solve for x ANSWER: try: A inv = np.linalg.inv(A) x arr = np.dot(A inv,B)except: x arr = np.linalg.lstsq(A,B) x arr Out[15]: array([ 0.0649, -0.055 ]) m phi = int(x arr[0]\*1000000)/1000000print(f"Hedging portfolio of focus stock equal to {m phi}") Hedging portfolio of focus stock equal to 0.064879 f. Show that your solution matches that from the binomial tree ANSWER: Consider probability p where the asset price goes up  $p = \frac{1-d}{u-d}$ p = (1-d)/(u-d)print(p) 0.4716981132075471 Assume market is complete and the unique no-arbitrage price of H, we obtains the option value at t=0by:  $\pi(H) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} H(\omega) \prod_{t=1}^T p^{\omega_t} (1-p)^{1-\omega_t}$ In [18]:  $V_0 = p*V_u+(1-p)*V_d$ 0.6603773584905687 phi u = (V u-V 0)/(S u-S0)phi d = (V d-V 0)/(S d-S0)process phi = [phi u,phi d] print(process phi) [0.06487917907977514, 0.06487917907977515]if int(process phi[0]\*1000000)/1000000==int(process phi[1]\*1000000)/1000000: bi\_phi = int(process\_phi[0]\*1000000)/1000000 print("Hedging portfolio of focus stock from the binomial tree equal to "+ str(int else: print("Check pricing method") Hedging portfolio of focus stock from the binomial tree equal to 0.064879 if m phi == bi phi: print("x from matrix algebra matches to hedging porfolio from binomial tree") else: print("Check pricing method")  ${\tt x}$  from matrix algebra matches to hedging porfolio from binomial tree 4. Put Call Parity a. When a stock option expires... ...there are 3 states of the world. 1) Final stock price > Strike 2) Final Stock price = Strike 3) Final Stock price < Strike. Consider a call and put with the same underlying, strike, European exercise style, and expiration. Assume a constant risk-free rate. For each state of the world, show the value of the following portfolios: • i) Long 1 call and short 1 put ii) Long 1 stock and borrow K (strike) dollars at the risk free rate for T (option maturity) years **ANSWER:** The assumptions for this question are the following:  $S_0=100$ , u=1.2,  $d=rac{1}{u}$ ,  $p=rac{1-d}{u-d}$ , r=2%, and T=5To compute the Put-Call parity, the following equation must hold: C + PV(K) = P + S(1)On the left hand of the equation, C is the price of a Call option, PV(K) is the present value of K the borrowed money at the risk free rate r for time T. On the right hand side of the equation, P is the price of Put option, and S the market value of the underlying asset at time T. We can rewrite the equation so that, C - P = S - PV(K)(2)1) Final stock price > Strike:  $S_T=160$ , K=110• i) The prices of the long call ( $C^+$ ) and short put ( $P^-$ ):  $C^+ = 11.5928$  $P^- = 20.6501$ The portfolio value  $P_1$  is:  $P_1 = max(S_T - K, 0) - max(K - S_T, 0) = 50 - 0 = 50$ • ii) The present value of the borrowed money and the price of the stock looks as follows:  $PV(K) = rac{K}{(1+r)^T} = rac{110}{(1+2\%)^5} = 99.6304$  $S_T = S_5 = 160$ The portfolio value  $P_2$  is:  $P_2 = S_T - PV(K) = 160 - 99.6304 = 60.3696$ 2) Final Stock price = Strike:  $S_T=110$ , K=110• i) The prices of the long call  $(C^+)$  and short put  $(P^-)$ :  $C^+ = 11.5928$  $P^- = 20.6501$ The portfolio value  $P_1$  is:  $P_1 = max(S_T - K, 0) - max(K - S_T, 0) = 0 - 0 = 0$ • ii) The present value of the borrowed money and the price of the stock looks as follows:  $PV(K) = rac{K}{(1+r)^T} = rac{110}{(1+2\%)^5} = 99.6304$  $S_T = S_5 = 110$ The portfolio value  $P_2$  is:  $P_2 = S_T - PV(K) = 110 - 99.6304 = 10.3696$ 3) Final Stock price < Strike:  $S_T=110$ , K=160• i) The prices of the long call  $(C^+)$  and short put  $(P^-)$ :  $C^+ = 2.9110$  $P^- = 57.2548$ The portfolio value  $P_1$  is:  $P_1 = max(S_T - K, 0) - max(K - S_T, 0) = 0 - 50 = -50$ ii) The present value of the borrowed money and the price of the stock looks as follows:  $PV(K) = \frac{K}{(1+r)^T} = \frac{160}{(1+2\%)^5} = 144.9169$  $S_T = S_5 = 110$ The portfolio value  $P_2$  is:  $P_2 = S_T - PV(K) = 110 - 144.9169 = -34.9169$ b. Using your answers from the previous parts... ...check that the call price, the put price, the stock price, and the borrowed funds satisfy put-call parity. If not, explain why it may not match more precisely. (Hint: it may not match even if you did everything correctly!). ANSWER: From the three different scenarios, we saw that the Put-Call parity did not hold: • 1) Final stock price > Strike:  $S_T=160$ , K=110C - P = S - PV(K) $50 \neq 60.3696$ • 2) Final Stock price = Strike:  $S_T=110$ , K=110C - P = S - PV(K) $0 \neq 10.3696$ • 3) Final Stock price < Strike:  $S_T=110$ , K=160C - P = S - PV(K) $-50 \neq -34.9169$ An arbitrator might purchase and trade a more costly portfolio for the less expensive. Since the portfolios are guaranteed to be mutually cancelled at time T, this technique would lock an arbitration profit that is equal to the difference in the two portfolios' values (Hull, 2018). In other words, if there exists an arbitrage opportunity, we should always sell the more expensive portfolio and buy the cheaper portfolio. Moreover, many investors who engage in the same trades notice arbitration chances like this. Prices will adjust to restore parity. The Put-Call parity will only hold if and only if the options are European style, both call and put options must have the same strike price, the stock does not pay dividends, interest rates must remain unchanged until the expiration date, and there are no exchange or brokerage fees. For the previous examples, we are forcing  $S_T$  to have an especific value. Moreover, we are also assuming that the intial price  $S_0=100$ , which also affects the valuation of the both call and put options. As an example, if we consider the following scenario we found that by solving for  $S_T=90.5731$  with K=110, the Put-Call parity holds. We can do the same exercise with the rest of the variables to find the implicit value that will make the parity to hold. References Hull, John C. (2018). Options, Futures, and Other Derivatives, Global Edition. Pearson.

**Group Work Project - M5**