Testing Model With Multiple Evaluation Metrics

Multiple evaluation metrics means evaluating the model's performance on a test set using different performance measures. This can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the model's strengths and weaknesses. We are using evaluation metrics for classification tasks including accuracy, precision, recall, support and F1-score.

Compare The Model

For comparing the above three models

```
from sklearn import model_selection
from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier
```

```
    dfs = []
models = [
          ('RF', RandomForestClassifier()),
          ('DecisionTree',DecisionTreeClassifier()),
          ('ANN',MLPClassifier())
        ]
results = []
    names = []
    scoring = ['accuracy', 'precision_weighted', 'recall_weighted', 'f1_weighted', 'roc_auc']
    target_names = ['no delay', 'delay']
    for name, model in models:
        kfold = model_selection.KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True, random_state=90210)
        cv_results = model_selection.cross_validate(model, x_train, y_train, cv=kfold, scoring=scoring)
        clf = model.fit(x_train, y_train)
        y_pred = clf.predict(x_test)
        print(name)
        print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, target_names=target_names))
        results.append(cv_results)
        names.append(name)
        this_df = pd.DataFrame(cv_results)
        this_df['model'] = name
        dfs.append(this_df)
final = pd.concat(dfs, ignore_index=True)
return final
```

RF

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
no delay	0.93	0.96	0.95	1936
delay	0.72	0.58	0.64	311
accuracy			0.91	2247
macro avg	0.82	0.77	0.79	2247
weighted avg	0.90	0.91	0.91	2247

DecisionTree

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
no delay	0.93	0.93	0.93	1936
delay	0.56	0.55	0.55	311
accuracy			0.88	2247
macro avg	0.74	0.74	0.74	2247
weighted avg	0.88	0.88	0.88	2247

ANN

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
no delay	0.93	0.96	0.95	1936
delay	0.70	0.58	0.63	311
accuracy			0.91	2247
macro avg	0.82	0.77	0.79	2247
weighted avg	0.90	0.91	0.90	2247

```
    # RandomForest Accuracy
    print('Training accuracy: ',accuracy_score(y_train,y_predict_train))
    print('Testing accuracy: ',accuracy_score(y_test,y_predict))
```

```
Training accuracy:  0.9892030276046304
Testing accuracy:  0.89942145082332
```

```
    # Making the Confusion Matrix
    from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
    cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_predict)
    cm
```

```
array([[1874,   62],
       [ 161,  150]], dtype=int64)
```

```
    # Accuracy score of desicionTree

    from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
    desacc = accuracy_score(y_test,decisiontree)
```

```
    desacc
```

```
0.8673787271918113
```

```
    from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
    cm = confusion_matrix(y_test,decisiontree)
```

```
    cm
```

```
array([[1777,  159],
       [ 139,  172]], dtype=int64)
```

```
# Calculate the Accuracy of ANN
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score,classification_report
score = accuracy_score(y_pred,y_test)
print('The accuracy for ANN model is: {}%'.format(score*100))
```

```
The accuracy for ANN model is: 87.2719181130396%
```

```
# Making the Confusion Matrix
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)
cm
```

```
array([[1812,  124],
       [ 162,  149]], dtype=int64)
```

Comparing Model Accuracy Before & After Applying Hyperparameter Tuning

Evaluating performance of the model From sklearn, cross_val_score is used to evaluate the score of the model. On the parameters, we have given rf (model name), x, y, cv (as 5 folds). Our model is performing well. So, we are saving the model by pickle.dump().

Note: To understand cross validation, refer to this link

```
# giving some parameters that can be used in randized search cv
parameters = {
              'n_estimators' : [1,20,30,55,68,74,90,120,115],
               'criterion':['gini','entropy'],
               'max_features' : ["auto", "sqrt", "log2"],
        'max_depth' : [2,5,8,10], 'verbose' : [1,2,3,4,6,8,9,10]


}
```

```
#performing the randomized cv
RCV  = RandomizedSearchCV(estimator=rf,param_distributions=parameters,cv=10,n_iter=4)
```

```
RCV.fit(x_train,y_train)
```

```
bt_params
```

137]

```
{'verbose': 10,
 'n_estimators': 90,
 'max_features': 'log2',
 'max_depth': 10,
 'criterion': 'entropy'}
```

```
bt_score
```

138]

```
0.905498809615237
```

```
model = RandomForestClassifier(verbose= 10, n_estimators= 120, max_features= 'log2',max_depth= 10,criterion= 'entropy')
RCV.fit(x_train,y_train)
```

```
RandomizedSearchCV(cv=10, estimator=RandomForestClassifier(), n_iter=4,
                   param_distributions={'criterion': ['gini', 'entropy'],
                                        'max_depth': [2, 5, 8, 10],
                                        'max_features': ['auto', 'sqrt',
                                                         'log2'],
                                        'n_estimators': [1, 20, 30, 55, 68, 74,
                                                         90, 120, 115],
                                        'verbose': [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10]})
```

```
y_predict_rf = RCV.predict(x_test)
```

```
[Parallel(n_jobs=1)]: Using backend SequentialBackend with 1 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=1)]: Done 115 out of 115 | elapsed:    0.0s finished
```

```
RFC=accuracy_score(y_test,y_predict_rf)
RFC
```

```
0.9096573208722741
```