

Methods of Using LiDAR Data for Leaf Counting

Research Summary

November 20, 2025

1 Introduction

Leaf counting is a fundamental task in plant phenotyping, essential for assessing plant health, growth stages, and predicting yield. While traditional manual counting is labor-intensive and error-prone, Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) offers a non-destructive, automated alternative. Unlike 2D imaging, LiDAR captures precise 3D spatial data, allowing for the separation of complex canopy structures and the resolution of occlusion issues common in dense foliage.

2 Data Acquisition

To count individual leaves, high-density point clouds are required. The two primary acquisition methods are:

- **Terrestrial Laser Scanning (TLS):** Stationary scanners that provide millimeter-level accuracy. Multi-view scanning (registering scans from different angles) is often necessary to minimize occlusion.
- **Close-range Handheld/Mobile LiDAR:** Portable sensors moved around the plant to capture a complete 3D profile, often utilizing SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping) algorithms to stitch the data in real-time.

3 Core Methodologies

The processing pipeline generally involves preprocessing (noise removal, downsampling), segmentation (separating plant from background/soil), and individual leaf extraction. The specific methods for counting can be categorized as follows:

3.1 1. Geometric and Morphological Segmentation

This approach relies on the physical properties of the point cloud, such as surface normals and curvature.

- **Edge Detection:** Leaves typically have distinct edges. Algorithms detect sharp changes in surface normals to delineate leaf boundaries.
- **Region Growing:** Starting from a seed point (usually the center of a leaf where the surface is flat), the algorithm expands to neighboring points that share similar normal vectors and curvature properties.

- **Mesh Reconstruction:** Converting the point cloud into a triangular mesh (e.g., using Poisson reconstruction) creates a continuous surface. Leaves are then counted by identifying disconnected mesh components or analyzing surface patches.

3.2 2. Clustering Algorithms

Clustering separates the point cloud into distinct groups, where each group represents a potential leaf.

- **K-Means / Fuzzy C-Means:** Effective when the number of leaves is roughly estimated, but struggles with irregular shapes.
- **DBSCAN (Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise):** Highly effective for leaf counting. It groups points that are closely packed together while marking points in low-density regions (noise) as outliers. It does not require specifying the number of clusters beforehand.
- **Euclidean Clustering:** Groups points based strictly on distance thresholds. This requires high-quality data where distinct leaves are physically separated by a small gap.

3.3 3. Deep Learning Approaches

Modern approaches utilize neural networks designed to consume unstructured point cloud data directly.

- **PointNet / PointNet++:** These architectures process raw point clouds to perform semantic segmentation (classifying points as "leaf" or "stem") and instance segmentation (identifying unique leaf instances).
- **Voxel-based CNNs:** The 3D space is voxelized (converted into a 3D grid). 3D Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) then analyze the occupancy of these voxels to identify and count leaf structures.

4 Challenges

- **Occlusion:** Even with LiDAR, leaves hidden entirely behind others cannot be counted.
- **Wind Effect:** Movement during scanning introduces noise and "ghosting" artifacts.
- **Leaf Curvature:** Highly curled leaves may be over-segmented (counted as two leaves) by geometric algorithms.

5 Conclusion

LiDAR data provides a robust solution for automated leaf counting. While geometric and clustering methods like DBSCAN remain popular for their interpretability and lower computational cost, Deep Learning methods are rapidly becoming the state-of-the-art for handling complex, overlapping canopies.

References

- [1] Jin, S., Su, Y., Gao, S., Wu, F., Ma, Q., Xu, K., ... & Guo, Q. (2021). *Separating the structural components of maize for field phenotyping using terrestrial lidar data and deep convolutional neural networks*. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.
- [2] Li, Y., Wen, W., Li, D., Guo, X., & Zhao, C. (2019). *High-throughput phenotyping analysis of maize at the individual plant level using terrestrial LiDAR and a deep learning method*. Sensors, 19(19), 4293.
- [3] Xiao, S., Wang, Y., & Li, G. (2020). *Leaf counting of rosette plants based on 3D point cloud and deep learning*. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture.
- [4] Chao, Q., He, P., Geng, J., & Zhang, L. (2019). *Plant leaf segmentation and phenotypic analysis based on 3D point cloud*. Agronomy.